BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of **Vectren** :

Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc. for : Case No. 13-1121-GA-RDR

Authority to Adjust its Distribution :

Replacement Rider Charges. :

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF THE STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Michael DeWine

Ohio Attorney General

William L. Wright

Section Chief

Thomas G. Lindgren Devin D. Parram

Assistant Attorneys General Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3793 614.466.4397 (telephone) 614.644.8764 (fax) thomas.lindgren@puc.state.oh.us devinparram@puc.state.oh.us

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
BACKGROUND	2
VEDO'S APPLICATION	3
STAFF'S INVESTIGATION, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION)NS5
PROOF OF SERVICE	7

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of **Vectren**

Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc. for : Case No.13-1121-GA-RDR

Authority to Adjust its Distribution :

Replacement Rider Charges. :

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF THE STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

INTRODUCTION

In accordance with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio's (Commission)

Opinion and Order adopting the Stipulation and Recommendation filed in Case No. 07
1080-GA-AIR (2007 Rate Case), Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio (VEDO or Company)

filed an application (Application) in the above captioned case for authority to increase its

Distribution Replacement Rider (DRR). The purpose of the DRR increase is to allow

VEDO to: (1) recover a return of and on certain investments made in 2012 to replace

aging natural gas pipeline infrastructure and (2) recover the costs of assuming ownership

and repair of previously customer-owned service lines. These comments present a summary of the Commission Staff's (Staff) investigation of VEDO's Application and the

Staff's findings and recommendations.

BACKGROUND

VEDO is an Ohio corporation engaged in the business of providing natural gas distribution service to approximately 314,000 customers in west central Ohio. 1 It is a public utility under Sections 4905.02 and 4905.03 of the Ohio Revised Code, and subject to the Commission's jurisdiction. The Commission's Opinion and Order in Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR approved the Stipulation and Recommendation (2007 Rate Case Stipulation) and authorized VEDO to establish the DRR for a period of five years or until new rates are approved pursuant to a base or alternative rate case. The purpose of the DRR was to permit VEDO to seek recovery of: (1) the return of and return on plant investment, including post-in-service carrying costs (PISCC) and certain incremental expenses incurred in implementation of its accelerated bare steel and cast iron mains and service lines replacement program; (2) deferred expenses associated with the Company's riser investigation pursuant to Case No. 05-463-GA-COI;³ (3) costs for replacement of proneto-fail risers; (4) incremental costs related to the Company's assumption of ownership and responsibility for repairing customer service lines; and (5) actual annual Operations and Maintenance (O&M) expense savings as an offset to costs otherwise eligible for recovery under the DRR.

-

3

In the Matter of the Application of Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc. for Authority to Adjust its Distribution Replacement Rider Charges, Case No. 12-1423-GA-RDR (Application at 1) (April 30, 2012).

The pre-tax rate of return is 11.67% as established in the 2007 Rate Case.

The initial DRR rate for recovery of VEDO's actual deferred costs of its riser investigation as of July 2008 was in effect from March 1, 2009 through February 28, 2010. The DRR was reset to zero effective March 1, 2010.

The 2007 Rate Case Stipulation provided a process for establishing the annual DRR rate. By May 1 of each year, the Company must file an application detailing the investments and costs that were incurred during the previous calendar year and a summary of its construction plans for the next year. VEDO bears the burden of proof regarding the justness and reasonableness of the DRR rates proposed each year. Further, Staff will perform an investigation of the annual applications and make recommendations on the justness and reasonableness of the applications. Other parties may file comments on the applications and unresolved issues will be set for hearing by the Commission. Parties will use their best efforts to permit new DRR charges to take effect on a service rendered basis on September 1 of each year. The initial monthly DRR was capped at \$1.00 for Residential and Group 1 General Service customers and the cap will increase in \$1.00 increments in each of the succeeding years.

VEDO'S APPLICATION

VEDO filed its Application on May 1, 2013. The Application is supported by the testimony and exhibits of James M. Francis, Director of Engineering and Asset Management, J. Cas Swiz, Director of Regulatory Implementation and Analysis and Shawn M. Kelly, Director of Regulatory Affairs. Mr. Francis' testimony and exhibits present the progress made in 2012 on the Bare Steel/Cast Iron (BS/CI) Replacement Program, the Company's 2013 BS/CI replacement plans, the 2012 Riser Replacement Program progress and costs, maintenance costs associated with the 2012 BS/CI Replacement Pro-

2007 Rate Case (Stipulation and Recommendation at 8-14) (August 17, 2010).

gram, the 2012 incremental costs for maintenance and repair of service lines previously owned by customers, and 2012 capital costs for replacement of previously customerowned service lines.

Mr. Swiz's testimony and exhibits provide explanations of the various components of the Company's proposed revenue requirements; schedules supporting the proposed revenue requirement calculations for the 2012 Mains and Service Line and Riser Replacement Programs; explanations and schedules showing the derivation of the annualized property tax expenses and deferred taxes on liberalized depreciation associated with the Mains and Service Line and Riser Replacement Programs; a discussion of the Company's rationale and policies for recording retirements, PISCC, and AFUDC; and a schedule showing the true-up for riser investigation and replacement costs in accordance with the 2007 Rate Case Stipulation and under recovery of the revenue requirement adopted in last year's DRR application, Case No. 12-1423-GA-RDR.

Mr. Kelly's testimony principally provides the derivation of rates resulting from the Company's proposed total DRR revenue requirement, allocation of rates by rate class, a proposed tariff sheet, and the annual residential customer bill impact.

In its Application, the Company indicates that in 2012 it replaced 27.11 miles of bare steel and 9.30 miles of cast iron mains, replaced 3,827 BS/CI service lines (with an additional 363 service lines retired), and moved 3,282 inside meters outside as part of its Replacement Program. The Company proposes a Mains Replacement Program revenue

4

The PISCC rate of 7.02% represents the Company's long-term cost of debt as established in the 2007 Rate Case.

requirement of \$3,560,447 and \$8,572,694 for the Service Line and Riser Replacement Program for a total DRR revenue requirement of \$12,133,141. The Company proposes that the DRR revenue requirement of \$12,133,141be allocated to customers as follows:

Rate Schedule	Proposed	\$ Per Ccf	<u>Annual</u>
	\$ Per Month		<u>Increase</u>
310, 311, and 315	\$2.77		\$0.78
320, 321, and 325 (Group 1)	\$2.77		\$0.78
320, 321, and 325 (Group 2 and 3)		\$0.02344	\$0.00835
341	\$14.82		\$4.63
345		\$0.00562	\$0.00222
360		\$0.00362	\$0.00139

STAFF'S INVESTIGATION, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Staff reviewed the Company's Application and testimony, issued several information requests seeking additional supporting data, interviewed Company personnel, reviewed the Company's competitive bidding process, and traced sample expenses back to their source data. The Staff's investigation was designed to ensure that the Company's policies and practices comport with sound ratemaking principles and Commission policies, confirm that its books and records are reliable sources of cost data, and ultimately determine if the rider increases sought in the Application are just and reasonable.

Based on that investigation, the Staff concludes that the Company's Application complies with the Commission's Opinion and Order in Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR and will result in a just and reasonable DRR rate. Therefore, the Staff recommends that the Application be approved by the Commission.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael DeWine

Ohio Attorney General

William L. Wright

Section Chief

/s/ Devin D. Parram

Devin D. Parram

Assistant Attorney General Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3793 614.466.4397 (telephone) 614.644.8764 (fax) devinparram@puc.state.oh.us

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing **Comments and Recommenda- tions**, submitted on behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, was served via electronic mail upon the following parties of record, this 26th day of July, 2013.

/s/ Devin D. Parram

Devin D. Parram Assistant Attorney General

Parties of Record:

Mark A. Whitt
Andrew J. Campbell
Gregory L. Williams
Whitt Sturtevant, LLP The KeyBank
Building, Suite 1590
88 east Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
whitt@whitt-sturtevant.com
campbell@whitt-sturtevant.com
williams@whitt-sturtevant.com

Joseph P. Serio
Larry S. Sauer
Assistant Consumers' Counsel
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, 18th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215-3485
serio@occ.stat.eoh.us
sauer@occ.state.oh.us

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

7/26/2013 2:10:20 PM

in

Case No(s). 13-1121-GA-RDR

Summary: Comments and Recommendations submitted by Assistant Attorney General Devin Parram on behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. electronically filed by Kimberly L Keeton on behalf of Public Utilities Commission of Ohio