

THE FERRIS LAW GROUP LLC

P.O. Box 1237

Worthington, Ohio 43085

Telephone: (614) 844-4777

Facsimile: (614) 844-4778

David A. Ferris
Stacey D. Houser
Boyd B. Ferris (Retired)

Writer's E-Mail:
dferris@ferrislawgroup.com

October 15, 2013

Barcy F. McNeal, Secretary
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215

Re: *In the Matter of the Annual Filing Requirements for 2013 Pertaining to
the Provisioning of High Cost Universal Service*
Case No. 13-1115-TP-COI; 2013 FCC 481

Dear Secretary McNeal:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned docket on behalf of The Nova Telephone Company ("Nova"), please find an original and three (3) copies of a Motion for Protective Order and Memorandum in Support pursuant to the Commission's regulations at 4901-1-24(D) of the Ohio Administrative Code. As set forth more fully in the enclosed documents, this Motion is filed with respect to company financial results required in "Rate of Return Carrier Additional Information" section contained within the Federal Communications Commission's Form 481.¹

Redacted public versions of Nova's Form 481 will be or have been electronically filed with the Commission. Three (3) unredacted copies of the confidential information are also enclosed herewith for filing under seal with each page containing Confidential Information as marked.

Nova requests that you certify to the FCC, as required by 47 C.F.R. §54.314, by the deadline of December 16, 2013 that Nova should continue receiving Federal high cost support.

¹ The financial reports section of FCC Form 481 is identified at the Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC") website as "Section 3005" in the downloadable version and as "Section 3000" in the online version at the same USAC website. <http://www.usac.org/hc/tools/forms.aspx>. The same identical financial information is required in both. The request for confidentiality applies regardless of whether the form submitted employs the 3005 or 3000 designation.

Barcy F. McNeal, Secretary
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
October 15, 2013
Page 2

Should you or any member of the Commission Staff have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'DAF', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

David A. Ferris

DAF/baf
Enclosures


BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Annual Filing)	
Requirements for 2013 Pertaining to)	Case No. 13-1115-TP-COI
the Provisioning of High Cost Universal Service)	

MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER OF NOVA TELEPHONE COMPANY

The Nova Telephone Company ("Nova"), by its attorneys and pursuant to Section 4901-1-24(D) of the Commission's rules (O.A.C. § 4901-1-24(D)) move for a protective order keeping confidential the designated confidential and/or proprietary information contained in the sealed filing accompanying this motion. The reasons underlying this motion are detailed in the attached Memorandum in Support. Consistent with the requirements of Section 4901-1-24(D) of the Commission's rules, three unredacted copies of the confidential information which is the subject of this motion have been filed under seal.

Respectfully submitted,



David A. Ferris (0059804)
THE FERRIS LAW GROUP LLC
P.O. Box 1237
6797 N. High Street, Suite 214
Worthington, OH 43085-1237
Ph: (614) 844-4777 / Fax: (614) 844-4778

Counsel for Nova Telephone Company

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Nova requests that the information designated as confidential and/or proprietary in the accompanying filing (along with any and all copies, including electronic copies) be protected from public disclosure. The confidential information consists of items contained in Nova's Form 481 Report, including financial data and figures. The financial data and figures constitute Nova confidential trade secret information and are deserving of protection as proprietary information in what is designated by statute to now be a competitive service.

The information set forth in this filing is clearly competitively sensitive trade secret information. Public disclosure of this information would impair Nova's ability to respond to competitive opportunities in the marketplace, and would provide competitors with an unfair competitive advantage. A redacted version of the document has been filed on the public record showing the non-confidential information.

Section 4901-1-24(D) of the Commission's rules provides that the Commission or certain designated employees may issue an order which is necessary to protect the confidentiality of information contained in documents filed with the Commission's Docketing Division to the extent that state or federal law prohibits the release of the information and where non-disclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. As set forth herein, state law prohibits the release of the information which is the subject of this motion. The Commission and its Staff have full access to the information in order to fulfill its statutory obligations. The non-disclosure of the information will not impair the purposes of Title 49. No purpose of Title 49 would be served by the public disclosure of the information.

The need to protect the designated information from public disclosure is clear, and there is compelling legal authority supporting the requested protective order. While the Commission has often expressed its preference for open proceedings, the Commission also long ago recognized its statutory obligations with regard to trade secrets:

The Commission is of the opinion that the "public records" statute must also be read *in pari materia* with Section 1333.31, Revised Code ("trade secrets" statute). The latter statute must be interpreted as evincing the recognition, on the part of the General Assembly, of the value of trade secret information.

In re: General Telephone Co., Case No. 81-383-TP-AIR (Entry, February 17, 1982). Likewise, the Commission has facilitated the protection of trade secrets in its rules (O.A.C. § 4901-124(A)(7)).

The definition of a "trade secret" is set forth in the Uniform Trade Secrets Act:

"Trade secret" means information, including the whole or any portion or phase of any scientific or technical information, design, process, procedure, formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method, technique, or improvement, or any business information or plans, financial information, or listing of names, addresses, or telephone numbers, that satisfies both of the following:

- (1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.
- (2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.

R. C. § 1333.61(D). This definition clearly reflects the state policy favoring the protection of trade secrets such as the information which is the subject of this motion.

Courts of other jurisdictions have held that not only does a public utilities commission have the authority to protect the trade secrets of a public utility, the trade secret statute creates a duty to protect them. New York Tel. Co. v. Pub. Serv. Comm. N.Y., 56 N.Y. 2d 213 (1982). Indeed, for the Commission to do otherwise would be to negate the protections the Ohio General Assembly has granted to all businesses, including public utilities, through the Uniform Trade Secrets Act. This Commission has previously carried out its obligations in this regard in numerous proceedings. See, e.g., Elyria Tel. Co., Case No. 89-965-TP-AEC (Finding and Order, September 21, 1989); Ohio Bell Tel Co., Case No. 89-718-TP-ATA (Finding and Order, May 31, 1989); Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc., Case No. 90-17-GA-GCR (Entry, August 17, 1990).

In 1996, the Ohio General Assembly amended R. C. §§ 4901.12 and 4905.07 in order to facilitate the protection of trade secrets in the Commission's possession. The General Assembly carved out an exception to the general rule in favor of the public disclosure of information in the Commission's possession. By referencing R. C. § 149.43, the Commission-specific statutes now incorporate the provision of that statute that excepts from the definition of "public record" records the release of which is prohibited by state or federal law. R. C. § 149.43(A)(I)(v). In turn, state law prohibits the release of information meeting the definition of a trade secret. R. C. §§ 1333.61(D) and 1333.62. The amended statutes also reference the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. The protection of trade secret information from public disclosure is consistent with the purposes of Title 49 because the Commission and its Staff have access to the information; in many cases, the parties to a case may have access under an appropriate protective agreement. The protection of trade secret information as requested herein will not impair the Commission's regulatory responsibilities.


In Pyromatics, Inc. v. Petruziello, 1 Ohio App. 3d 131, 134-135 (Cuyahoga County 1983), the Court of Appeals, citing Koch Engineering Co. v. Faulconer, 210 U.S.P.Q. 854, 861 (Kansas 1980), has delineated factors to be considered in recognizing a trade secret:

1. The extent to which the information is known outside the business,
2. The extent to which it is known to those inside the business, i.e. by the employees,
3. The precautions taken by the holder of the trade secret to guard the secrecy of the information,
4. The savings effected and the value to the holder in having the information as against competitors,
5. The amount of effort or money expended in obtaining and developing the information, and
6. The amount of time and expense it would take for others to acquire and duplicate the information.

For all of the information which is the subject of this motion, Nova considers and has treated the information as a trade secret. In the ordinary course of business of Nova, this information is treated as proprietary and confidential by Nova employees, and is not disclosed to anyone except in a Commission proceeding and/or pursuant to staff data request. Information of this type will be provided to other parties only pursuant to an appropriate protective agreement.

For the foregoing reasons, Nova requests that the designated information be protected from public disclosure.

Respectfully submitted,


David A. Ferris (0059804)
THE FERRIS LAW GROUP LLC
P.O. Box 1237
6797 N. High Street, Suite 214
Worthington, OH 43085-1237
Ph: (614) 844-4777 / Fax: (614) 844-4778

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

10/15/2013 3:42:22 PM

in

Case No(s). 13-1115-TP-COI

Summary: Motion for Protective Order and Memorandum in Support electronically filed by Mr. DAVID A FERRIS on behalf of NOVA TELEPHONE COMPANY