

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of Application of The)	
Dayton Power and Light Company for)	Case No. 12-426-EL-SSO
Approval of its Market Rate Offer.)	

In the Matter of Application of The)	
Dayton Power and Light Company for)	Case No. 12-427-EL-ATA
Approval of Revised Tariffs.)	

In the Matter of Application of The)	
Dayton Power and Light Company for)	Case No. 12-428-EL-AAM
Approval of Certain Accounting)	
Authority.)	

In the Matter of Application of The)	
Dayton Power and Light Company for)	Case No. 12-429-EL-WVR
Waiver of Certain Commission Rules.)	

In the Matter of Application of The)	
Dayton Power and Light Company to)	Case No. 12-672-EL-RDR
Establish Tariff Riders.)	

**REPLY BRIEF OF
OHIO PARTNERS FOR AFFORDABLE ENERGY
AND
THE EDMONT NEIGHBORHOOD COALITION**

I. Introduction

Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy (“OPAE”) and the Edgemont Neighborhood Coalition (“Edgemont”), advocates for low-income residential customers of The Dayton Power and Light Company (“DP&L”), hereby submit to the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (“Commission”) this reply brief in the above-captioned matters which are applications of DP&L for approval of an Electric Security Plan (“ESP”), revised tariffs, accounting authority, a waiver of certain Commission rules, and the establishment of tariff riders. This reply brief

will respond primarily to certain positions of DP&L in its initial post-hearing brief with regard to the issues affecting low-income residential customers of DP&L. OPAE and Edgemont will not repeat herein the arguments made in our initial brief with regard to other issues concerning the proposed DP&L ESP, but our positions on these matters remain the same as expressed in our initial brief.

II. The evidence of record shows an increased need for assistance to at-risk populations in DP&L's service territory.

DP&L argues that it should not be required to “make charitable contributions” by continuing to support its existing fuel fund, even though DP&L also indicates “its current intent...to provide some level of support so long as it can do so without adversely affecting its financial integrity”. DP&L Brief at 62. DP&L's position ignores the requirements of Ohio Revised Code Sec. 4928.02(L), which states the policy of the State of Ohio to “[p]rotect at risk populations”. This statute makes it a policy of the State of Ohio to balance the interests of vulnerable customers with the interests of the utility when approving a standard service offer. Protecting at-risk populations is a requirement of the State's policy, and though that requirement can take the form of charitable contributions, the protection of at-risk populations itself is not defined as a “charitable contribution” under Ohio law.

The Commission does have authority to require that DP&L comply with the statute. The requirement is best satisfied by a continuation and expansion of the current fuel fund. A fuel fund provides a different type of protection for at-risk

populations than the Percentage of Income Payment Plan (“PIPP”), which has a limited eligibility level and is designed for customers that require constant assistance to ensure that essential energy service is affordable. Unlike PIPP customers, many other low-income customers require assistance only once or twice a year; the fuel fund meets this need at a lower cost than PIPP. The General Assembly has already determined there is a need to protect vulnerable customers. It did not prescribe a particular approach, permitting the Commission to determine an appropriate method for providing the protection envisioned by the statute based on the record in a particular case. DP&L’s financial integrity is a separate issue.

In its brief, DP&L continues its argument with the assertion that the increase in the fuel fund requested by OPAE and Edgemont is not based on an analysis of the need. This is not true. DP&L ignores the evidence of record provided by the Office of the Consumers’ Counsel’s witness James D. Williams about the increased need for assistance for at-risk populations in DP&L’s service territory. DP&L’s service has become increasingly unaffordable for its low-income residential customers who comprise a significant and growing portion of DP&L’s residential customers.

DP&L also ignores the testimony of OPAE’s witness David C. Rinebolt. Beyond his experience with establishing, designing, and implementing bill payment assistance programs since 1983, Mr. Rinebolt cited the results of an extensive study, the State of Poverty 2012, which provides detailed information on the significant increase in the number of households eligible for assistance

from the fuel fund. This study was attached to Mr. Rinebolt's testimony and includes the following information with regard to poverty levels in DP&L's service territory.

**Percentage of Customers in Poverty --
DP&L**

County	1999	2010	% Increase
Auglaize	6.2%	9.4%	51.6%
Brown	11.6%	13.0%	12.1%
Butler	8.7%	13.5%	55.2%
Champaign	7.6%	13.1%	72.4%
Clark	10.7%	20.0%	86.9%
Clinton	8.6%	15.7%	82.6%
Darke	8.0%	12.2%	52.5%
Fayette	10.1%	16.2%	60.4%
Greene	8.5%	13.1%	54.1%
Hardin	13.2%	17.2%	30.3%
Highland	11.8%	18.6%	57.6%
Logan	9.3%	16.9%	81.7%
Madison	7.8%	15.0%	92.3%
Mercer	6.4%	9.6%	50.0%
Miami	6.7%	11.9%	77.6%
Montgomery	11.3%	18.0%	59.3%
Pickaway	9.5%	12.7%	33.7%
Preble	6.1%	12.1%	98.4%
Ross	12.0%	19.3%	60.8%
Union	4.6%	8.2%	78.3%
Van Wert	5.5%	12.5%	127.3%
Warren	4.2%	5.9%	40.5%
Average	8.7%	14.0%	64.9%

DP&L's recent rate increases coupled with its quest for 'financial integrity' threatens to make basic energy service unaffordable to the increasing number of poor families in its service territory. Extending the existing fuel fund at an

increased level as recommended by OPAE and Edgemont is necessary to fulfill the policy established by the State of Ohio to protect vulnerable populations. The fuel fund should be continued with funding of \$750,000 per year.

In addition, OPAE and Edgemont support the argument of the City of Dayton in its initial brief, at 10-12, that DP&L's current energy efficiency and economic development initiatives are consistent with the policy of the State of Ohio at Revised Code Sections 4928.02(L), (M), and (N) and that the absence of a commitment to continue funding for these initiatives is not consistent with the policy of the State of Ohio. In addition to the policy at Revised Code Section 4928.02 (L) to protect at-risk populations, Revised Code Section 4928.02(M) states the policy of the State to encourage the education of small business owners regarding the use of energy efficiency programs, and Revised Code Section 4928.02(N) states the policy of the State to facilitate the State's effectiveness in the global economy.

As the City of Dayton points out, DP&L has been funding the City of Dayton's energy efficiency audit and implementation program since 2009, but under the proposed ESP, DP&L's funding for the City's energy efficiency program on an annual basis will cease. Just like the absence of a commitment for the fuel fund, there is also no proposed alternative funding for the City's energy efficiency program. Given that the ESP makes no provision for the continued support of the City's energy efficiency program, it is difficult to see how the proposed ESP encourages the use of energy efficiency in conformance with the State's policy at Revised Code Section 4928.02(M).

The City also notes that DP&L has funded efforts to support and attract new investment and improve job growth in Ohio, consistent with Revised Code Section 4928.02(N). Again, the proposed ESP does not provide for such ongoing support; therefore, it cannot be said that the proposed ESP is consistent with the policy of the State of Ohio at Revised Code Section 4928.02(N). DP&L should be required to continue its current efforts to attract new businesses and retain businesses in its service territory in order to conform to the policy of the State of Ohio.

III. Conclusion

DP&L's proposed ESP includes no provision to continue or expand the existing fuel fund approved in DP&L's current ESP. In conformance with Ohio Revised Code Section 4928.02(L), which states that the policy of the State is to protect at-risk populations, the Commission should order DP&L to expand its support for low-income bill payment assistance. The evidence shows that there is a great and increasing need for low-income bill payment assistance in DP&L's service territory. DP&L should be ordered to contribute at least \$750,000 annually to a fuel fund for bill payment assistance for low-income residential customers.

It is also the policy of the State of Ohio to encourage energy efficiency and to facilitate the state's effectiveness in the global economy. Revised Code Sections 4928.02(M) and (N). The ESP as proposed by DP&L does not continue the existing energy efficiency and economic development programs vital to the City of Dayton and therefore does not conform to the State's policy.

The Commission must modify the ESP to assure that it conforms to Ohio law and gives meaning to the legislation that enacted the policy of the State to

protect at-risk populations, to provide bill payment assistance, to promote energy efficiency, and to support economic development in DP&L's service territory.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Colleen Mooney

Colleen L. Mooney
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy
231 West Lima Street
Findlay, OH 45840
Telephone: (419) 425-8860
cmooney@ohiopartners.org

/s/Ellis Jacobs

Ellis Jacobs
Counsel for Edgemont Neighborhood Coalition
Advocates for Basic Legal Equality, Inc.
130 W. Second Street, Suite 700 East
Dayton, Ohio 45402
Telephone: (937) 535-4419
ejacobs@ablelaw.org

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Reply Brief was served electronically upon the parties of record identified below in these cases on this 5th day of June 2013.

/s/Colleen Mooney
Colleen L. Mooney

SERVICE LIST

Judi L. Sobecki
Dayton Power and Light Company
1065 Woodman Drive
Dayton, Ohio 45432
judi.sobecki@dplinc.com

Charles J. Faruki
Jeffrey Sharkey
Faruki Ireland & Cox, P.L.L.
500 Courthouse Plaza, SW
Dayton, Ohio 45402
cfaruki@ficlaw.com
jshakey@ficlaw.com

David F. Boehm
Michael L. Kurtz
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
dboehm@BKLawfirm.com
mkurtz@BKLawfirm.com
jkyler@BKLawfirm.com

Melissa R. Yost
Maureen R. Grady
Office of the Consumers' Counsel
10 W. Broad Street, 18th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
yost@occ.state.oh.us
grady@occ.state.oh.us
berger@occ.state.oh.us

Samuel C. Randazzo
Frank P. Darr
Matthew R. Pritchard
Joseph E. Olier
McNees Wallace & Nurick
Fifth Third Center, Suite 1700
21 East State Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4228
jolikder@mwncmh.com
sam@mwncmh.com
fdarr@mwncmh.com
mpritchard@mwncmh.com

James F. Lang
N. Trevor Alexander
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP
1400 Key Bank Center
800 Superior Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44104
jlang@calfee.com
tallexander@calfee.com

M. Howard Petricoff
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease
52 East Gay Street
PO Box 1008
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
mhpetricoff@vorys.com
smhoward@vorys.com

Richard L. Sites
Ohio Hospital Association
155 East Broad Street 15th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3620
ricks@ohanet.org

Amy B. Spiller
Jeanne Kingery
Duke Energy Retail
Duke Energy Commercial
Management
139 East Fourth Street 1303-Main
Cincinnati, Ohio 45201-0960
Amy.Spiller@duke-energy.com
Jeanne.kingery@duke-energy.com

Mark Hayden
First Energy Corp.
76 South Main Street
Akron, Ohio 43308-1890
haydenm@firstenergycorp.com

Anthony Long
Honda of America Mfg., Inc.
24000 Honda Parkway
Marysville, Ohio 43040
Tony_long@ham.honda.com
asim_haque@ham.honda.com

Matthew W. Warnock
Thomas Siwo
Bricker & Eckler
100 South Third Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291
warnock@bricker.com
tsiwo@bricker.com

Thomas J. O'Brien
Bricker & Eckler
100 South Third Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291
tobrien@bricker.com

Elizabeth Watts
Rocco D'Ascenzo
Duke Energy Ohio
139 East Fourth Street 1303 Main
Cincinnati, Ohio 45201-0960
elizabeth.watts@duke-energy.com
rocco.dascenzo@duke-energy.com

Jay E. Jadwin
AEPSC
155 Nationwide Blvd., Suite 500
Columbus, Ohio 43215
jejadwin@aep.com

Robert A. McMahon
Eberly McMahon LLC
2321 Kemper Lane, Suite 100
Cincinnati, Ohio 45206
bmcMahon@emh-law.com

Werner Margard
Thomas McNamee
Devin Parram
Attorney General Public Utilities
180 E. Broad Street, 9th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793
Werner.Margard@puc.state.oh.us
Thomas.McNamee@puc.state.oh.us
Devin.parram@puc.state.oh.us

Kimberly W. Boyko
Joel E. Sechler
Mallory M. Mohler
Carpenter Lipps & Leland
280 North High Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
boyko@carpenterlipps.com
sechler@carpenterlipps.com

Vincent Parisi
Matthew White
Interstate Gas Supply, Inc.
6100 Emerald Parkway
Dublin, Ohio 43016
vparisi@igsenergy.com
mwhite@igsenergy.com

Christopher L. Miller
Gregory H. Dunn
Chris Michael
Ice Miller LLP
250 West Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Christopher.miller@icemiller.com
Gregory.dunn@icemiller.com
Chris.michael@icemiller.com

Bill C. Wells
Christopher C. Thompson
Bldg. 266 Area A
Wright Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433
Bill.wells@wpafb.af.mil
Chris.thompson.2@tyndall.af.mil

Mark A. Whitt
Andrew J. Campbell
Gregory L. Williams
Whitt Sturtevant LLP
88 East Broad Street, Suite 1590
Columbus, Ohio 43215
whitt@whitt-sturtevant.com
campbell@whitt-sturtevant.com
Williams@whitt-sturtevant.com

Matthew J. Satterwhite
Steven T. Nourse
AEPSC
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
mjsatterwhite@aep.com
stnourse@aep.com

Mark S. Yurick
Zachery D. Kravitz
Taft Stettinius & Hollister, LLP
65 E. State Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
myurick@taftlaw.com
zkravitz@taftlaw.com

Gregory J. Poulos
Enernoc, Inc.
471 E. Broad Street, Suite 1520
Columbus, Ohio 43215
gpoulos@enernoc.com

Trent A. Dougherty
Cathryn Loucas
Ohio Environmental Council
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201
trent@theoeg.org
cathy@theoeg.org

Allison Haedt
Jones Day
PO Box 165017
Columbus, Ohio 43216
aehaedt@jonesday.com

Robert Ukeiley
435 R Chesnut Street, Suite 1
Berea, KY 40403
rukeiley@iqc.org

Mary W. Christensen
Christensen Law Office
8760 Orion Place, Suite 300
Columbus, Ohio 43240
mchristensen@columbus.law.org

Steven M. Sherman
Joshua D. Hague
Grant E. Chapman
Krieg DeVault, LLP
One Indiana Square Suite 2800
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
ssherman@kdlegal.com
gchapman@kdlegal.com
ihague@kdlegal.com

David J. Fein
Cynthia Brady
550 W. Washington Blvd. Suite 300
Chicago, Illinois 60661
David.fein@constellation.com
Cynthia.brady@constellation.com

Scott C. Solberg
Eimer Stahl LLP
224 South Michigan Ave., Suite 1100
Chicago, Illinois 60604
ssolberg@eimerstahl.com

David A. Kutik
Jones Day
901 Lakeshore Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
dakutik@jonesday.com

Matthew R. Cox
4145 St. Theresa Blvd.
Avon, Ohio 44011
matt@matthewcoxlaw.com

Joseph Clark
Direct Energy Services
6641 North High Street Suite 200
Worthington, Ohio 43085
joseph.clark@directenergy.com

Carolyn S. Flahive
Stephanie M. Chmiel
Philip B. Sineneng
Michael L. Dillard
Thompson & Hine LLP
41 South High Street, Suite 1700
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Carolyn.Flahive@thompsonhine.com
Stephanie.Chmiel@thompsonhine.com
Philip.Sineneng@thompsonhine.com
Michael.Dillard@thompsonhine.com

Tasha Hamilton
Constellation Energy Group, Inc.
111 Market Place, Suite. 500
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
tasha.hamilton@constellation.com

Stephen Bennet
State Government Affairs
300 Exelon Way
Kennett Square, Pennsylvania 19348
Stephen.bennet@exeloncorp.com

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

6/5/2013 12:05:15 PM

in

Case No(s). 12-0426-EL-SSO, 12-0427-EL-ATA, 12-0428-EL-AAM, 12-0429-EL-WVR, 12-0672-EL-RDR

Summary: Reply Brief of Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy and the Edgemont
Neighborhood Coalition electronically filed by Colleen L Mooney on behalf of Ohio Partners
for Affordable Energy