BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO \_ \_ \_ In the Matter of the : Regulation of the : Purchased Gas Adjustment : Clauses Contained within : Case No. 13-210-GA-GCR the Rate Schedules of Glenwood Energy of Oxford,: Inc. In the Matter of the : Regulation of the Uncollectible Expense : Case No. 13-310-GA-UEX Rider of Glenwood Energy : of Oxford, Inc. • \_ \_ \_ PROCEEDINGS before Ms. Greta See, Hearing Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, August 6, 2013. ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC. 222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481 Fax - (614) 224-5724

1	APPEARANCES:
2	Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease, LLP By Mr. Stephen M. Howard
3	and Mr. M. Howard Petricoff 52 East Gay Street
4	Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
5	On behalf of Glenwood Energy of Oxford, Inc.
6	Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General
7	Mr. William L. Wright, Section Chief By Mr. Steven L. Beeler
8	Assistant Attorney General Public Utilities Section
9	180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215
10	
11	On behalf of the staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Proceedings

			3
1	INDEX		
2			
3	WITNESS	I	PAGE
4	Roger L. Sarver		C
5	Direct examination by Mr. Beeler Examination by Examiner See		6 16
6			
7	JOINT EXHIBIT	ID'D	REC'D
8	1 - Stipulation and Recommendation	8	18
9	GLENWOOD EXHIBIT	ID'D	rec'd
10			
11	1 – Affidavit of publication	6	19
12	STAFF EXHIBITS	ID'D	REC'D
13	1 - Financial Audit of the Gas Cost	14	18
14	Recovery Mechanisms for the Effective GCR Periods July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2013		
15	2 - Audit of the Uncollectible	15	18
16	Expense Mechanisms for the period January 2011 through December 2012		- 0
17	bandary 2011 chrodyn December 2012		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

	4
1	Tuesday Morning Session,
2	August 6, 2013.
3	
4	EXAMINER SEE: Scheduled for hearing
5	today at this time is Case No. 13-210-GA-GCR being
6	entitled In the Matter of the Regulation of the
7	Purchased Gas Adjustment Clauses Contained Within the
8	Rate Schedules of Glenwood Energy of Oxford,
9	Incorporated, and Case No. 13-310-GA-UEX being
10	entitled In the Matter of the Regulation of the
11	Uncollectible Expense Rider of Glenwood Energy of
12	Oxford, Incorporated.
13	My name is Greta See. I am the
14	attorney-examiner assigned by the Commission to hear
15	this case. At this time I'd like to take appearances
16	of the parties.
17	MR. HOWARD: Thank you, your Honor. If
18	it please the Commission, would you please have the
19	record reflect the appearance on behalf of Glenwood
20	Energy of Oxford, Inc. the law firm of Vorys, Sater,
21	Seymour & Pease, 52 East Gay Street, Columbus, Ohio,
22	43215, by M. Howard Petricoff and Stephen M. Howard.
23	Thank you.
24	EXAMINER SEE: And on behalf of the
25	staff.

	5
1	MR. BEELER: Thank you, your Honor. On
2	behalf of the staff of the Public Utilities
3	Commission of Ohio, Ohio Attorney General Michael
4	DeWine, Steven Beeler, Assistant Attorney General,
5	180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio, 43215.
6	EXAMINER SEE: Okay. At this time I'd
7	like to take testimony from the public. Are there
8	any members of the public present who wish to make a
9	statement?
10	(No response.)
11	EXAMINER SEE: Let the record reflect
12	that there are no members of the public present.
13	With that, let's continue with the
14	hearing. Who wants to start today?
15	MR. HOWARD: Your Honor, I have placed
16	before the Bench and given a copy to the court
17	reporter a two-page document, it's dated August
18	2nd, 2013, and this is an affidavit of publication
19	indicating that notice of the hearing in Case No.
20	13-210-GA-GCR was published on July 12 in The Oxford
21	Press, a newspaper of general circulation in the city
22	of Oxford.
23	We would ask that this document be marked
24	as Glenwood Exhibit No. 1 for identification
25	purposes. Thank you.

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

	6
1	EXAMINER SEE: The exhibit is so marked.
2	(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
3	EXAMINER SEE: Mr. Beeler.
4	MR. BEELER: Thank you, your Honor. At
5	this time the staff would call Roger Sarver to the
6	stand.
7	EXAMINER SEE: Mr. Sarver, if you would
8	raise your right hand.
9	(Witness sworn.)
10	EXAMINER SEE: Thank you. Please have a
11	seat.
12	
13	ROGER L. SARVER
14	being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
15	examined and testified as follows:
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	By Mr. Beeler:
18	Q. Please state your name and business
19	address for the record.
20	A. My name is Roger L. Sarver. My business
21	address is 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio,
22	43215.
23	Q. By whom are you employed and in what
24	capacity?
25	A. I'm employed by the Public Utility

7

1	Commission of Ohio as a energy specialist.
2	Q. Just briefly describe your educational
3	background and experience.
4	A. I have a bachelor's of science in
5	accounting, an MBA, and my work experience is I've
6	been working with the gas cost recovery mechanism
7	since 1990.
8	Q. Have you previously testified before the
9	Commission?
10	A. Yes, I've testified before the Commission
11	in prior GCR cases.
12	Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in
13	this proceeding?
14	A. I'm supporting the stipulation and
15	recommendation filed in this proceeding on July
16	30th or, July 31st, 2013. In my opinion the
17	stipulation represents a fair and reasonable
18	compromise of the issues in these proceedings, it is
19	my recommendation it should be adopted and approved
20	by the Commission.
21	MR. BEELER: May I approach, your Honor?
22	EXAMINER SEE: Yes.
23	MR. BEELER: I would also at this time
24	like to have marked as Joint Exhibit 1 the
25	stipulation and recommendation filed on July 31st,

8 2013. 1 2 EXAMINER SEE: The exhibit is so marked. 3 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 4 Do you recognize this document, Q. 5 Mr. Sarver? 6 Yes, sir. This is the filed stipulation. Α. 7 Q. Are there any -- do you have any corrections to the stipulation? 8 9 Yes. There is a correction on page 4, Α. 10 paragraph 1 -- or, paragraph I, paragraph 1-E, in that I need to replace the word "bill insert" on line 11 12 2 with "The Oxford Press on July 12th, 2013." And 13 in that same paragraph beginning on line 4 and continuing onto line 5 strike the words "of the bill 14 inserts." 15 16 Okay. So now the paragraph should read, Ο. 17 paragraph 1-E on page 4, "In satisfaction of the requirements of Revised Code 4905.302(C) and Ohio 18 Administrative Code 4901:1-14, Glenwood caused notice 19 20 to be published in The Oxford Press on July 12, 2013, throughout Glenwood's . . . territory for this 21 22 proceeding. Glenwood shall file the affidavits of publication which shall be identified as Glenwood 23 24 Exhibit 1 and admitted into evidence in the record in 25 this proceeding." Did I read that correct as

9 1 corrected? 2 I'm just going through myself here. Α. 3 Yes, sir. 4 EXAMINER SEE: Just for clarity sake, 5 Mr. Beeler, are you just striking "included in customer bills" on the third line of paragraph E? 6 7 MR. BEELER: Correct, your Honor. Ι 8 think we failed to mention that. 9 Could you please just describe the Ο. 10 stipulation briefly. 11 The stipulation is a settlement of all Α. 12 the issues in Case No. 13-210-GA-GCR and 13 13-310-GA-UEX. In the stipulation Glenwood and staff agree that all of the issues raised in the proceeding 14 have been addressed and resolved. 15 16 Glenwood's GCR rates were accurately 17 calculated during the audit period in accordance with the provisions of the Ohio Revised Code 4901:1-14 18 except for the following: Staff found differences in 19 20 the actual adjustment calculations that are not 21 self-correcting. The adjustment would be for the 22 actual -- the actual adjustment for this audit period would be 11,272 in the company's favor. 23 24 There's a second adjustment that staff 25 also found that was an adjustment associated with the

balance adjustment, that correction is not self-adjust or self-correcting. The adjustment for the balance adjustment would be 37,921 in the customers' favor.

5 Along with the GCR there was the UEX 6 audit; within this audit the recommendations being adopted is Glenwood should remove the transfer 7 8 process. Once a customer's account has been inactivated and the customer has been disconnected, 9 10 Glenwood would move the entire balance directly to the bad debt on a monthly basis. This will eliminate 11 12 the lag in the collection, enable a more efficient processing of the tracking of the individual customer 13 14 accounts as they are being written off.

The next item that the staff found and recommended that's being adopted is Glenwood should strictly adhere to its collection policies and procedures. If a customer does not make a payment for 120 days, Glenwood should apply the deposit, disconnect the line, transfer the customer to bad debt.

While Glenwood is focused on individual customer service, the company should avoid making nonpayment exceptions for individual customers. If a delinquent customer makes a late payment, then any

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

partial payment amount should flow through the recovery-other section of the UEX rider. Customers who receive assistance funding should be clearly designated within the billing system to avoid potential erroneous bills.

Glenwood should also monitor and assess the value of on-line collection agencies. Staff found during the course of the audit that there was a small benefit to having the collection agencies in place; that may change over time depending on the collections that the collection agencies are able to obtain.

And then for the ending balance as of 2012, staff recommends the amount to be \$11,765.05, this will be filed on Attachment 2 of Staff Exhibit 2 in its audit report. Staff recommends that the \$11,765.05 be the beginning balance as of January 1, 2013.

Q. Based on your experience with settlements in proceedings before the Commission does this stipulation satisfy the Commission's criteria for evaluating the reasonableness of a stipulation?

A. Yes, I believe the stipulation satisfieseach of the Commission criteria for evaluating the

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

1 reasonableness of the stipulation. The stipulation 2 is a result of serious bargaining among capable, 3 knowledgeable parties. The stipulation benefits the 4 ratepayers and the public interests. And the 5 stipulation does not violate any important regulatory principle or practice. 6 7 Q. How do you believe that the stipulation 8 filed in this case was the product of serious 9 bargaining among knowledgeable parties? 10 Α. The stipulation is the product of an open process where all the parties discussed the matters. 11 12 A lot of the technical discussions took place during 13 the audit process and the drafting of the audit 14 report, so by the time we got to a finished product, most of the staff along with company's comments were 15 incorporated into the final report itself. 16 17 There were negotiations at the -- once 18 the audit report was filed, there were negotiations 19 among the parties. The stipulation represents a 20 comprehensive compromise of the issues raised by the 21 parties. 22 Were all parties to this case included in Ο. 23 negotiation that resulted in the stipulation? 24 Α. Yes, sir. 25 Q. Which parties signed the stip?

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

13 1 Α. Glenwood and staff. 2 Okay. In your opinion, does this Q. 3 stipulation benefit ratepayers and the public 4 interest? Yes. The issues raised in the case were 5 Α. 6 relatively minor and technical in nature and did not involve large sums of money. Settlement of the 7 8 issues avoided a hearing, enabled Glenwood to begin to recover -- begin recovery of its 2012 GCR rates; 9 10 that was a benefit to the utility. The customers benefited from the GCR and 11 12 UEX audits which resulted in adjustments to the rates charged by Glenwood. These adjustments resulted in 13 14 slight rate reductions. Does the stipulation violate any 15 Q. 16 important regulatory principle or practice? 17 Α. No, sir. 18 Ο. Are you recommending that the Commission 19 approve the stipulation? 20 Yes. Α. MR. BEELER: May I approach again, your 21 22 Honor? 23 EXAMINER SEE: Yes, you may. 24 MR. BEELER: I'll have marked as Staff 25 Exhibit 1, this is the report of the staff of the

14 Public Utilities Commission of Ohio in Glenwood of 1 2 Oxford GCR case labeled 13-210-GA-GCR. 3 THE WITNESS: I guess a quick 4 clarification. Would these be staff exhibits or are 5 they Commission-ordered exhibits? EXAMINER SEE: We'll mark this as Staff 6 Exhibit 1. 7 8 MR. BEELER: Staff Exhibit 1. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 10 (By Mr. Beeler) Do you recognize this 11 Q. 12 document? 13 Α. Yes, sir. What is it? 14 Ο. This is the financial audit of the gas 15 Α. 16 cost recovery mechanism for the period of July 1, 17 2011, through June 30, 2013. When was it docketed? 18 Ο. This was docketed on June 7th, 2013. 19 Α. 20 Q. Was this document prepared by you or under your direction? 21 22 Α. Yes, sir. Do you have any changes to this document? 23 Ο. 24 No, sir. Α. 25 MR. BEELER: May I approach again, your

	15
1	Honor?
2	EXAMINER SEE: Yes, you may.
3	MR. BEELER: Thank you. I've marked as
4	Staff Exhibit 2 a report by the Staff of the Public
5	Utilities Commission for Glenwood Energy of Ohio,
6	Inc.'s UEX case labeled as 13-310-GA-UEX.
7	EXAMINER SEE: The exhibit is so marked.
8	MR. BEELER: Thank you.
9	(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
10	Q. (By Mr. Beeler) Mr. Sarver, do you
11	recognize this document?
12	A. Yes, sir.
13	Q. What is it?
14	A. This is Glenwood's or, the staff
15	performed an audit of Glenwood's uncollectible
16	expense mechanism for the period of January 2011
17	through December 2012 in Case No. 13-310-GA-UEX.
18	Q. And what day was it docketed?
19	A. This was docketed on June 7th, 2013.
20	Q. Was this prepared by you or under your
21	direction?
22	A. Yes, sir.
23	Q. Do you have any changes to this document?
24	A. No, sir.
25	Q. Thank you.

16 1 Is there anything else that you would 2 find important to note for the Commission in this 3 case? 4 Α. No, sir. MR. BEELER: No further questions for 5 this witness, your Honor. 6 7 EXAMINER SEE: Do you have any cross-examination for this witness, Mr. Howard? 8 9 MR. HOWARD: No, your Honor. Thank you. 10 EXAMINER SEE: Okay. 11 12 EXAMINATION By Examiner See: 13 14 Mr. Sarver, I have one question for you. Ο. Α. Yes. 15 16 I note that the gas cost recovery audit Q. 17 period extends from July 1st, 2011, through June 30th, 2013, correct? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 And the audit report was filed on June Q. 21 7th, 2013 --22 A. Correct. Q. -- correct? 23 24 Α. That is correct. 25 Q. On that basis the report was filed before

the last month of the audit period covered by this 1 2 audit report, correct? 3 Α. That is correct. 4 How will that anomaly be addressed by the Q. 5 next auditor, if at all? It will be addressed by staff in the 6 Α. course of the 2015 audit. What staff will do in the 7 course of that audit will verify through customer 8 billing that for June of 2013 that the rates filed by 9 10 the company for the effective period of June 2013 were properly applied to customers' bills. But the 11 costs that were in question for this audit period 12 13 went through December of 2012, so those costs were 14 all verified and accounted for in the course of this audit. 15 16 The one piece that was not verified was 17 the customer billing associated with June of 2013. 18 What we found was that the company has a history of 19 accurately applying the GCR rates to its customers' 20 bills. There were no errors found in the 2011 audits 21 associated with the customer billing and there were 22 no errors found associated with customer billing for the 2013 audit. Staff is confident that when we look 23 24 at these rates for June of 2013 in the 2015 audit, 25 that the rates will be properly applied.

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

18 1 EXAMINER SEE: Okay. Thank you. You can 2 step down, Mr. Sarver. 3 (Witness excused.) 4 EXAMINER SEE: Mr. Howard, Mr. Sarver 5 made a correction to the stipulation regarding publication. Do you agree with that correction? 6 7 MR. HOWARD: Yes, your Honor, I agree 8 with the correction that was made to Joint Exhibit 1 today, that is that notice was given via a newspaper 9 10 publication as opposed to a bill insert. Thank you. 11 EXAMINER SEE: Okay. Thank you. 12 MR. BEELER: Thank you, your Honor. At this time I'd move for the admission of Joint Exhibit 13 1 and Staff Exhibit 1 and Staff Exhibit 2. 14 EXAMINER SEE: And if there are no 15 16 objections to the admission of Joint Exhibit 1 and 17 Staff Exhibit 1 and 2 --18 MR. HOWARD: No objection, your Honor. 19 EXAMINER SEE: Okay. Those exhibits 20 should be admitted into the record as indicated in 21 the stipulation. 22 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) MR. HOWARD: May we also move the 23 24 admission into evidence of Glenwood Exhibit 1, that 25 being the affidavit of publication?

	19
1	EXAMINER SEE: If there are no objections
2	to the admission of Glenwood Exhibit 1, it shall be
3	admitted into the record.
4	MR. HOWARD: Thank you, your Honor.
5	(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
6	EXAMINER SEE: Thank you.
7	If there is nothing further, the hearing
8	is adjourned.
9	(The hearing concluded at 10:19 a.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	20
1	CERTIFICATE
2	I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a
3	true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken
4	by me in this matter on Tuesday, August 6, 2013, and
5	carefully compared with my original stenographic
6	notes.
7	
8	Maria DiPaolo Jones, Registered Diplomate Reporter and CRR and Notary Public in and for the
9	State of Ohio.
10	My commission expires June 19, 2016.
11	(73052-MDJ)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/20/2013 4:29:22 PM

in

## Case No(s). 13-0210-GA-GCR, 13-0310-GA-UEX

Summary: Transcript in the matter of Glenwood Energy of Oxford, Inc. hearing held on 08/06/13 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Jones, Maria DiPaolo Mrs.