BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the : Regulation of the : Purchased Gas Adjustment : Clauses Contained Within :

the Rate Schedules of : Case Nos. 12-209-GA-GCR Northeast Ohio Natural Gas: 12-212-GA-GCR

Corporation and Orwell : Natural Gas Company. :

In the Matter of the :
Regulation of the :
Uncollectible Expense :

Riders of Northeast Ohio : Case Nos. 12-309-GA-UEX Natural Gas Corporation : 12-312-GA-UEX

and Orwell Natural Gas : Company.

PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. Scott E. Farkas, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, called at 9 a.m. on Monday, July 22, 2013.

VOLUME IV-REBUTTAL

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

_ _ _

832 1 APPEARANCES: 2 Taft, Stettinius & Hollister LLP By Mr. Mark S. Yurick 3 and Mr. Zachary D. Kravitz 65 East State Street, Suite 1000 Columbus, Ohio 43215 4 5 Dworken & Bernstein Co., L.P.A. By Mr. Erik L. Walter 60 South Park Place 6 Painesville, Ohio 44077 7 On behalf of the Applicants. 8 Bruce E. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Joseph P. Serio 9 and Mr. Larry S. Sauer, Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 11 12 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of Northeast Ohio Natural Gas Corporation 13 and Orwell Natural Gas Company. 14 Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General By Mr. William L. Wright, 15 Section Chief Public Utilities Section 16 Mr. Werner L. Margard, III and Mr. Devin Parram, 17 Assistant Attorneys General 180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 18 On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO. 19 20 21 2.2 23 24 25

				833		
1		INDEX				
2						
3	Wit	Witness				
4	Thor					
5	D: C:	835 837				
6	C	897				
	Rebecca Howell Direct Examination by Mr. Yurick 918					
7	C	918 921				
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. Margard 955					
9	Anita Noce Direct Examination by Mr. Yurick 976					
10	C	978 995				
11	0.	ross-Examination by Mr. Margaro		333		
12						
13	Com	Company Exhibit Identifie		Admitted		
14	7	Rebuttal Testimony of Thomas J. Smith	835	917		
15	8	Rebuttal Testimony of Rebecca Howell	918	973		
16	9	Rebuttal Testimony of				
17		Anita Noce	977	1004		
18						
19	Sta	ff Exhibit	Identified	Admitted		
20	3	Northeast Ohio Natural Gas Corporation Purchased Gas				
21		Adjustment 09-209-GA-GCR	914	973		
22	4	Page 46 from Gas Natural's 10-K with the Securities and				
23		Exchange Commission	971	975		
24						
25						

				834
1		INDEX (Continued	l)	001
2				
3	OCC	Exhibit	Identified	Admitted
4	22	Orwell Natural Gas Company		
5		and Northeast Natural Gas Company's Supplemental		
6		Responses to the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel's		075
7	0.0	Second Set of Interrogatories	94 /	975
8	23	Orwell Natural Gas Company and Northeast Natural Gas		
9		Company's Responses to the Office of the Ohio Consumers'		
10		Counsel's Amended First Set of Interrogatories, and		
11		Requests for Production of Documents	985	1004
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
2324				
25				
۷ ک				

835 Monday Morning Session, 1 2 July 22, 2013. 3 4 EXAMINER FARKAS: Let's go on the record. 5 This is a continuation of the hearing in Case No. 6 12-209-GA-GCR, et al. 7 At this time I think you're up. 8 MR. YURICK: Thank you, your Honor. The company would call to the stand Mr. Tom Smith. 9 10 EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. (Witness sworn.) 11 12 EXAMINER FARKAS: You may be seated. 13 MR. YURICK: Your Honor, may I approach? 14 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 15 THOMAS J. SMITH 16 17 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 20 By Mr. Yurick: 2.1 Ο. Good morning, sir. 22 A. Good morning. Could you please state your name and 23 Q. 24 spell your last name for the record. My name is Thomas J. Smith, S-M-I-T-H. 25 Α.

- Q. And, Mr. Smith, are you currently employed with Gas Natural, or were you at the time of the audit employed by Gas Natural in connection with one of the regulated utilities, Orwell Natural Gas or Northeast Natural Gas?
 - A. Yes, I was.

2.1

- Q. And are you here to offer testimony on behalf of Northeast Natural Gas and Orwell Natural Gas Company?
 - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. And handing you what's been marked Company's Exhibit 7, is that a copy of your rebuttal testimony filed in the GCR cases herein?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And was that testimony prepared by you or at your direction?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And if I asked you the questions posed in the rebuttal testimony of Thomas J. Smith prefiled here and marked as Company's Exhibit No. 7, would your answer be the same to those questions as you sit here today?
 - A. Yes, they would.
- Q. Do you have any corrections, deletions, or modifications to that testimony?

A. No, I do not.

MR. YURICK: Your Honor, at this point the companies would move the admission of Company's Exhibit No. 7, and we would tender the witness for cross-examination.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Thank you.

Mr. Serio.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Serio:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Smith.
- A. Good morning.
- Q. Am I correct that the purpose of your rebuttal testimony is to respond to and contradict the testimony given by Cindy Bates at the hearing on July 11, 2013, in this case?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And is it your testimony contradicting
 Ms. Bates based on your extensive expertise in the
 natural gas industry and your experience with LDCs?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And also your authority as president of Northeast and Orwell, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Now, you were president of Northeast and

- Orwell during the audit period, correct? 1 2
 - Α. That is correct.
 - And you are not the president of Q. Northeast or Orwell today, correct?
 - I'm president of Orwell. Α.
 - You are still president of Orwell. Q.
 - Α. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- But you're no longer president of Q. Northeast, correct?
- That's correct. 10 Α.
- And can you tell me when you ceased being 11 Ο. 12 president of Northeast?
 - Α. It was in June of this year.
- 14 And why did you cease being president of Ο. Northeast? 15
- 16 Α. It was the chairman's choice.
- 17 Q. And the chairman would be --
- Richard M. Osborne. 18 Α.
- Were you given any explanation behind his 19 Q. 20 choice to no longer have you as president of
- 2.1 Northeast?
- 22 MR. YURICK: There would be an objection on the basis of hearsay. 23
- 24 EXAMINER FARKAS: I'll allow it if he
- 25 knows.

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. So you were given no explanation; you were simply removed as president of Northeast?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And Mr. Whelan became president of Northeast?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Was this part of any succession plan, or was this a sudden change?
- 10 A. I suspect it was part of a succession plan.
 - Q. Had you been made aware that that succession plan was going to be put in place?
 - A. Not until it happened.
 - Q. Now, some -- I have some questions that I think you covered some of this with my colleague in the last GCR audit. You have a B.S. degree in business administration from John Carroll, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And you were a CPA. You took and passed the exam in 1969?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And I recall that you were only licensed through you said approximately 1980 or '85. Can you tell me why your license lapsed at that time?

- A. I didn't keep up with my requirements, licensing requirements. I didn't believe I needed the certificate in my job duties at that time.
- Q. And in that 1980 to 1985 time period, you were employed by Mr. Osborne, correct?
 - A. What time period was that?
- Q. 1980 to 1985, about the time your license lapsed.
 - A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. No. Who were you employed by?
- A. Ameritrust.
- EXAMINER FARKAS: I'm sorry? Ameritrust?

 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
 - Q. Now, I believe you indicated you first worked with Peat Marwick and that was an accounting firm.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And the time you were with Mr. Marwick's firm you didn't do any audits of utility companies; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. And then you worked for Ameritrust for 16 years in their accounting and corporate development departments?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. And your emphasis there was mergers and acquisitions. You didn't do anything with utilities there, correct?

 A. Correct.

 Q. You began working for Mr. Osborne -- and
 - that's Richard Osborne, the chairman who you mentioned previously, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And you began working for him in the 1987-1988 time period?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And I believe you went to work for OsAir Securities; is that correct?
- 14 A. Correct.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 15 Q. And what was OsAir Securities?
- 16 A. It was a securities company.
- Q. And were they involved with utilities at all?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. And what was your role or function at OsAir Securities?
 - A. It was mergers and acquisitions.
- Q. And from there you went to work for
- 24 People Savings Bank; is that correct?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- Q. And you did consulting there?
- 2 A. Consulting initially.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

- Q. And then you actually went to work for them as their CFO, their chief financial officer?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And after that, you went to work for Mr. Osborne's auto dealership, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And then I believe you went to work for a Retirement Management Company?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And what was your function there?
 - A. We managed assisted living facilities.
- Q. And from there then you became president of Liberty Self-Store, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And what kind of company was Liberty Self-Store?
- A. It owned and operated self-storage facilities.
- Q. Now, I believe in 2004 you became the treasurer for Orwell Natural Gas Company; is that correct?
- A. It was somewhere in that time period.
 - Q. And what were -- as treasurer, what were

your duties?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- A. It was primarily head accountant.
- Q. What would be the difference between the duties of the treasurer and just the staff accountant?
 - A. The treasurer or controller is at a higher level.
 - Q. In your opinion is the treasurer or controller interchangeable?
- A. No. The treasury function usually has to do with handling money, not necessarily strictly accounting.
- Q. And then I believe after six months to a year at Orwell, you became president of Orwell, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, when you became president, what were your duties as president?
 - A. It was to oversee the operation.
- Q. Now, prior to being employed as treasurer for Orwell, you had no prior utility experience, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And you went to work for Orwell as treasurer, and within a year you were made president

of the company, correct?

2.0

2.1

- A. Correct.
- Q. Now, in the time you were treasurer, what did you do to learn the business of the company in order to function as president?
- A. What did I do? I listened to the employees that were purchasing gas for JDOG, their jobs, and learned on the job.
- Q. In your experience with distribution companies, did you find that it's a usual practice for someone to become president of a utility with less than one year's experience as treasurer prior to -- prior to being named president?
 - A. I couldn't tell you.
- Q. In your time as president of Orwell and Northeast Gas Company, did you ever have opportunities to talk to the officers of other distribution companies in Ohio or the United States?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did you ever compare their backgrounds to your own?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did you find that their experience prior to being named president was more extensive in the utility field than your own?

A. I really couldn't say.

2.1

- Q. Now, at the same time you were named president of Orwell you were also named president of Northeast and Brainard, correct?
- A. No. That occurred, I believe, about a year, year and a half later. I believe it was like 2005, somewhere in that timeframe.
- Q. Okay. Now, when you were named the president, I believe in the last case my colleague asked you a question of what did you see your duty as president and do you recall your answer to him at that time?
 - A. No, I don't.

MR. SERIO: Could I approach, your Honor? EXAMINER FARKAS: Yes.

MR. SERIO: I have a copy of the transcript of Mr. Smith's testimony in the last proceeding, the 10-209-GA-GCR and 10-212-GA-GCR. I only copied the pages of cross-examination starting on page 786, and I wasn't going to make it an exhibit inasmuch as it has already been administratively noticed, but I had copies made today to make it a little easier.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. Thank you.

Q. And you do recall testifying in that

other proceeding, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q. If you would turn to page 795 of the transcript.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Page again?

MR. SERIO: 795.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Thank you.

- A. Okay.
- Q. Do you see your answer -- the second answer on the page about your primary responsibility as president?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So at the time you -- you indicated your primary responsibility was to make sure you had adequate staff, an adequate operations manager, and an adequate accounting manager, correct?
 - A. That's what I said, yes.
- Q. Now, as president -- you were also president of Orwell Trumbull Pipeline, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, you indicated in the 2010 proceeding that you were not functionally involved with Orwell Trumbull, although you were president. Can you explain to me what you meant by "not functionally involved"?

- A. I didn't oversee the operations, and I did not oversee the accounting.
- Q. Okay. What did you do as president of Orwell Trumbull?
 - A. I held that title and that was it.
- Q. At the time you held the title but were not functionally involved, who was the functional head of the company?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Now, when you were president of Orwell Trumbull, were you compensated for the position of president of Orwell Trumbull?
 - A. No, I was not.
- Q. Now, I believe you indicated at some point that the presidency of Orwell Trumbull was given to Ms. Howell, Becky Howell?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And I believe you indicated in the 2010 case that it was because Rick wanted someone stronger.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. You can look at page 796 of the transcript.
- 24 A. 796.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

Q. Last answer on the page.

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And Rick was Mr. Rick Osborne, correct?
 - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. And Ms. Howell was the Becky Howell who testified both in the last proceeding and in this proceeding, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And do you -- what did you mean by he wanted someone stronger?
 - A. He needed stronger accounting personnel.
 - Q. Do you know if Ms. Howell is a CPA?
 - A. I believe she is not.
 - Q. But you were a CPA.
 - A. Was.
- Q. At the time she was named president, did Ms. Howell have your experience of working for a major accounting firm or a major bank doing accounting work for them?
- A. I don't believe so.
 - Q. Yet he wanted someone with a stronger accounting background, and he replaced you with someone that had less experience than you did.
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And you were president of Cobra Pipeline, correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And I think you also indicated that you were not functionally involved with Cobra at the time.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. So your answers regarding Cobra would be the same as the answers that I just -- you just gave me regarding Orwell Trumbull?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And who became -- who actually was running or who became president of Cobra when you were replaced?
 - A. When I was what?
 - Q. When you were replaced.
- A. I think it's Becky, but I can't be certain.
 - Q. And Becky would be Ms. Howell.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, I believe you indicated in the last hearing that you are also president of Great Plains Natural Gas Company? 798 in the transcript.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you indicated that there was no one reporting to you in that position as president of Great Plains because it was strictly a shell holding

1 | company.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.1

- A. That's correct.
 - Q. Can you explain to me the purpose of a shell holding company?
 - A. A shell holding company in a virtual acquisition shielded the owner from liability.
 - Q. And the owner would be Mr. Osborne?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And what kind of liability would a shell holding company shield him from?
 - A. Be subject to the same liability that any corporation would.
 - Q. Did you receive any compensation as president of Great Plains Natural Gas Company?
 - A. No, I did not.
 - Q. And I believe you were also president of Lightning Pipeline?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And that was a shell holding company that was the parent company of Orwell?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you receive compensation as Lightning Pipeline?
- A. No, I did not.
- 25 O. And to the extent that was a shell

holding company, again, it was designed simply to shield Mr. Osborne from liability?

A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. Now, you indicated previously that for Orwell Trumbull and Cobra Pipelines you did not functionally oversee the two pipelines. At the time you were president who oversaw the accounting and the financial part of the business for those two entities?
- A. The accounting was a Jeff, I can't remember his last name, and the operations was Steve Williams.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Before you get too far, when you were president of Great Plains and Lightning Pipeline, the shell corporations, did they file tax returns?

THE WITNESS: As part of Gas Natural, they are part of the consolidated tax return.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Consolidated? But did those two companies, Great Plains and Lightning Pipeline, have income?

THE WITNESS: In an accounting world on an equity basis their income is the same as Orwell or NEO on an equity basis.

EXAMINER FARKAS: But on a nonequity

1 basis.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: They have no income.

3 EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. Thank you. Any

4 other employees?

THE WITNESS: No.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Serio) Now, I believe you were also vice president and chief financial officer of Energy West?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And what was Energy West?
- A. Energy West was a utility holding company in Montana.
 - Q. Now, what's the difference between a utility holding company and a shell holding company?
 - A. The -- initially Energy West operated as a utility, and it was a utility until we spun them out about two years ago.
 - Q. So there were actual employees that worked for Energy West?
 - A. Yes. There was a Montana division and a Wyoming division.
 - Q. And those were actual local distribution companies in those states, correct?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Now, in the previous case you also indicated you were vice president of OsAir and was OsAir still the same as OsAir Securities or were those different?
 - A. No. It's a different company.
 - O. And what was OsAir?
- A. OsAir is a real estate developer and operates an industrial gas business.
 - Q. You said industrial gas business?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

- Q. Can you explain to me what you mean by that?
 - A. The company owns a nitrogen plant, and we pipe nitrogen approximately 40 miles to various industrial customers.
 - Q. Is that the only gas that the OsAir is involved with is nitrogen?
 - A. It at one time handled both oxygen and nitrogen.
 - Q. Are other natural gases such as pentane or butane a part of their gas at all?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And what is their business with regard to butane and pentane?
 - A. They used to sell propane on a retail --

- Q. I said pentane, not propane.
- A. Oh, I don't know about pentane.
 - Q. Now, you were also president of Spellman Pipeline?
 - A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. And what's Spellman Pipeline?
- A. Spellman Pipeline is a pipeline owned by I believe Lightning Pipeline. We bought it -- purchased from a Marathon pipeline company.
- Q. And what function does the Spellman Pipeline have?
 - A. It's a gas transportation.
- Q. Is that currently -- does Spellman currently transport natural gas in Ohio?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And to what customers do they transport qas?
- 18 | A. I couldn't tell you.
- Q. Does Spellman transport any gas to Cobra,
 Northeast, or Brainard?
- A. I believe it does some to Northeast but I'm quessing. I don't know.
- Q. You're president of Spellman Pipeline, correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. And you are also president of Northeast?
- A. Correct.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

17

18

2.1

- Q. You were. And you don't know if one of the companies that you are president of transported gas to another that you are president of.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, you also indicated that you were employed by Gas Natural Service Company?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. What title did you hold with Gas Natural Service Company?
- A. Oh, I think it's president, but I can't be certain.
 - Q. What is Gas Natural Service Company?
- 15 A. It buys and purchases the gas for the Ohio utilities.
 - Q. A marketing company?
 - A. It's a service company.
- Q. What services other than purchasing natural gas do they provide?
 - A. That's it.
- 22 EXAMINER FARKAS: But, again, you don't
- 23 know if you are president of Gas Natural?
- 24 THE WITNESS: No.
- 25 Q. Are you --

1 THE WITNESS: Not Gas Natural, of the 2 service company. 3 EXAMINER FARKAS: Gas Natural Service 4 Company, that's different than Gas Natural. 5 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. 6 EXAMINER FARKAS: Do you -- do you ever 7 recall being president of it? 8 THE WITNESS: I probably am. 9 EXAMINER FARKAS: But you're not sure? THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. 10 11 EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. Thank you. 12 (By Mr. Serio) Are you familiar with Q. 13 marketing companies in Ohio, natural gas marketing companies? 14 15 Α. I have some knowledge of them, yes. 16 What knowledge -- what's your Q. 17 understanding of a natural gas marketing company in Ohio? 18 19 A natural gas marketing company in Ohio 20 primarily buys gas from either producers or other 2.1 providers and sells it to end use customers. 22 Q. Based on that understanding is there anything that Gas Natural Service Company does 23 24 different than what marketing companies do in Ohio?

A. I don't believe so.

- Q. Okay. And I believe you indicated you were still president of the Retirement Management Company?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And, again, that has nothing to do with utilities at all, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And then I believe there is also a Bedford Properties Company?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Was that -- what was Bedford Properties?
- A. Bedford Properties originally was a retirement facility; and, now, it owns a parking garage.
 - Q. Nothing to do with a utility industry?
 - A. Nothing to do with utilities.
- Q. Now, you also indicated that you were president of John D. Oil and Gas Exploration, correct?
- A. For a short time period, that was the successor to Liberty Self-Store.
- Q. Okay. And Liberty Self-Store has been a company that was in the business of purchasing self-storage units, correct?
 - A. And operating them.

- Q. And operating them. So John D. Oil and Gas Exploration succeeded Liberty Self-Store and because oil and gas exploration is in the name, do I assume at some point they changed their business from self-storage units to oil and gas exploration?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Now, what exactly did John D. Oil and Gas Exploration do with gas exploration in the business?
 - A. What did it do? It drilled wells.
 - Q. So it was actually a producer.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, there's also a John D. Oil and Gas Marketing, correct?
- A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

2.1

- Q. Were you employed by John D. Oil and Gas Marketing?
- A. I don't recall whether I was an officer of that or not.
- Q. What did John D. Oil and Gas Marketing do?
 - A. It was a gas marketing company.
- Q. So it would have done similar functions to Gas Natural Service Company?
- A. Similar.
- Q. Is it safe to say that even though they

were affiliates they were competitors in the field of selling natural gas?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

- Q. No. Did they work together in selling natural gas?
 - A. No.
- Q. If I was a utility company and Gas
 Natural Service Company came to me to sell natural
 gas, would I have any way of knowing they were
 affiliated to John D. Oil and Gas Marketing?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. And during the last audit period who was the president of John D. Oil and Gas Marketing?
- A. It's either Becky or somebody else. I'm not sure who it was. It could have been me.
- Q. Can you look at page 805 of the transcript, bottom of the page. It indicates there that Gregory Osborne was president of John D. Oil and Gas Exploration.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So do you know what your function was if he was president?
 - A. In --
 - Q. During the last audit case.
- 25 A. What was my function?

1 Q. Yes.

2.1

- A. You had asked about Marketing initially.
- Q. Okay. I'm sorry, yeah, we are getting them confused. So he was -- Mr. Osborne was president of John D. Oil and Gas Exploration.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you believe that you might have been president of John D. Oil and Gas Marketing.
 - A. I just don't recall.
- Q. Now, during the time that you were president of Northeast, Orwell, and Brainard, roughly 2005 to 2013, you were also employed by Cobra Pipeline, Orwell Trumbull Pipeline, John D. Oil and Gas Marketing, Great Plains Exploration, Gas Natural, and Energy West. Can you tell me who you were compensated by when you were president of Northeast and Orwell?
- A. For several years I was compensated by NEO. The last several years I have been compensated by Energy West.
- Q. Can you tell me roughly in that period from 2005 to 2013 when the change went from NEO to Energy West?
- A. My guess is it was somewhere around 2009 or 2010.

```
861
                  Now, when you were compensated by NEO,
 1
             Ο.
     you were doing work for the other Ohio utilities,
 2
 3
     correct?
 4
             Α.
                  Correct.
 5
             Q.
                  But all your compensation came from
     Northeast?
 6
 7
             Α.
                  Yes.
                  Was there any allocation of cost to
 8
             Q.
     Orwell or Brainard?
 9
10
             Α.
                  No.
                  Do you know a Mike Zappitello?
11
             Q.
12
             Α.
                 Yes.
             Q. And how do you know him?
13
14
                  Mike buys the gas for the Ohio utilities
             Α.
     through John D. Oil and Gas Marketing.
15
16
                  During your tenure of Northeast, did
17
     Mr. Zappitello ever work for Northeast?
18
             Α.
                  Not that I am aware of.
                 Or Orwell?
19
             Q.
20
             Α.
                  No.
2.1
             O. Or Brainard?
22
             Α.
                  No.
                  And you indicated Mr. Zappitello buys gas
23
             Q.
24
     on behalf of John D. Oil and Gas Marketing, I believe
```

you said?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Do you know if he is employed by any other companies?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. For example, do you know if he works for Mentor Energy and Resources Company?

MR. YURICK: Your Honor, I'm going to pose an objection at this point. I think we are getting a little bit beyond Mr. Smith's general background and knowledge in the oil and gas industry and beyond the scope of the rebuttal testimony that he has offered so.

MR. SERIO: Your Honor, it seems to me that what's at issue here is the credibility of Ms. Bates versus the credibility of Mr. Smith. I'm trying to explore his knowledge as president so that we can put his credibility in proper perspective against Ms. Bates.

- Q. I'll repeat. I was asking if you know whether he is employed by Mentor Energy and Resources Company; OsAir, Inc.; John D. Resources; or Great Plains Exploration, Ltd?
 - A. I don't know.

- Q. Now, can you tell me what business relationship there is between John D. Oil and Gas Marketing and John D. Oil and Gas Exploration?
 - A. The business relationship?
 - Q. Are they affiliated companies?
- A. I don't know if they are affiliated. I know the Marketing company bought from the Exploration company.
- Q. Are both companies ultimately owned by Mr. Osborne?
 - A. Yes.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Now, at times in your role as president of Northeast and Orwell, you were also indicated as president of Cobra or John D. Oil and Gas and there were instances during your term as president when Northeast and Orwell would purchase gas from Cobra or from John D. Oil and Gas; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, what did you do when there were transactions between different affiliates that you were an officer of to make sure that the transactions were arm's length?
- A. I would review prices occasionally but not every time.
 - Q. What's your definition of an arm's length

transaction?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

- A. It's a transaction between two independent parties.
- Q. And if the companies are affiliated, what do you think is necessary in order for the transaction to be an arm's length one?
 - A. I couldn't answer that.
- Q. Did the companies under your tenure,
 Northeast and Orwell, have any safeguards in place to
 make sure that any business transactions with
 affiliates were done at an arm's length basis?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what were those safeguards?
- A. Generally speaking we would get other outside pricing.
 - Q. So you would solicit other bids and compare those to the affiliate?
 - A. Occasionally, yes.
- Q. Occasionally. Did you do it all the time?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Why not?
- 23 A. I just did it on a test basis.
- Q. What do you mean by a test basis?
- A. A sample.

- Q. So, for example, the first time that there was a transaction between Northeast and Orwell or Cobra and John D. Oil, you would do a sample at that time, correct?
 - A. Or look at it for reasonableness.
- Q. And then if the contract was replaced with another contract, would you look at it again at that point?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Did you ever have any instances where the transaction with the affiliates, the price was not in line with the prices that you were getting from nonaffiliates?
 - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Now, when you were president of Northeast and Orwell prior to 2008, Northeast and Orwell purchased local production in-house, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And then in 2008, John D. Oil and Gas
 Marketing was brought in to do the purchasing for the
 two utilities, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Why was John D. Oil and Gas Marketing brought in to do a function that previously the company had done in-house?

- A. Because we believed that centralizing the function with one person, one company was more efficient for the company.
- Q. Who was doing the purchasing for Northeast and Orwell prior to 2008?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

- A. I don't have exact dates but there was Mike Zappitello and Steve Rego were involved for Orwell, and NEO I believe it was Steve.
- Q. Okay. So prior to 2008, at some point Mr. Zappitello and Mr. Rego were employed by either Northeast or Orwell --
- A. Mike was never employed by Orwell or NEO to my knowledge.
- Q. Okay. So did -- prior to 2008, did Orwell do local production purchasing in-house?
- A. It's somewhere in that timeframe that we did it in-house, yes.
- Q. And if you did it in-house, do you recall who the in-house employees that did the purchasing were?
 - A. Yes. It was Steve Rego.
- Q. Mr. Rego, okay. And he did it for both Northeast and Orwell?
- A. I believe so. Stephanie may have -25 Patton may have done some of the NEO purchases. I

just don't recall.

2.1

- Q. So you went from having one or two in-house employees do it to having Mr. Zappitello do the work for JDOG Marketing, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. If you turn to page 823 of the transcript, in the last hearing you indicated that one of the reasons that you used JDOG Marketing was that things got more complicated when Orwell Trumbull Pipeline was built. Do you recall that? Bottom of page 823.
 - A. Bottom?
 - Q. Bottom of page 823.
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. What do you mean by Orwell Trumbull Pipeline was built? What exactly was built at the time?
- A. The Orwell Trumbull Pipeline somewhere in that time period was built.
- Q. So there was no previous pipeline; the entire pipeline was built in that time period?
- A. Yes. It was over about a two-year period.
- Q. Do you know how many miles Orwell
 Trumbull is?

- A. My recollection is it's about 40 or 50 miles.
 - Q. Well, what function does Orwell Trumbull Pipeline have as far as transporting gas for Orwell and Northeast?
 - A. It provides transportation from an alternate source other than Columbia Gas and Dominion.
 - Q. What's the alternate source?
- 10 A. It's the -- oh, it's Northeast Pipeline.

 11 I got the name wrong, but it will come to me.
 - Q. Would that be another interstate pipeline?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you know if it was Rocky's Express?
- 16 A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Now, when you say it got more complicated, what was complicated to have another option to purchase gas?
 - A. Well, what was more complicated? Well, the companies essentially doubled in size. There was a new source. It was not as simple as it used to be.
- Q. Now, was local production sourced on Orwell Trumbull, or was that interstate gas?
- A. It was both.

- Q. Now, you also indicated things got more complicated when Cobra Pipeline was purchased. How many miles is the Cobra Pipeline? Do you know?
- A. It's in three segments, and I couldn't tell you the mileage.
- Q. Am I correct what is today the Cobra Pipeline used to be part of Columbia Gas Transmission or TCO?
 - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. And prior to it being purchased and made Cobra, the gas flowed through the same pipe as the TCO tariffs as today flows under the Cobra tariffs, correct?
 - A. I don't believe they are the same tariff.
- Q. Well, but the function of transporting gas for that same pipe is the same when it was TCO as when it's Cobra, correct?
 - A. They perform the same function.
 - Q. But they have different tariffs.
 - A. That's my understanding.
- Q. Now, Cobra Pipeline charges for shrinkage, correct?
- A. I believe they do.
- Q. And TCO also charges for shrinkage, correct?

A. I believe so.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

- Q. And when Cobra Pipeline was part of TCO, the shrinkage rate was one rate for both TCO and the portions that are now Cobra, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. But now it's separate Cobra, there is an additional shrinkage rate on the Cobra Pipeline, correct?
 - A. That's correct.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Could we go off the record for a second?

(Discussion off the record.)

EXAMINER FARKAS: Go back on the record.

- Q. Now, I believe you indicated in the prior case that in all your positions as president, you reported directly to Mr. Osborne, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Now, earlier I asked you about the difference between treasurers and controllers.
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And I believe you indicated generally controllers -- are controllers at a higher level than treasurers?
- A. It probably varies from company to company. I would say as a general rule, that one is

above the other in any particular company.

2.1

EXAMINER FARKAS: Could I just interject here? When you say you report to Mr. Osborne, how often are you reporting to Mr. Osborne?

THE WITNESS: At least once a week we talk.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. Is he -- and he is aware of what's going on with these companies?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Is he aware of what prices companies are charging and paying?

THE WITNESS: I'm sure he is.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay.

- Q. (By Mr. Serio) Now, to the extent you report to Mr. Osborne, did he provide you direction on what you should do as president of Northeast and Orwell?
 - A. Not necessarily.
- Q. Not necessarily. Does that mean sometimes he would give you direction?
- A. Sometimes he would give me direction. Sometimes he would directly go to operations managers.
- Q. To the extent that there was a contract pending between one of the LDCs, either Northeast or

Orwell, and one of the other affiliate companies owned by Mr. Osborne such as JDOG Marketing, did he ever give you instructions you should purchase gas from JDOG Marketing?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

- Q. Did you ever have any instances where you did not purchase from an affiliate and then you informed Mr. Osborne and he indicated he would prefer you purchase from the affiliate?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Now, during your presidency of Northeast and Orwell from 2005 to 2013, how many controllers did Orwell have?
- A. Boy, that's a -- I just don't recall. It may have been several; it may have been one.
- Q. Was Heather Lipnis a controller for Orwell during your time period?
- A. No. She was controller for Energy West and Gas Natural.
 - Q. What about Jonathan Harrington?
 - $\hbox{A.} \quad \hbox{Jonathan was the controller for Gas}$ $\hbox{Natural and Energy West.}$
 - O. What about Ms. Howell?
- A. She is -- was the controller of Gas
 Natural.

- Q. Larry Brainard?
- 2 A. Larry was the Ohio companies controller.
 - Q. What about Sue Lagoni?
 - A. Sue was the Ohio controller at one time.
 - Q. So the different individual utilities,
 Northeast, Orwell, and Brainard, did not have
 individual controllers, but you generally had an Ohio
 controller for all three companies?
 - A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

2.0

2.1

- Q. And that would have been during your entire tenure from '05 to '13?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall other than Mr. Brainard and Ms. Lagoni there was any other controllers for the Ohio companies?
- A. There was another one before Sue, Marie.

 I just don't recall her name.
 - Q. And is Mr. Brainard still the Ohio controller to your knowledge?
 - A. No, he is not.
 - Q. Do you know who is?
 - A. I couldn't tell you right now, no.
- Q. When did Mr. Brainard cease being the Ohio controller?
- 25 A. Last Friday.

- Q. Can you tell me the circumstances of Mr. Brainard no longer being controller?
 - A. He tendered his resignation.
- Q. Was any reason given for tendering his resignation?
- A. He couldn't take the pressure. His health was going -- deteriorating. He was developing ulcers and high blood pressure.
- Q. So counting the new controller who you can't recall, that means that there were at least four controllers for the Ohio companies during the period from 2005 to 2013?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And how many controllers has Gas Natural had during your time employed by Gas Natural?
 - A. Three, I believe.
 - O. And what time would that cover?
- A. That would cover -- oh, I couldn't tell you, probably 2006 -- no, it's after. It's 2009, 2010, sometime in that time period to the current.
- Q. So in roughly a four- or five-year period they have had at least three different controllers?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

Q. Now, in your experience is it normal for there to be that many controllers in that short a

time period for companies?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. It's not unusual but it does happen.
- Q. Now, does the controller in any way help ensure that transactions among affiliates are arm's length?
 - A. I would hope so.
- Q. So that would be one of the functions the controller has.
 - A. Of which company?
 - Q. Of the Ohio companies.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And also with Gas Natural.
- 13 A. That's correct.
 - Q. Now, is it possible there is a higher turnover among controllers for the Ohio companies because there is concern with how transactions among the affiliates are handled?

MR. YURICK: Objection.

- Q. If you know.
- A. I don't know. The last one was health.

21 EXAMINER FARKAS: You want to wait until

22 I make a ruling before you answer.

23 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry.

24 EXAMINER FARKAS: That's okay with me. I

25 don't care.

- Two weeks ago in the hearing the company Ο. introduced as Exhibit No. 2 a Gas Natural, Inc., Code of Business Ethics -- Code of Business Conduct for Directors, Officers, and Employees.
 - That's correct. Α.
 - Are you familiar with that document? Ο.
- Α. I know we have it. I couldn't rattle it off to you.
 - Did you sign a copy of it? Ο.
 - I believe I did. Α.
- Do you know when the code of conduct was 11 Ο. 12 put in place?
 - I don't recall. Α.
- 14 Do you know if it was after the last Ο. audit case or prior to it? 15
- 16 Α. No. I think it has been around for a 17 number of years.
- Q. And you indicated that you did sign this agreement, correct? 19
 - Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

18

- 2.1 Do you know if Mr. Osborne, Rick Osborne, Ο. 22 signed it?
- 23 Α. I don't know.
- 24 Do you know if Mr. Greg Osborne signed Q. 25 it?

A. I don't know.

2.1

Q. To the extent that it's supposed to be for directors, officers, and employees, would you assume that both Mr. Rick and Greg Osborne would have signed it?

MR. YURICK: Objection. I think the witness already testified he didn't know.

EXAMINER FARKAS: I'll sustain the objection.

- Q. Now, to your knowledge does the code of conduct indicate how affiliate transactions are to be handled?
 - A. I just don't recall.
- Q. Who's responsible for enforcing the code of conduct?
- A. Each employee is responsible. They sign the form.
- Q. Is there someone that's employed by either Northeast or Orwell whose job it is to make -- one of their job duties is to make sure that everyone follows the code of conduct? Is there a compliance officer?
- A. There's probably a designated compliance officer but I couldn't tell you who that is.
 - Q. Do you know how the code is actually --

- code of conduct is actually enforced other than giving employees a copy?
 - A. No, I don't.
 - Q. Now, you know Ms. Cindy Bates, correct?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Did you hire Ms. Bates?
- A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. And you were familiar with her background, education, and work experience when you hired her, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
 - Q. And as president of the company, what was your working relationship with Ms. Bates? How did you interact as president with her role?
 - A. As I indicated in my testimony, I did review the GCR.
 - Q. Do you know how to do a GCR calculation?
 - A. No, I don't.
 - Q. Does Ms. Bates know how to do one to your knowledge?
 - A. I hope she did.
 - Q. How often did you work with Ms. Bates?
- 23 A. Probably only about 20 minutes a month.
- 24 EXAMINER FARKAS: I just have another
- 25 question. You said you reviewed the GCR.

THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

2.0

EXAMINER FARKAS: But you don't know how actually to do a GCR?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

EXAMINER FARKAS: What was the extent of your review?

THE WITNESS: I would look at their estimates.

EXAMINER FARKAS: What did you say?

THE WITNESS: I would look at their
estimates and see where they were on the over and
under. If they continued to grow the -- that number
one way or the other means their estimates were
wrong, and I would occasionally adjust them.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Serio) And by over and under, you mean the estimates from prior experience had grown too large and you were trying to reconcile those through either the actual adjustment -- the RA, AA, or BA, correct?
- A. If she continually undercollected, to me that meant she was doing the estimates wrong or her estimates were off.
- Q. Was she responsible for making the estimates herself, or did she get that information

from somebody else?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- A. She was responsible for making the initial estimate.
- Q. During the time that she was involved with working with the GCR, did she ever have -- did you ever have opportunity where you had any situations where she was informed that her work was inadequate or didn't meet your expectations?
 - A. Was she informed?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Did you ever determine that her work was inadequate or did not meet expectations?
- A. You want my opinion; is that what you are asking?
 - Q. As president of the company.
 - A. She did not meet my expectations.
- Q. What did you do about the fact that she did not meet your expectations?
- A. Well, I let her immediate superior know, and I also, you know, encouraged them to work together to correct some of those deficiencies.
 - Q. And who was your direct supervisor?
 - A. Larry Brainard.
 - Q. To the extent that you identified

deficiencies in her work, what were those deficiencies?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

- A. One of her other responsibilities other than the GCR at that point in time was system balancing, and she fell way behind in system balancing.
 - Q. What do you mean she fell behind?
- A. She was behind by two or three months, maybe more.
- Q. Meaning that for two or three months she didn't do anything to correct the imbalance? What exactly do you mean by that?
- A. In balancing the system you balance each one of our individual systems and there's more than one. Obviously it was a complicated system. The ins and the outs have to be equal.
- Q. So it was her job to make sure that each of the systems were in balance on the different pipelines that you have?
- A. No, from the city gate versus sales. It was not balancing the pipelines.
- Q. What would cause a difference between city gate and sales?
 - A. Shrink.
 - Q. Other than shrink is there anything that

would cause that difference?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- A. Not that I can think of.
 - Q. So she was behind in reconciling the shrink between the city gate and sales volumes?
 - A. She was behind in balancing the system.
 - Q. Was she ever directly informed that her work was inadequate?
 - A. She was told she was behind, and we encouraged her to catch up.
 - Q. Now, on your testimony at page 2 --
 - A. Testimony today?
 - Q. Your testimony, your direct testimony, it indicates that from time to time you had to make adjustments to her calculations.
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. How often did you have to make those corrections?
 - A. Oh, I don't recall.
 - Q. Half the time? Less than half the time?
 - A. Less than half the time.
- 21 Q. And what type of adjustments did you
- 22 make?
- A. I would either increase or decrease her estimate.
- Q. And that was based on your expertise or

- based on the imbalances from prior periods?
- A. That was based on my experience in the business and what had happened to the over and under.
- Q. Now, you indicated that you had been told one portion of Ms. Bates' testimony involved an incident that you believe to be untrue. What specifically were you told about Ms. Bates' testimony?
- A. I was told she had adjusted the sales volumes.
- Q. That's all you were told, she adjusted them?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And you're saying that she never adjusted sales volumes? That's what's untrue?
- A. I don't know what she had ended up doing.

 I had told her to correct the estimate.
- Q. Okay. So you were told she did an adjustment to sales volumes and what you told her was to correct the estimate.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. So to the extent that she said that she was told to adjust sales volumes, that is not an untrue statement. What's untrue about her statement?
 - A. What is true about it?

- Q. What's untrue? You indicated, I believe, it's untrue.
- A. You just used a double negative. I am not sure how to answer it.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Do you want to rephrase your question?

- Q. On line 10 you say on your testimony there was an incident that you believed to be untrue.
 - A. That is correct.

2.1

- Q. What was untrue about what you were told of her testimony?
- A. I was told in her testimony that I told her to adjust the sales volume and that is not correct.
- Q. So when you said from time to time you would have her make adjustments, did you tell her to make adjustments?
- A. I told her to make adjustments to the estimate.
- Q. What's the difference between making an adjustment to the estimate and making the adjustment that Ms. Bates testified to?
- A. I think the estimate is estimated -- estimating what your cost is going to be. The sales should be trailing -- my understanding should be the

trailing 12 months of sales.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- So Ms. Bates testified that she was told to make an adjustment to sales, and you're saying that you never told her to adjust sales.
 - That is correct. Α.
- But you did tell her to make adjustments Ο. to her calculations.
 - Α. To her estimate.
 - Were the estimates to sales? Ο.
 - Α. No. The estimate was future gas cost.
- And the GCR filings, are there ever Ο. 12 estimates of sales volumes that are included in the calculation? 13
- 14 MR. YURICK: Objection, your Honor. don't think he could --15
- 16 EXAMINER FARKAS: If he knows.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Pardon?
- 18 EXAMINER FARKAS: If you know the answer,
- 19 you can answer.
- 2.0 THE WITNESS: No.
- 21 EXAMINER FARKAS: No, what? So you don't
- 22 know?
- THE WITNESS: I don't know. 23
- 24 EXAMINER FARKAS: Thank you.
- 25 Q. (By Mr. Serio) The one incident that

Ms. Bates testified to when you told her not to make the adjustment, what was the result of not making the adjustment? Was the GCR higher or lower?

A. I don't recall.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. So you don't recall if estimated sales are part of the calculation, and you don't recall whether the GCR would have been higher or lower, but you do recall telling her not to adjust the sales numbers.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. You're familiar with the processing fee that's on the Cobra Pipeline?
- A. Not as -- I know there is a fee, but I just couldn't tell you what it is.
- Q. Would that have been something that would have been in Mr. Whelan's expertise when you were president?
 - A. Yes.

your Honor.

Q. Would it surprise you to know that

Mr. Whelan testified he didn't know with certainty

whether the gas on the Cobra system that's charged

the processing fee actually gets processed?

MR. YURICK: There would be an objection,

25 EXAMINER FARKAS: I will sustain the

objection.

2.1

MR. SERIO: Well, your Honor, he is allowed to rely on what he was told about Ms. Bates' testimony and testify to that. I'm trying to determine his reaction to the fact that his vice president and now his successor as president didn't know with certainty whether gas that's charged a fee is actually processed. I don't see a difference.

EXAMINER FARKAS: I'm going to sustain the objection.

- Q. (By Mr. Serio) Do you know if the gas on the Cobra system that's charged a fee actually goes through the processing plant?
- A. There is gas that goes through a processing plant down in Marietta, yes.
- Q. Do you know if all the gas on the Churchtown system goes through that processing plant before it goes to customers?
 - A. That I don't know.
- Q. Do you know if the fee is charged on all the volumes on the Churchtown system?
 - A. That I don't know.

EXAMINER FARKAS: And would that include at any time even while you were president of Cobra you don't --

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

2 EXAMINER FARKAS: You just don't know.

THE WITNESS: Don't know.

MR. SERIO: Could I approach, your Honor?

EXAMINER FARKAS: Yes.

MR. SERIO: Earlier in the proceeding there was a document marked as OCC Exhibit No. 11. It was some discovery responses from the company to OCC. In particular the interrogatories talk about whether Northeast pays a processing charge to Cobra and, if so, how much the charge is. In particular I'm pointing to Interrogatory No. 100 where the question refers to page 13 of the staff audit report and questions whether Northeast paid the 25-cent processing fee or charge on all volumes on the Churchtown system.

And the response there is that the companies didn't have sufficient information to determine whether JDOG is paying a Cobra 25 percent charge on the Churchtown system.

MR. YURICK: I'm sorry. Go ahead. I didn't mean to cut you off, Mr. Serio.

Q. My question to you is based on the response to that interrogatory, do you -- does that help you with any indication as to whether that

25-cent fee is paid on all volumes on the Churchtown system, the Cobra system?

2.0

2.1

MR. YURICK: There would be an objection to the form of the question and the fact that the witness is being asked to formulate an opinion based on an answer to an interrogatory --

EXAMINER FARKAS: I will let him use it to refresh his recollection. Does that refresh your recollection?

THE WITNESS: No, no, it does not.

Q. I'm also going to show you the questions back and forth to each other. Question 96 refers to the response to Interrogatory 94 as to when the processing fee is paid on all local production on the Churchtown system. And if you go back to the answer No. 94, that answer indicates that the companies state Northeast pays a lump sum to JDOG for local production delivered to the city gate. There is not a processing charge on the invoices from JDOG for the Churchtown system, and then it says the companies do not have sufficient information to determine whether JDOG pays the processing charge to Cobra for local production purchased on the Churchtown system.

Does that help refresh your recollection with the fee at all?

1 A. No.

2.1

Q. As president of Northeast, do you think it's important for you to know whether customers are being charged that processing fee on all the volumes that flow on the Churchtown system?

MR. YURICK: Objection, your Honor. I think at this point Mr. Smith said that he was no longer president of Northeast Ohio.

- Q. At the time that you were president of Northeast Ohio, did you think it was important to know whether customers that were paying 25 cents on each volume of gas on the Cobra Churchtown system for processing, whether that gas was actually processed?
- A. I left that up to our operational folks to take care of.
- Q. As president -- when you were president of Northeast, did you have a fiduciary duty to customers to ensure that customers were not charged for fees that were not -- for fees for services that were not rendered?

MR. YURICK: There would be an objection, your Honor.

EXAMINER FARKAS: I will let him answer it.

A. We have a duty to do the best we can.

- Q. And in your opinion does that duty include making sure the customers don't pay for fees that they don't receive a service for?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Now, are you familiar with the term wet qas?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And is it your understanding that wet gas refers to natural gas that has additional other natural gases such as butane and pentane?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. And those, in fact, are the wet gases that the processing plant on the Cobra system removes from natural gas, correct?
 - A. Right.
 - Q. Is it your understanding that those other wet gases have value?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And is it your understanding that that value is, in fact, greater than the value of natural gas itself?
 - A. That I don't know.
- Q. When gas is processed at the Cobra plant for Northeast customers, do you know what happens to the wet gases that are removed?

- A. I believe they were sold to Mark West.
- Q. And did Northeast get any credit for the sale of those wet gases?

MR. YURICK: Your Honor, there will be an objection. We didn't offer this witness as an expert on the processing fee or to testify about the processing fee or the price of --

EXAMINER FARKAS: I will let him answer this question, but I think we're reaching here.

- A. Do you want to repeat the question, please?
- Q. Does Northeast get a credit for the sale of those wet gases to Mark West?
 - A. I don't know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

Q. Do you know if Cobra Pipeline sells any of those liquids or gases to OsAir?

MR. YURICK: Again, your Honor --

EXAMINER FARKAS: I'm going to sustain the objection.

- Q. Are you familiar with the fees that

 Northeast and Orwell paid to JDOG Marketing while you

 were president --
 - A. Yes.
- Q. -- of Northeast? Do you know the magnitude of those fees?

1 A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- 2 MR. SERIO: May I approach, your Honor?
 3 EXAMINER FARKAS: Yes.
 - Q. Previously OCC Exhibit 6 was entered into the record. And Interrogatories 24 and 25 to that discovery asked the amount of the fees paid to JDOG by Northeast and Orwell. And you see the figure \$418,730.65 for Northeast?
 - A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And do you see the \$229,175.41 for 11 Orwell?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And that -- those two amounts would cover the roughly two years of the audit period, correct?
 - A. That I don't know.
 - Q. Do you see in the question there it asks if that was over the audit period?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Do you know what services JDOG Marketing provided to Northeast and Orwell in exchange for the \$600,000 in fees?
 - A. They purchased the local production gas, arranged for contracts with that gas, and also arranged for interstate gas purchases.
 - Q. Now, to the extent that was -- the fees

were related to local production, those are the fees -- that's the service that prior to 2008

Northeast and Orwell did in-house while you were president?

A. Local production, yes.

2.1

- Q. Now, is it your understanding that part of those fees require Mr. Zappitello from JDOG

 Marketing to nominate, schedule, and confirm gas

 volumes on Columbia Gas Transmission Company, TCO?
 - A. That was my understanding, yes.
- Q. Do you know if, in fact, Ms. Patton does the nominating, scheduling, and confirmation of gas volumes on Columbia Gas Transmission?
 - A. That I don't know.
- Q. I recall in your cross-examination you indicated to me that one of your duties as president, treasurer, and secretary of the three Ohio utilities was to make sure you had adequate staff, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And do you recall in the last case
 Mr. Sauer asking you if you thought Ms. Howell was
 qualified for the job that she had?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. If you look at page 863 of the transcript I gave you. You might want to look at page 862 also

to get the context. You indicated previously it was Mr. Osborne's decision to promote Ms. Howell to C -- from the CFO position, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And did you not agree?
- A. That was the promotion to what position?
- Q. From the CFO.
- A. It doesn't say that.
- Q. On line 8 it says, "She was CFO while all this was going on." You say, "That's correct."
- "It was your decision to promote her?" And you say, "No, it was not."
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. But it was Mr. Osborne's decision. Why did you disagree with Mr. Osborne's decision to promote her?
 - A. I meant to say it was not my decision.
- Q. Right. And did you agree with his decision?
 - A. It was not my decision to question it.
- Q. But you were president of the company, and you stated that your duty was to make sure you had adequate staff.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. So how does making sure you have adequate

staff conform with it wasn't your decision on whether to promote her or not?

- A. It was Mr. Osborne's decision.
- Q. Now, you're not currently employed by Northeast, correct?
 - A. That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

- Q. Are you being compensated for your appearance today?
 - A. I receive compensation from Gas Natural.
- Q. Are you involved with training Mr. Whelan to take over as president for Northeast?
- A. Marty has pretty much run that operation except for the accounting for the last number of years.
- Q. Have you scheduled any time with Mr. Whelan since your leaving Northeast as president in order to bring him up to speed on functions as president?
 - A. No, I have not.

MR. SERIO: That's all I have, your
Honor. Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Smith.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Mr. Margard.

MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor.

_ _

	897
1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	By Mr. Margard:
3	Q. Good morning, Mr. Smith.
4	A. Good morning.
5	Q. Mr. Serio's examination was thorough. I
6	hope I won't have too much additional for you, but I
7	do have some clarifying questions. You indicated
8	that you first began working for Mr. Osborne in about
9	1987 with OsAir Securities, correct?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. And that was a securities firm.
12	A. Correct.
13	Q. And that's different than OsAir,
14	Incorporated.
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. And that OsAir, Incorporated, you
17	indicated was a property developer and a manufacturer
18	of gases?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. And both of those entities are owned by
21	Mr. Richard Osborne; is that correct?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Are they public or private?
	1

by Rick and his brother Michael.

24

25

A. Well, OsAir Securities was jointly owned

898 1 Okay. Private company or public? Q. 2 Α. Private. 3 Q. Thank you. Are you acquainted with 4 OsGas? 5 Α. Yes. And what is OsGas? 6 Q. 7 Α. OsGas or OsAir? 8 Q. OsGas. 9 OsGas is -- I keep forgetting, it was the Α. PA exploration company. 10 And PA you mean Pennsylvania? 11 Q. 12 Α. Pennsylvania, yes. And that's the entity that filed 13 Q. 14 bankruptcy at the beginning of last year? Α. Yes. 15 16 Now, you also indicated in response to Ο. questions from Mr. Serio that you were the -- at the 17 time of your previous testimony an officer with 18 Retirement Management Company. 19 20 Α. Correct.

- You were president then; is that correct? Q.
- Α. Correct.

2.1

- Q. Are you still? 23
- 24 A. I believe so.
- 25 Q. You believe you are?

A. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And what business does it perform?
 - A. It owns one assisted living facility.
 - Q. And what is the name of that facility?
 - A. It's a Cardinal retirement village. I don't know whether they are presently using that. We have got a triple net lease to at least one customer.
 - Q. And I'm going to ask you to repeat in just a moment because I had trouble hearing you over the siren.

MR. MARGARD: With your indulgence.

EXAMINER FARKAS: I will always indulge

the emergency crews and the staff.

- Q. You want to repeat your answer?
- A. It was a Cardinal retirement village with which we now have triple net lease to do one individual.
 - Q. Does it manage any others?
- A. No.
 - Q. But -- and it owns that village?
 - A. Not currently, no.
 - Q. Not currently, okay. Do you know whether that retirement community was, is, or has ever been served by either Orwell or Northeast Ohio?
- A. To my knowledge it has not.

- Q. Were you aware that there was a -- a retirement community or a community that was served by one of the companies on a residential transportation service?
- A. I don't recall. There is one with Brainard where we have a nursing home.
- Q. Okay. And just for the record that nursing home is not associated with Retirement Management Company in any way?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

16

17

- Q. And you became the treasurer of Orwell in 2004.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Did you have any responsibility for GCR filings as treasurer of Orwell?
 - A. No.
 - Q. About six months later you became the president of Orwell and Northeast?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Continued as president of Orwell.
- A. Correct.
- Q. And you subsequently also became the president of Brainard Natural Gas.
- A. Correct.
- Q. At the time of your previous testimony

you were vice president and CFO of Gas Natural?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Are you still?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And vice president and CFO of Energy West?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And are you still?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. We had some discussion about controllers and CFOs and who reports to who, and I believe you indicated that that sort of depends on the company.
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Is that true within this family of related entities?
 - A. Is what true?
- Q. I was trying to figure out if you were speaking in general terms or if you were speaking specifically in terms of the Gas Natural family.
- A. The question he asked me as I understand it was do companies normally have this sort of turnover with controllers. My answer or my response intended it to be that depends on the companies.

 Some companies have a lot of turnover; some don't.
 - Q. Okay. Let's -- let's take a look at

- these distribution companies in Ohio. Do they have chief financial officers?
 - A. They have key accountants, key financial officers, yes.
 - Q. And when you say key officers, are you talking about key accountants?
 - A. Key accountants.
 - Q. But without a specific title.
 - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

- Q. And who would they report to?
- A. The Ohio companies would report to Larry
 Brainard.
 - Q. Or previously to Mr. Brainard as the controller of the Ohio utilities.
 - A. Yes, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And Mr. Brainard would report to whom, please?
 - A. He would report to the controller of the holding company.
- Q. Okay. And what was the relationship
 between the CFO and the controller of the holding
 company?
 - A. The controller would report to me.
- Q. As the CFO.
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And who did you report to as president of the utilities?
 - A. I think Mr. Osborne.
 - O. As chairman of the board.
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. You didn't have any responsibility for the controller of the Ohio utilities?
- A. I had input but I did not -- he did not directly report to me.
- Q. You also indicated you were at the time of your previous testimony the executive vice president of OsAir.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And which OsAir are we talking about?
- A. OsAir the industrial gas and real estate developer.
 - Q. Thank you. And are you still?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And that you were president of Spellman Pipeline, we discussed that this morning.
- 21 A. Yes.
 - Q. And are you still president of Spellman?
- A. I don't recall. I probably many.
- Q. Who would have informed you you were no longer president if you were no longer?

- A. I would suppose Mr. Osborne.
- Q. But to the best of your knowledge, he has not told you that?
 - A. I just don't recall.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Are you aware that Spellman Holdings filed an application with the Commission in July of 2011 indicating that Mr. Martin Whelan was the president of Spellman Pipeline?
 - A. I just don't recall.
- Q. Do you know whether, in fact, he is the president of Spellman Pipeline?
 - A. No, I don't.
- Q. And I believe you indicated Spellman is currently providing intrastate gas transportation in Ohio; is that correct?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Do you -- do you know if Spellman

 Pipeline is currently extending lines to participate
 as part of the Utica and Marcellus shale operations
 in the state of Ohio?
 - A. That I don't know.
- Q. Okay. I want to get back to your responsibilities as president of the distribution companies. And you were, as I'm understanding it, president of these companies during the audit period

of this case; is that correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Are you aware of what the audit period of these cases is?
 - A. No, I'm not.
- Q. Just so that we have some context, the audit period for Northeast is from March of 2010 through the end of February of 2012.
 - A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. Do you have that in mind?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And for Orwell is from July of 2010 through June of 2012. And just to make sure that we tied that up, you were, in fact, president of the companies during that time.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And I believe you indicated you did not have any responsibility for the preparation or the filing of GCR with the Commission.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. You indicated in your prefiled testimony today that Ms. Bates at least during her time with the companies performed the initial calculations of monthly estimates.
 - A. Correct.

- Q. I wanted to make sure that the record is clear what you're referring to when you say "monthly estimates." Will you define what that means, please.
- A. It's my understanding she would have to estimate the cost of gas for the coming month.
- Q. The cost of gas. Would this be the total cost that the company was expected to expend?
- A. No. It was the actual cost per Mcf she was expecting to pay.
- Q. So we're talking about the price of gas in the market.
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And that was her responsibility to perform that calculation?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And do you know --
 - A. Or to make that estimate.
- Q. To make that estimate. And what information did she rely on to the best of your knowledge to make that estimate?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Do you know if anyone associated with the companies -- and by associated I mean the related entities as well -- provided that information to Ms. Bates?

A. That I don't know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

17

18

- Q. Now, you indicate to the best of your knowledge she had no prior experience in the natural gas industry.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Did somebody train her how to perform this calculation?
- A. It was my understanding her predecessor Dawn did.
- Q. And Dawn is still with the companies; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. With the related companies?
- A. I couldn't tell you whose payroll she's on, but she does the accounting for one of the other utilities.
 - Q. During the audit period were there others who were performing this same function?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Were there others whose estimated
 calculations you would have reviewed during the audit
 period?
- 23 A. I just don't recall.
- Q. You weren't Ms. Bates direct supervisor, were you?

A. No.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

- Q. Who was?
 - A. Larry Brainard.
 - Q. As the controller, he was her supervisor?
 - A. Controller of the Ohio companies, yes.
 - Q. Okay. To the best of your knowledge, was there any prescribed method for calculating this GCR estimate?
 - A. That I don't know.
 - Q. And you also would not know if that was the method that was approved or discussed at any time with the Commission or the Commission staff?
 - A. That I don't know.
 - Q. Why would these estimates have to be revised?
 - A. It was my opinion she constantly consistently underestimated or undercollected consistently. It indicated to me her estimation and her process were faulty.
 - Q. What do you mean by undercollect?
 - A. It means we did not charge the customer enough, and we would have to -- we would be undercollected versus overcollected.
- Q. Okay. How did you make that
 determination based on the information that she

provided to you?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

- A. She gave me every month what she was going to use, and she also gave me the history on the over and under account.
- Q. And we've heard reference to the over and under account numerous times in this proceeding.

 Would you please specifically indicate what that account is and how that informed your decision whether to revise her estimates.
- A. It's an account on the balance sheet. We keep track of that -- that account for the undercharged or overcharged gas to the customers.
- Q. Are these overcharges, undercharges that you are referring to components of the GCR mechanism?
 - A. Pardon?
- Q. Are these components of the GCR mechanism?
 - A. I believe so.
- Q. Now, you've indicated that you are not intimately familiar with the GCR mechanism itself; is that right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Do you know what the different component parts of the GCR in Ohio are?
 - A. I know there is an AA and there is a BA.

- Q. And do you know what those refer to?
- 2 A. No.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Do you know what their relationship is to each other?
 - A. I know it's the catch up.
- Q. How often would you have to make revisions based on information that was communicated to you by Ms. Bates?
- A. I believe I answered that question. I don't recall what I said.
- Q. Well, I'm just trying to recall as well because I don't honestly. You said in your testimony you did it from time to time.
- A. From time to time, I don't think it was over half the time.
 - Q. Okay.
- EXAMINER FARKAS: I think that was your answer previously.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 20 EXAMINER FARKAS: Just for the record.
- Q. Obviously not trying to trip you up. It just didn't register with me.
- And when you would make these revisions,
 were they always increases, or did you ever decrease?
 - A. They could have been decreases.

- Q. Do you recall whether you ever revised --
- A. I don't recall.
- Q. Did you rely on any other source of information to check the accuracy of these estimates other than the over/under account?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Did you or anyone who reported to you ever do any analysis to determine which estimates were more accurate, yours or hers?
 - A. That I don't know.
- Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, and just from a mechanical point of view, when you increase the estimates, you increase the amount of revenue that's generated from customers?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And in the event that that revenue generation is too high, there would have to be subsequent adjustments that would then credit back to customers; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. By the way did anyone else have any other responsibility for reviewing and revising these estimates?
 - A. I don't think so.
 - Q. Did you have any responsibility for

reviewing the filings that she made with the Public Utilities Commission?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

- A. No, I did not review the actual filings.
- Q. Are you aware of whether anyone else had any responsibility for reviewing her filings with the Public Utilities Commission?
- A. I believe Larry occasionally would review it, but I can't be certain.
- Q. Do you know how the companies forecasted their gas purchases?
- A. My recollection is we would take a look at historical volumes and adjusted them for weather.
- Q. And who was responsible for making those forecasts?
 - A. I couldn't tell you.
- Q. Did Ms. Bates have any responsibility for making those forecasts?
 - A. I couldn't tell you.
- Q. Do you know if anyone provided that information to her for purposes of her making her estimates?
- A. I am sure she got our historical numbers from probably Mike Zappitello.
- Q. Mr. Smith, have you reviewed the GCR filings that are the subject of this case?

- A. No, I have not.
- Q. Have you reviewed staff's audit report in this case?
 - A. Pardon?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

23

24

25

- Q. Have you reviewed the staff's audit report in this case?
 - A. No, I have not.
- Q. Are you aware of the magnitude of the adjustments to the GCR mechanism the company had to make during this audit period?
- A. I know they were large. I know they were in excess of a million dollars.

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I would like to mark an exhibit to show the witness. I believe we are at Staff Exhibit 3; is that correct?

MR. SERIO: Your Honor, I think 1 was the financial audit. 2 was --

MR. MARGARD: Those are Commission ordered.

MR. SERIO: Those are Commission ordered.

EXAMINER FARKAS: I think the last Staff

22 Exhibit was Mr. Sarver's testimony. That was 2.

MR. MARGARD: Thank you.

May I approach, your Honor?

EXAMINER FARKAS: Yes.

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I have marked for purposes of identification Staff Exhibit 3 Gas
Cost Recovery Rate Calculation of Northeast Ohio
Natural Gas Corporation filed with the Commission on February 28, 2010.

EXAMINER FARKAS: So marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

- Q. Mr. Smith, are you familiar with this type of document?
 - A. I have seen it, but I don't review it.
- Q. I am going to represent to you, sir, as indicated on the stamp on this document a calculation that was, in fact, filed --
 - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. -- by the company by Ms. Dawn Opara it indicates at the bottom with respect to the company's GCR mechanism.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And I'm going to ask you to take a look at the actual adjustment summary calculation. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And do you see that there are four different quarterly adjustments indicated there?
 - A. Yes.

915 And all of those adjustments are 1 0. 2 negative? 3 Α. Correct. 4 What would that indicate to you based on Q. your familiarity with the GCR mechanism? 5 It would mean we have overcollected on 6 Α. GCR. 7 That you had overcollected on GCR. 8 Q. 9 Α. Uh-huh. 10 Ο. And indeed the total actual adjustment was on the magnitude of \$2.65 per Mcf? 11 12 Α. Uh-huh. But you're not aware, are you, sir, how 13 often during the audit period the actual adjustment 14 was negative as opposed to positive? 15 16 Α. No, I don't. 17 EXAMINER FARKAS: And you're talking about which audit period? 18 MR. MARGARD: Current audit period. 19 2.0 EXAMINER FARKAS: Thank you. 2.1 MR. MARGARD: Yes, thank you. 22 No, I am not. Α. This would have been a calculation for 23 Ο.

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

current audit period; is that your understanding?

the GCR that went into effect at the beginning of the

24

A. Uh-huh.

2.0

2.1

- Q. We could tell, couldn't we, certainly by looking at these filed rate calculations whether, in fact, the company was overcollecting or undercollecting its GCR?
- A. This one it would appear that we're overcollecting.
- Q. During what period was the company undercollecting, if you know?
- A. I did not review these forms. I reviewed what was on the general ledger.
- Q. Okay. Back to the revisions of the estimates -- and I assume you would have discussions with Ms. Bates when you would revise those estimates; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did you at any time tell her how the revision was being made?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you give her any instruction on how to use the over/under account in combination with the other information to arrive at a what you believe to be more appropriate calculation?
 - A. I don't know how I came to my conclusion.

 MR. MARGARD: I believe that's all the

```
questions I have for Mr. Smith.
```

2.1

EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. Why don't we take a 10-minute recess and come back.

(Recess taken.)

EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. Let's go back on the record.

MR. YURICK: Your Honor, the company has no redirect for Mr. Smith at this point, and I would renew my motion to admit Company's Exhibit No. 7, the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Tom Smith.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Any objection to the admission of Company Exhibit 7?

MR. SERIO: No objection, your Honor.

MR. MARGARD: No, your Honor.

EXAMINER FARKAS: It will be admitted.

(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

EXAMINER FARKAS: Thank you for your

testimony.

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, staff would respectfully move for the admission of Exhibit 3. We have received a request from the company that if we were to admit this exhibit, that we admit all of the pages of that exhibit as it indicates there it is page 1 of 11. We have no objection to that and what I would like to do is to hold off on a ruling on that

918 motion until we can provide all of those pages to the 1 2 parties. We will do that at the next break. 3 EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. Thank you. 4 MR. YURICK: Your Honor, if we are ready 5 to proceed --6 EXAMINER FARKAS: Yes. MR. YURICK: -- to the next witness, the 7 company would call Ms. Becky Howell. 8 9 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 10 (Witness sworn.) EXAMINER FARKAS: You may be seated. 11 12 13 REBECCA HOWELL being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 14 examined and testified as follows: 15 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 By Mr. Yurick: 18 Ms. Howell, could you please state your Q. 19 name and spell your last name for the record. 20 Rebecca Howell, H-O-W-E-L-L. Α. 2.1 Ο. And, Ms. Howell, are you employed by Gas 22 Natural? I'm employed by Gas Natural, yes. 23 Α.

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

EXAMINER FARKAS: You will have to speak

24

25

Ο.

Okay.

up too, please.

2.1

THE WITNESS: Okay.

- Q. And is it your purpose here to offer testimony on behalf of Gas Natural, Incorporated?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Showing you what's been marked Company Exhibit 8, is that a copy of your prefiled rebuttal testimony filed herein in this GCR case?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And was that testimony completed by you or at your direction?
 - A. Yes, it was.
- Q. And if I asked you the questions that were posed in your re -- Company's Exhibit 8, would your answers be the same as you sit here today?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have any corrections or modifications, additions to your testimony?
- A. Yes. Originally the first page of Exhibit 2 needs to become now the first page of Exhibit 1. And the second page of Exhibit 2 had an incomplete document so there was a second page for Exhibit 2 added to make it complete.
- Q. Now, showing you what's been marked
 Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2 to your testimony, are those

the correct exhibits?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- A. Yes, they are.
- Q. Okay. So if I were to substitute in your prefiled testimony Company Exhibit 8 for -- at tab 1 at Exhibit 1 and tab 2 at Exhibit 2, would that correct the exhibits to your testimony?
 - A. Yes, it would.
- Q. Now, showing you what's been marked now Company's Exhibit 8, is that your complete and corrected rebuttal testimony filed on behalf of the company in this GCR case?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And, again, if I were to ask you the questions set forth therein, your answers would be the same today, correct?
 - A. Correct.

MR. YURICK: At this point the company would move the admission of Company Exhibit 8 and would tender the witness for cross-examination, your Honor.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Thank you.

You may proceed.

MR. SERIO: Thank you, your Honor.

_ _ _

CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 By Mr. Serio:

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- 3 Q. Good morning, Ms. Howell.
 - A. Good morning.
 - Q. Your testimony indicates that you are currently employed by Gas Natural. What is your current position?
 - A. I'm currently working on the software conversion for the company.
 - Q. You're not controller any more?
 - A. No, I am not.
 - Q. Okay. When you testified two weeks ago, you were controller for Gas Natural, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. So when did that change occur?
 - A. Last Monday.
 - Q. Was that something that was planned, your no longer being controller for Gas Natural?
 - A. I moved over to the position. We had a position to lead up the team for the big software conversion, the two-year project, and I chose to move over to that.
- Q. So this was not an unexpected change on your part?
- 25 A. No.

- Q. Is there another controller for Gas Natural that's taking your place now?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- O. And who is that?
- A. Don Whiteman.
- Q. And is Mr. Whiteman someone that was previously employed by Gas Natural, or is he a new employee?
 - A. New employee.
- Q. And as controller for Gas Natural, he'll oversee the controllers for the Ohio LDCs, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Has the new controller for Gas Natural started yet?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And was that Monday --
 - A. Monday.
- Q. Now, counsel indicated that you were -the question to you that you were testifying on
 behalf of Gas Natural, but your testimony says you're
 testifying on behalf of Northeast Ohio and Orwell.
 So can you clarify?
- A. They are subsidiaries of Gas Natural so testifying on their behalf for this -- this hearing.
 - Q. Now, you're responding to the testimony

- from Ms. Lipnis and not Ms. Bates, correct?
- 2 Α. Correct.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

- And you indicate that when you worked Q. with Ms. Lipnis, you were at that time an employee of Cobra Pipeline, correct?
 - I was an employee of Cobra Pipeline.
- Q. And, in fact, you were the president of Cobra Pipeline?
 - Α. Correct.
- And Cobra Pipeline when you were Ο. interacting with Ms. Lipnis was actually selling services to Northeast and Orwell, correct?
- I really didn't have interaction with 13 Α. Ms. -- with Ms. Lipnis. 14
 - Okay. So you did not have much Q. interaction with her at all.
 - Α. No.
 - So when it says you would periodically Ο. assist, how often did that occur?
- During the time that I was the president, Α. 2.1 one time that I can think of.
 - Q. I'm sorry?
 - A. One time --
- 24 O. One time?
- 25 Α. -- that I can think of.

- Q. So instead of periodically you assisted once --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. -- correct? And so --
- A. Clarify once that I physically went over there and helped, yes.
- Q. Okay. And when you say during that time period, what time period are you talking about?
- A. I don't know the exact date. I know when I moved over as president was in March of 2010.
- Q. So March of 2010 through approximately when?
 - A. I was president until October of 2012.
 - Q. So roughly a two-and-a-half-year period.
 - A. Is when I was president.
- Q. And you assisted her one time when there was a question about the GCR filing.
- A. Periodically Dawn would send me other e-mail questions but very minor questions. I mainly just assisted on one.
- Q. Now, is Dawn the only one that would ever ask for your assistance, or did Ms. Lipnis also ask for your assistance?
 - A. No, she did not.
 - Q. I'm sorry?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

A. Ms. Lipnis did not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. So any time you were asked to assist, that request came from Dawn Opara, correct?
- A. Actually Tom Smith would ask me to help her.
- Q. Okay. So on page 1 of your testimony, on line 21 when you say you periodically would be asked to assist, those requests would come from either Mr. Smith or Ms. Opara.
 - A. Mainly Mr. Smith.
- Q. And that was during that two-and-a-half-year period when you were president of Cobra.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So while you were president of Cobra the president of Northeast would ask you to help his people do the GCR filing.
- A. Not often but at times. Not help to prepare it, it was to review.
- Q. Okay. And to the extent that you were reviewing the GCR filings, did you ever give advice on whether there should be changes made to the filing?
 - A. No. I would just help them to review it.
 - Q. So you would review it and basically

indicate that what you saw was okay.

2.1

- A. Okay or not okay, yes.
- Q. Okay. All right. So if you indicated there was a concern, do you know if they ever made modifications to the GCR filing as a result of your concerns?
- A. After I left, no, I do not because I wouldn't stay there and watch them file it.

EXAMINER FARKAS: What were you reviewing when they asked you to review the GCR filings?

THE WITNESS: The one particular time I went over I know their gas cost I believe it was like at \$3, and they knew something was wrong because they said the gas cost was higher than that so they asked me to come over and look at it.

EXAMINER FARKAS: And so what documents did you look at?

THE WITNESS: I should say the estimated gas costs.

EXAMINER FARKAS: What document did you look at when you reviewed the documents?

THE WITNESS: The only thing I looked at was their past gas costs because they were saying it was higher than so I looked historically at their past gas costs.

EXAMINER FARKAS: And what did you look at to get that information?

2.1

THE WITNESS: On their over/under schedule there is a place where it shows what their actual gas cost had been historically.

EXAMINER FARKAS: So you as an employee of Cobra got access to Northeast's information.

THE WITNESS: To review for that particular period, yes.

EXAMINER FARKAS: All right. Thank you.

- Q. Now, the information that you looked at, did that include information regarding projected sales?
- A. No. The only thing I looked at was their expected gas costs.
- Q. And the expected gas costs would include any of the costs that came -- that flowed from Cobra to Northeast and Orwell, correct?
 - A. I didn't look at that in detail, no.
- Q. But that would -- that information would have been included in those -- in that information that you had access to.
- A. I didn't see that information. I only saw the over/under schedule to show what their gas costs were historically.

- Q. Was that information regarding

 Cobra billings to Northeast and Orwell part of the

 GCR calculation?
- A. That I don't know. I wasn't doing that calculation.
- Q. Now, it says here that in your testimony that Ms. Lipnis also worked on the GCR filings. What was her role working on the GCR filings?
 - A. I do not know that.

2.1

- Q. Was there anybody else at Northeast or Orwell that could look at the GCR filing and provide the same level of review that you provided?
 - A. I don't know that either.
- Q. Do you know why Mr. Smith would ask you, a president of a supplier to Northeast, instead of going in-house to ask one of the other Northeast employees?
 - A. No, I do not.
- Q. To the extent you were employed by Cobra as their president, did you find it unusual an LDC customer of yours would ask them to review your GCR filings?
- A. I just was helping out because they asked me to.
 - Q. Does Cobra provide service for any LDC in

Ohio other than Northeast, Orwell, or Brainard?

- A. What do you mean by provide service?
- Q. Are there any other LDCs that take service from Cobra?
 - A. No.

2.1

- Q. Now, Dawn Opara that you mention in your testimony, there was some confusion two weeks ago regarding Dawn's last name. Are there any other Dawns employed by Northeast or Orwell, or is she the only Dawn that you are aware of?
 - A. She's the only Dawn I am aware of.
- Q. Sorry anywhere in the record there is a reference to Dawn, it's your understanding that would be Dawn Opara?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, on page 2 of your testimony, you indicate if you were assisting with a review of the company's GCR, you would review GCR estimates to the best of your ability. What do you mean by that?
- A. Exactly what I did when I assisted her that day, I had heard the gas costs she had calculated was \$3. I went back and looked at what their gas costs had been running in the past and tried to help her in that way to the best of my ability.

- Q. Did you -- did you tell her that the numbers she was using were incorrect?
- A. I did not get into that much information with her, no. That wasn't my position.
 - Q. What exactly did you tell her?
- A. This was a conversation from two years ago. I just know I told her her gas cost -- her expected gas costs appeared to be low compared to what their gas costs had been running historically.
- Q. I notice that you're testifying regarding this incident, and Ms. Noce is testifying regarding this incident, but Ms. Opara is not testifying regarding this incident. Do you know why?
 - A. I do not know why.
- Q. Now, you indicated that you would never personally report any false number in your testimony. If you are told to make a modification to a filing by senior management, would you put the numbers that senior management gave you into the filing?

MR. YURICK: Objection, your Honor.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Basis?

MR. YURICK: She's a fact witness, and he is asking her a hypothetical so I don't think it's appropriate for her to answer.

EXAMINER FARKAS: I'll let her answer it

2.1

if she has an opinion.

2.1

- A. I would never put any information that I didn't think was factual or accurate into a filing.
- Q. If you were told to put different numbers in, you would verify that those were correct numbers before you would use them?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, when you were doing the GCR, were you ever instructed to change any of the numbers that you were using in the GCR?
 - A. I was never filing a GCR.

MR. MARGARD: I'm sorry. Can I have that answer repeated, please?

EXAMINER FARKAS: She said she never filed a GCR. Is that accurate?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- Q. So what's the basis for your expertise to review a GCR filing if you never did a GCR filing?
- A. I really only played a part in the expected gas costs on that filing, and I was going back to check the historical cost prior to that time to make sure it was in line or not.
- Q. So was your function more of just looking at numbers and comparing numbers from the filing to numbers that you were aware of somewhere else or was

there any --

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 2 A. That wasn't my function to check the GCR.
 - Q. Prior to becoming president of Cobra, did you work for Northeast and Orwell?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what was your job with Northeast and Orwell?
 - A. I was a controller.
 - Q. And as controller, what was your interaction with the GCR process?
- 11 A. I did not check those at the time;
 12 Mr. Smith did. He approved them.
 - Q. As the controller of Northeast and Orwell, did you consider yourself the senior accountant for the company?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And is it your understanding that GCR filing is an accounting calculation?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Yet you never reviewed the accounting calculation as controller?
 - A. Because I knew Mr. Smith was doing it.
- O. Mr. Smith as what?
- A. Well, as president, I guess I said CFO
 but president. There was a time he was CFO and then

president.

2.1

- Q. Didn't reviewing GCR filings come under your responsibility as controller?
 - A. No.
- Q. So what was your responsibility as controller?
- A. To review financial statements and present financial statements accurately.
- Q. But the GCR filings were not financial statements that you had reviewed.
 - A. No.
- Q. Now, at the bottom of page 2 you indicate -- the question is are you aware of any time during the audit period when Northeast's Actual Adjustment was incorrect?" And then you indicate that there was one instance where you were made aware of it and that you informed staff. So you're saying that that's -- the one incident you made staff aware of is the only incident during the time period that you were president for Cobra, when you were reviewing GCR filings, that was the only instance where you are aware of that there was any problem with the actual adjustment?
- A. Actually Dawn Opara made them aware of that and just asked me for advice on that and if

there was any accuracy -- or any changes I needed to make to her letter for the adjustment and it was an actual adjustment that we were -- that she found error in that we were reducing down for the error.

- Q. Okay. If you could turn to your Exhibit

 2. At the top of the page this is an e-mail from

 Dawn Opara to Becky Howell. It says "For NEO, in

 September, I used the sales accrual from Anita's

 sheets," and Anita would be Ms. Noce?
 - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. And what would her sheets refer to?
- A. I don't know what she was talking about there, her sheets.
- Q. Did you respond to Ms. Opara that you didn't know what she was referring to with those sheets?
- A. I don't believe I responded after this e-mail, no.
- Q. So to the extent it says "after it was already filed," do you know what the "it" was referring to?
 - A. In which part are you reading?
- Q. The sentence -- next sentence begins

 "After it was already filed, it was determined that

 it was incorrect." So what was the first "it" that

was already filed?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- A. I can't put words in her mouth, but I'm assuming the GCR filing since that's --
- Q. Is that what you assumed from this e-mail when you got it?
 - A. Correct, since that's the subject line.
- Q. So your understanding from this e-mail was this GCR filing had already been made but that she was told to leave it alone rather than correcting it.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. That's what you understood. And the "he," who is that referring to?
 - A. Mr. Sarver.
- Q. Now, there's an e-mail below that from

 Becky Howell to Dawn Opara and it says "For the

 audit, I ran the sales reports using" and then

 there's a less than greater than and the letter T and
 an asterisk.
 - A. Correct.
 - O. What does that mean?
- A. It means not equal to and the rate code is -- we have rate codes that start with T for transportation and anything after the T.
 - Q. It says that you had been using that

- since you started here. When did you start here? What was the timeframe?
- A. That is Dawn's saying she had been using that since she started.
- Q. Oh, okay. So -- all right. Let's try going to the end of the e-mail string and go forward. Maybe it will be easier to follow it that way. The last e-mail -- or the first one in this stream was from you to Ms. Opara dated Monday, November 29, at 12:38 p.m., correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you're asking Dawn "why are we having differences between what is getting filed on the GCR for sales volumes and the GCR audit?"
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, when you say we, who are you referring to, the "we" there?
 - A. Just the company, that's all.
- Q. And the company you are referring to is Northeast and Orwell.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Not Cobra.
- 23 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

Q. So when you say "we," you meant the other two companies and not yourself on behalf of Cobra.

A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. And then the next sentence says "When we run the daily invoicing report at the time of the filing," the "we," again, that refers to just Northeast and Orwell and not you as part of Cobra.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Then it says "It should be the exact same report with the exact same numbers that are run months or years later." What do you mean by that?
- A. Meaning if they are trying to get the sales figures, when you run the daily invoicing report, if you run it today or if you run it two years from now, it should be the same figure.
- Q. All right. Then your next sentence says "Is someone back-posting to prior months again?"
 - A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. What is back-posting?
- A. Mr. Sarver and I found that in our audit from 2008 where if the billing should have truly belonged into the prior period, it could be the first day of the next month, and they would post it back to the prior period knowing where that would belong. It would sometimes mess up our sales figures.
 - Q. Now, when you say --
 - A. So we corrected that so they could no

longer do that, locked them out of that.

2.1

- Q. When you said going back to the 2008 audit, did you mean the audit that was the subject of the 10-209 and 10-212 case?
 - A. Yeah, I'm not sure.
 - Q. Or the one prior to that?
- A. I am not sure if it was the 2006 audit or '08 audit. I can't recall which one it was.
- Q. So to the extent you're questioning back-posting again, there was back-posting that was occurring prior to the 2009 audit.
- A. I don't recall if it was the '6 audit or the '8 audit, but we had corrected that and locked all the users out so they could no longer back-post. Here I was questioning are they able to do that again. I did not know because I did not work on that side at the time.
- Q. Okay. I need to get my time references straight. The current audit case is the Docket No. 12-209 meaning it was the 2012 audit, correct?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And the prior case that we had two years ago was the 10-209. That meant it was the 2010 audit so you're referring to audits that were prior to the last one, correct?

- A. Prior to this one, yeah.
- Q. Okay. You keep saying this one.
 - A. 2012.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Was it prior to the 2010 audit also?
- A. I don't recall if it was the '8 audit or the '10 audit. I would have to go back and look.
- Q. Okay. So the problem with individuals back-posting was supposed to be taken care of.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And the Larry that you referred to is Mr. Brainard?
 - A. No. That is our software programmer.
- Q. Okay. So he -- it was his job to lock out so that no one could go back and back-post prior months' data.
- A. When I was there during the audit with Mr. Sarver, yes, I did ask the programmer to lock people out so they could not back-post. After I left, I don't know what happened with that. I don't remember.
- Q. Is it possible that back posting was still occurring after that -- after you left?
 - A. I have no idea.
 - Q. Now, the next e-mail up is from Dawn to

you dated Monday, November 29, at 1:28 p.m. So that's about an hour after the first e-mail here, correct?

A. Correct.

2.0

- Q. And there she's attaching the Orwell March, 2009, I assume a filing as an example?
- A. Yeah. I don't recall what she attached on there, but I know there was discussion on the free gas because we were trying to take out the free gas and correct it because it was in prior audits put in in error.
- Q. So the free gas error was the second error that had been occurring and the back posting was the first one and those are both supposed to be taken care of.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, there's a figure of \$98,213.5 and a figure \$97,964. Do you know if those are Mcf or dekatherms?
 - A. I don't know that.
- Q. And those are supposed to be the same number, correct?
 - A. I don't know that either.
- Q. You don't know that either. Okay. Now, at the bottom of page 1 of Exhibit 2, there's an

e-mail from you to Dawn dated November 29 at 1:41 p.m. so this is about 20 minutes after you got the message from Ms. Opara, correct?

A. Correct.

2.0

2.1

- Q. And yours is saying you cannot run a daily invoicing report with the filter on Orwell.

 And you're explaining that filter would include large general transportation, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And if it included large general transportation, that would skew the numbers because large general transportation customers is not a part of the GCR, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Then you say you will need to specify each GCR rate code that Orwell has for your filter. What do you mean by that?
- A. That means those are specifically just rate codes for GCR customers.
- Q. And then your last line "I figured the main difference was free gas" so it's your assumption that the difference between the 98,000 and the 97,000 is free gas.
- A. Yeah. I figured at the time that -- or I was guessing at the time maybe we were off by what

the error was at the time of free gas before we were correcting that error.

- Q. Now, there's no -- there's no follow-up from Ms. Opara back to you in this exchange of e-mails listed here, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So what happened with this situation?
 Anything more that you are aware of?
 - A. No.

2.1

- Q. So do you know if it, in fact, was free gas? Do you know what the resolution -- how it was resolved?
 - A. I do not.
- Q. Then the two e-mails at the top of page 1 of Exhibit 2, those are related to each other but they are a separate incident than the e-mail stream at the bottom of page 1 carrying over onto page 2, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And the bottom of -- the second e-mail on page 1 of Exhibit 2 from Dawn to you dated Tuesday,
 March 15, at 9:02 a.m., that is Ms. Opara asking you a question, correct?
- A. I think she is just telling me she was running her sales reports at the time.

- Q. Why was she informing you of how she was running sales reports?
- A. At that point I was assisting with the 2010 audit.
- Q. Even though at this time you were -- you were president of Cobra.
- A. Right. Because I believe they asked me to assist since I was the controller at the time of the audit.
- Q. When you say "they asked me to assist," Mr. Smith again?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

EXAMINER FARKAS: Was that a frequent occurrence when you were there that Mr. Smith would ask you as an officer of one company to assist employees of another company in preparing GCR information?

THE WITNESS: That wasn't -- I wouldn't say a frequent thing. I think at the time it was because I was the controller of that company during that audit that he asked me that.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Did it happen more than just in this --

THE WITNESS: No.

EXAMINER FARKAS: -- occasion?

- Q. (By Mr. Serio) Now, I believe that you indicated when you testified previously that your office was actually located in the same building as the LDCs when you were working for the Cobra Pipeline, right?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. You were not located in the same building?
 - A. No.
- Q. So any communication that you had with Ms. Opara or with Ms. Lipnis would have occurred over the phone or through e-mail?
- A. Yeah, just e-mail mostly, sometimes over the phone.
- Q. You were in the hearing last -- two weeks ago when the company introduced the code of conduct as an exhibit in this case?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Are you familiar with the code of conduct?
- A. Not with all the material in it but the general.
- Q. Did you sign a copy of that code of conduct?
- 25 A. I believe I did.

- Q. And when you were -- up until last week when you were controller for Gas Natural, who was it that you understood to be in charge of enforcing the code of conduct or making sure that all Gas Natural employees and their subsidiaries had adhered to the code of conduct?
 - A. That I don't know.
- Q. Who asked you to sign the code of conduct?
 - A. It was given to me in my HR packet.
 - Q. Did you ask who would be in charge of --
 - A. I did not.

2.1

- Q. -- enforcing it? As a controller, is one of the functions that you had to make sure the transactions between affiliates were done in an arm's length manner?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So to that extent the code of conduct would have been consistent with what your job as controller should do?
- A. That falls into compliance, not under controller.
- Q. If as controller you found an incident that you thought was improper, is that something that would have fallen under your responsibility, or would

you have taken that to someone else?

- A. I would have taken it to someone else.
- Q. Who would that have been?
- A. Mr. Smith.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Now, in February of 2011, you were the controller and secretary for John D. Oil and Gas Marketing, correct?
- A. That was the -- in the legal documents what I was. I wasn't the working controller, no.
- Q. What's the difference between the legal controller and the working controller?
- A. I was listed as the controller, as an officer, but I did not keep the books of John D. Marketing, no. That was Mr. Kobani, Jeff Kobani, that Mr. Smith spoke of.
- Q. Who did you report to when you were controller and secretary for John D. Oil and Gas?
 - A. I guess Mr. Osborne at that time.
- Q. Was there a president for John D. Oil and Gas Marketing?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And who would that have been?
- A. I believe it was Mr. Smith.
- Q. So you were the legal controller for John -- and secretary for John D. Oil and Gas

Marketing, Mr. Smith was the president, but you reported to Mr. Osborne.

A. Yes.

2.1

MR. SERIO: Could I approach, your Honor?

EXAMINER FARKAS: Yes.

MR. SERIO: Your Honor, I think 21 is the next number.

EXAMINER FARKAS: I think you are 22.

MR. SERIO: 22. I would like to have marked for purposes of identification as OCC Exhibit No. 22, it's part of but not the complete set of supplemental responses to the OCC from the company. It's the cover page, the signature page, and then it's one of the attached contracts that was provided in response to OCC Interrogatory 50.

EXAMINER FARKAS: It's so marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

Q. If you could take a look at that attached contract, Ms. Howell.

Okay. This is the -- this "Gas Sales

Contract Supercedes Prior Contract" and then the "New

Identifier: JOHND2008-INTERSTATEsales-LDCs #1.1.1."

And it's a three-page contract, and on the second

page of that contract under John D. Oil & Gas

Marketing, LLC, that's your signature, correct?

A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. So you were the legal controller, and you were also signing contracts on behalf of John D. Oil and Gas Marketing, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, did John D. Oil and Gas Marketing have other employees other than yourself and the other gentleman that you mentioned a couple minutes ago whose name I don't recall now?
- A. Mike Zappitello was a direct employee of John D. Marketing. I was on Orwell Trumbull Pipeline's payroll, and they disbursed payroll on time spent on each company.
- Q. So you're listed as the controller to

 John D. Oil and Gas Marketing, but you were paid by

 Orwell Trumbull Pipeline.
- A. Yeah. I was -- I wasn't the true controller at the time, Jeff Kobani was, but all of our payroll went through Orwell Trumbull Pipeline, and we did a disbursement based on time.
- Q. Okay. You said you weren't the real controller yet when you signed this contract, your title was controller.
- A. That's what it is in the legal documents, yes.

- Q. And at the time this contract was signed Mr. Osborne owned J.D. Oil and Gas Marketing, LLC, correct?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. Now, at this time when you signed this contract February 23, 2011, were you also employed by Gas Natural as controller at that time?
 - A. No, I was not.
- Q. So you became controller for Gas Natural after this contract was signed, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And Mr. Smith signed this contract on behalf of the three LDCs as president. Now, you indicated that Mr. Zappitello was also employed by John D. Oil and Gas Marketing. And what was his position with John D. Oil and Gas Marketing at that time?
 - A. He was the manager.
- MR. SERIO: May I have just a moment, your Honor?
 - EXAMINER FARKAS: Yes.
 - Q. If you recall, OCC had an exhibit we cross-examined you with two weeks ago, it was OCC Exhibit 7. It was a number of different brokerage and interstate contracts. There are about 17 of

them. Do you recall that package of contracts?

- A. I recall looking at them, yes.
- Q. And do you recall that there were a number of those different contracts that had Mr. Zappitello listed on the contract and it wasn't clear -- you weren't clear if he was employed by other entities such as Mentor Energy Resources Company or OsAir?
- A. I'm clear he was an employee of John D. Marketing but not the other companies, no.
- Q. You know that he was not employed by those companies?
 - A. I do not know that.
- Q. Okay. So when you signed those contracts with Mr. Zappitello, your understanding was he was only -- what was your understanding with his role when you signed different contracts with him?
 - A. My understanding of his role?
- Q. Did you understand that he was only employed by John D. Oil and Gas Marketing?
 - A. Yes, that was my understanding.
- Q. So to the extent that the contract lists other company names, do you have any explanation to what might account for that?
 - A. No.

2.1

- Q. At the time you were controller for John D. Oil and Gas Marketing, did you report to Mr. Osborne, Rick Osborne?
 - A. Yes.

MR. SERIO: Could I approach, your Honor?

EXAMINER FARKAS: Yes.

- Q. I'm going to show you OCC Exhibit No. 7.

 I'm going to show you contract No. 7 from that. The contract No. 7 was signed by you as corporate controller for Gas Natural, Inc., on November 28, 2012, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And that was a contract between John D. Resources, LLC, and Gas Natural Service Company.

 Now, can you tell me who John D. Resources, LLC, who they are compared to John D. Oil and Gas Marketing or John D. Oil and Gas Exploration?
- A. It's my understanding they are a producer.
- Q. And this producer was owned by Mr. Osborne also, correct?
 - A. I don't know who the owners are of John D. Resources.
- Q. Well, the contract that you signed with them lists Mr. Osborne as president, correct?

1 A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. And that's the same Mr. Osborne that was your boss that you reported to as controller for Gas Natural, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So you were signing the contract for your boss.
- A. I didn't actually see him sign this but, yes, I did sign this contract.
- Q. So you were signing a contract with your boss, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And to the extent there is other contracts in OCC Exhibit 7 similar to this one where you've signed and Mr. Osborne has signed, all those contracts you are signing with your boss, correct?
- A. Signing with him as president of whatever company, correct.
- Q. Now, when you signed those contracts, did you have the ability to refuse to sign the contract on behalf of Gas Natural if you felt the contract was not a good contract for customers of Gas Natural who ended up being Northeast and Orwell?
 - A. I guess I could have refused, yes.
 - Q. Did you ever refuse to sign one of those

contracts?

2.1

- A. No.
- Q. And why not?
- A. Based on history and everything that was witnessed in the past, it was determined that it was the best cost gas for us.
- Q. And that history includes everything that happened in the 2010 audit, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So to the extent that in the 2010 audit there were instances where there was concern about the price that Northeast and Orwell were paying to affiliates, it didn't cause you to have concern that you were continuing to sign additional contracts with affiliates owned by your boss?

MR. YURICK: Your Honor.

A. That's not true.

MR. YURICK: Your Honor, I'm going to object. No. 1, I don't think that was her testimony; but, No. 2, I'm trying to be polite and respectful of counsel and not interpose a lot of objections if I can think of any tangential even connection to her rebuttal testimony but, your Honor, with all due respect to opposing counsel, I think we're going very far afield at this point of this -- the topic of the

rebuttal testimony.

2.1

So there's two bases for my objection.

No. 1, I don't think that the witness said anything like what counsel has represented; but, No. 2, I think we're getting pretty far off of her rebuttal testimony at this point.

MR. SERIO: Your Honor, again, the heart of the testimony gets to the credibility of the witness, and to the extent that we have instances here where the witness was signing contracts on behalf of Northeast and Orwell with her boss and she's saying it's based on history and that history includes contracts in the last audit case were found to be not in the best interest of customers, I think that goes directly to the credibility of the witness.

Why don't -- would you read the question back.

(Record read.)

A. At the time I signed them, it was my understanding that an RFP had gone out and the RFP was a good RFP and I could sign those contracts on behalf of Gas Natural.

MR. SERIO: That's all I have, your

Proceedings 955 Honor. 1 2 Thank you, Ms. Howell. 3 EXAMINER FARKAS: Mr. Margard. 4 MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor. 5 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION 7 By Mr. Margard: 8 Q. Good morning, Ms. Howell. 9 A. Good morning. 10 Q. Just to be clear, you've been present 11 throughout this hearing, correct? 12 Α. Correct. And your rebuttal testimony is intended 13 to rebut those portions of testimony you have heard 14 with which you disagree; is that correct? 15 16 Α. Correct. 17 Within the scope of your responsibilities Q. of the companies. Now, you indicated to Mr. Serio 18 you have a new job, but I didn't hear a title. Do 19 20 you have a title for this job?

A. It's just a coordinator for our software project.

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And can you describe the nature of this software project?
 - A. We're converting our entire company into

new accounting and billing software. It's been in the works for a while, and we are just getting it off the ground.

- O. And what is this new software?
- A. It's called Cogsdale.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

EXAMINER FARKAS: Can you spell that?

THE WITNESS: C-O-G-S-D-A-L-E.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Thank you.

- Q. And when was the last software conversion done?
- A. There has not been one done that I know of. Everyone is operating on their own software, each market.
- Q. When we say everyone, are we talking about all of the related entities?
 - A. All the subsidiaries of Gas Natural.
- Q. So this is intended to put all of the subsidiaries on the same software; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Who -- who informed you of the change in position?
 - A. I volunteered.
- Q. You volunteered. I thought that's what you had said, but I wanted to make sure that was what I had heard. Now, you indicate that Mr. Donald

Whiteman has assumed your position as controller; is that correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- Q. Are you acquainted with Mr. Whiteman?
- A. Not much, no.
- Q. Are you acquainted with his background and experience?
 - A. No.
- Q. Are you aware whether he has any experience at all with respect to natural gas?
 - A. That I don't know for sure.
- Q. Are you aware whether he has any experience at all with respect to public regulation?
 - A. No.
- Q. You're aware that the companies have made a filing indicating that there has been directors and principal officers?
- A. I know there was going to be a filing. I didn't know if it was filed yet.
 - Q. You haven't seen the filing?
- 21 A. No.
 - Q. You weren't provided with a copy of it?
- A. I don't believe I was, no.
- Q. Do you know what experience Mr. Whiteman has as a corporate controller?

- A. I do not know his experience.
- Q. Do you know if he's a CPA or if he has any accounting background at all whatsoever?
- A. I know he has an accounting background. I am not sure if he is a CPA.
- Q. I want to talk about the accounting software. You were present when testimony was offered that you while president of Cobra Pipeline had access to the financial accounting software of the local distribution companies, were you not? You were present?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And you did, in fact, have such access; is that correct?
 - A. For a short period.
 - Q. And during what period?
 - A. When they were in transition trying to find a new controller.
 - Q. Can you be more specific with respect to dates?
 - A. I don't even recall the dates.
 - Q. When they were transitioning from which controller to which controller?
 - A. I believe it was after Sue Lagoni.
 - Q. And the controller who replaced her was?

A. I am not sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And did you, in fact, while serving as president of Cobra Pipeline access that accounting, books and records of the local distribution companies?
- A. I could get in there and see things. I could not really change anything. I was in a view only mode.
- Q. I understand that that's what you are indicating your capabilities were. Did you, in fact, access that information, financial information?
- A. In a view only mode when I was asked to, yes.
- Q. You say you were when you were asked to. Is that the only time you accessed it?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And who would ask you to access that information?
 - A. Mr. Smith.
 - Q. And for what purpose?
- A. Just if they were -- because they were without a controller at the time just answer a question or help with anything they needed help with.
- Q. Now, Mr. Brainard is no longer the controller of the Ohio utilities, correct?

960 Correct. 1 Α. 2 Q. Has a replacement been named for him? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. And who would that be? Her name is Lori. 5 Α. Lori? 6 Q. 7 Α. Yeah. 8 Q. Do you know her last name? 9 Stevens, I believe. Lori Stevens, I Α. believe. 10 Did she come from within or from without 11 Ο. 12 the Gas Natural family? 13 Α. Without but I did not play a part in hiring her so. 14 Q. Have you met her? 15 16 Α. I have met her. 17 Were you -- did you have any involvement Q. in her hiring? 18 19 No, I did not. 20 Do you know what her educational or work Q. 2.1 background is? 22 Α. I do not. 23 Q. Do you know whether she has any 24 experience with the natural gas industry?

A. I do not know that.

- Q. We have had some discussions about
 Ms. Opara. Does Ms. Opara still work for Gas
 Natural?
 - A. Yes, she does.
 - Q. And do you know for which entity she specifically works?
 - A. I know for most of her time was for one of our out-of-state entities.
 - Q. And she works in the Mentor office; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And by the way which office do you now work in?
 - A. The Mentor office.
 - Q. So you work in the same office?
- 16 A. Correct.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. You have attached a couple of documents to your testimony that involved communications between you and Ms. Opara. Are these the sum total of the communications relating to these issues in which you were included?
- A. I don't know if that's all of them or not.
- Q. And to the best of your knowledge, these only represent communications between you and

- Ms. Opara and would not necessarily include communications between Ms. Opara and others in the companies; is that correct?
- A. Correct. I don't know what her communication was with -- with others.
- Q. In preparing your rebuttal testimony, did you make any inquiry with anyone as to whether there were any other communications?
 - A. I did not.

2.1

- Q. You relied solely on the direct communications that you had with Ms. Opara; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, you have testified previously with respect to your experience with GCRs, but I want to make sure we have our timelines straight. What experience do you have in the presentation of GCR filings?
- A. I do not -- I wasn't involved with them when I was controller. Whoever would prepare them would take them to Mr. Smith for approval.
- Q. Have you yourself ever prepared a GCR filing for any of these entities?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Have you been responsible for providing

- information that was input into those calculations?
- 2 A. No.

1

5

6

7

8

15

- Q. But you were asked from time to time to review them; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And you were asked by Mr. Smith to review them?
 - A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Were you ever asked by anyone else to review GCR filings?
- 11 A. No.
- Q. You were present today during Mr. Smith's testimony?
- 14 A. Yes.
 - Q. And you have no reasons to dispute anything that he said this morning?
- A. By what he says I have no reason to dispute.
- 19 Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear.
- 20 A. No, I do not. Sorry.
- Q. Sirens again. Thank you. You have heard -- been present throughout the testimony today.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And you have heard reference to the GCR estimate.

1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Are you acquainted with what the estimate is? Can you define that for us?
- A. It's what you expect your gas cost to be going forward.
- Q. When you say gas cost, are there components of that cost or are --
- A. For your EGC is just what you -- your best estimate of what you believe your expected gas costs would be in the future.
- Q. Okay. When you see EGC, expected gas cost, do you know how the expected gas cost component of the GCR is calculated?
- A. It's based off your sales and a NYMEX price, NYMEX plus price.
- Q. NYMEX and sales. And what period of sales?
- A. For the -- I think the historical past year.
- Q. The historical past year? Is there any component of gas volume that's included as part of that calculation?
- A. It's based on your sales times your NYMEX prices for your expected gas costs.
 - Q. That's the entirety of the EGC

- calculation as you understand it?
- A. Yes, as I understand it.
 - Q. Okay. Now, you indicate historical.

 Need it be based strictly on historical figures or could it be based on projected figures?
 - A. That I don't know.
 - Q. Do you know whether the company ever explored trends or forecasted trends in gas prices?
 - A. That I do not know.
 - Q. And I take it that you had no involvement in training anyone in the calculation of the GCR?
 - A. I did not, no.
 - Q. Or in how the GCR is to be reported.
- A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. And you had no involvement with any of the filings that have been made to the Commission with respect to the GCR.
 - A. No.
- Q. As controller, what responsibility did you have with respect to internal audits?
 - A. To internal audits?
 - Q. Yes, ma'am.
- A. I would work with the auditors as well as our staff accountants, the outside -- or outside auditors, I should say.

- 1 Q. Thank you. You're familiar with Sarbanes 2 Oxley.
 - A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

- Q. Who on behalf of the local distribution companies is responsible for compliance with Sarbanes Oxley?
 - A. That I do not know.
- Q. Okay. Who with respect to Gas Natural is responsible for Sarbanes Oxley compliance?
- 10 A. I don't know who is ultimately responsible.
 - Q. You were also president at Orwell Trumbull Pipeline, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And Orwell Trumbull Pipeline serves which of these distribution companies?
 - A. Orwell Natural Gas.
 - Q. Just Orwell. Am I correct in understanding that Orwell's service from Orwell Trumbull is based on a contractual relationship?
 - A. I believe they have a contract.
 - Q. And the rates that they are charged are based on that contract; is that correct?
- A. It's a tariff rate. In Orwell Trumbull's contracts the tariff has to be -- the rates are

approved through the contracts.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. I want to make sure that we're taking -that I'm clear. Orwell Trumbull Pipeline has
 tariffs, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And tariff rates with respect to the transportation of natural gas.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Do they also have contractual relationships charging different rates?
 - A. I wasn't in charge of all the contracts so I'm not sure. I don't recall.
 - Q. Are you aware of any contractual relationship between Orwell and Orwell Trumbull?
 - A. I know we charge them the tariff rate.
 - Q. It's your understanding that Orwell Trumbull charges the tariff rate to Orwell Natural Gas.
 - A. I believe so, yes.
 - Q. And has always?
 - A. As far as I know.
 - Q. As in your various roles with the companies and based on your relationship and history of dealings with the Public Utilities Commission, are you aware of which transactions require Commission

approval and which do not?

2.1

- A. For which company are we speaking of?
- Q. Well, let's talk specifically about -maybe I should ask it this way, is there a difference
 in your mind with respect to these two companies?
 - A. Okay. Could you repeat the question?
- Q. I'm sorry. We'll try. Based on your experience in this industry and with this Commission, do you have an understanding of which transactions require Commission review and approval and which do not?
- A. For Orwell Natural Gas and Northeast Ohio?
 - Q. Yes, ma'am.
- A. I guess as far as financing goes, I do but not contracts because I wasn't really in charge of the contracts.
- Q. And would that include both financing as -- in terms of financing on behalf of the companies and financing by the companies? Is that a distinction that means something to you?
- A. I know when we get any debt, we need to get it approved through the Commission. I do not know of contracts because I wasn't -- I didn't deal with the contract management.

- Q. And it's your understanding that all debt is approved by the Commission or just long-term debt?
 - A. Long-term debt.

2.1

- Q. Are you acquainted with short-term obligations undertaken by these companies during the audit period?
 - A. I am not, no.
- Q. Would you have in your role as controller had any responsibility for becoming aware of or reviewing any debt obligations assumed by these companies on a short-term basis?
- A. I wasn't controller during this audit period so I do not know.
- Q. Would you have been aware in any of your various capacities of any short-term obligation as assumed by either of these companies during the audit period?
- A. I don't remember at the time, no, back when I was controller.
- Q. As corporate controller, while you were corporate controller, did you have responsibility for assisting with the preparation of reports to the Securities and Exchange Commission?
- MR. YURICK: Your Honor, I guess at this point there would be an objection. Again, I think

I've tried to not interrupt the flow of thought, but
I think we are getting fairly far afield from the
topic of this witness's rebuttal testimony.

EXAMINER FARKAS: I'm going to let her answer this question.

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat it?

MR. MARGARD: Would you reread, please.

(Record read.)

- A. I only assisted with our 10-Qs and 10-Ks.
- Q. And the 10-Qs are -- just so we're clear are what kind of document?
- A. Quarterly financial document showing our financial position.
- Q. Excuse me for interrupting. I apologize.

 Are you finished?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And the 10-Ks are?
 - A. They are an annual representation.
 - Q. And are you familiar with those reports?
 - A. I play a part in filing them, yes.
 - Q. And you've read them?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Are you familiar with the 2012 10-K
- 24 report?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

25 A. Yes.

MR. MARGARD: May I approach, your Honor?

EXAMINER FARKAS: Yes.

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I have marked for purposes of identification Staff Exhibit 4, a one-page document that I will represent is a page 46 from the company's 10-K filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

EXAMINER FARKAS: For 2012? For 2012?

MR. MARGARD: For 2012, yes, your Honor.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. So marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

- Q. Does this look familiar to you,
- 13 Ms. Howell?

2.1

- A. I don't normally prepare the reporting on this but, yes, it looks familiar.
 - Q. Does it appear to you to, in fact, be a page from the company's 10-K --
 - A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- that relates to controls and 20 procedures?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And is it not true, Ms. Howell, that the 10-K does not include an attestation from your internal auditor regarding the internal controls over financial reporting?

- A. That I don't know.
- Q. I'll draw your attention to the fourth section there titled "Attestation Report of Independent Registered Accounting Firm." Give you a moment to look that over.
 - A. Right.
 - Q. Does that sound accurate to you?
- A. Yes.
- MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I have no further questions of the witness.
- 11 EXAMINER FARKAS: Any follow-up?
- MR. YURICK: If I could have a moment to confer, your Honor.
- EXAMINER FARKAS: Yes. Go off the
- 15 record.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 16 (Recess taken.)
- EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. Let's go back on the record.
- MR. YURICK: Your Honor, the company has no redirect for this witness.
- EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. Thank you for your testimony.
- I believe you want to move?
- MR. YURICK: Yes. I would renew my
- 25 motion to admit Exhibit No. --

973 1 EXAMINER FARKAS: 8. 2 MR. YURICK: -- 8. 3 EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. Any objection to the admission of Company Exhibit 8? 4 5 MR. MARGARD: None, your Honor. 6 MR. SERIO: No, your Honor. 7 EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. Then that will be admitted. 8 9 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 10 EXAMINER FARKAS: Staff. MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor. I 11 12 have provided during the break all of the parties 13 with the full 11 pages of Staff Exhibit No. 3. And I would renew my motion for its admission. I would 14 also, your Honor, move for admission of Staff Exhibit 15 16 No. 4. 17 EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. Any objection to the admission of Staff Exhibits 3 and 4? 18 MR. YURICK: Your Honor, I have no 19 20 objection to the admission of Staff Exhibit No. 3 at 2.1 this point. 22 EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. Then we will admit that. 23 24 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 25 MR. YURICK: Staff Exhibit 4 is one page

within an undeterminate number of pages what's been represented to be an SEC filing. I don't think the witness authenticated or was really able to provide an adequate basis for admitting that so the company will object to the admission of Staff Exhibit No. 4. No objection to Staff 3 though.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay.

2.1

MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor. The witness did indicate she did have some involvement in the preparation of the filings and specifically the 10-K filings to the SEC. She indicated she had read the 2012 10-K filing. She indicated that she recognized that this was a part of the 2012 10-K filing. She was familiar with its contents and from the representations contained in it, I think, your Honor, that's more than sufficient for the admission of this document.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Does OCC have a position?

MR. SERIO: The only thing I would add, your Honor, to the extent it's one page we don't have to burden the record. You could take administrative notice of the entire 10-K and just leave this individual page as an exhibit number.

MR. MARGARD: And, your Honor, staff

975 would have no objection to that outcome if the Bench 1 2 so chooses. 3 EXAMINER FARKAS: Is that a document that is accessible through -- if we Google searched it? 4 5 MR. MARGARD: It is a publicly available 6 document, yes. 7 EXAMINER FARKAS: I'm going to admit it, and I'm taking administrative notice of the entire 8 10-Q filing by the companies for 2012. 9 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 10 EXAMINER FARKAS: Mr. Serio, I believe we 11 12 have OCC Exhibit 22. 13 MR. SERIO: Yes, your Honor. I would move OCC Exhibit 22 into the record. 14 EXAMINER FARKAS: Any objection? 15 16 MR. YURICK: No objection, your Honor. 17 MR. MARGARD: No objection, your Honor. EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. Then that will 18 be admitted. 19 2.0 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 21 EXAMINER FARKAS: Why don't we take a 22 lunch break until 1:30 and then we'll come back and finish the rebuttal testimony. 23

(Thereupon, at 12:23 p.m., a lunch recess

24

976 Monday Afternoon Session, 1 2 July 22, 2013. 3 4 EXAMINER FARKAS: All right. Let's go back on the record. 5 You have one more witness? 6 7 MR. YURICK: Yes, your Honor. At this time the companies would call Anita Noce. 8 9 (Witness sworn.) 10 EXAMINER FARKAS: You can be seated. 11 12 ANITA NOCE 13 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 14 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 By Mr. Yurick: 17 Q. Good afternoon, ma'am. Could you please 18 state your name and spell your last name for the 19 record. 20 Anita M. Noce, N-O-C-E. Α. 2.1 Q. And, Ms. Noce, are you employed by the 22 Gas Natural Companies? 23 A. Yes, I am. 24 Is it your intention today to offer 25 testimony on behalf of the two local distribution

companies that are involved in the audit case that we're hearing today, those two local distribution companies being Orwell and Northeast?

A. Yes.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

- Q. Okay. Now, handing you what's been marked Company's Exhibit 9, is that a copy -- a true and accurate copy of your prefiled rebuttal testimony filed in this case?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And that was testimony prepared by you or at your direction?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And if I were to ask you the questions that are posed in Company's Exhibit 9, as you sit here today, would your answers be the same?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have any corrections, additions, or modifications to the testimony at this time?
 - A. No.

MR. YURICK: Your Honor, at this point I would move the admission of Company's Exhibit No. 9, and I would tender the witness for cross-examination.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Thank you.

Mr. Serio.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

Proceedings 978 1 MR. SERIO: Thank you, your Honor. 2 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 By Mr. Serio: 5 Q. Good Afternoon, Ms. Noce. Good afternoon. 6 Α. 7 I don't have your background as far as employment, education, so if you could bear with me, 8 9 I have a few questions about that. You're currently 10 employed by Gas Natural. What's your current position? 11 12 I'm going to be assisting Becky with the software conversion. 13 14 Okay. So that's your position going 0. forward? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 During the audit period what was your Q. 18 position? From -- well, until December of 2011, I 19 Α. 20 was the lead accountant for Brainard, NEO, and 2.1 Orwell, and in December of 2000 -- I'm sorry, it 22 was -- yeah, December of '11, I went over to

So from December of 2011 until your move,

23

24

25

Cobra Pipeline.

when did you go to Gas Natural?

A. October of '12.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Okay. So -- and how long were you lead accountant for the LDCs?
 - A. From December of '10.
 - Q. And prior to that?
- A. I was the accountant for Northeast Ohio Natural Gas and Brainard Natural Gas.
- Q. And roughly how long did you have those positions as accountant?
 - A. I started in August of 2007.
- Q. Okay. So you were there prior to when JDOG started purchasing gas for Northeast and Orwell?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, when you went from accountant to lead accountant, I assume that was an increase in responsibilities, some type of promotion?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then in December of '12, you were transferred to Cobra.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. What was your position at Cobra?
- A. I was the controller reporting to Jeff
 Kobani.
- EXAMINER FARKAS: Excuse me. I thought you said in 2011 you went to Cobra.

```
980
                  THE WITNESS: That's correct.
 1
                  EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. And then didn't
 2
     you just say "in 2012" in this question?
 3
 4
                  MR. SERIO: I thought I said December of
      '11.
 5
 6
                  EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay.
 7
                  MR. SERIO: If I didn't, that's what I
 8
     meant.
9
                  EXAMINER FARKAS: I stand corrected.
10
     Thank you.
                 (By Mr. Serio) And why did you go from
11
             Ο.
12
     being lead accountant for Northeast to
     Cobra Pipeline?
13
14
                  It was a change of position.
                  Is that a change of position that you
15
             Q.
     applied for, or was that a change of position that
16
17
     was made -- that, you know, you just got transferred?
18
             Α.
                 I was transferred.
19
                  And do you know who made that transfer,
20
     who made the decision to transfer you?
                  I was -- it was discussed with Becky
2.1
22
     Howell. I don't know who made the decision.
                  And did the transfer to Cobra come with
23
             Ο.
24
     an increase in pay, or was it a promotion?
25
             Α.
                  Yes.
```

- Q. And then in October of '12, you became -I'm sorry. When you went to Cobra, you went as the
 controller.
 - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

- Q. Okay. And then just recently -- when did you go from controller of Cobra to Gas Natural?
 - A. October of 2012.
- Q. Okay. And you were at Gas Natural from October of '12 until roughly June, July of '13?
 - A. I'm still currently there.
- Q. Okay. When are you supposed to transfer over for this new project?
- A. It's going to be tomorrow or whenever we get back to the office.
 - Q. Okay. Do you have an accounting degree?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. When did you get your degree?
 - A. I believe it was -- I graduated in 2009.
- 19 Q. And where did you go to college?
- 20 A. Lakeland Community College.
- Q. And are you a CPA?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Have you sat for any of the CPA exams?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Do you have any advanced degrees in

accounting?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- 2 A. What do you mean by advanced degrees?
 - Q. Anything beyond your Bachelor's degree.
 - A. No.
 - Q. Prior to joining Northeast and Orwell as an accountant, did you have any experience doing any accounting work for distribution companies?
 - A. No.
 - Q. So all your utility experience starts with your time with Northeast and Orwell, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. So in 2009, you were an accountant with Northeast and Orwell, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And at that time where were you physically located?
 - A. I was located in the Mentor office on Station Street.
 - Q. And that would have been in the Northeast and Orwell floor of the building, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. When you went to Cobra, did you stay in the Northeast and Orwell floor, or did you move to a Cobra part of the building?
 - A. I was transferred to the Cobra office in

Willoughby.

2.1

- Q. And then when you went to Gas Natural, did you stay in Willoughby, or were you located physically back in the Mentor office?
- A. I was transferred back to the Mentor office.
- Q. And was that physically where Northeast and Orwell -- on the same floor or different floor?
 - A. No, it was same floor.
- Q. And you're familiar with Ms. Lipnis, correct?
 - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. And when you worked with her, she was a Northeast employee?
 - A. I don't know who she was employed by.
- Q. When you say in your testimony I worked together with her from May, '12, to October, '10, and during that time period you were an accountant for Northeast and Orwell, correct?
- A. I was the accountant for Northeast. I was not the accountant for Orwell.
- Q. Okay, for Northeast. And when she was -- when you were working with her, she assisted the accounting department for Orwell, correct?
 - A. Correct, because there wasn't a

controller in place.

2.1

- Q. And she was doing the controller's job for the Ohio companies at that time, correct?
- A. I don't know what her title was. I just know she was assisting when we didn't have a controller.
- Q. Now, on page 2 of your testimony, you talk about John D. Oil and Gas Marketing, JDOGM, and your understanding that JDOGM is a marketing company that purchases gas on behalf of Northeast and Orwell, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, on line 13, you indicate that "purchasing the same gas two times would have been an unwarranted expense to Northeast and its customers," correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So you have an understanding of what would be considered an appropriate expense and what would be considered an inappropriate expense, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you were in the hearing two weeks ago during the three days that we were in hearing, correct?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And you heard Mr. Whelan's testimony regarding Cobra Pipeline and the processing fees?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And are you familiar with Cobra Pipeline?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And are you familiar with the Churchtown system and the processing fees that are on the Cobra system?
- A. I did not get involved in the operations on Cobra.

MR. SERIO: Can I approach, your Honor?

EXAMINER FARKAS: Yes.

MR. SERIO: I would like to have marked for purposes of identification OCC Exhibit No. 23. This is the Amended First Set of Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents that OCC sent to the company.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

Q. And if you would turn to page 11 of that document. Now, if you look at questions 44, 46, 48, 49, 50, there is a series of questions there that asks about volumes received by either Northeast or Orwell and then it lists different types of production that they received anywhere from local

production through Cobra to interstate deliveries through Dominion East Ohio to interstate gas through Orwell Trumbull. Do you see that series of questions?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And the response there is to "See Exhibit 1," and it says "Prepared by Anita Noce," correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And that's you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So you're familiar with that question and the response to it.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. If you could turn to Exhibit 1, and unfortunately the pages were not marked, but I think it's the very first document after the Certificate of Service. Now, to the extent that I want to go through Exhibit 1 and find the volumes that are local production delivered through the Cobra Pipeline, can you tell me exactly where on Exhibit 1 those volumes would be listed? Which column? And if it helps, I think if you go in about 20 or 25 pages, there's a page that says "Northeast Ohio Natural Gas Exhibit 1" in the upper left-hand corner, and then the center of the page it says "TCO-Cobra Transporter" and I think

- that might be but I may not --
- 2 A. If you're talking about local production?
- 3 Q. Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- A. No. That is on the section that is titled "Local Production."
 - Q. Okay. So that would be the section after where it says "TCO-Cobra transporter"?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And it just says "Local Production" at the top of the page.
- A. Correct.
- Q. All right. Just so I make sure I got the right one, the first column says "Month September-09.

 Supplier JDOGM/other"; is that the correct first
- 15 page?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Then it says "Total Purchased Cost \$37,323.38"?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And then "Mcf Purchased \$8,625.5."
- A. Correct.
- Q. So that's the page where it shows local production on the Cobra system.
- A. Correct.
- Q. Now, can you tell me of the local

production of the Cobra system which of the volumes were charged to 25-cent -- the 25-cent processing fee?

- A. I didn't review the invoices for billing.

 I didn't sign off on the gas purchase invoices so I

 could not identify those.
- Q. But if I look at the pages here for local production, I would actually go to the dates and find JDOGM for Churchtown or any references to Churchtown and those would be the volumes where the fee might have applied, correct?
- A. I -- again, I didn't verify the charges for Cobra.
- Q. You were in the room when Mr. Whelan testified about the processing charge?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And do you recall hearing Mr. Whelan indicate he did not know for certainty if the volumes that are charged, if they actually get processed?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. In your opinion if the volumes are not actually processed but they are charged a fee, would that constitute an unwarranted expense to Northeast and its customers?
- A. Yes.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Now, you were also in the room when Ms. Patton testified, correct?
 - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. And did you hear her testimony regarding her duties of nominating, scheduling, and confirming gas volumes for Columbia Gas Transmission, TCO?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did you also hear the testimony where she was asked if that was one of the duties that JDOGM was supposed to do pursuant to their contract with Northeast and Orwell?
 - A. I don't remember exactly what she said.
- Q. But do you recall that that's one of the functions that JDOG Marketing is supposed to do for Northeast and Orwell?
- A. I don't know. The only functions that I know that they are doing is gas purchasing.
- Q. Well, let me ask you this, if Ms. Patton is actually doing the nominating, scheduling, and confirming on TCO and that's a function that by contract JDOG Marketing is supposed to do, would you also consider that an unwarranted expense to Northeast and its customers?
- A. That wouldn't be my decision. I am not involved in the operations.

Q. I understand you are not involved in it.

My question was based on the same analysis that you used on lines 12 and 13, that purchasing gas two times would have been an unwarranted expense, would paying for services that you are actually doing in-house constitute an unwarranted expense?

2.1

- A. If you are paying for something that is not being offered, then, yes, but I can't say what -- who is doing what.
- Q. Okay. Fair enough. Now, you indicate on page 2 of your rebuttal testimony that you are unaware of any transactions between Northeast,

 Constellation, and JDOG where Northeast paid for the same volume of natural gas twice. Is it possible that a transaction occurred where they paid twice for the same volumes, and you are just not aware of it?
- A. I think it would have been captured when I was closing the books because I had to prepare a gas purchase analysis in order to close the books.

 And I reviewed the general ledger so if it would have been paid twice, it would have -- I would assume it would have stuck out.
- Q. Now, do you know for a fact it would have stuck out, or are you making that assumption?
 - A. Being that I reviewed the general ledger,

I think it would have been caught.

2.1

EXAMINER FARKAS: What did you mean by the term "closing the books"? What's that?

THE WITNESS: I prepared the month end account recs and general entries for Northeast Ohio.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay.

- Q. Now, on page 3 of your testimony, you indicate that as an accountant for Northeast during the time period of the transaction, you would not have discussed any accounting issues with Ms. Lipnis due to the fact that your supervisor was Ms. Howell. Do you know what Ms. Howell's position was at that time?
- A. I was referring to where Ms. Lipnis said we discussed this in July of 2009. I would not have discussed anything with her in 2009.
- Q. Okay. In July of 2009, do you know what Ms. Howell's position was?
 - A. She was a controller.
 - Q. For who?
 - A. Northeast Ohio, Orwell, and Brainard.
- Q. And in 2009, July, 2009, Ms. Lipnis was the controller for Gas Natural, correct?
- A. I have no idea. I didn't have any -- any interactions with her.

992 EXAMINER FARKAS: Well, could I just ask 1 2 a question? 3 MR. SERIO: Sure. 4 EXAMINER FARKAS: You said on page 1, 5 line 20, that you did work together with her. 6 THE WITNESS: But it wasn't until May of 7 2010. 8 EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. So you did have interaction with her. 9 10 THE WITNESS: Right. Just not during the year of 2009. 11 12 EXAMINER FARKAS: Thank you. 13 Q. (By Mr. Serio) If she was in error regarding the time period, could the discussion 14 regarding this potential event of purchasing gas 15 16 twice, could that have occurred in 2010? 17 Α. No. It would not have occurred with her 18 if there would have been any discussion. It would have occurred with Mr. Smith. I reported to him when 19 20 we were in between a controller. 2.1 But in 2010, she was acting as controller 22 for the Ohio companies. She was not acting as controller. She 23 24 was assisting the accounting department since we

didn't have a controller.

- Q. I guess what's the difference between acting as the controller and assisting the accounting department?
- A. She wasn't making decisions. We didn't go to her with questions. We would go to Mr. Smith.
- Q. Okay. You didn't go to her, but could she have come to you with regard to this situation and ask questions?
 - A. She did not.
- Q. She did not. Are you familiar with the code of conduct that the company introduced in the hearing two weeks ago?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Have you signed a copy of the code of conduct?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And what's your understanding of what the code of conduct does?
- A. To be honest with you, I have not read it recently so I can't verbatim tell you anything that's in this.
- Q. Generally speaking what's your understanding of what it does?
- A. Employees --
 - Q. Or purports to do.

To conduct themselves professionally 1 Α. 2 while at work and doing their jobs. Do you know who enforces or who the 3 Q. 4 compliance officer for the code of conduct is? 5 Α. No. As an accountant, are you familiar with 6 Ο. 7 Sarbanes Oxley? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Do you know who the compliance officer Ο. for Sarbanes Oxley is at Northeast? 10 11 Α. No. 12 Q. Do you know who it is for Gas Natural? 13 Α. No. Q. Or for Orwell? 14 15 Α. No. 16 MR. SERIO: That's all I have, your 17 Honor. Thank you very much, Ms. Noce. 18 THE WITNESS: You're welcome. 19 20 EXAMINER FARKAS: Thank you. 21 Mr. Margard. 22 MR. MARGARD: Just a second, your Honor. 23 24 25

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Margard:

2.1

- Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Noce.
- A. Good afternoon.
- Q. First of all, I want to start off with the same question that I asked your colleague earlier and that is can you confirm for me that your -- your rebuttal testimony is the extent of the testimony that you're disputing in this case?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you have been present throughout the hearing.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, Mr. Serio asked you some questions about your educational background, and I just wanted to clarify the record with respect to one point. He asked you if you had received any advanced degrees beyond your Bachelor's. You don't have a Bachelor's degree, do you?
- A. That's correct. I have an Associate's degree.
- Q. Associate's degree. You state in your testimony that you were directly responsible for the accuracy of Northeast's books. Can you expand on that a little bit and tell me specifically what your

duties and responsibilities were?

2.1

A. I prepare the account recs for the balance sheet, and I made the journal entries that needed to be made in order to close the books is what you call it for month end for Northeast.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Just for the record you have used the term "recs." Can you explain what that is?

THE WITNESS: Account reconciliations. We do them for the balance sheet accounts, and you just look at the reasonableness for the transactions that went through them and that they are accurate.

EXAMINER FARKAS: So that's what you meant by "recs."

THE WITNESS: Yes.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Margard) So you were doing general ledger accounting.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You were not responsible for reviewing the individual invoices?
 - A. No.
- Q. And, therefore, you didn't approve individual invoices for payment?
 - A. I did not approve the gas invoices, no.

- Q. You made a distinction there. There were invoices that you did approve?
 - A. Recurring for utilities, telephones, yes.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, you have had some responsibilities for preparing and filing GCR reports, correct?
 - A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. Just so that we're clear can you tell us what period of time you were responsible for preparing GCR calculations and reports and for which companies?
- A. I did them for all three companies, for Brainard, NEO, and Orwell, and the first ones I did were in June I believe it was 2010 -- no, 2011, and I ended doing them in January of '12.
- Q. So for six months you were responsible for all three companies.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Did you have responsibility for any individual company during any other period of time?
 - A. What do you mean by that?
- Q. Was that the only period of time during which you were responsible for performing GCR calculations and filing reports?
 - A. No. I started doing them again in May of

1 2013.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. And for all three companies?
- A. Correct.
- Q. So then you're familiar with the GCR calculation.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you're familiar with the components of the GCR mechanism?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Can you identify those components for us, please?
 - A. There's the EGC which is the expected gas costs. There are AAs, BAs, and RAs.
 - Q. Can you expand on that, tell us what the acronyms stand for just for clarity sake?
 - A. The AA is the actual adjustment. The BA, I'm not sure what the B stands for. It's got something that's a part of the normal quarter trueup, just the AA is. And then we recorded any adjustments that staff wanted made from an audit in the RA.
 - Q. Okay. What is involved in determining the EGC?
- A. The way that I calculate them is based on the definition that I pulled from the PUCO website.
- 25 I take the historical purchased volumes and I times

those by the future NYMEX plus basis and then they are divided by historical sales volumes.

- Q. So it does volumes and not just sales and not just market price?
 - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Thank you. You've heard both Mr. Smith and Ms. Howell talk about the GCR estimate. Are you familiar with that phrase?
- A. I believe they are referring to the EGC, estimated gas cost.
- Q. Okay. And do you have any additional information to share with us in terms of the process that the companies used to determine that estimate?
 - A. It was just what I explained.
- Q. You indicated that you used the futures NYMEX plus basis.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And you used sales.
 - A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Historic sales.
- 21 A. Yes.
 - Q. For what period of time?
- A. For the prior year, 12 months.
- Q. For the prior 12 months and historic volumes as well.

1 A. Purchased volumes, yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Purchased volumes. And for what period?
 - A. The prior 12 months, completed 12 months.
- Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Those all seem like fairly objective numbers. Where would you get the information to make that calculation?
 - A. From the actual invoices.
- Q. Would there be any reason to revise or modify any of those numbers?
- A. If -- not on my decision but if, you know, people who reviewed it felt that it wasn't in line or whatever, they would have that right to make that change.
- Q. And who reviewed the filings that you prepared?
- A. In 2011, it was Larry Brainard and Mr. Smith. When I started doing them in May, it was Larry Brainard and Becky Howell and Mr. Osborne also reviewed them.
 - Q. Mr. Richard Osborne?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. You were asked some questions about this 2009 purchase and discussions that you may or may not have had with Ms. Lipnis. I just want to make sure I'm clear on this, is it your

understanding that she testified that this discussion occurred in 2009?

- A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. That's your understanding of her testimony? But it's your testimony that there was no discussion at all at any time.
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

- Q. No, there was no discussion?
- A. There was no discussion with her, no.
- Q. Thank you. But you were not responsible for approving any of those invoices in July of 2009, were you?
 - A. No.
- Q. And it is possible, isn't it, that invoices from two different vendors for the same purchase and for the same amount could have been approved?
- A. It's unlikely. Like I said, I reviewed --
 - Q. But it's possible?
- A. Not when I was reviewing the general ledger.
- Q. How would those individual invoices have appeared on the general ledger?
- 25 A. They have to be coded to a general ledger

account. And when I was doing the gas purchases for the month end close, I would review the accrual account and the expense account for the gas purchases.

- Q. You were also asked some questions about which individuals for the companies were responsible for Sarbanes Oxley compliance, and I believe you indicated you did not know --
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. -- is that correct? Do you know who generally in the corporation is responsible for that compliance?
 - A. No.

2.1

- Q. Do you know what responsibility the controller has for that compliance?
- A. No, I haven't studied it for every industry. I don't know if it's the same for every company. I don't know.
- Q. What was your understanding of your responsibility as an assistant controller?
- A. I did various projects. I did various different things. I didn't have a set day-to-day procedure and job.
- MR. MARGARD: Okay. If I may have a moment, your Honor?

1003 EXAMINER FARKAS: Yes. 1 2 MR. MARGARD: I have no further 3 questions. Thank you. 4 MR. YURICK: If I could have a few 5 moments. EXAMINER FARKAS: Yes. Go off the 6 7 record. (Recess taken.) 8 9 EXAMINER FARKAS: Let's go back on the 10 record. 11 MR. YURICK: Your Honor, the company has 12 no further questions of the witness at this point in time. 13 14 EXAMINER FARKAS: You are excused. Thank 15 you very much. 16 MR. YURICK: I would renew my motion to 17 admit company Exhibit No.? EXAMINER FARKAS: 9. 18 MR. YURICK: I would renew my motion for 19 20 the admission of Company Exhibit No. 9, the rebuttal 2.1 testimony of Anita Noce. 22 EXAMINER FARKAS: Any objection? MR. SERIO: I don't have any objection, 23

clarification. I believe one of the last questions

but I was wondering if we could get one

24

1004 to Mr. Margard she indicated Rick Osborne, and it 1 2 wasn't clear if that was the Chairman Rick Osborne or the President of JDOG Marketing Rick Osborne. 3 4 don't think they are the same individual. 5 MR. YURICK: Yeah, they are. 6 MR. SERIO: Oh, okay. 7 EXAMINER FARKAS: With that 8 clarification. 9 MR. SERIO: Yes. 10 EXAMINER FARKAS: No objection? 11 MR. SERIO: No. 12 EXAMINER FARKAS: Staff? 13 MR. MARGARD: No objection. 14 EXAMINER FARKAS: Then Company Exhibit 9 will be admitted. 15 16 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 17 EXAMINER FARKAS: I believe Exhibit No. 23. 18 MR. SERIO: OCC would move Exhibit No. 19 2.0 23. 21 MR. YURICK: No objection, your Honor. 22 EXAMINER FARKAS: Staff? MR. MARGARD: No objection. 23 24 EXAMINER FARKAS: That will be admitted. 25 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

EXAMINER FARKAS: I believe that's all of our exhibits we dealt with.

Let's go off the record for a second.

(Discussion off the record.)

EXAMINER FARKAS: The briefing schedule will be as follows: Initial briefs will be due to be filed on or before August 19 and reply briefs
August 30, and you can serve me electronically. I would ask you to serve all the other parties electronically.

And for purposes of the brief the parties do not have to -- they can if they want but they don't have to put any procedural history of the case.

Is there anything further?

MR. SERIO: You indicated we can serve you electronically. Did you want a hard copy?

EXAMINER FARKAS: No, I do not. Thank

you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Anything further from anybody? Company?

MR. YURICK: Nothing from the company,

your Honor.

EXAMINER FARKAS: OCC?

MR. SERIO: No, your Honor.

EXAMINER FARKAS: Staff?

MR. MARGARD: No, your Honor.

EXAMINER FARKAS: We stand adjourned. (Thereupon, the hearing was concluded at 2:16 p.m.) CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Monday, July 22, 2013, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter. (KSG-5730)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/6/2013 10:28:18 AM

in

Case No(s). 12-0209-GA-GCR, 12-0212-GA-GCR, 12-0309-GA-UEX, 12-0312-GA-UEX

Summary: Transcript in the matter of Northeast Ohio Natural Gas Corporation and Orwell Natural Gas Company hearing held on 07/22/13 - Volume IV - Rebuttal electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.