#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

)

)

)

In the Matter of the Review of the Distribution Investment Rider Contained in the Tariffs of Ohio Power Company.

Case No. 13-419-EL-RDR

#### COMMENTS BY THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

#### BRUCE J. WESTON OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

Joseph P. Serio, Counsel of Record Michael J. Schuler Assistant Consumers' Counsel

#### Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 Telephone: Direct – 614-466-9565 (Serio) Telephone: Direct – 614-466-9547 (Schuler) serio@occ.state.oh.us schuler@occ.state.oh.us

August 2, 2013

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Р	ลด	e
	~	~

I.	INTRO	ODUCI	ΓΙΟΝ1
II.	COM	MENTS	5
	A.	Comp Infrast Quant	UCO Staff's Comments On Its Review Of Ohio Power any's 2012 Progress In Implementing Distribution cructure Programs Are Inadequate And Fail To Address The ifiable Reliability Improvements That The Commission ated in the Electric Security Plan Proceeding
	B.	Comm	nents on the Audit Report6
		1.	Net plant in service should exclude non-jurisdictional plant7
		2.	\$15,788,966 should be used for the amount of gridSMART net plant for the 1st Quarter of the 2012 DIR revenue requirement calculation
		3.	All gridSMART spending that is collected from customers through the gridSMART rider must be excluded from the DIR rider
		4.	Net plant in service should include \$21,513,289 for the amount of Veg. Mgmt. net plant for the 1st Quarter of 2012 DIR revenue requirement calculation
		5.	Net plant in service should exclude \$283,000 for land held for future use that was disallowed in the last distribution rate case
		6.	The carrying charge rate should exclude the Commercial Activity Tax (CAT) to prevent double recovery10
		7.	The carrying charge rate should not include the uncollectible accounts expense
		8.	The weighted average cost of capital should be used to compute the carrying charge rate
		9.	The Over/Under revenue recovery calculation should reflect OCC's adjustments11
III.	CONC	CLUSIC	DN13

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

)

)

In the Matter of the Review of the Distribution Investment Rider Contained in the Tariffs of Ohio Power Company.

Case No. 13-0419-EL-RDR

#### COMMENTS BY THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

#### I. INTRODUCTION

This case involves a review of the Distribution Investment Rider ("DIR") which allows Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company (jointly "AEP Ohio" or "the Utility") to charge its 1.2 million residential customers for infrastructure investments that improve service reliability. The DIR involves millions of dollars in costs that AEP Ohio wants approval to charge its customers.

This case rises out of the PUCO's approval of AEP Ohio's electric security plan ("ESP"), which established the DIR.<sup>1</sup> Specifically, the DIR allows AEP Ohio to charge customers for a certain amount of capital costs associated with distribution infrastructure improvements that create a more reliable electric distribution system.<sup>2</sup> The DIR is then subject to annual review for accounting accuracy, prudency, and compliance with the DIR plan.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the Matter of the Applications of Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offers Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan ("ESP II"), Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO, Opinion and Order at 47 (August 8, 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Id. at 42.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Id. at 47.

In the ESP case, out of which the DIR was born, AEP Ohio was unable and/or unwilling to provide detail regarding how customers would obtain a benefit in service quality through the Utility's expedited recovery of distribution investment costs.<sup>4</sup> As a result, the PUCO explicitly required the Utility and the PUCO Staff **to quantify reliability improvements that are expected** as a result of the DIR funding.<sup>5</sup> Furthermore, the Commission explicitly required the Utility and the PUCO Staff to focus DIR spending on where it will have the **greatest impact on maintaining and improving reliability**.<sup>6</sup>

The Office of the Ohio Consumer's Counsel ("OCC") files these Comments<sup>7</sup> to address the audit of the DIR and to propose additional adjustments that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO" or "Commission") should adopt in this case. OCC supports many of the recommendations outlined in the Compliance Audit of the 2012 Distribution Investment Rider (DIR) of Columbus Southern Power and Ohio Power Company, d/b/a AEP Ohio ("Final Audit Report"). The Final Audit Report was filed by Blue Ridge Consulting Services, Inc. ("Blue Ridge" or "Auditor") on June 20, 2013 and is supported by the PUCO Staff. In addition, the PUCO should adopt the additional recommendations outlined below to protect AEP Ohio's customers from costs that were not prudently incurred.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Id. at 44.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Id. at 47 (Emphasis added).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Id. (Emphasis added).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> AEP Ohio and other intervenors are permitted to file initial comments by August 2, 2013 and reply comments by August 16, 2013. Entry at 2 (June 26, 2013).

#### II. COMMENTS

#### A. The PUCO Staff's Comments On Its Review Of Ohio Power Company's 2012 Progress In Implementing Distribution Infrastructure Programs Are Inadequate And Fail To Address The Quantifiable Reliability Improvements That The Commission Mandated in the Electric Security Plan Proceeding.

The PUCO should require the PUCO Staff to conduct a more detailed review of AEP Ohio's proactive efforts to increase the reliability of its distribution infrastructure. On June 20, 2013, the PUCO Staff filed Comments that set forth the Staff's review of Ohio Power Company's 2012 Progress Implementing Distribution Infrastructure Programs. In those Comments, the PUCO Staff concluded that, "AEP Ohio met expectations for increasing 2012 proactive/reliability DIR expenditures over comparable expenditures in the prior three years."<sup>8</sup> However, in reaching this conclusion, the PUCO Staff merely compared the dollar amounts of investments designated "proactive/ reliability" to the total amount of DIR expenditures.<sup>9</sup> There is no indication (in the PUCO Staff's Comments) that the PUCO Staff did any detailed review or analysis of the expenditures in order to ensure that the spending and programs were consistent with the intent of the DIR Program -- to improve service reliability. Instead, an in-depth review and analysis of the expenditures included in the DIR is necessary to ensure that those expenditures had a quantifiable benefit and that DIR spending was focused on areas that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Comments Submitted on Behalf of the Staff of The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio – Review of Ohio Power Company's 2012 Progress Implementing Distribution Infrastructure Programs ("PUCO Staff Reliability Comments") at 7 (June 20, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Id. at 7.

had the greatest impact on maintaining and improving reliability as outlined by the PUCO in the ESP Opinion and Order.<sup>10</sup>

The PUCO Staff filed Comments regarding its review of the 2012 DIR expenditures to "determine whether AEP Ohio is spending more on proactive infrastructure programs and those that are expected to have a positive impact on reliability."<sup>11</sup> But neither of these requirements were addressed by the PUCO Staff in its review of the 2012 DIR program.

For example, the PUCO Staff found that AEP Ohio only invested \$148,486,000; yet, AEP Ohio's 2012 Annual System Improvement Plan<sup>12</sup> reflected budget of over \$206 million in distribution capital expenditures for reliability specific investments in 2012.<sup>13</sup> Therefore, under the PUCO Staff's analysis, AEP Ohio underspent by approximately 27% the amount it budgeted for reliability specific capital investments. But the PUCO Staff provided no detailed explanation of how it reached that calculation.

Moreover, the PUCO Staff found that out of that \$148,486,000, AEP Ohio only spent approximately \$37 million in **proactive**/reliability or approximately 25% of the total investment in reliability.<sup>14</sup> And the PUCO Staff did not specifically identify the distribution investments that it considered "proactive." In fact, PUCO Staff's Comments make no mention of whether any of the DIR investments are expected to contribute to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> *ESP II*, Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO, Opinion and Order at 47; Entry on Rehearing at 47 (January 30, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> PUCO Staff Reliability Comments at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Ohio Adm. Code 4901:1-10-26(B)(3)(d).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> In the Matter of the Annual Report of Ohio Power Company Pursuant to Rule 26 of the Electric Service and Safety Standards, Ohio Administrative Code 4901:1-10-26, Case No. 13-996-EL-ESS, Annual Investment Report at 57 (March 28, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> PUCO Staff Reliability Comments at 3 (Emphasis added).

quantifiable improved reliability or more importantly if the investments actually resulted in improved reliability.<sup>15</sup>

Because there is no affirmative demonstration that the DIR spending is proactively improving service reliability in a quantifiable manner, the DIR recovery should be limited to the 25% that the PUCO Staff identified. Without more detailed information, the PUCO cannot conclude that the other 75% of AEP Ohio's investments under the DIR are proactively improving reliability. To the contrary, AEP Ohio has failed to comply with the mandated reliability performance standards for two years in a row -- the two years since the DIR was approved.<sup>16</sup> Instead, the PUCO should direct the PUCO Staff and AEP Ohio to conduct an analysis similar to what it is required to conduct for the 2013 DIR Work Plan as explained below.

As part of its 2013 DIR Work Plan, AEP Ohio provided very little detail regarding the twenty-seven different programs valued at approximately \$187 million and provided no specific projections of the reliability improvements that could be expected.<sup>17</sup> The PUCO found that AEP Ohio's 2013 DIR Work Plan contained insufficient detail because it "does not quantify, for many of the components, the reliability improvements that are expected to occur through the DIR investments, nor does it address the issue of double recovery or demonstrate that DIR spending levels will exceed AEP Ohio's capital

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See generally, Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> In the Matter of the Annual Report of [sic] Pursuant to Rule 10 of the Electric Service and Safety Standards, Ohio Administrative Code 4901:1-10-10, Annual Report of the Columbus Southern Power Company at 2 (April 9, 2012); In the Matter of the Annual Report of [sic] Pursuant to Rule 10 of the Electric Service and Safety Standards, Ohio Administrative Code 4901:1-10-10, Annual Report of the Columbus Southern Power Company at 2 (April 1, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> In the Matter of the Commission's Review of the Ohio Power Company's Distribution Investment Rider Work Plan Resulting from Commission Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO et al., Case No. 12-3129-EL-UNC, OCC Initial Comments at 13-14 (January 18, 2013).

spending levels in recent years."<sup>18</sup> To address the inadequacy of AEP Ohio's 2013 DIR Work Plan, the PUCO directed AEP Ohio to:

> quantify actual reliability improvements achieved for any program that is expected to reduce the frequency and/or duration of outages. For any program that is expected to maintain reliability, AEP Ohio is directed to quantify the outages avoided by implementation of the DIR plan in 2013. AEP Ohio shall provide this information to Staff in writing by February 28, 2014.<sup>19</sup>

The PUCO Staff's review and treatment of the DIR investments, requested in this proceeding, should be no different than what the PUCO requires for the 2013 review as described in Finding and Order quoted in the preceding paragraph. The PUCO should require AEP Ohio to file a report within 30 days of an Order from this case that quantifies the reliability improvements that occurred as a result of this DIR proceeding.

Furthermore, the Commission should require the PUCO Staff to identify each "proactive reliability" program and to describe how the program contributes to improved reliability to assist in tracking progress on a going forward basis.

#### **B.** Comments on the Audit Report

As explained in more detail below, OCC supports Blue Ridge's recommendations. However, as explained further below, the recommendations in the Blue Ride Audit Report do not go far enough to protect AEP Ohio's customers. For example, there should be an allocation between jurisdictional and non-jurisdictional plant, the amount of first quarter gridSMART expenses should be corrected, and there should be an exclusion for land held for future use from net plant. Commercial activities

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> In the Matter of the Commission's Review of the Ohio Power Company's Distribution Investment Rider Work Plan Resulting from Commission Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO et al., Case No. 12-3129-EL-UNC, Commission Finding and Order at 10 (May 29, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Id. at 11-12.

tax and uncollectibles should be removed from the proposed carrying charge rate so that customers do not pay twice for those amounts. The PUCO should establish an over/under revenue tracker in order to true up DIR recoveries from one year to the next.

OCC also recommends that only 25% of the 2012 distribution plant additions should be included in the DIR for cost recovery in this case because the Utility failed to demonstrate that the DIR expenditures will actually improve reliability in a quantifiable manner as required by the PUCO Order establishing the DIR mechanism.<sup>20</sup> Some of the remaining 75% of those costs could be recovered through a distribution rate case if any of those costs are found to be prudent, just and reasonable. Finally, the PUCO should require its Staff to conduct a more thorough review of the reliability investments that AEP Ohio is seeking to recover in this proceeding.

### 1. Net plant in service should exclude non-jurisdictional plant.

A review of AEP Ohio's most recent base rate case (Case No. 11-352-EL-AIR) indicates that some of Ohio Power's plant was allocated to non-jurisdictional customers.<sup>21</sup> Ohio Power Company and Staff Report Schedules B-2 show that 99.90% of distribution plant is allocated to Ohio jurisdictional.<sup>22</sup> However, AEP Ohio computes DIR net plant by subtracting the Staff's adjusted jurisdictional net plant (\$2,092,752,000)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> ESP II, Case No. 11-346-El-SSO, Opinion and Order at 47.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company, Individually and, if Their Proposed Merger is Approved, as a Merged Company (collectively, AEP Ohio) for an Increase in Electric Distribution Rates ("AEP Ohio Rate Case"), Case No. 11-352-EL-AIR, Ohio Power Company Schedule B-2, Staff Report Schedule B-2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Id.

from total Utility net plant for each of 2012 quarterly filings.<sup>23</sup> The Utility calculation allows the recovery of non-jurisdictional plant in the DIR revenue requirement. Accordingly, all non-jurisdictional net plant should be excluded from the calculation of DIR revenue requirement in this proceeding. The impact of OCC's recommendation is set forth on attached Schedule 2, which shows the calculation of the jurisdictional plant-in-service allocation factor that should be used. Attached Schedule 3 sets forth the calculation of jurisdictional depreciation reserve allocation factor.

#### 2. \$15,788,966 should be used for the amount of gridSMART net plant for the 1st Quarter of the 2012 DIR revenue requirement calculation.

AEP Ohio's initial DIR filing included an incorrect amount for gridSMART net plant of \$7,909,386.<sup>24</sup> The use of this incorrect amount overstates the DIR revenue requirement for the first quarter. The corrected amount of gridSMART net plant of \$15,788,966<sup>25</sup> should be used in the calculation of the DIR revenue requirement as set forth in attached Schedule 1.

# 3. All gridSMART spending that is collected from customers through the gridSMART rider must be excluded from the DIR rider.

In the ESP Order, the PUCO indicated that any gridSMART spending that is

recovered through the gridSMART Rider should be excluded from recovery in the DIR

rider.<sup>26</sup> Blue Ridge noted that at some point in time, it expected AEP Ohio to book the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> ESP II, Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO, AEP Ohio 1<sup>st</sup> Quarterly Filing 2012; In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Update its Distribution Investment Rider, Case No. 12-2627-EL-RDR, 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarterly Filing 2012 (Nov. 16, 2012); 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarterly Filing 2012 (December 21, 2012); 4<sup>th</sup> Quarterly Filing 2012 (May 21, 2013) (collectively Attachment 1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> AEP Ohio 1<sup>st</sup> Quarterly Filing 2012 (Attachment 1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> See Response to OCC Interrogatory No. 1-009 (Attachment 2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> ESP II, Case No. 11-346-El-SSO, Opinion and Order at 46.

\$46.6 -\$47.3 million to plant in service. At that time, Blue Ridge recommended that the Utility reconcile the difference between the actual amount spent/reimbursed by the Department of Energy and the amount excluded from the DIR.<sup>27</sup> OCC agrees with Blue Ridge's recommendation. Additionally, the PUCO should require AEP Ohio to reconcile its next DIR filing to reflect the proper amount of girdSMART plant to be excluded from the DIR.

Furthermore, Blue Ridge noted that FERC Account 37016 was charged \$15.2 million for AMI meters.<sup>28</sup> Blue Ridge was unable to conclude that all gridSMART meters had been excluded from the DIR.<sup>29</sup> As a result, Blue Ridge recommended that AEP Ohio address this concern and identify the correct amount of gridSMART to be excluded in order to avoid any double recovery.<sup>30</sup> The PUCO should require the protections necessary to ensure that all gridSMART spending that is recovered through the gridSMART Rider is excluded from the DIR.

# 4. Net plant in service should include \$21,513,289 for the amount of Veg. Mgmt. net plant for the 1st Quarter of 2012 DIR revenue requirement calculation.

AEP Ohio's initial DIR filing included an incorrect amount for Veg. Mgmt. net plant of \$13,897,570.<sup>31</sup> The use of this incorrect amount overstates the DIR revenue

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Blue Ridge Audit Report at 39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Id.at 40.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Id. at 41.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> AEP Ohio 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter Filing 2012 (Attachment 1).

requirement for the first quarter. The corrected amount of Veg. Mgmt. net plant of \$21,513,289<sup>32</sup> should be used in calculating the DIR revenue requirement.

#### 5. Net plant in service should exclude \$283,000 for land held for future use that was disallowed in the last distribution rate case.

AEP Ohio's computation of the DIR plant included land<sup>33</sup> that was disallowed in the Utility's most recent distribution rate case.<sup>34</sup> Inasmuch as the PUCO has already determined that this land should not be included for cost recovery in a rate case, it should not be included as part of the DIR recovery. The PUCO Staff's recommended land exclusion should be reflected in the calculation of DIR revenue requirement as set forth in attached Schedule 2.

#### 6. The carrying charge rate should exclude the Commercial Activity Tax (CAT) to prevent double recovery.

In calculating the carrying charge for the DIR, AEP Ohio included four components: pretax weighted average cost of capital; weighted average property tax expense; Commercial Activity Tax ("CAT") and depreciation rate.<sup>35</sup> However, AEP Ohio's computation of its carrying charge rate of 20.59% includes the CAT, which was previously imbedded in the rate, thus causing a double recovery of the CAT. AEP Ohio should be prohibited from twice collecting carrying charges (on the amount of CAT)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> See, Response to OCC Interrogatory No. 1-010 (Attachment 3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> AEP Ohio 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter Filing 2012 (Attachment 1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> See generally, AEP Ohio Rate Case, Case No. 11-352-EL-AIR, Opinion and Order at 5-6, 10 see also, AEP Ohio Rate Case, Case No. 11-352-EL-AIR, Joint Stipulation and Recommendation (November 23, 2011); see also, AEP Ohio Rate Case, Case No. 11-352-EL-AIR, A Report by the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio at Schedule B-2.2 (September 15, 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Blue Ridge Audit Report at 42; AEP Response Data Request 1-027.

from its customers. Accordingly, the CAT should be excluded from the calculation of the carrying charge rate for the DIR as set forth in attached Schedule 4.

### 7. The carrying charge rate should not include the uncollectible accounts expense.

AEP Ohio's computation of the carrying charge rate of 20.59% also includes a recovery of the uncollectible accounts expense that was not approved for collection from customers by the PUCO. A review of the ESP Opinion and Order indicates that that there was no authorization to include the uncollectible account in the calculation of carrying costs.<sup>36</sup> Accordingly, the uncollectible accounts expense should be excluded as set forth in attached Schedule 4.

### 8. The weighted average cost of capital should be used to compute the carrying charge rate.

As part of the audit, Blue Ridge recommended that the weighted average cost of capital be used to compute the carrying charge rate.<sup>37</sup> OCC agrees with the Blue Ridge. The PUCO should authorize a carrying charge rate of 20.28% for the DIR revenue requirement calculation as set forth in attached Schedule 4.

### 9. The Over/Under revenue recovery calculation should reflect OCC's adjustments.

Blue Ridge recommended that an over/under revenue adjustment be included as part of review of the DIR program in 2013.<sup>38</sup> AEP Ohio computes over/under revenue recovery by calculating a total DIR revenue requirement for each month and dividing it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> ESP II, Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO, Opinion and Order at 42.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Blue Ridge Audit Report at 43-44.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Id. at 45-46.

by twelve and then comparing that amount to the actual DIR revenue billed.<sup>39</sup> It is unknown how AEP Ohio calculated the total DIR revenue requirement amount. AEP Ohio did not provide any supporting work papers to show the basis of its monthly revenue requirement amount. Also the amount of the total monthly revenue requirement amounts vary each month and do not match with AEP Ohio DIR revenue requirement amounts included in the Utility's DIR quarterly filing.

In order to protect AEP Ohio's customers from unreasonable charges, the over/under revenue recovery calculation should be based on the revenue requirement approved for each quarter and the actual DIR revenue billed as set forth in attached Schedule 5. Additionally, OCC also agrees with the Blue Ridge recommendation that a tracker for over/under recovery should be done annually to reconcile the difference between the DIR approved revenue requirement and the actual DIR revenue billed. OCC recommends that a true-up adjustment should be included in the AEP July 1, 2013 DIR filing that will be effective on September 1, 2013 to reflect a true-up adjustment and the impact of the adjustments included in these Comments. The requested DIR rate of 12.22994%, included in the July 1, 2013 DIR filing,<sup>40</sup> should be adjusted down to reflect the recommendation made by Blue Ridge and OCC as set forth in attached Schedule 1 for a tentative calculation of a DIR rate of 11.23457% to be effective September 1, 2013. The DIR rate of 11.23457 % reflects an estimated over-recovery of \$196,566 that needs to be offset in the July 1, 2013 DIR Revenue Requirement request in order to prevent an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> AEP Ohio 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter Filing 2012; 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarterly Filing 2012; 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarterly Filing 2012; 4<sup>th</sup> Quarterly Filing 2012 (collectively Attachment 1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Update its Distribution Investment Rider, Case No. 12-2627-EL-RDR, 1<sup>st</sup> Quarterly Filing 2013 (July 1, 2013) (Attachment 4).

over-collection from AEP Ohio's customers. OCC recommends that the estimated \$196,566 be updated to reflect the actual DIR revenues billed through August 2012.

#### **III. CONCLUSION**

OCC appreciates the opportunity to provide these Comments in order to assist the PUCO in its annual review of AEP Ohio's DIR. The PUCO's adoption of the recommendations set forth in the Blue Ridge Audit Report as well as the additional recommendations proposed by OCC are necessary to protect AEP Ohio's customers from unreasonable charges. Additionally, AEP Ohio customers should not be required to pay for infrastructure that does not create a more reliable electric distribution system. For these reasons, a more detailed review of AEP Ohio's proactive efforts to increase the reliability of its distribution infrastructure is warranted.

Respectfully submitted,

#### BRUCE J. WESTON OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

<u>/s/ Joseph P. Serio</u> Joseph P. Serio, Counsel of Record Michael J. Schuler Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 Telephone: Direct – 614-466-9565 (Serio) Telephone: Direct – 614-466-9547 (Schuler) serio@occ.state.oh.us schuler@occ.state.oh.us

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing

Comments have been served upon the below-named persons via electronic service this

2nd day of August, 2013.

/s/ Joseph P. Serio Joseph P. Serio Assistant Consumers' Counsel

#### SERVICE LIST

Thomas McNamee Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 E. Broad St., 6<sup>th</sup> St. Columbus, OH 43215 Thomas.mcnamee@puc.state.oh.us Steve Nourse AEP Service Corp. 1 Riverside Plaza, 29<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, OH 43215 stnourse@aep.com

AEs: Sarah.parrot@puc.state.oh.us Jonathan.tauber@puc.state.oh.us

# AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER - OHIO Case No. 13-419-EL-RDR Distribution Investment Rider

					UISIU		Distribution Investment Rider	_			
		OCC Calculation Effective 9/1/2012	culation 9/1/2012	OCC (	OCC Calculation Effective 12/1/2012		OCC Calculation Effective 3/1/2013	OCC Effect	OCC Calculation Effective 8/1/2013	July 1.	Unadjusted July 1, 2013 AEP Filing Effective 9/1/2013
		Plant at 3/31/12	3/31/12	f hroug Plant	f hrough 2/28/2013 Plant at 6/30/12	Throu	Through 7/31/2013 Plant at 9/30/12	Throug	Through 8/31/2013 Plant at 12/31/12	Throu Plai	Through 11/30/2013 Plant at 3/31/13
립 <del>-</del>	Distribution Plant as of 8/31/2010	1st Quarter 2012	1 Quarter 2012 3 345 025 000	2nd Qi	2nd Quarter 2012	3rd 0	3rd Quarter 2012	4th O	4th Quarter 2012		1th Quarter 2013
2 3=1-2	Accumulated Depreciation as of 8/31/2010 Net Distribution Plant		0,070,320,000 1,253,173,000 2,092,752,000	8 8 9 2 7 0 2 0 2 0	2,343,323,000 1,253,173,000 2,092,752,000	eses 2. − . α	3,349,925,000 1,253,173,000 2.092.752.000	* * * *	3,345,925,000 1,253,173,000 2,092 752 000	69 69 69	3,345,925,000 1,253,173,000 2.092 752 000
4 0	Distribution Plant 2012 - Schedule 2		806 601		906 239 006	िं <b>ट</b> . ६					000'20'1201'2
6 7=5-6 8	Accumulated Depreciation 2012 - Schedule 3 Net Distribution Plant	\$ 1,372, \$ 2,203,	1,372,685,035 1,372,685,035 2,203,141,657	9 9 9 9 1 0 9 1 0 9	3,024,007,020 1,383,330,861 2,241,326,965	v. – j vi v ⇔ ⇔	3,084,119,140 1,390,030,557 2,294,088,583	n – 0 • • •	3,716,084,914 1,391,105,086 2,324,979,828	<del>ശ</del> ശ ശ	3,732,750,893 1,390,499,853 2,342,251,040
9=7-3 10	Change in Distribution Net Plant	\$ 110,	110,389,657	- \$	148,574,965	ŝ	201,336,583	69	232,227,828	69	249,499,040
11	gridSMART Net Plant Adjustment (Recovered through GS Rider)		15,788,966 (a)	<del>ся</del>	16,588,585	<del>с</del> э <mark>с</mark>	15,866,376	<del>6</del> 9	15,852,582	69	16,072,795
	gridSMART Adjustment (Actual spentreimbursed by DOE)	л өл	• •	un un		டை ம	<b>.</b> .	69 69		<b>63</b> 6	• •
12 13=9-11-11a-12	Incremental Veg Mgmt net Plant Adjustment (Recovered through 2 Net Distribution Plant - DIR	\$ 21, \$ 73,	21,513,289 (b) 73,087,402	\$	22,415,905 109,570,475	<del>ю</del> ю	23,022,943 162,447,264		24,706,104 191,669,142	69 69	25,082,045 208,344,200
<u>1</u>	Incremental ADIT Offset	\$ 103,	103,588,000	<del>ب</del>	115,425,831	69	128,230,838	- ج	148,677,603	69	159.908.564
17=9-13-15	Adjusted Change in Distribution Plant			e	10-10-11						
18	DIR Investment Percentage Allowance	(30) 4	(30,500,598)	æ	(5,855,356)	ю	34,216,426	ω	42,991,539	ся.	48,435,636
19 20	Carrying Charge Rate - Schedule 4		20.28%		20.28%		20.28%		20.28%		20.59%
21=17*18*19 22	Initial Rider Revenue	\$ (1,	(1,546,357)	\$	(296,862)	\$	1,734,746	\$	2,179,637	69	9,972,897
58	Revenue Offsel Provided in Distribution Stipulation	\$ 62,	62,344,000	÷	62,344,000	ŝ	62,344,000	69	62,344,000	69	62,344,000
25=21+23 26	Revised Rider Revenue	\$ 60,	60,797,643	с ся	62,047,138	ŝ	64,078,746	69	64,523,637	69	72,316,897
22 8	2012 Rider Revenue Cap	\$ 86,0	86,000,000	ш Ф	86,000,000	69	86,000,000	69	86,000,000	\$	104,000,000
នេខ	2012 Rider Revenue (lesser of line 25 & 27)	\$ 60,	60,797,643	9 9	62,047,138	69	64,078,746	\$	64,523,637	69	72.316.897
9 8 8	(Over)Under - Schedule 5	\$	3	÷	1,164,794	69	(39,103)	ŝ	(882,425)		(196,566)
88	2012 Fully Adjusted Revenue Requirement	\$ 60,7	60,797,643	e e	63,211,932	s	64,039,643	<del>69</del>	63,641,212	ь	72,120,332
99 7 <del>1</del>	Annual Base Distribution Revenue	\$ 642,5	642,538,878	\$ 8	639,776,882	63	639,148,462	9 9	638,823,874	θ	641,950,050
37=33/35	AEP Ohio Percentage of Base Distribution Revenue	ர்	9.46210%		9.88031%		10.01953%		9.96225%		11.23457%
38=33/12	Monthly Estimated DIR Revenue	\$ 2'(	5,066,470	÷	5,267,661	\$	5,336,637	Ś	5,303,434		
39	Number of Months		ε		3		5		1		
40 <del></del> 38 x 39	Estimated DIR Revenue	\$ 15,1	15,199,411	\$	15,802,983	69	26,683,185	¢	5,303,434		
41	Actual DIR Revenues - Schedule 5	\$ 14,0	14,034,617	\$	15,842,086	ь	27,565,610	69	5,500,000		
42 = 40 - 41	(Over)Under Recovery - Schedule 5	\$ 1,1	1,164,794	φ	(39,103)	\$	(882,425)	ю	(196,566)		

(a) girdSmart OCC's Discovery 1-009 and Blue Ridge work papers
(b) Veg Mgmt OCC's Discovery 1-010

.

AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER - OHIO Case No. 13-419-EL-RDR Calculation of Jurisdictional Plant in Service

7/1/2013	AEP Ohio	lst Ouarter	14		Thedisched	עדי ארובת	(5)		815'ZCT'ZC	20,471,037	536,338,521	5,062,199	606,885,503	594.748.922	178 202 42F		205,000,220 205 755 755	676'007'000	296, 155, 244	165,366,712	51,485,241	103,793	36,487,302	3,732,750,893	(000 582)	3, 732, 467, 893	99:953049\$	3, 730, 715, 463
2012	AEP Ohio	4th Duarter	As of 12/31/12	Anni inant 'e	Thedivered	1.51	(+)	000 010	000'960'TC	20,466,412	534,064,824	5,062,199	597, 342, 233	600,626,006	177.066.868	E17 678 228	CCC 070'/TC		29, CIV, 1V2	1/4, U3/, /11	50, 501, 788	103,793	35,868,174	3,718,113,471	(283.000)	3,717,830,471 3,732,467,893	99.953049\$	3,716,084,914 3,730,715,463
2012	AEP Ohio	3th Quarter	As of 9/30/12	Applicant's	Unadiusted	(h)	111	50 600 604		775' 405' A75	527,062,853	5,062,199	594,880,516	596,321,750	174,256,009	513.356 754	657,297,379	200 000	1/8'00C'COZ	100,550,111	43, /06,446	103,793	35,322,383		(283,000)	3,685,849,682	99 9530498	3,684,119,140
2012	AEP Ohio	2nd Quarter	As of 6/30/12	Applicant's	Unadjusted	(a)	, ,	c			0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0				2	5	0	3,626,643,437	(283,000)	3,626,360,437	99.953049\$	3,624,657,826
2012	AEP Ohio	1st Quarter	As of 3/31/12	Applicant's	Unadjusted	(£)		c		> 0	Ð	0	0	0	D	0	0	c				Ð	0	(21,966,000) 3,345,925,000 3,577,789,365 3,626,643,437 3,686,132,682	(283,000)		99.9530498	3,575,826,691 3,624,657,826 3,684,119,140
		2-EL-AIR	As of 8/31/10	Adjusted	Jurisdictional	(e)		49.282.000	18 775 000		000, CUP, 0/ P	000,200,4	556,661,000	509,287,000	146,012,000	465,379,000	622,385,000	271.266.000	143.587.000	48.002.000			33,718,000	3,345,925,000	Land Exclusion	Adjusted Plant 3,577,506,365	Juris. Alloc.	Juris. Plant
		CSP and OP Rate Case Nos. 11-351-EL-AIR and 11-352-EL-AIR				(q)		(283,000)	C	1966 0001	(000,000)	Ð	(36,000)	(12,787,000)	0	0	(428,000)	(191.000)	(7.486.000)	C			-				-	-
		Nos. 11-351-EL		Unadjusted	Jurisdictional Adjustments	(c)		49,565,000	18.775.000	000 071 774	100,001,14	21, 162, UUU	556,697,000	522,074,000		465,379,000	622,813,000	271,457,000	151,073,000	48,002,000	100 401		100'9T/ 'FF	3,367,891,000				
		OP Rate Case 1		Jurisdictional	Allocations	(q)		<b>92.92</b>	896·68	90 035		100.00	#06.66 400 00	*0A.7A	100.00\$	100.00	100.00%	100.008	99.93\$	100.008	100 008		\$00.00T	99.9530498 3,367				
		CSP and	As of 8/31/10	Applicant's	Unadjusted	(a)		49,605,000	18,783,000	477 503 000			100,278,000	UUU, CBC, 22C	146,012,000	465,379,000	622,813,000	271,457,000	151,172,000	48,002,000	104.000	000 017 55	000'DT/ 'CC	3,369,473,000				
				9	IO. Description		Distribution Plant:	360 Land & Land Rights	361 Structures & Improvements	362 Station Equipment						-		469 Services	370 Meters	371 Installations on Customer Premises	372 Leased Property on Customer Premises	373 Street Lighting and Singal Svereme		Total Distribution Plant				

# AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER - OHIO Case No. 13-419-EL-RDR Calculation of Jurisdictional Depreciation Reserve

F102/1/2	AEP Ohio	_		Annitoneta		(j)	,	9.630	9.72	5		9 C						-		35,043,709	71,987	16,911,135	() (7,460,521)	1,390,499,853	0	1,391,679,118 1,390.499.853	\$ 99.958753\$	Juris Accum Del1,372,685,035 1,383,330,861 1,390,030,557 1,391,105,086 1,389,926,307
2012	AEP Ohio	4th Cuarter	-		Ilradiucted	(i)		9,998	9,685,025	154,853,321	1 605 792	341.509.714	152.719.199	48.351.467	201 223 BUC	202,000,004 717 000 000	0%0'000'7'7	0/5'550'07T	38, 3 / 9, 446	34,661,360	71,647	16,811,884	(9,250,149	1,391,679,118	0	1,391,679,118	99 958753\$	1,391,105,086
2012	AEP Ohio	3th Ouarter	As of 9/21/12	Applicant's	Unadiusted	(4)		9,997	9,646,052	153,051,202	1.524.985	338,867,443	152,732,792	48.050.087	208 463 196	272 155 507	300'CC4'E'E	101 1907 17T	969'797''E	34, 247, 676	71,307	16,765,145	(11,526,374)	1,390,604,146	0	1,390,604,146	99 958753\$	1,390,030,557
2012	AEP Ohio	2nd Quarter	As of 6/31/12	Applicant's	Unadiusted	(6)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						0	0	0		0	1,383,901,685	99-958753\$	1,383,330,861
2012	AEP Ohio	1st Quarter	As of 3/31/12	Applicant's	Unadjusted	(£)		•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	c	, c				C	0	(4,567,000) 1,253,173,000 1,373,251,466 1,383,901,685	0		99 958753\$	1,372,685,035
		-EL-AIR	As of 8/31/10	Adjusteđ	Jurisdictional Adjustments Jurisdictional	(e)		(3,000)	8,848,000	133,254,000	832,000	280,204,000	135,947,000	43,868,000	187,480,000	254,105,000	100.819.000	67, 509, 000	78 714 000		11 112 000	000,200,61	(3,981,000)	1,253,173,000	Land Exclusion	Adjusted Depr. 1,373,251,466	Juris. Alloc.	Juris Accum De
		11-351-EL-AIR and 11-352-EL-AIR			Adjustments	(p)	•	C	0	50,000	0	8,000	(338,000)	0	0	(000)	(19,000)	(4,262,000)	C	• c		5 (		(4,567,000)	I	I	,,	
		- 1		Unadjusted	Jurisdictional	(c)	1000 07	(000, 5)	8,848,000	133,204,000	832,000	280,196,000	136,285,000	43,868,000	187,480,000	254,111,000	100,838,000	71,771,000	28.714.000	15 000	15 562 000		(3, 381, 000)	99.958753% 1,257,740,000				
		CSP and OP Rate Case Nos.		Jurisdictional	Allocations	(q)	200 001	400-00T	99.95\$	99.96\$	100.008	99.95%	99.95%	99.97\$	<b>99.99</b>	99.95	99.96\$	99.95\$	\$75.99	100.00\$	240 00		\$CA.44	99.958753\$				
		CSP and	As of 8/31/10	Applicant's	Unadjusted	(a)	(3 000)		8,852,000	133,259,000	832,000	280,333,000	136,356,000	43,882,000	187,503,000	254,228,000	100,883,000	71,806,000	28,724,000	15.000	15.571.000		1000,000,00	1, 258, 259, 000				
					No. Description	Distribution Plant	360 Land & Land Rights	361 Structures & Tunnowenes			• •							-	371 Installations on Customer Premises	372 Leased Property on Customer Premises	373 Street Lighting and Singal Systems	RWIP Retirement Work in Progress		Total Distribution Plant				

Schedule 4	Carrying Charge Rate					5.656%	9.000%	5.656%	3.680%			10.943%	20.280%									
										Pre-tax WACC 2.606%	8.338%	10.943%			Adjusted AEP Weight Average 100.000%	0.000% 0.260%	99.740%	1.346%	98.394%	34,438%	63.956%	1 <u>56358</u> (a)
R - OHIO R										Revenue Tax Conversion 1.000000	1.56358 (a)				Adjusted OP 100.000%	0.000% 0.260%	99.740%	1.755%	97.985%	34.295%	63.690%	1.57010
AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER - OHIO Case No. 13-419-EL-RDR Carrying Chrage Rate	Total AEP	125,440,000	3,565.955.000	(1,348.246.000)	2,217,709,000	5.656%				Weighted Cost 1 2.606%	5.333%	7.938%			Adjusted CSP 100.000%	0.000% 0.260%	99.740%	0.937%	98.803%	34.581%	64.222%	1.55711
AMERICA Ca	OP	54.682.000 \$	1.712.365.000	(571.156.000)	1.141.209.000	4.792%				Cost 5.46%	10.20%				AEP Weight Average 100.000%	0.323%	99.417%	1.342%	98.075%	34.326%	63.749%	1.56866
	CSP	70.758.000 \$	1,853,590,000	(000,060,777)	1,076.500.000	6.573%				Precentage 47.72%	52.28%				OP se No. 11-352-EL AIR 100.000%	0.250% 0.260%	99.490%	1.751%	97.739%	34.209%	63.530%	1.57405
	I	60		1				srty & Commercial Tax	ate	I					CSP OP Case No. 11-351-EL AIRCase No. 11-352-EL AIR AEP Weight Average 100.000% 100.000% 100.000%	0.396% 0.260%	99.344%	x 0.934%	98.410%	34,444%	63.967%	1.56331
	Constraints of Barrers of Street	Propety Tax	Plant in Service	Accumulated Depreciation	Net Plant-in-Service	Property Tax Rate (1) / (4)	Commercial Activity Tax Rate	AEP Ohio Weighted Average Poperty & Commercial Tax	AEP Ohio Average Depreciation Rate	Calculation of Rate of Return Cost of Debt	Common Equity	Weighted Average Rate of Return	Carrying Charge Rate	Revenue Tax Conversion Factor	Case Operating Revenue	Less: Uncollectible Accounts Expense Comercial Activities Tax	Income Before Income Tax	Less: State & Municpal Income Tax CSP = Line (4) X 0.9399% OP = Line (4) X 1.7599%	Income Before Federal Income	Less: Péderal Income Tax CSP = Line (7) X 35% OP = Line (7) X 35%	Operating Income Percentage	Revenue Tax Conversion Factor
		θ	(2)	(3)	(4)	(2)	(9)	(1)	(8)	(6)	(01)	(11)	(12)		θ	(3)	(4)	(5) (6)	6	(8) (9)	(10)	(11)

Line	Period		Schedule 1 Revenue	<u>1</u> 8	1/12 Revenue Requirement	Δ	DIR Revenues Billed		0	Monthly Over/(Under) Recovery	Quarterly Over/(Under) Pecovoni
	Sep-12	Ś	60.797.643.49	6	5 066 470 29	v	5 421 008 00	Actual	e	1	INCLORED
2	Oct-12	6	60 707 643 40	<del>)</del> 64	5 066 170 20	<del>)</del> e	100100100		A 4	11.120,405	
1 (	000-17	<del>)</del> (	VU, / 9 / . UH J. 49	<u>م</u>	0,000,4/U.29	A	4,281,035.00	Actual	∽	(785,435.29)	
r)	Nov-12	\$	60,797,643.49	ω	5,066,470.29	∽	4,332,484.00	Actual	∽	(733,986.29)	
				⇔	15,199,410.87	69	14,034,617.00		∽	(1,164,793.87) \$	(1,164,793.87)
4	Dcc-12	↔	63,211,931.91	⇔	5,267,660.99	δ	4.957.352.00	Actual	¥	(310 308 00)	
5	Jan-13	\$	63,211,931.91	\$	5,267,660.99	6		Actual	<del>)</del> ∉	84 768 01	
9	Feb-13	ы	63,211,931.91	⇔	-	€~	5,532,805.00	Actual/Estimate	÷∽	265,144.01	
			I	69	15,802,982.98	↔	15,842,086.00		64	39,103.02 \$	39,103.02
7	Mar-13	\$	64,039,643.06	⇔	5,336,636.92	Ś	5.532.805.00	Actual/Estimate	¥	106 168 08	
~	Apr-13	∽	64,039,643.06	⇔	5,336,636.92	\$		Actual/Estimate	÷ •	106 168 00	
6	May-13	∽	64,039,643.06	\$	5,336,636.92	64	5.500.000.00	OCC Fetimate	÷	162 362 00	
10	Jun-13	69	64,039,643.06	θ	5,336,636.92	69	5.500.000.00	OCC Estimate	÷⊷	00.000,001	
[]	Jul-13	₩	64,039,643.06	Ş	5,336,636.92	<b>6</b> 9	5,500,000.00	OCC Estimate	<del>,</del> 69	163.363.08	
				\$	26,683,184.61	ω	27,565,610.00		\$	882,425.39 \$	882,425.39
12	Aug-13	\$	63,641,212.08	⇔	5,303,434.34	69	5,500,000.00	OCC Estimate	⇔	196,565.66 \$	196,565.66
13 A	ctual Rever	nne Bil	13 Actual Revenue Billed Sent 2012. And 20	112/	2013 (8 Montha)	6	1000000000		_		

AEP Ohio Case No. 13-419-EL-RDR Over/(Under) Revenue Calculation

16,598,415.00 Line 13 - Line 14 5,532,805.00 Line 15 / Line 16 24,343,898.00 OCC INT-2-013  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ ⇔ ÷ 5 15 Actual Revenue Billed Fcb 2013- April 2013 (3Months) 14 Actual Revenue Billed Sept 2012- Jan 2013 (5 Months) 16 Number of Billed Month ( Feb, March, & April) 17 Monthly Actual/Estimate Billed Revenue

. .

<u>Line</u> 1 2 3=1-2	Distribution Plant as of 8/31/2010 Accumulated Depreciation as of 8/31/2010 Net Distribution Plant		AEP Ohio 3,345,925,000 1,253,173,000 2,092,752,000
4 5 6 7=5-6 8	1st Quarter 2012 Distribution Plant Accumulated Depreciation 1st Quarter 2012 Net Distribution Plant	\$ \$ \$	3,577,789,365 <u>1,373,251,466</u> 2,204,537,899
9=7-3	Change in Distribution Net Plant	\$	111,785,899
10		-	
11 12	gridSMART Net Plant Adjustment (Recovered through GS Rider)	\$	7,909,386
13	Incremental Veg Mgmt net Plant Adjustment (Recovered through Rider)	\$	13,897,570
14			
15	Incremental ADIT Offset	\$	103,588,000
16			
17=9-11-13-15	Adjusted Change in Distribution Plant	\$	(13,609,057)
18			
19	Carrying Charge Rate		20.59%
20			
21=17*19	Initial Rider Revenue	\$	(2,802,105)
22			
23	Revenue Offset Provided in Distribution Stipulation	\$	62,344,000
24			
25=21+23	Revised Rider Revenue	\$	59,541,895
26		•	
27	2012 Rider Revenue Cap	\$	86,000,000
28 29	2012 Bider Bevenue (Jasser of line 25 8 27)	<b>^</b>	50 5 44 005
30	2012 Rider Revenue (lesser of line 25 & 27)	\$	59,541,895
30	Annual Base Distribution Revenue (12 Months Ending March 2012)	¢	640 500 070
32		\$	642,538,878
33=29/31	AEP Ohio Percentage of Base Distribution Revenue		9.26666%

Attachment 1 2 of 8

#### OHIO POWER COMPANY

.

.

1st Revised Sheet No. 489-1 Cancels Original Sheet No. 489-1

#### P.U.C.O. NO. 20

#### DISTRIBUTION INVESTMENT RIDER

Effective Cycle 1 September 2012 all customer bills subject to the provision of this Rider, including any bills rendered under special contract, shall be adjusted by the Distribution Investment Rider charge of 9.26666% of the customer's distribution charges under the Company's Schedules. excluding charges under any applicable Riders. This Rider shall be adjusted periodically to recover amounts authorized by the Commission.

Filed pursuant to order dated August 8, 2012 in Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO Issued: August 8, 2012

Issued By Pablo Vegas, President AEP Ohio Effective: Cycle 1 September 2012

×

#### AEP Ohio Proposed Distribution Investment Rider 2nd Quarter 2012

Line			AEP Ohio
1	Distribution Plant as of 8/31/2010	\$	3,345,925,000
2	Accumulated Depreciation as of 8/31/2010	\$	1,253,173,000
3=1-2	Net Distribution Plant	\$	2,092,752,000
4			
5	2nd Quarter 2012 Distribution Plant	\$	3,626,643,437
6	Accumulated Depreciation 2nd Quarter 2012	\$	1,383,901,685
7=5-6	Net Distribution Plant	\$	2,242,741,752
8		•	
9=7-3	Change in Distribution Net Plant	S	149,989,752
10			
11	gridSMART Net Plant Adjustment (Recovered through GS Rider)	S	16,588,585
12			
13	Incremental Veg Mgmt net Plant Adjustment (Recovered through Rider)	\$	22,415,905
14			
15	Incremental ADIT Offset	\$	115,425,831
16			
17=9-11-13-15	Adjusted Change in Distribution Plant	\$	(4.440,569)
18			
19	Carrying Charge Rate		20.59%
20			
21≃17*19	Initial Rider Revenue	\$	(914,313)
22			
23	Revenue Offset Provided in Distribution Stipulation	\$	62,344,000
24			
25=21+23	Revised Rider Revenue	\$	61,429,687
26			
27	2012 Rider Revenue Cap	\$	86,000,000
28			
29	2012 Rider Revenue (lesser of line 25 & 27)	\$	61,429,687
30			
31	Annual Base Distribution Revenue (12 Months Ending June 2012)	\$	639,776,882
32			• •
33=29/31	AEP Ohio Percentage of Base Distribution Revenue		9.60174%

#### OHIO POWER COMPANY

•

1.0

2nd Revised Sheet No. 489-1D Cancels 1st Revised Sheet No. 489-1D

#### P.U.C.O. NO. 20

OAD - DISTRIBUTION INVESTMENT RIDER (Open Access Distribution- Distribution Investment Rider)

Effective Cycle 1 December 2012 all customer bills subject to the provision of this Rider, including any bills rendered under special contract, shall be adjusted by the Distribution Investment Rider charge of 9 60174% of the customer's distribution charges under the Company's Schedules, excluding charges under any applicable Riders. This Rider shall be adjusted periodically to recover amounts authorized by the Commission.

Filed pursuant to order dated August 8, 2012 in Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO Issued: \_\_\_\_\_

Effective: Cycle 1 December 2012

Issued By Pablo Vegas, President AEP Ohio

1

#### AEP Ohio Proposed Distribution Investment Rider September 2012

Line 1 2 3=1-2 4	Distribution Plant as of 8/31/2010 Accumulated Depreciation as of 8/31/2010 Net Distribution Plant	\$ \$ \$	AEP Ohio 3,345,925,000 1,253,173,000 2,092,752,000
5 6 7=5-6 8	September 2012 Distribution Plant Accumulated Depreciation September 2012 Net Distribution Plant	\$ \$ \$	3,686,132,683 <u>1,390,604,143</u> 2,295,528,539
9=7-3 10	Change in Distribution Net Plant	\$	202,776,539
11 12	gridSMART Net Plant Adjustment (Recovered through GS Rider)	\$	15,866,376
13 14	Incremental Veg Mgmt net Plant Adjustment (Recovered through Rider)	\$	23,022,943
15 16	Incremental ADIT Offset	\$	1 <b>28,230,838</b>
17=9-11-13-15 18	Adjusted Change in Distribution Plant	\$	35,656,383
19 20	Carrying Charge Rate		20.59%
21=17°19 22	Initial Rider Revenue	\$	7,341,649
23 24	Revenue Offset Provided in Distribution Stipulation	\$	62,344,000
25 <b>=2</b> 1+23 26	Revised Rider Revenue	\$	69,685,649
27 28	2012 Rider Revenue Cap	\$	86,000,000
29 30	2012 Rider Revenue (lesser of line 25 & 27)	\$	69,685,649
31 32	(Over)/Under (Based on September Actuals)	\$	1,687,106
33 34	2012 Fully Adjusted Revenue Requirement	\$	71,372,755
35 36	Annual Base Distribution Revenue (12 Months Ending September 2012)	\$	639,148,462
37=33/35	AEP Ohio Percentage of Base Distribution Revenue	· * + ****	11.16685%

#### OHIO POWER COMPANY

3rd Revised Sheet No. 489-1D Cancels 2nd Revised Sheet No. 489-1D

#### P.U.C.O. NO. 20

#### OAD - DISTRIBUTION INVESTMENT RIDER (Open Access Distribution - Distribution Investment Rider)

Effective Cycle 1 March 2013 all customer bills subject to the provision of this Rider, including any bills rendered under special contract, shall be adjusted by the Distribution Investment Rider charge of 11.16685% of the customer's distribution charges under the Company's Schedules, excluding charges under any applicable Riders. This Rider shall be adjusted periodically to recover amounts authorized by the Commission.

Filed pursuant to order dated November 28, 2012 in Case No. 12-2627-EL-RDR Issued: December 21, 2012

Effective: Cycle 1 March 2013

Issued By Pablo Vegas, President AEP Ohio

#### AEP Ohio Proposed Distribution Investment Rider December 2012

Line			AEP Ohio
1	Distribution Plant as of 8/31/2010	\$	3,345,925,000
2	Accumulated Depreciation as of 8/31/2010	\$	1,253,173,000
3=1-2	Net Distribution Plant	<u>-</u> \$	2,092,752,000
4		Ŧ	-,,,,
5	December 2012 Distribution Plant	\$	3,718,113,472
6	Accumulated Depreciation December 2012		1,391,679,118
7=5-6	Net Distribution Plant	_ <del>\$</del> \$	2,326,434,354
8			
9=7-3	Change in Distribution Net Plant	\$	233,682,354
10			
11	gridSMART Net Plant Adjustment (Recovered through GS Rider)	\$	15,852,582
12			
13	Incremental Veg Mgmt net Plant Adjustment (Recovered through Rider)	\$	24,706,104
14			
15	Incremental ADIT Offset	\$	148,677,603
16			
17=9-11-13-15	Adjusted Change in Distribution Plant	\$	44,446,064
18			
19	Carrying Charge Rate		20.59%
20			
21=17*19	Initial Rider Revenue	\$	9,151,445
22			
23	Revenue Offset Provided in Distribution Stipulation	\$	62,344,000
24		Ŧ	
25=21+23	Revised Rider Revenue	\$	71,495,445
26		+	,,
27	2012 Rider Revenue Cap	\$	86,000,000
28		+	00,000,000
29	2012 Rider Revenue (lesser of line 25 & 27 )	\$	71,495,445
30		Ŷ	71,100,110
31	(Over)/Under (Based on December Actuals)	\$	4,793,406
32		Ψ	4,700,400
33	2012 Fully Adjusted Revenue Requirement	\$	76,288,851
34		Ψ	70,200,001
35	Annual Base Distribution Revenue (12 Months Ending December 2012)	\$	638,823,874
36		Φ	030,023,074
37=33/35	AEP Ohio Percentage of Base Distribution Revenue		11.94208%

#### OHIO POWER COMPANY

4th Revised Sheet No. 489-1 Cancels 3rd Revised Sheet No. 489-1

#### P.U.C.O. NO. 20

#### DISTRIBUTION INVESTMENT RIDER

Effective Cycle 1 August 2013 all customer bills subject to the provision of this Rider, including any bills rendered under special contract, shall be adjusted by the Distribution investment Rider charge of 11.94208% of the customer's distribution charges under the Company's Schedules, excluding charges under any applicable Riders. This Rider shall be adjusted periodically to recover amounts authorized by the Commission.

Filed pursuant to order dated November 28, 2012 in Case No. 12-2627-EL-RDR Issued:

Effective: Cycle 1 August 2013

Issued By Pablo Vegas, President AEP Ohio

#### OHIO POWER COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL OF OHIO PUCO Case No. 13-419-EL-RDR FIRST SET

#### **INTERROGATORY**

INT-1-009 What are the gridSMART plant book balances and gridSMART accumulated depreciation book balances as of 3/31/2012, 6/30/2012, and 12/31/2012 by FERC accounts as provided in the 3rd quarter 2012 filing made AEP Ohio on December 21, 2012?

#### **RESPONSE**

See OCC 1-009 Attachment 1. Note there were adjustments to the original worksheets. The December filing incorporates all adjustments to date.

OCC 1-009 Attachment 1
------------------------

company	utility_account	cc_rate_codes	month q	uantity t	ook_cost	cc_rate_codes   month   quantity   book_cost   allocated_reserve   net_book_value	et_book_value
Columbus Southern Power - Distr	36200 - Station Equipment	gridSMART	03/2012	133	133 3980687	131094.08	3849592.46
Columbus Southern Power - Distr	36400 - Poles, Towers and Fixtures	gridSMART	03/2012	960	960 254586.6	17219.39	237367.21
Columbus Southern Power - Distr	36500 - Overhead Conductors, Device	gridSMART	03/2012	20678	5201219	224555.63	4976663.13
Columbus Southern Power - Distr	36600 - Underground Conduit	gridSMART	03/2012	36	36 1532.14	25.41	1506.73
Columbus Southern Power - Distr	36700 - Undergrnd Conductors, Device	gridSMART	03/2012	32	9733.44	57.67	9675.77
Columbus Southern Power - Distr	36800 - Line Transformers	gridSMART	03/2012	558	1084791	53406.91	1031383.81
Columbus Southern Power - Distr	36900 - Services	gridSMART	03/2012	200	85071.75	3728.64	81343.11
Columbus Southern Power - Distr	37000 - Meters	gridSMART	03/2012	2	52707.37	1413.02	51294.35
Columbus Southern Power - Distr	37016 - AMI Meters	gridSMART	03/2012	134334	8607971	3060587.07	5547384.12
Columbus Southern Power - Distr	37100 - Installs Customer Premises	gridSMART	03/2012	15	557.59	140.25	417.34
Columbus Southern Power - Distr	37300 - Street Lghtng & Signal Sys	gridSMART	03/2012	19	2543.77	205.63	2338.14
					19281400	3492433.7	15788966.17

cc_rate_codes   month   quantity   book_cost  allocated_reserve   net_book_value ridSMART 06/2012 149 4046431 152940.27 3893490.25
Ŭ
-

OCC 1-009 Attachment 1 Case No. 13-419-EL-RDR

company	utility_account	cc_rate_codes month quantity	month	quantity	book_cost	allocated reserve net book value	net book value
Ohio Power - Distr	36200 - Station Equipment	gridSMART	12/2012	51	4,156,605.90	208,707.30	3,947,898.60
Ohio Power - Distr	36400 - Poles, Towers and Fixtures	gridSMART	12/2012	1187	275,510.51	26,836.95	248,673.56
Ohio Power - Distr	36500 - Overhead Conductors, Device	gridSMART	12/2012	23106	5,736,082.09	342,311.29	5,393,770.80
Ohio Power - Distr	36600 - Underground Conduit	gridSMART	12/2012	34	1,290.92	37.44	1,253.48
Ohio Power - Distr	36700 - Undergrnd Conductors, Device	gridSMART	12/2012	40	16,387.16	196.19	16,190.97
Ohio Power - Distr	36800 - Line Transformers	gridSMART	12/2012	592	1,100,044.82	75,237.90	1,024,806.92
Ohio Power - Distr	36900 - Services	gridSMART	12/2012	414	97,629.18	5,330.73	92,298.45
Ohio Power - Distr	37000 - Meters	gridSMART	12/2012	1	-4,870.51	-75.34	-4,795.17
Ohio Power - Distr	37016 - AMI Meters	gridSMART	12/2012	109809	7,829,384.76	2,699,934.62	5,129,450.14
Ohio Power - Distr	37100 - Installs Customer Premises	gridSMART	12/2012	16	558.24	118.56	439.68
Ohio Power - Distr	37300 - Street Lghtng & Signal Sys	gridSMART	12/2012	48	2,874.55	279.63	2,594.92
					19,211,497.62	3,358,915.27	15,852,582.35

#### OHIO POWER COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL OF OHIO PUCO Case No. 13-419-EL-RDR FIRST SET

#### **INTERROGATORY**

INT-1-010 What are the incremental Veg Mgmt plant book balances and Veg Mgmt accumulated depreciation book balances as of 3/31/2012, 6/30/2012 and 12/31/2012 by FERC accounts as provided in the 3rd quarter 2012 filing AEP Ohio made on December 21, 2012?

#### **RESPONSE**

See OCC 1-010 Attachment 1. Note that there was a correction to the original worksheets used in the calculation. The December filing includes all correcting adjustments to date.

OCC 1-010 Attachment 1 Case No. 13-419-EL-RDR

1 Combined		al uepreciation																																			24	s	ŝ	s	C 177 760
Combined	Cummulative	Incremental																																			\$ 21,123,394	\$ 21,532,639	S 21,984,033	\$ 22.578,80	
		incremental	112 896	206 784	173.349	527 993	314.429	469 532	71 302	235,885	1 033 148	1.029.352	1,222,656	639.735	45.180	334.942	489.281	534.695	436.561	504.356	609,088	925,698	991,877	1,093,051	1,084,885	83,567	664,207	359,678	420,112	317,027	555,452	1,371,687	537,783	807.071	904,894	809.371	1,116,614	409,246	451,394	594,771	22 578 RNA
	-	÷	• v	• v	~		- 17					5	s	s	s	S	ŝ	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	ŝ	s	s	5
Remove Base		Compined																																				202,465	183,062	303,941	689.468
OPCo 1		nepreciation	168	282	780	1.322	2.847	3.389	4 580	4.460	4.676	6,803	8,265	9,400	9,717	9,751	10,373	11,342	12,465	13,214	13,973	14,876	16,738	18,512	20,569	23,000	22.818	24,580	25,517	26.735	27.708	28,908	31,744	33,055	34,684	35,929	37,012	s	w	0	550.290 S
	Ż	5	v	5	\$	2	\$	5		<b>v</b>	5	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	ŝ	s	s	s	s	ŝ	s				5
csp		nahianian	89	208	417	442	611	679	1 240	1.496	1.904	2.845	4,253	6,355	7,654	767.7	8,090	8,563	9,035	9.540	10,199	11,006	11,881	12,975	14,110	14,957	15,287	15,610	15,797	15,928	15,988	16,453	17,695	18,039	18,798	20,065	21,219				337.465
			v.	s	s	0	s	0	~	ŝ	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	0	s	s	s	s	ŝ	\$	s	\$	s	s	\$	s	s	s	s	s				5
OPCO	Cummulative		114 880	233,918	396.727	854,125	1,016,605	1,373,990	1.337.914	1.402.667	2.040.937	2,479,403	2,820,047	2,914,991	2,925,164	3,112,019	3,402,743	3,739,577	3,964,160	4,191,874	4,462,725	5,021,253	5,553,740	6,170,698	6,900,128	6,845,391	7,373,942	7,655,154	8,020.415	8,312,292	8,672,466	9,523,052	9,916,471	10.405,085	10,778,631	11,103,498	11,754,926				
	-	5	478 S	2 8E0	809 S	398 S	479 S	386 S	077) 5	754 S	270 S	466 S	544 S	944 S	174 S	955 S	724 S	834 S	582 S	715 S	950 S	529 S	486 S	949 S	440 S	737) S	552 S	212 S	261 S	877 S	S E11	587 S	418 S	515 S	545 S	368 S	428 \$				523
орсо	interesting in the second	50.403	64.478	1 19,038	162,809	457,398	162,479	357,386	(36.077)	64.754	638.270	438,466	340,644	94,944	10,174	186,855	290,724	336,834	224,582	227,715	270,850	558'855	532,486	616,949	729,440	(54,737)	528,552	281,212	365,261	291,877	360,173	850,587	393,418	488,615	373,545	324,868	651,428				11.704.523
		5 8 2		31 S	96 S	74 S	92 S	69 S	13 S	25 S	45 S	13 S	41 S	56 S	63 S	31 5	96 S	74 S	92 S	69 S	13 <b>S</b>	25 S	45 S	13 S	41 S	56 S	63 S	31 S	96 S	74 S	92 S	8 69	13 S	25 S	45 S	13 S	41 S				01 S
Remove Base	0000	136.656	116,663	206,231	255,896	289,474	224,192	184,069	216,113	226,225	184,145	139,913	242,341	136,656	116,663	206,231	255,896	289,474	224,192	184,069	216,113	226,225	184,145	139,913	242,341	136,656	116,663	206,231	255,896	289.474	224,192	184,069	216,113	226,225	184,145	139,913	242,341				7.129.101
	<b>•</b> -	<i>u</i> 1	0 0	s	s 6	4	4 S	s	s o	s	8 8	4	s 9	7 S	4 S	1 S	8 8	8 8	s L	s 8	s 9	s	s S	ŝ	s e	2	s e	0 0	s	s	ŝ	8 8	4 V	s	ŝ	0 0	ŝ				s
CSP	cummulative Incremental	39 915	87.273	5 175,020	\$ 185,559	5 256,154	\$ 408,104	520,250	627.629	5 798,760	5 1,193,638	5 1,784,524	5 2,666,536	3.211.327	5 3,246,334	3,394,421	5 3,592,978	3,790,838	3 4,002,817	\$ 4,279,458	\$ 4,617,696	5 4,984,865	5,444,256	5,920,358	6,275,803	6,414,107	6.549.763	6.628.229	6,683,080	6,708,230	6,903,509	7,424,608	5 7,568,974	7,887,430	8,418,779	8,903,282	9,368,468				
	a	34 015	48.359	87,746	10,540	70,595	950	112,146	979 S	121,131	394,878	590,886	.012	.791	001	087	198.557	.861	611,979	641	338,238	,169	459,391	476,102	445	ð.	135,656	466	.851	150	195,279	8	144,365	456	31,349	184,503	165,186				29,553
CSP	Incremental			87	5	2	151	112	107	171	PQE	590	882	544	35	148	198	197	211	276	338	367	459	476	355	138	135	78	2	52	195	521	44	318	531	484	465				9.329
88		65 809 S		710	\$ 679,961	229,935 \$	79,621	132,439 \$	287 \$	309	60,780	95,597 \$	116,810	808	66,398	710 \$	\$ 679.	935 5	621	439	287	309	780	95,597	810	808	66,398	710	613	229,935	79,621	439	07,287 5	16,309	60,780	597 5	16,810 \$				197 \$
Remove Base	dSD	92	8	-26	138	229,	79,	132,	107,	16,	9	36	116,	65,	ÿ	97.	138	229,	62	132	107.	16,	09	35	116,	65	S.	97,	138	229,	,67	132,	107,	16,	9 9 9	95,	116,				3,557,197
_		¢,	ŝ	s	s	ŝ	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	ŝ	s	ŝ	ŝ	S	s	s	S	s	ŝ	S	ŝ	0	S	S	ŝ	ŝ	s	s	ŝ	s	S	v	s				vo
	Merced		•	•	,	•	•	•	•	,	'	,	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	'	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	,	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	611,711	634,456	211'989	2,144,879
			s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	\$	s	s	s	s	ŝ	s	s	\$	0	0	0	0	\$	6	<b>0</b>	s	s,	0	0	s	\$	s	s	0	0.0	0	s
	OPC	5 187.059	3 181,141	325,269	5 418,705	5 746,872	5 386,671	541,455	\$ 180,036	\$ 290,979	5 822,415	\$ 578,379	582,985	231,600	5 126,837	333,086	546,620	626,308	3 448,774	5 411,784	486,963	784,754	716,631	756,862	971,781	81,919	645,215	487,443	621,157	581,351	584,365	1,034,656	609,531	714,840	557,690	464,781	893,769				19,020,683
	CSP	104.724 S	114,757 S	185,456 S	149,513 S	300.530 S	231,571 S	244,585 S	214,666 S	187,440 S	455,658 S	686,483 S	998,822 S	610,600 \$	101,405 S	245,797 S	337,530 \$	427,796 S	291,600 S	409.080 S	445,525 \$	383,478 S	520,171 \$	571,699 S	4/2,255 5	204,113 5	202.054 5	1/6,1/6 5	193,824 S	255.085 \$	274,900 5	653,539 5	251,652 S	334,765 \$	592,129 \$	580,100 \$	581,996 \$				12,991,474 \$ 19,020,683
	J	Ś	s	s	s	ŝ	s	s	s	ŝ	s	s	ŝ	s	s	ŝ	ŝ	s	ŝ	\$	\$	5	\$	<i>.</i>	0	0	0	2	0	<b>n</b>	<i>i</i> <b>n n</b>	20	<b>w</b> (	~	\$	\$	s				S 12
4	number	200901	200902	200903	200904	200905	200906	200907	200908	200909	200910	200911	200912	201001	201002	201003	201004	201005	201006	201007	201008	201009	201010	201011	210102	101102	201102	201103	201104	201102	201106	101102	201108	601102	201110	201111	201112	102102	202102		

Cummulative Incremental Capit: \$22,578,804 29 Remove Depreciation \$ (1,065,514,96) Incremental Veg NBV \$ 21,513,289.34 Attachment 3 2 of 4

	d Combined																																				70	30 ¢ 50 000	9 <del>0</del>		<del>,</del>	<del>,</del>	• • •
	Combined																																				\$ 21 123 304	* 21 F22 F20	00120012 00	\$ 22 57R 804	÷ 45	<del>,</del>	
		Incremental	S 89.318	\$ 112,836	\$ 206.784	\$ 173,349	\$ 527,993	\$ 314.429	\$ 469.532	\$ 71.302	\$ 235,885	\$ 1,033,148	\$ 1,029,352	\$ 1,222,656	\$ 639,735	\$ 45,180	\$ 334,942	\$ 489,281	\$ 534,695	\$ 436,561	\$ 504,356	\$ 609,088	\$ 925,698	\$ 991,877	\$ 1,093,051	\$ 1,084,885	\$ 83,567	\$ 664,207	\$ 359,678	\$ 420,112	\$ 317,027	\$ 555,452	\$ 1,371,687	001/100 0	* 001 P01		\$ 1116.614	1000VF \$	\$ 451 304	\$ 594 771	\$ 641204	\$ 150.086	\$ 301,540
Remove	Base	Combined																																				\$ 202 465	\$ 183.062				
4.00%	opco	Denraciation	in the second se	168	383	780	1,322	2,847	3,389	4,580	4,460	4,676	6,803	8,265	9,400	9,717	9,751	10,373	11,342	12,465	13,214	13,973	14,876	16,738	18,512	20,569	23,000	22,818	24,580	25,517	26,735	80/'/2	28,908	01./##	34,684		37.012						
2.86%	CSP	Denreciation C		\$ 66	208 \$	417 \$	442 \$	611 \$	8 679	1,240 \$	1,496 \$	1,904 \$	2,845 \$	4,253 \$	6,355 \$	7,654 \$	7.737 \$	\$ 060'8	8,563 \$	9,035 \$	9,540 \$	10,199 \$	11,006 \$	11,881 \$	12,975 \$	14,110 \$	14,957 \$	15,287 \$	15,610 \$	15,797 \$	15,928 \$	2 012 01	10,400		18 798		21,219 \$	•					
	OPCO Cummulative		_	114,880 \$	233,918 \$	396,727 \$	854,125 \$	1,016,605 \$	1,373,990 \$	1,337,914 \$	1,402,667 \$	2,040,937 \$	2,479,403 \$	2,820,047 \$	2,914,991 \$	2,925,164 \$	3,112,019 \$	3,402,743 \$	3,739,577 \$	3,964,160 \$	4,191,874 \$	4,462,725 \$	5,021,253 \$	5,553,740 \$	6,170,688 \$	6,900,128 \$	6,845,391 \$	7,373,942	7,655,154 \$	8,020,415 \$	8,312,292 \$	8,5/2,455 \$	¢ 700'670'6	3'310'4/1 3	10,778,631 \$	11 100 400 €	11.754.926 \$						
	OPCO	Incremental In	69	64,478 \$	119,038 \$	162,809 \$	457,398 \$	162,479 \$	357,386 \$	(36,077) \$	64,754 \$	638,270 \$	438,466 \$	340,644 \$	94,944 \$	10,174 \$	186,855 \$	290,724 \$	336,834 \$	224,582 \$	227,715 \$	270,850 \$	558,529 \$	532,486 \$	616,949 \$	729,440 \$	(54,737) \$	528,552 \$	281,212 \$	365,261 \$	291,877 \$	300,1/3 4	\$ 011 COC	2301+10 4	373,545 \$	304 DED 60	651.428 \$						
Remove	Base	OPCo In	99	116,663 \$	206,231 \$	255,896 \$	289,474 \$	224,192 \$	184,069 \$	216,113 \$	226,225 \$	184,145 \$	139,913 \$	242,341 \$	136,656 \$	116,663 \$	206,231 \$	255,896 \$	289,474 \$	224,192 \$	184,069 \$	216,113 \$	226,225 \$	184,145 \$	139,913 \$	242,341 \$	136,656 \$	116,663 \$	206,231 \$	\$ 968,002	289,474 \$	224'19Z	104,U09 4	205 205 &	184.145 S	4 010 011	242,341 \$						
	CSP Cummulative	Incremental	38.915 \$	87,273 \$	175,020 \$	185,559 \$	256,154 \$	408,104 \$	520,250 \$	627,629 \$	798,760 \$	1,193,638 \$	1,784,524 \$	2,666,536 \$	3,211,327 \$	3,246,334 \$	3,394,421 \$	3,592,978 \$	3,790,838 \$	4,002,817 \$	4,279,458 \$	4,617,696 \$	4,984,865 \$	5,444,256 \$	5,920,358 \$	6,275,803 \$	6,414,107 \$	6,549,763 \$	6,628,229 \$	D, D83, UBU \$	6,708,230 \$	4 AUC'SUR'D	7 569 074 6		8.418.779 \$		9.368.468 \$						
	CSP	incremental In	915 \$		87,746 \$		<b>•</b>	151,950 \$	112,146 \$	107,379 \$	171,131 \$	394,878 \$	\$ 986,886	882,012 \$	544,791 \$	35,007 \$	148,087 \$	198,557 \$	197,861 \$		276,641 \$	338,238 \$	367,169 \$	459,391 \$	476,102 \$	355,445 \$	138,304 \$	135,656 \$	78,466 \$	\$ 109'9C	25,150 \$	A A/7'CA	144 365 ¢		349	ABA FOR \$	465,186 \$	•					
Remove	dase	CSP	608	66,398 \$	97,710 \$	138,973 \$	229,935 \$	79,621 \$	132,439 \$	107,287 \$	16,309 \$	60,780 \$	95,597 \$	116,810 \$	65,809 \$	66,398 \$	97,710 \$	138,973 \$	229,935 \$	79,621 \$	132,439 \$	107,287 \$	16,309 \$	60,780 \$	95,597 \$	116,810 \$	65,809 \$	66,398 \$	97,710 \$	9 5/6'921	229,935 \$	4 120'6/	107 287 4	16 200 \$	60.780 \$	05 507 \$	116.810 \$						
		Meroed	\$	↔ ,	<del>ر</del> ي ب	<del>ده</del> ب	ዓ	<del>ب</del>	<del>ن</del> ې	<del>ر</del> ه י	<del>ن</del> ې ب	<del>ر</del> ې ب	<del>ማ</del>	÷	<del>ب</del>	<del>ب</del>	<del>ن</del> ې •	<del>ب</del>	۰ י	<del>ب</del>	<del>ر</del> ي ۱	<del>ب</del>	<del>,</del>	<del>ب</del>	<del>ري</del> ب	ዓ '	· •	•	ю. '	, ,	,	₽6 ,		÷ ↔	֥9		÷••	611.711	634.456	898,712	1,036,073	669,495	605,353
Total Capital Spend		OPC	,059	181,141 \$	325,269 \$	418,705 \$	746,872 \$	386,671 \$	541,455 \$	180,036 \$	290,979 \$	822,415 \$	578,379 \$	582,985 \$	231,600 \$	126,837 \$	393,086 \$	546,620 \$	626,308 \$	448,774 \$	411 784 \$	486,963 \$	784,754 \$	716,631 \$	756,862 \$	971,781 \$	81,919 \$	645,215 \$	487,443 \$	¢ /cl'170	581,351 \$	000'+00 +	1,004,000 &	714 840 \$	557,690 \$	464 781 \$	893,769 \$	69	69	в	\$	\$	\$
Total C		CSP	104,724 \$	114,757 \$	185,456 \$	149,513 \$	300,530 \$	231,571 \$	244,585 \$	214,666 \$	187,440 \$	455,658 \$	686,483 \$	998,822 \$	610,600 \$	101,405 \$	245,797 \$	337,530 \$	427,796 \$	291,600 \$	409,080 \$	445,525 \$	383,478 \$	520,171 \$	571,699 \$	472,255 \$	204,113 \$	* 400'ZUZ	176,176 \$	130'05t 0	* CDD, 422	214'300 \$	251652 \$	334 765 \$	592.129 \$	580 100 \$	581,996 \$						
	month	_	69	200902 \$	200903 \$	200904 \$	200905 \$	200906 \$	200907 \$	200908 \$			200911 \$	200912 \$	201001 \$	201002 \$	201003 \$	201004 \$	201005 \$	201006 \$	201007 \$	201008 \$	201009 \$	201010 \$	201011 \$	201012 \$	201101 \$	\$ 20110Z	201103 \$		\$ COLLOZ	001102	201108 \$	201109 \$	201110 \$	201111 \$	201112 \$	201201	201202	201203	201204	201205	201206

Cummulative Incremental Capital \$ 23,671,634 Remove Depreciation \$ (1,255,729) Incremental Veg NBV \$ 22,415,905



3.30% Combined		Depreciation																																					58,089						S 65,025				s 72.500	S 775.259				
Combined	avesum	Incremental																																				S 21,123,394	21,532,639	21,984,033	22,578,804	900'027'67 S	12 671 634		24,167,805		25.776.201		26,369,118					
		Incremental	89,318	112,836	206.784	173.349	527,993	314.429	469.532	71 302	215,885	1 022 14B	1 000 250	1,000,000	DC0.222.1	CF/'ACO	45,180	3.34,942	197'696	CS0'50C	100'00%	000,900	000,500	001 RT7	1 003 051	1 084 895	83.567	664.207	359,678	420.112	317.027	555,452	1,371,687	537,783	807,071	904,894	809,371					041,204 2								26.369.118				
Remove Base		Combined	s	s	s	s	s	s	~						<b>л</b> ч		~ •		•				• •		> v			0	s	0	0	s	s	ŝ	s	s	s	s	202,465 S	183,062 5	303,941 5	234'003 2	303.813 5	316.508 S	323,400 S	242,534 \$	244,925 \$	235,510 \$	359,151 S	3.629.588 \$				
4.00% OPCo	j I	Depreciation		168	382	280	1,322							220.0				•	C/C/01	12 465	12 214					20.569	23.000	22,818				27,708	28,908	31,744	33,055	34.684	35,929	37,012	ŝ	0	0	<i></i>	<b>, , ,</b>	• vi	S	s	s	s	s	550,290 \$				
2.86% CSP		Depreciation		3 C6	208 \$	417 S	442 S	611 \$	S 626	-			2 244 5						0 C23 0	0.025	0.540			11.881 5					15,610 S					17,695 S		18,798 S		21,219 \$												337,465 \$				
OPCO Cummulative		ncren		S 114,880 \$	\$ 233,918 \$		\$ 854,125 \$	S 1,016,605 S	\$ 1.373,990 \$	S 1.337.914 S	S 1.402.667 S	S 2:040.937 S	S PA79ADA S	C 2 0 0 0 0 1 7 0	2 2,001,001 5	C 10011010 0	0 10100110 0	5 3,112,019 5 5 3,402,743 5	2 2730 577 C	S 091 F96 E S	C 0,000,00 0	S 4462725 S	s 5001253 S		S 6.170.688 S	S 6.900.128 S	S 6,845,391 S	S 7,373,942 S	~	-	S 8,312,292 S	S 8.672.466 S	\$ 9,523,052 \$	S 9,916,471 S	\$ 10,405,085 \$	\$ 10,778,631 \$	11,103,498	\$ 11,754,926 \$												s				
OPCO		Increme		64,478	119,038	162,809	457,398		357,386			ç					•											-		365,261	291,877		-		•			651,428												11,704,523				
Remove Base	-000	OPCo	136,656 S	116,663 5	206,231 \$	255.896 S	289.474 \$		184,069 \$	216,113 \$	226,225 S				126.656 \$								226.225 5		139,913 5			116,663 \$		255,896 S			_	_		184.145 S		242,341 \$												7,129,101 \$				
CSP Cummulative		Incremental	38,915 5	81,273 \$	175.020 S	185,559 S	256,154 S	408,104 S	520,250 S	627,629 \$	798,760 S	1.193.638 S	1.784.524 S	2 666 536 5	3 241 327 5	3 246 324 5	3 204 421 5	3 502 978	3 790.838 S	4.002.817 S	4.279.458 S	4.617.696 S	4.984.865 S	5,444,256 S	5.920,358 S	6,275,803 \$	6,414,107 S	6.549.763 S	6,628,229 \$	6,683,080 \$	6,708,230 \$	6,903,509 S	7.424.608 S	7,568,974 S	7,887,430 S	8.418.779 S	8,903.282 S	9.368,468 S												s				
csp				48,359 5	87.746 5			151,950 \$		107,379 \$	171,131 \$							198.557	197.861 S	211.979 S	276.641 S	338,238 S						135.656 \$					521,100 \$	144,365 S	318,456 5	531,349 5	484,503 S	465,186 \$												9,329,553				
Remove Base	Cen	000								107,287 S	16,309 S										_		16,309 \$						_				132,439 S			\$ 09/'09	95,597 S	116,810 S												3,557,197 S				
œ		v	<i>n</i> 1	<i>~</i>	0	ŝ	s	s	s	s	S	s	s	5	0		• •	• v1	2	S	S	S	s	s	ŝ	ŝ	s	s	s	s	s	s	<b>N</b> 1	60	0.	<i>~</i> •	\$	ß					_	_	_	_			_	5			~	
Þ	Incord	Merged		•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		611,/11		-						-	823,035		8,875,312			(1,663,013.54)	
Total Capital Spend	Jac	UPU * 197.050		0 101,141 0	S 892 525 S				S 541.455 S	S 180,036 S	S 290,979 S		S 578,379 S	S 582.985 S				\$ 546,620 \$	\$ 626.308 S			\$ 486,963 S				S 971,781 S		S 645.215 S					1,034,656	609,531	714,840	0.60,100		883,769	<i>.</i> , <i>v</i>	•••	• v	0	s	s	5	<b>1</b>	S	5	n	S 19,020,683 S		mental Capital S	s uor	
ĩ	asc	124		10/ 11 0	185,456		300,530	231,571	244,585	214,666	S 187,440	455,658	_	998.822	_	101.405			427.796	291,600	409,080	445,525	383,478	520,171	571,699	472,255	204,113	202,054	176,176	193,824	255,085	274,900	653,539	269,162	504, 455	232,129	580.100	0454,1540												\$ 12,991,474 \$ 19,020.683		Cummulative Incremental Capital	emove Depreciat	Incremental Veg NBV
month	number				505002	200904							200911 5															201102			201105			801102				211102	102102	201203	201204	201205	201206	201207	201208	5075102	201210	112102	212102	1~.1	I	U	ш !	=

#### AEP Ohio Proposed Distribution Investment Rider March 2013

1 A C . . . . . . .

Line			AEP Ohio
1	Distribution Plant as of 8/31/2010	\$	3,345,925,000
2	Accumulated Depreciation as of 8/31/2010	\$	1,253,173,000
3=1-2	Net Distribution Plant	\$	2,092,752,000
4		Ŷ	2,002,102,000
5	March 2013 Distribution Plant	\$	3,732,750,893
6	Accumulated Depreciation March 2013		1,390,499,853
7=5-6	Net Distribution Plant	\$	2,342,251,040
8			
9=7-3	Change in Distribution Net Plant	\$	249,499,040
10			
11	gridSMART Net Plant Adjustment (Recovered through GS Rider)	\$	16,072,795
12			
13	Incremental Veg Mgmt net Plant Adjustment (Recovered through Rider)	\$	25,082,045
14			
15	Incremental ADIT Offset	\$	159,908,564
16		•	,
17=9-11-13-15	Adjusted Change in Distribution Plant	\$	48,435,636
18			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
19	Carrying Charge Rate		20.59%
20			
21=17*19	Initial Rider Revenue	\$	9,972,897
22			, _,
23	Revenue Offset Provided in Distribution Stipulation	\$	62,344,000
24	·	·	
25=21+23	Revised Rider Revenue	\$	72,316,897
26		·	
27	2013 Rider Revenue Cap	\$	104,000,000
28		·	
29	2013 Rider Revenue (lesser of line 25 & 27)	\$	72,316,897
30	· · · · · ·	·	, , ,
31	(Over)/Under (Based on March Actuals)	\$	6,193,235
32		*	0,100,200
33	2013 Fully Adjusted Revenue Requirement	\$	78,510,132
34		Ψ	10,010,104
35	Annual Base Distribution Revenue (12 Months Ending March 2013)	\$	641,950,050
36		Ψ	041,000,000
37=33/35	AEP Ohio Percentage of Base Distribution Revenue		12.22994%

Attachment 4 2 of 2

#### OHIO POWER COMPANY

Į

4th-5th Revised Sheet No. 489-1 Cancels 3rd-4th Revised Sheet No. 489-1

#### P.U.C.O. NO. 20

#### DISTRIBUTION INVESTMENT RIDER

Effective Cycle 1 August <u>September</u> 2013 all customer bills subject to the provision of this Rider, including any bills rendered under special contract, shall be adjusted by the Distribution Investment Rider charge of 11.9420812.22994% of the customer's distribution charges under the Company's Schedules, excluding charges under any applicable Riders. This Rider shall be adjusted periodically to recover amounts authorized by the Commission.

Issued By Pablo Vegas, President **AEP** Ohio

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/2/2013 4:44:33 PM

in

Case No(s). 13-0419-EL-RDR

Summary: Comments Comments by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel electronically filed by Patti Mallarnee on behalf of Serio, Joseph P.