

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Complaint of )  
Jack Teubner, )  
 )  
 Complainant, )  
 )  
 v. ) Case No. 12-891-EL-CSS  
 )  
 Ohio Edison Company, )  
 )  
 Respondent. )

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) On March 8, 2012, Jack Teubner (complainant) filed a complaint against Ohio Edison Company (Ohio Edison). Mr. Teubner alleges that the electric bills he received from the respondent significantly increased consecutively for a three-month period during early Fall of 2010, then went back to normal after the meter, which the complainant alleges was damaged by a power surge, was changed. The complainant maintains that he was overcharged for power during the period when the allegedly damaged meter was relied upon in billing him. Next, the complainant alleges that, out of the blue and without explanation, his bill shot up again. According to the complaint, Mr. Teubner contacted the company and, working with a PUCO investigator throughout January and February of 2012, has tried, in vain, to get any response from the respondent regarding his “very inflated bill” and the company’s assessment of the cause of the problem.
- (2) On March 29, 2012, Ohio Edison filed its answer denying the complainant’s substantive allegations. Further, Ohio Edison set forth affirmative defenses including that Ohio Edison has at all times complied with the Revised Code, the rules, regulations, and orders of the Commission, and its tariff.

- (3) By entry issued on May 9, 2012, a prehearing settlement conference was scheduled to occur at the Commission's offices on June 14, 2012. By subsequent entry, the settlement conference was rescheduled to occur as a teleconference on June 20, 2012. The teleconference occurred, as scheduled, on June 20, 2013. However, the parties did not resolve any issues during the settlement teleconference.
- (4) Accordingly, the attorney examiner finds that this matter should be scheduled for hearing, to occur on December 4, 2013, at 11:00 a.m., in Hearing Room 11A of the Commission's offices at 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793.
- (5) As is the case in all Commission complaint proceedings, the complainant has the burden of proving the allegations of the complaint. *Grossman v. Public Util. Comm.*, 5 Ohio St.2d 189, 214 N.E.2d 166 (1996).

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That, in accordance with the above findings, a hearing is hereby scheduled to occur on December 4, 2013, at 11:00 a.m., in Hearing Room 11A of the Commission's offices at 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793. It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served upon each party of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

s/Daniel Fullin

---

By: Daniel E. Fullin  
Attorney Examiner

JRJ/sc

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**7/9/2013 3:30:32 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 12-0891-EL-CSS**

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry orders a hearing in this matter for 12/04/2013 at 11:00 a. m. in Hearing Room 11-A at the offices of the Commission located at 180 E. Broad St., 11th Flr, Columbus, Ohio. - electronically filed by Sandra Coffey on behalf of Daniel Fullin, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio