BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

_ _ _

In the Matter of the
Request of Trux :

Transportation, Inc., for : Case No. 13-1168-TR-CVF

an Administrative Hearing.:

_ _ _

PROCEEDINGS

before Ms. Mandy Willey Chiles, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, called at 1 p.m. on Tuesday, October 1, 2013.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

APPEARANCES: Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General By Mr. William L. Wright, Section Chief Public Utilities Section Mr. Devin Parram, Assistant Attorney General 180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO. Mr. Donald M. Carpenter On his own behalf.

					3
1		INDEX			
2					
3	Wit	ness		Page	
4	Inspector Jack W. Goins Direct Examination by Mr. Parram		7		
5	Examination by Mr. Parram Examination by Examiner Chiles Direct Examination by Mr. Parram (Rebuttal)			26	
6		-	(Rebuttal)	51	
7	Donald M. Carpenter Direct Examination			29	
8		ross-Examination by Mr. Parram edirect Examination		37 47	
9					
10	Staff Exhibit Identified A			Admitted	
11	1	Driver/Vehicle Examination	10	28	
12		Report	-		
13	2	Trux Signage	21	28	
14	3	Covered Steel Coil With Chains	21	28	
15	4	Bill of Lading	21	28	
16	Trux Exhibit Identified A		Admitted		
17	1	Metal Bar With Two Hooks	31	50	
18	2	Stamp on the Binder	32	50	
19	3	Binder Chain Specifications	35	50	
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

Tuesday Afternoon Session,
October 1, 2013.

- -

2.1

EXAMINER CHILES: The Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio has called for hearing at this

time and place Case No. 13-1168-TR-CVF, being in the

Matter of the Request of Trux, that's T-R-U-X,

Transportation, Inc., for an Administrative Hearing.

I am Mandy Chiles, and I am the Attorney Examiner assigned by the Commission to hear this case.

We will begin by taking appearance of the parties. On behalf of staff.

MR. PARRAM: Good afternoon, your Honor.

On behalf of staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, Ohio Attorney General Mike DeWine by

Assistant Attorney General Devin D. Parram, 180 East

Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio, 6th Floor, 43215.

EXAMINER CHILES: Thank you. And,
Mr. Carpenter, would you please state your name, the
name of the company you are here representing, and
the address of the company.

MR. CARPENTER: Yes. My name is Donald M. Carpenter. I'm the president of Trux Transportation. We're at 580 Mayer Street,

Bridgeville, PA 15017.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

2 EXAMINER CHILES: Thank you.

Mr. Carpenter, I am just going to ask you a few questions on the record before we continue so I would like to swear you in at this time. If you could please raise your right hand.

(Witness sworn.)

EXAMINER CHILES: Thank you. You can have a seat. We spoke about this prior to going on the record today, but just to be clear on the record could you please tell me your position at Trux.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ CARPENTER: I'm the president of Trux Transportation, Inc.

EXAMINER CHILES: Okay. And how long have you been president of Trux?

MR. CARPENTER: Since the beginning, I think in 1986.

EXAMINER CHILES: Okay. Are you a regular salaried employee of Trux?

MR. CARPENTER: Not at present.

EXAMINER CHILES: Okay. And do you have authority to represent Trux in this matter today?

MR. CARPENTER: Yes, I do.

EXAMINER CHILES: All right. Thank you.

And I also want to clarify on the record, we talked

about this before going on the record today, you are aware that Trux could be represented by counsel today if it so chose?

MR. CARPENTER: I am.

2.0

2.1

EXAMINER CHILES: But Trux is choosing to go forward without counsel; is that correct?

MR. CARPENTER: Correct.

EXAMINER CHILES: Thank you. And, again, just going to give a very brief description of the process we are going to follow today so it is on the record. Staff will go first, and staff has the burden of proof. Once staff has finished presenting its witnesses and finished you will have the opportunity to come up here and put on your case. And then at the conclusion of the hearing I will permit each side to make a brief closing statement if you so wish.

Mr. Parram, you can proceed when you're ready.

MR. PARRAM: Yes, your Honor. I have just one preliminary matter. I spoke with Mr. Carpenter beforehand, and it's my understanding that we — he is not contesting the actual forfeiture amount which I believe is \$100 in the case so staff would like to recognize that on the record that

7 we're -- Mr. Carpenter is not contesting the \$100 1 2 amount. We will not be putting on a witness as 3 relates to the forfeiture amount. 4 EXAMINER CHILES: Is that correct, 5 Mr. Carpenter? MR. CARPENTER: That is correct. 6 7 EXAMINER CHILES: Okay. Thank you very 8 much. 9 MR. PARRAM: Your Honor, I would like to 10 call Inspector Goins to the stand. 11 12 INSPECTOR JACK W. GOINS being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 13 examined and testified as follows: 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 16 By Mr. Parram: 17 O. Good afternoon. 18 A. Good afternoon. 19 Would you please state your full name for Q. 20 the record. 2.1 A. Jack W. Goins. 22 Q. And where are you employed? I'm a Commercial Motor Carrier 23 Α. 24 Enforcement Inspector with the State Highway Patrol

25

here in Ohio.

- Q. And how long have you been in that position?
 - A. Right at about 13 years.

2.1

- Q. And what are some of your duties?
- A. Our duties involve stopping all commercial traffic if if we do have the authority to do so and checking the driver as far as being a valid driver, not suspended, medical medical cards, make sure that they are physically able according to doctors to be driving a vehicle as well as their logbooks for hours of operation, and then the safety of the equipment that they are operating.
- Q. Okay. And you say you've been in this position for approximately 13 years?
 - A. Again, right at 13 years.
- Q. About how many inspections do you do every year?
 - A. Usually between 1,000 and 1,100 a year.
- Q. And what qualifications or certifications do you have in your position?
- A. We have to go through the initial classes which is the North American Standard for Log Issues.

 That's a class pertaining to logbooks only. And then North American Standard Level I Inspections which is -- Level I Inspection is the most thorough

inspection that we do which involves the driver with the safety of the equipment around it, the loads, underneath, the brakes. And then also have all the Hazmat certifications which would be bulk, nonbulk, cargo tank, cylinders, and as well as PUCO certification for the State of Ohio.

2.0

2.1

- Q. And I think you touched on this, but you said there is different levels of inspections. For a level II inspection, what exactly is that?
- A. Level II would just involve the driver again for, you know, to make sure he does have a valid license to be operating the vehicle as well as medically and logbook if it pertains to such and then the safety of the equipment as a walk-around inspection, not going underneath and checking the brakes. It's pretty much the load with anything that you can walk around and visually see without going underneath of it.
- Q. Okay. So part of a level II inspection is looking at the equipment on the vehicle.
- A. Yes. It would be any load that they would have for securing.
- MR. PARRAM: Your Honor, I would like to have marked for purposes of identification Staff

 Exhibit 1 which is the Driver/Vehicle Examination

Report from inspection date January 7, 2013.

EXAMINER CHILES: So marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. PARRAM: May I approach the witness, your Honor?

5 your Honor

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

EXAMINER CHILES: You may.

- Q. Inspector Goins, I am handing you what has been marked as Staff Exhibit 1 which is a Driver/Vehicle Examination Report which is at issue in this case. Inspector Goins, have you seen this document before?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And what is this document?
- A. This is a copy of an inspection report that I did on it looks like January 7 of 2013 on Trux Transportation or involving Trux Transportation.
- Q. And this is actually a PUCO printout version of the inspection report that you prepare while you're performing your actual inspection; is that correct?
- A. Right. It states in there the location where the inspection was done or performed and then all violations listed as such.
- Q. Okay. When exactly did you prepare it, the report?

- A. January 7, 2013, and it looks like it was done at the Interstate Route 71 North Northbound Scale House, and it was about 12:18, 12:18 p.m., right around lunchtime.
- Q. And you actually recall preparing this report?
 - A. Yes, I do.

2.1

- Q. Now, I would like to talk about some of the details in the report. If you go to the very top of the report, there is a section that indicates the inspection date. Do you see where I'm at there?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And when was the inspection date listed on there?
 - A. 1-7-2013.
- Q. Okay. And if you go down, it says
 "Inspection Level" -- "Inspection Level II." Is this
 a type of level II inspection that we were talking
 about before?
- A. Right. I did a level II walk-around inspection.
- Q. And if you go down to the next section, there are some names listed there. One of the names on -- to the left-hand side of the document is Trux Transportation and then there's a name for the driver

Larry Aynes. If you could tell me who is Trux Transportation and who is Mr. Larry Aynes?

- A. Trux Transportation is the company that the inspection pertains to, and Mr. Larry Aynes would have been the driver of the vehicle at that time.
- Q. When you say the company, are you referring to the carrier?
 - A. The carrier itself, yes.
 - Q. How do you determine who the carrier is?
- A. The DOT number issued to them is their actual operator's or that's -- their operation authority is obtained through the DOT numbers there.
- Q. And is that DOT number indicated on this document?
 - A. It is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

- O. And what is that number?
- A. 235032.
- Q. Okay. And if you go down to the "Vehicle Identification," you see that section of the document?
 - A. I do.
- Q. Could you please explain to me what that information indicates.
- A. Vehicle identification states in there
 that unit 1 is or would be a -- or was a tractor,

truck tractor, which is a tow unit and it's a

Kenworth 2004 model. It looks like it's registered

or would have been or was registered through the

State of Pennsylvania. The second one would be the

semitrailer, Benson, the truck was pulling. And it

also was registered out of the State of Pennsylvania.

- Q. And there is a -- it indicates in the Vehicle Identification section GVWR. What does that stand for?
- A. That's the gross vehicle weight rating.

 It's what that vehicle is rated to haul full.
- Q. So for the tractor-trailer the gross vehicle rating would have been how much?
- A. Well, you have the combination. You have the truck which is rated for 50. The trailer was rated for 100, but lawwise through the State of Ohio 80,000 pounds would be their limit as far as running equipment without a special hauling permit.
- Q. And I skipped over something here. If could you jump back into the section right above that. There is a section that indicates "Cargo."

 Can you see where I'm at on there?
 - A. Uh-huh.

2.0

2.1

Q. What was this trailer hauling? What was the cargo?

- A. It looks like the load was picked up in Ghent, Kentucky, and it was through or from a shipper North American Stainless and it was hauling a metal coil, one metal coil.
- Q. Now, I would like to jump down to the middle section of the document that indicates "Violations." Do you see where I'm at?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. What were the violations that you indicated in this report?
- A. 392.9(a)(1) in the Federal regs clearly states that when you're hauling a metal coil or multiple coils, load securement would have to be half of -- or a working load of half of what that weight of that object being hauled is.

In this particular case with the 392.9(a)(1) it was insufficient approximately, just looking at it without a calculator, doing it in my head, about 600 pounds.

- Q. And I'm -- I want to ask you, your notes indicate a metal coil weighing around 44,530 pounds. What exactly are you referring to there in the violation?
 - A. In the "Violation" section?
 - Q. Yes.

A. The coil that was being transported on this trailer weighed 44,530 pounds.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And it indicates secured to --
- A. Right. If if you look at that section in the regs, it says it's got to be secured to half of that weight. Half of that weight of that coil would have been 22,265 pounds. With the chains and the binders that he had on there securing the coil you could see the difference between the 22,265 and 21,600 is approximately 625 pounds so he does not or would have been insufficient with securement there by that 625 pounds.
- Q. Okay. And so just to be clear, the way you calculate the 21,600 pounds is by adding up all the different chains or?
- A. Right. You go with -- depending on what they are securing, it could be nylon cords; it could be steel cables; it could be chains. In this particular instance here was chains.

I have notes over there. I don't want to say something wrong. If I could get my notes, if that would be permitted.

MR. PARRAM: Your Honor, may I approach?

EXAMINER CHILES: You may.

A. Okay. Yeah. With what he had -- what

the driver had that day he had two 5/16 " G-70 chains and two 3/8 " G-70 chains.

- Q. And let me stop you there actually because maybe we are getting ahead of ourselves.

 Let's go down and if you return to the Staff Exhibit

 1.
 - A. Okay.

2.1

- Q. Let's go down to the next session where it indicates "Inspection Notes." Do you see that section of the document?
 - A. Yep.
- Q. And generally what is the "Inspection Notes" for?
- A. Anything we find that for -- well, actually for this particular case if we go to hearings on them, then we've got reference notes to reflect back on because this, you know, happened in January. That's several months back. That way if we come to a hearing and ask questions, then we've got something to reflect back on to know exactly what we had dealt with that particular day.
- Q. Okay. And so in Staff Exhibit 1, you do have some "Inspection Notes" there. Before we get into the details of -- did you prepare these notes contemporaneously with your inspection, at the same

time you did your inspection?

2.1

- A. Before I print these inspection reports to give a copy to the driver, yes, I put all my notes in the notes section, and then I print it out, print a copy out to give to the driver. And to my knowledge the drivers do not get a copy of the "Notes" section. That's strictly for us.
- Q. So you prepare these notes pretty much at the same time that you are doing your inspection; is that correct?
- A. Yes, at the same time before the inspection is complete.
- Q. And if you could just read the "Inspection Notes" on Staff Exhibit 1 for me, please.
- "driver added another chain to properly secure coil."

 I put that in there because anything -- well, I don't want to get ahead of things, but anyway it states in there the driver had another chain to properly secure the coil. Going on it says "the driver was transporting a metal coil weighing 44,530 pounds.

 The coil was to be secured" -- or "was secured with two 5/16" G-70 chains and two 3/8" G-70 chains. The binders securing the chains has a working load of 5,400 pounds. The coil was" -- "the coil for proper

securement would be a total working load limit" -- or "working load weight of 22,265 pounds. The coil was only secured to 21,600 pounds" so, therefore, it would have been "insufficient."

2.1

- Q. Okay. And we'll start at the top where you say "driver added another chain to properly secure coil." What does that mean there?
- A. Any time I find any violations like this that is a safety issue and we go through in-services for different things and coils. We have been through several in-services on coils where the companies are not informing the drivers or the drivers not knowing the proper way to secure coils. So any time especially with the coils when I find something like this, I show it to the drivers, explain to the drivers, you know, the coil weighs this. This is what you should be securing that to and show them how to properly secure anything that they are hauling.

So when I do that, the driver adds -- or have the driver add another chain, binder, whatever the case may be and then that's how I know I let the driver know and show the driver my violations there and explain it to them and then they can properly secure the thing -- properly secure the item to

transport.

2.1

- Q. And you are referring to chains and binders. Could you explain what the binder is.
- A. Yeah. All a binder is is the chain that in this particular instance that they used to secure that item, the chain hooks to one side of the trailer, it goes through the coil or the eye of the coil, and then a binder is hooked to the other side of the trailer and to the chain. And what it does it kind of cinches down that chain to make the chain tight against the object that it is transporting.
- Q. Now, when in your "Inspection Notes" you indicate that the coil was secured with two 5/16" G-70 chains and two 3/8" G-70 chains, explain what you mean by that.
- A. Okay. We have in the regs, it's also in the Federal regs, that 5/16" G-70 chain, that's a high tension chain, and two 5/16" chains -- or a 5/16" G-70 chain is rated for 4,700 pounds. The 3/8" chains being G-70 would be rated for 6,600 pounds each.
- Q. And in the next section where you say "the binders securing the chains has a working load of 5,400 pounds," how many binders are you talking about?

A. Four binders.

2.1

- Q. Four binders. And when you -- I guess let me ask it this way, when I say a binder, the rating of the binder, what do you understand that to mean?
- A. It's this particular one is rated for 5,400 pounds.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. So that's a tinsel strength of when that binder could potentially break.
- Q. And how do you know what a particular binder is rated at?
- A. Most generally the binders that we check and usually is out there today has a -- these particular binders were a dropped-forged-type metal and working load is actually a raised number that appears on the handle of the binders.
 - Q. You said something dropped forged?
- A. Yeah. It's metal. It's just a forged piece of metal.
- Q. Okay. So the way I can tell the rating of a particular binder is because it is actually stamped on the side of the binder.
 - A. Right.
 - Q. In this particular case was the rating

stamped on the side of the binder?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

23

24

25

- A. Right, 5,400 pounds.
- Q. And in this particular inspection how many people were -- well, who was the driver again?

 I'm sorry, Mr. Larry Aynes?
 - A. The driver would be Larry Aynes.
- Q. Was there anyone else out there when you did this inspection?
 - A. No, just myself and the driver.
 - Q. It was just Mr. Aynes and yourself?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Okay. Now, did you take any photographs during your inspection?
 - A. I did.

MR. PARRAM: Your Honor, I would like to have marked for purposes of identification as Staff Exhibit 2, Staff Exhibit 3, Staff Exhibit 4 which are all photographs taken during the inspection.

EXAMINER CHILES: So marked.

(EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. PARRAM: May I approach the witness,

22 your Honor?

EXAMINER CHILES: You may.

Q. And, Inspector Goins, I have set in front of you three sets of photographs -- three different

- photographs marked Staff Exhibit 2, Staff Exhibit 3, and Staff Exhibit 4. Do you have those?
 - A. I do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Starting with Staff Exhibit 2, did you take this photograph?
 - A. I did.
- Q. And I have the same question for Staff Exhibit 3 and Staff Exhibit 4. Did you take those photographs too?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. And when did you take these photographs?
 - A. It would have been January 7, 2013.
- Q. And for Staff Exhibit 2, Staff Exhibit 3, and Staff Exhibit 4, do they all accurately reflect what you saw during your inspection?
 - A. They do.
- Q. So starting with Staff Exhibit 2, what is this a picture of?
- A. That's a picture of the side of the truck that shows the name of the trucking company as well as their operating -- or DOT operating for their company.
- Q. Okay. And I'm going to just jump to
 Staff Exhibit 4. Do you have Staff Exhibit 4 in
 front of you?

A. I do.

2.1

- Q. What is this a picture of?
- A. That's a picture of a bill of lading or shipping papers for the load he was transporting.
- Q. Okay. There's a light handwritten circle around a number. It's a little bit hard to tell on here but if you could explain to me what exactly that's supposed to be marking on this picture.
- A. That would be the weight of the coil that they were transporting.
 - Q. Okay. And what exactly was that weight?
 - A. It's 44,530 pounds.
- Q. Okay, okay. Now, let's go to Staff Exhibit 3. What is Staff Exhibit 3?
- A. That's the four chains that they used to -- or the driver would have used -- or did use to secure the coil.
- Q. And can you indicate for me which one of the chains are 5/16"?
- A. No. You can't depict that from the picture because of the depth or angle of the picture. Some chains appear smaller than others, but 5/16" would have been smaller in diameter.
- Q. Okay. And are there any binders on this particular photograph?

A. No, there is not.

2.1

- Q. Where are the binders?
- A. The binders would have been on the other side.
- Q. So I can get an understanding of how the binders are supposed to -- how the binders work, where would they be if they were on this particular photograph?
- A. They would have been on the other side, but in reference to how they would have attached to the vehicle if you look at the bottom or on the bed of the trailer, there's an anchor point. One side of that binder or one hook of that binder would hook to the anchor point of the trailer and go up towards the coil and hook to a link on the chain coming through the coil. And then you cinch the binder down and tighten the chain.
- Q. When you say an anchor point, there is a photo of it looks like a civil -- silver piece of metal that is in the picture to the right-hand side of Staff Exhibit 3. Is that the anchor point you are referring to?
- A. No. That looks like it's some type of chalk or wheel chalk or some kind of chalk device to prevent it from rolling.

- Q. So when you took Staff Exhibit 3, the binders weren't removed, were they?
 - A. No, no.

2.1

- Q. They were just on the other side?
- A. It was on the other side, that's correct.
- Q. And if the binders -- you've indicated the binder has a -- the rating of each particular binder was stamped onto the binder.
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. If the binder was 6,000 pounds, would you have noticed that?
- A. Right. If it would have been 6,000 pounds, that would have been sufficient load securement.
- Q. And do you recall if the binder was -- binders were rated at 6,000 pounds?
- A. No. There again, that's why I make notes on my reports. I don't -- I don't -- it's just a way of not forgetting what you are dealing with every inspection.
- Q. And so based upon your determination, the binders were rated -- based on the fact that you saw that the binders were rated 5,400 pounds, you concluded that the -- that the vehicle was in violation of -- there was insecurement -- there was

an insufficient securement in this case, right?

A. Right. If -- or, excuse me, the binder was rated for 5,400 pounds and being 5,400 pounds that's a working load of 21,600 pounds which would have been 625 pounds less than what would have been the proper securement.

MR. PARRAM: That's all I have, your Honor.

EXAMINER CHILES: Thank you.

EXAMINATION

By Examiner Chiles:

2.1

- Q. Just have a couple of questions for you. Forgive me if you have already answered these. I just want the -- I just want the record to be very clear, you calculated this number, the 21,600 pounds, by adding together the rating that was stamped on the binders?
 - A. Right.
 - Q. Okay, right.
- A. Any time we -- any time we check for load securement we have to check -- we go from the attachment points to the chains to the binders and you have to calculate the weakest link of whatever they are attaching or securing the binders to and the

binders in this case would have been the weakest link.

2.1

- Q. Okay. So basically what you are saying the 21,600 number, that wasn't taking into consideration the weight that the chains themselves were rated for, just the binders, because that was the lowest rating here?
- A. Right. The binder was the weakest link because of it having a working load of 5,400 pounds. The chains when they was added together would have been sufficient had the binders been a heavier workload.
- Q. Okay. And you know the weight of the binders that were in this truck because you looked at the stamp that was on them.
 - A. Right, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. Did you actually get up in the truck to look at the stamp?
- A. This particular one wasn't covered because they had the coil -- a lot of times when they are hauling coils, they will take a tarp and have to cover them. The shippers don't want water and stuff getting on them so they have to protect them because there's stains. This one was covered this way so the binders was on the other side, and you can actually

stand behind the vehicle and see them.

EXAMINER CHILES: Okay. I have no other questions. Thank you very much. You may step down.

All right. Mr. Parram, do you want to move your exhibits into evidence?

MR. PARRAM: Yes, your Honor. I would like to move for the admission of State's Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 4.

EXAMINER CHILES: Staff Exhibits 1, 2, 3, and 4 will be admitted.

(EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

EXAMINER CHILES: Does that conclude the presentation of your case?

MR. PARRAM: I would like to reserve time for rebuttal, your Honor.

EXAMINER CHILES: Yes, of course. You can do that.

All right. Mr. Carpenter, if you wish to present your case now, you can come up here to the witness stand. Please take any documents with you that you would like to refer to. I'll also remind you you are still under oath.

- - -

2.1

2.1

DONALD M. CARPENTER

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

EXAMINER CHILES: You can go ahead and begin and if you refer to a document, just let me know. We'll mark those as we go along.

THE WITNESS: Well, to start with the coil that -- that is in question here as far as this securement is -- was 44,320 pounds. And on the statement it is 43,000 -- or 44,000 I believe 520. I think that there's a difference in the weight of the actual coil.

EXAMINER CHILES: Okay.

THE WITNESS: I wanted to note that the next thing is that the securement of the trailer, you know, I was going to bring this up because the pictures that are shown in the exhibits are the chains that secure the trailer -- or the load itself.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let the record reflect Mr. Carpenter is referring to Staff Exhibit 3.

THE WITNESS: Now, we don't carry any 5/16" chain, No. 1; and No. 2 is, you know, I agree

with the officer that you cannot tell the difference in a 5/16" and a 3/8" chain from that exhibit. So, I mean, we are in agreement there.

2.1

No. 2 is I do not carry any securement as far as binders and these are — these are ratchet binders which are — they are not snap binders.

There is a difference in securement type of binders.

In my opinion being in the business for 50 years that we — we pay very close attention on how to secure and what to secure products with and not only from a safety standpoint, as far as guarding the material itself. In our — I took pictures of the binders that were in question here and this is what they considered a ratchet binder.

EXAMINER CHILES: I am just going to stop you momentarily. Let's mark these photographs, I think we all have a copy of these, if you could hold the photographs up one at a time, you can tell me what they are and we can mark this.

THE WITNESS: This is a ratchet binder.

EXAMINER CHILES: Okay. Let's go ahead and mark this as Trux Exhibit 1 and let the record reflect just in laymen's terms this is a photo. It looks like it has two hooks with something attached to it.

1 THE WITNESS: Right. 2 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 3 EXAMINER CHILES: We will call that Trux Exhibit 1. Do you want to go ahead and tell me what 4 5 Trux Exhibit 1 is? You said it's a ratchet binder? 6 THE WITNESS: That's a ratchet binder. 7 EXAMINER CHILES: And that's the only type of binder you use in your business? 8 9 THE WITNESS: That is correct on all our 10 securement loads. Now, we have different types of securement, but as far as coils are concerned or any 11 12 heavy material, that's what we use. 13 EXAMINER CHILES: Okay. THE WITNESS: In addition to that all of 14 15 our ratchet binders are -- and I took a copy of the 16 handle of it because, again, the officer is correct 17 that all the ratchet binders are stamped with the 18 load limits that they are -- that they perform. 19 EXAMINER CHILES: Are these remaining two 20 photographs, are they of the same stamp then? They 2.1 are just two different photos? 22 THE WITNESS: They could be. I'm not 23 sure. 24 EXAMINER CHILES: It looks like they say 25 the same thing.

THE WITNESS: Right.

2.1

EXAMINER CHILES: Let's just mark the one that looks like it's complete here as Trux Exhibit 2. It looks like it says 2,600 pounds toward the end of the photo. We will mark that as Trux Exhibit 2.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

EXAMINER CHILES: It is the one that's complete. Just to clarify on the record this photo is the stamp. Could you describe what this photo is of.

THE WITNESS: That's the load securement that you are -- it holds on each chain.

EXAMINER CHILES: And was this stamp taken from the binder that's pictured in Trux Exhibit 1?

THE WITNESS: Exactly.

EXAMINER CHILES: Okay. Could you just read the weight rating that's stamped in that photo.

THE WITNESS: It's 6,600 pounds.

EXAMINER CHILES: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Now, in addition to that the securement on the trailer in this particular case the officer said you could see this from the ground. You cannot see it from the ground on my trailers. I have all-sided trailers so you would have to actually

get up inside of the trailer to see any of the securement that is going on in a trailer, so, unless the truck — the driver would tear down the tarps and the sides, et cetera, et cetera.

2.1

So in addition to that the -- there are hooks inside of the trailer that are rated for 6,900 pounds a piece so each chain is hooked on to a 6,900-pound piece, to a 6,600-pound chain, to a 6,600-pound binder.

EXAMINER CHILES: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Okay? So if you take the 600 and you take it four -- four times, okay, it's over half of the weight of the actual coil that was under question as far as this securement is concerned.

In addition to that the securement, it was disputed and I think about 600 pounds is basically — and from the driver's notes that we kept the securement was disputed because of the binders and not because of the binders, because of there were — there were two different-sized binders in the trailer on the load, okay? It doesn't matter with the binders what size they are, what the dimensions are of them. They are all the same length, the forging is, but they are all 6,600 pounds.

That, you know, was the dispute. The dispute was because he had two on that were smaller and two on that were bigger there was an issue with it. And our -- my statement is all the binders are rated the same at 6,600 pounds. They are ratchet binders.

2.1

EXAMINER CHILES: Okay. So you're stating in this trailer that was the subject of this violation there were four ratchet binders.

THE WITNESS: Four ratchet binders at 6,600 pounds each.

EXAMINER CHILES: At 6,600 pounds.

THE WITNESS: The chains were 3/8" at 6,600 pounds. The tie downs are rated at 6,900 pounds so you can secure the load. So the load was -- and the difference in the actual load that, you know, was approximate, he had -- the officer had 600 pounds, and I believe it was less than that because the weight of the coil was less, a little bit less. But the dispute was only 300 pounds. Now, the fine was because it was 600 pounds over which is pretty close, you know. You know, I'm not sure whether that 600 pounds would be -- made a huge difference in the safety of that coil but especially if all the chains were tied down correct.

I have another exhibit here, your Honor, that explains all the securement

2.1

EXAMINER CHILES: Okay. Let's pause here for a moment. Looking at an exhibit that has a title at the top that says "Binder Chain Specifications," I am going to mark this as Trux Exhibit 3.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

EXAMINER CHILES: Do you want to explain what this is?

THE WITNESS: This explains all the different chains, all the different binders, everything that you can buy on the open market that secures loads and this explains each one of them and tells you exactly what the ratings are and what you could do with them and even -- you could even go to this company and they will tell you how to secure different things. So this is basically, you know, an exhibit of all the specifications that a chain and binder has.

repetitive but I just want to make sure it's clear in the record so how do you know that all of the binders that were in the truck — the trailer that was subject to this violation had this rating? Did you get on the truck and look at the stamp on all of

them?

2.1

THE WITNESS: We don't buy anything other than that.

EXAMINER CHILES: So all the binders used in your business are rated --

THE WITNESS: The chains -- the binders that the officer talked about, we have deleted those years ago off of all of our trucks.

EXAMINER CHILES: Okay. So everything that you were using in your business at the time of this inspection took place, all the binders were rated at 6,600 pounds.

THE WITNESS: That's correct. Now, the other issue was according to my notes the driver said that the officer couldn't find a marking on some of the binders. But they — all the ones that we looked at and I had him set aside, all the chains and binders that we used in the securement of this and they were all the same and all the binders and chains that we have are all marked as far as I could see.

And we have -- we don't have that many trucks so I was able to go up in the trucks, not only this truck, because, you know, we are going down the road. We don't want to have an issue of securement, that's for sure. I mean, because if one of these

coils come off of one of those trucks, believe me it can cause a lot of problems and it could hurt the people, kill them, and we know that. This driver, and I'm sure the officer will testify to this, I mean, he was a seasoned driver.

He is not -- he was -- didn't just come on to the scene. This man has worked for me for 19 years. So he has hauled a lot of coils out of Ghent, Kentucky, ever since they opened the doors in Ghent, Kentucky, so this is not an issue where we haul these every once in awhile. We haul them daily just about and we understand the safety that is required to haul these and we try to adhere to all the safety regulations and I think the officer also would testify that the truck was in pretty good shape.

EXAMINER CHILES: Okay. Do you have anything else to add?

THE WITNESS: I do not.

EXAMINER CHILES: All right. Mr. Parram, do you have any questions?

MR. PARRAM: Yes, just a few questions.

_ _ _

CROSS-EXAMINATION

24 By Mr. Parram:

2.1

Q. Mr. Carpenter, you indicate you were not

there during the inspection; is that correct?

- A. That is correct. I didn't indicate that, but I'm telling you I was not.
- Q. Okay. Well, thank you for clearing that up for me. And Mr. Larry Aynes is the driver?
 - A. That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. He -- after the inspection did Mr. Aynes get in touch with you, contact you?
- A. He was in touch with me during the inspection.
- Q. Okay. So you were on the telephone with him during the inspection?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And after the inspection, what did Mr. Aynes do? Did he come back to drop -- actually make his delivery, or did he come back to the shop afterwards?
- A. He went directly to the delivery point which is in Brookfield, Ohio, delivered the coil, and then came to the shop.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. Our office.
- Q. So about how long was it before -- from the inspection until the time he returned to the office?

- A. He was back the next morning.
- Q. The next morning, okay. And for Trux Exhibit 1 and Trux Exhibit 2, who took these photographs?
 - A. My son.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. So you didn't take these photographs?
- A. No. I was there when they were taken.
- Q. Was Mr. Aynes there?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Let's look at Trux Exhibit 1. Do you have that in front of?
- 12 A. No, but I can get it.
- EXAMINER CHILES: 1 is this photo right here.
- 15 THE WITNESS: All right.
- Q. Where did you take this photograph?
 - A. Well, I brought it in from the trailer and laid it on the desk and took it on the desk in the office.
 - Q. So this wasn't actually on the truck?
 This was taken in your office on --
 - A. I took it off the back of the trailer, brought it into the office, and took the picture.
- Q. Right. This photograph is not being taken on the truck itself.

A. No.

2.1

- Q. And Trux Exhibit 2, where was this picture taken?
 - A. Same thing.
- Q. Okay. So this is laying the binder out on a desk?
- A. Correct, so we could -- you could clearly see it. Up in a trailer where they have sides and, et cetera, we take pictures in this, you couldn't see it.
- Q. Okay. And you indicated that currently all the binders you have are rated at 6,600.
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. But you indicated at one time you had other binders that were rated differently; is that correct?
- A. Probably at least ten years ago. I don't know. There's been changes in the securement laws and I think the most that could be issues have come up in probably the last couple of years as far as the ratings of chains, binders, et cetera. They've the enforcement of it has become a lot more in recent years than it has in the past.
- Q. Okay. And you have been -- you say you have been in business for how long?

A. 50 years.

2.1

- Q. Okay. So over the period of time there's been the regulations have become more stringent as it relates to the requirements for binders, waiver requirement?
- A. Well, regulations itself has become more stringent overall.
- Q. So when you previously had binders that were of a different rating, what were those ratings at for the whole binders?
- A. The 3/8" chains where it's clearly written right here, the 5/16" were 5,400 pounds and -- or 3,900 pounds in the grade 43 and the grade 70s they were 4,700 pounds. And the 3/8" are 6,600 pounds.
- Q. So when you did have binders of -- so at one point in time you did have binders that were 5,400-pound ratings; is that correct?
- A. I'm sure. That's all they -- that's pretty much what they made in that period.
 - Q. Okay. So you used to have those.
 - A. Used to have those.
 - Q. How many trucks do you have?
- A. Right now, we are running three.
 - Q. You have three trucks, okay. And they

are all out of the same location?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. What did you do with the binders, the old binders?
- A. Well, I mean, like anything else, I mean, they become obsolete and you just get rid of them.

 They can break. You know, I mean there's a zillion reasons we get rid of them. And if they didn't meet the requirements, we got rid of them.
- 10 Q. Okay. Is there a copy of Staff
 11 Exhibit --
 - MR. PARRAM: Can you hold on for one second, your Honor?
- Q. Staff Exhibit 1 which is the Staff
 Report.
 - A. Yeah, I have that.
 - Q. There's a section "Vehicle

 Identification." Do you see where I'm at there on
 this report? It's sort of --
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And it indicates there are so two types of vehicles. There's the tractor-trailer and then I guess that would be the trailer. And for the actual tractor-trailer it's a 2004 Kenworth?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. Okay. And then for -- I'm sorry. What's the trailer?
 - A. Semitrailer, I'm sorry, that's 1998.
- Q. 1998. That's the actual binders and chains that would be in the semitrailer; is that correct?
- A. Well, I mean, he could carry them in the truck, but basically generally they carry them in the trailer, that is correct.
- Q. So the actual equipment would be in the semitrailer, right?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay.

2.1

- A. The securement on the load was on the trailer.
 - Q. When you say trailer, semitrailer or tractor-trailer?
 - A. The trailer itself.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. Which -- which -- which brings a point to since you are bringing that up what I don't understand -- and I understand the picture of the securement of the chains that they dispute and the officer's dispute was on the binders but I don't know. I don't understand why there wasn't a picture

taken of the binders in there if that was the dispute and the rating of them on the binders because that was clearly the dispute that the driver gave me and that's all -- everything that was done and everything was taken except for those binders that are in dispute at this time.

- Q. Okay. And you heard Inspector Goins testify that the binders were on -- I believe you are referring to Staff Exhibit 3; is that correct?
 - A. Uh-huh.

2.1

- Q. That the binders were on the other side of the coil?
- A. It doesn't matter. The coil is in the center. You can walk on both sides of the coil. So the chains could the chains go through one side and come out the other so you can be on this side or you can be on that side. It doesn't matter. You can be on either side of that trailer and take pictures on both sides.
- Q. All right. My question really was just you heard him say that --
 - A. Yes.
- Q. -- you testified. Okay. So in looking at Staff Exhibit 3, there were binders, they could be on the other side, correct?

- A. Well, they definitely would be on the other side.
- Q. Okay. So if there were binders on the other side of the coil in Staff Exhibit 3, you wouldn't necessarily see it, correct?
 - A. Who wouldn't see it?

2.1

- Q. By looking at Staff Exhibit 3, you wouldn't necessarily see the binders in this picture, but they could be on the other side.
- A. Oh, they were definitely on the other side. There is no question about that.
 - Q. That's all that I am trying --
- A. I don't dispute that. I mean, what my dispute is why -- if that was in question, why weren't the -- rather than taking a picture of chains, why wasn't the picture taken of the binders that clearly shows the rating of the individual binders? That's my question.
- Q. Okay. And I guess if he had the -- based upon this picture, he had the ability to go around to the other side and take a look at the binders?
 - A. Oh, yes.
- Q. Like physically he could just walk over there and view them so you're not disputing the fact that he could look at the binders and see what the

actual rating was on that binder, are you?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Okay. You're just disputing why didn't he take the picture?
 - A. If that was the problem, yes.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. Yes. I mean, because taking a picture of the chains clearly you couldn't -- believe me, and I am telling you you cannot tell a difference in a 3/8" chain and 5/16" chain here. I mean, it's impossible in a picture. 3/8" and 5/16," you know, you can do the math. So you can't really tell the difference but you can tell if there's two different-sized binders which there legally was two different-sized binders. But the rating, it doesn't matter the size of the binder. It's the rating of the binder, and the binder clearly states that it was 6,600 pounds.
 - Q. Yeah. We are on the same page.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. The issue is the binder.
- A. Correct.
 - Q. Not the chains.
- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And I was just trying to clarify, you made a statement that you needed to be able -- if

you were going to take a look at the binders and see what the rating was, you would have to be up on the trailer itself?

A. Correct.

2.1

have.

- Q. And just from looking at this photograph, Inspector Goins would be able to walk around and look at that binder and see what the rating was. I understand we are disputing what the rating was.
- A. As long as he was up in the trailer, yes, that's correct.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. He could not see it from the ground.

 MR. PARRAM: Your Honor, that's all I

EXAMINER CHILES: Thank you.

Mr. Carpenter, based on the questions that Mr. Parram asked you, is there anything you would like to add to your testimony?

- - -

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I mean, you know, I mean,

I -- I have been doing this for many years, 50 years,

and believe me, I am -- I'm probably more stringent

than the officer when it comes to safety because I've

seen just about everything you can possibly see out

there. And knock on wood in 50 years I've never had a fatality in a trucking business. And, believe me, I put millions and millions and millions of miles on trucks so I'm well aware of safety issues and I'm a very -- I'm a big proponent in making sure of things that are safe and especially not only for the public safety but my drivers themselves.

2.1

I mean, it's you have a driver out there. I mean, this is a very difficult job today. This is not just your average go to work 8 to 10 -- or 8 to 5 and go home. I mean, this is a very difficult job and more and more responsibility is coming on the driver.

So as an owner, I'm -- I'm very cognizant of what surrounds him and what goes on in his life so I try to protect him as much as I can and make sure that they have the proper stuff to go out there and work safely with. And, you know, I know on behalf of the inspection people the inspection people out there are educated people. They go through months and months of training, and you have a truck driver out there that gets very little.

So, I mean, he is -- he is outdone before he ever gets started so, you know, it's a tough life and, you know, all I'm saying is I try to protect

```
1
     them. I try to do the right thing. That's the
     reason the fine is $100, I think, or whatever it was.
2
3
     That's the reason I am here today.
4
                  EXAMINER CHILES: Thank you. Before you
5
     leave, Mr. Parram, do you have any recross?
6
                 MR. PARRAM: I did have one question on
7
     this, hasn't been marked as an exhibit, your Honor,
     the extra picture.
8
9
                  EXAMINER CHILES: Mr. Carpenter, did you
10
     want to mark this extra picture as an exhibit?
                  THE WITNESS: They are both the same.
11
12
                  EXAMINER CHILES: Since they are both the
13
     same we can maybe leave this one out.
14
                 MR. PARRAM: Your Honor, no further
15
     questions.
16
                 EXAMINER CHILES: No further questions?
17
                  I have no further questions. Thank you,
18
     Mr. Carpenter. You may step down.
                  THE WITNESS: Do you need these?
19
20
                  EXAMINER CHILES: No. You can hold on to
2.1
     those. I have a copy.
22
                  THE WITNESS: You have got a copy.
                  EXAMINER CHILES: Each of your photos,
23
24
     would you like to move these into evidence and make
25
     them a part of the record?
```

MR. CARPENTER: That's fine. Yes, your 1 2 Honor. 3 EXAMINER CHILES: Mr. Parram, do you have any objections to the submission of Trux Exhibits 1, 4 5 2, or 3? 6 MR. PARRAM: No, your Honor. EXAMINER CHILES: Trux Exhibits 1, 2, and 7 3 will be admitted. 8 9 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 10 EXAMINER CHILES: Mr. Parram, would you like to present rebuttal? 11 12 MR. PARRAM: Just very briefly, your 13 Honor. 14 EXAMINER CHILES: You can have a seat, 15 Mr. Carpenter. 16 MR. PARRAM: I would like to call 17 Inspector Goins back to the stand for rebuttal. 18 EXAMINER CHILES: Okay. Mr. Goins, I will remind you you are still under oath. 19 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 2.1 MR. PARRAM: Your Honor, may I approach 22 the witness? 23 EXAMINER CHILES: You may. 24 25

2.1

2 INSPECTOR JACK W. GOINS

being previously duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified on rebuttal as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Parram:

- Q. Inspector Goins, I am handing you a document that has now been marked as Trux Exhibit 2. Do you have that in front of you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Have you -- it's been represented this was the binder that was on the vehicle which is the subject of inspection in this case. Do you recall seeing that?
- A. No. The binders that were on the truck had 5,400 pounds on them. This one, it could have been on the truck but could not have been in use at that time. Those trucks when they are going down the road, they have all kinds of binders and chains and everything hooked to the back of the truck and in the trailer and everything so this could have come off the truck but wasn't the one in use that day, no.
- Q. And for this vehicle and this specific inspection, do you recall seeing a number of binders on the semitrailer?

- A. That I could not answer.
- Q. And just to be clear, thank you, I am handing you Staff Exhibit 3 which is a photograph which you took during your inspection which is of the coil and chains --
 - A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. -- and the semitrailer. We may have covered this already but this was a picture -- where did you take this photograph?
- A. It was on the trailer taken of the side of the coil. It showed that there was, in fact, four chains securing the vehicle -- or securing the coil and pictures of the binders. You know, I could have taken them and not come out during the upload process because we upload and they could have not came out. I always take them just simply because four chains is what my notes had and the binders, four binders.
- Q. Okay. And where exactly were the binders in relation to this photograph?
- A. The binders would have been on the other side of the coil, on the other side or the open side of the trailer.
- Q. And to determine what the rating was on those binders, how did you do that?
 - A. You look at the -- as the picture

Exhibit -- Exhibit Trux Exhibit 2, the handles of all those binders, irregardless of what kind of binders they are, when they are metal like this, the weight rating is stamped in the handles, whether it be a binder or a ratchet type, either one.

- Q. And were you able to walk over and see exactly what those binders were?
 - A. I did.

2.1

- Q. And what did the binders indicate?
- A. It was 5,400 pounds.

MR. PARRAM: That's all I have, your Honor. Thank you.

EXAMINER CHILES: All right. I have no further questions for you, Inspector. You may step down.

As I stated earlier, I would like to give the parties an opportunity to make a brief closing statement.

Mr. Carpenter, would you like to make a brief closing statement?

MR. CARPENTER: Well, just briefly, you know, I don't understand -- I mean, we do not carry 5,400-pound binders in the trailers. They are all rated at 6,600 pounds. And, I mean, and that's the dispute here, I think. I mean, we're actually

talking, and the officer can maybe clarify this, but, you know, the difference in the weight was almost — less than 500 pounds. If you put the right way to the coil — the wrong way to the coil was put on, No. 1. No. 2 is, you know, he is correct in that it's half of the weight of the — of whatever the coil weight is. If it's 44,000 pounds, it has to be secured at 22,000 pounds. That's basically the law.

2.1

Okay. And the driver knew that law, and he put four chains and four binders on there with a securement of 6,900 pounds on the outside, 6,600 pounds on the chain, and 6,600 pounds on the binders. And the dispute is he's saying it's an argument whether I am correct in saying that there are 6,600 pounds and he is saying that he saw them at the other weight.

I just don't understand that. I'm not sure how that computes out. You know, I just don't understand it.

EXAMINER CHILES: Thank you, Mr. Carpenter.

Mr. Parram, would you like to make a brief closing statement?

MR. PARRAM: Yes, your Honor. First of all, I would like to thank Mr. Carpenter for being

here today.

2.1

Just really boils down to what exactly was the rating of the binder. Inspector Goins took detailed notes regarding the rating of the chains, the rating of the binders. He took photographs of the chains, and he testified and indicated in his notes which is evidence in the record as it relates to what exactly was the rating of the binder.

He testified specifically that it's relatively easy to look at the binder and because it's stamped on hard metal what exactly the rating is, and he testified under oath as an inspector that the -- that the rating was 5,400.

Mr. Carpenter himself had testified that at one point in time the company did have binders that were 5,400 pounds, and as Inspector Goins indicates, a lot of times these trucks, semitrailers do have a number of different binders in the back of the truck.

Mr. Carpenter has come here with a couple of different photographs today. The photographs were not taken immediately after the inspection. The photographs were not taken on the truck with the binders on the actual coil. And as Inspector Goins testified, there may be a different number on the

vehicle, and as Mr. Carpenter acknowledged the fact at one point in time his business did have 5,400-pound binders, it's reasonable to conclude that they may have hooked up a binder in this case that was the wrong rating. We can't -- we can't just take Mr. Carpenter's word for it because he wasn't there. The driver who actually hooked up the coil is not here testifying.

All we know is what Mr. Carpenter has been told and all we know is what Inspector Goins testified to under oath and put in his inspection report; and, therefore, the violation should stand.

EXAMINER CHILES: Thank you, Mr. Parram.

Is there anything further to come before

15 us today?

MR. PARRAM: No, your Honor.

EXAMINER CHILES: All right. Hearing nothing we are adjourned. A Commission decision will be forthcoming and thank you for being here today.

(Thereupon, the hearing was concluded at 2:14 p.m.)

2.1

Proceedings CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Tuesday, October 1, 2013, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter. (KSG-5763)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

10/15/2013 2:06:18 PM

in

Case No(s). 13-1168-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript in the matter of Trux Transportation, Inc. hearing held on 10/01/13 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.