

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of The )  
Dayton Power and Light Company for ) Case No. 12-3062-EL-RDR  
Authority to Recover Certain Storm- )  
Related Service Restoration Costs. )

In the Matter of the Application of The )  
Dayton Power and Light Company for ) Case No. 12-3266-EL-AAM  
Approval of Certain Accounting Authority. )

ENTRY

The Attorney Examiner finds:

- (1) The Dayton Power and Light Company (DP&L) is a public utility as defined by Section 4905.02, Revised Code, and, as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.
- (2) On December 21, 2012, DP&L filed an application seeking authority to recover storm Operation and Maintenance (O&M) expenses for all major event storms in 2011 and 2012, as well as certain 2008 storm O&M expenses. DP&L also seeks recovery of the related capital revenue requirements for Hurricane Ike in 2008 and major storms in 2011 and 2012. Finally, DP&L requests authority to implement a Storm Cost Recovery Rider to recover all costs associated with major storms going forward and to defer O&M costs until they are recovered through the rider.
- (3) By entry issued on May 2, 2013, the attorney examiner set a procedural schedule in this matter with comments due on June 3, 2013, and reply comments due on June 17, 2013. On May 23, 2013, the Ohio Consumers' Counsel (OCC) filed a motion for extension of time to file comments and request for expedited ruling. OCC argued that a two-week extension to the comment period is necessary to allow the parties adequate time for discovery. Furthermore, OCC has certified that no party objects to an expedited ruling on the motion.
- (4) Pursuant to Rule 4901-1-12(C), Ohio Administrative Code, an immediate ruling on a motion may be issued when the moving party certifies that no other party objects to the

issuance of such a ruling. The attorney examiner finds that the motion for extension of time to file comments is reasonable and should be granted. Accordingly, the procedural schedule should be revised as follows:

- (a) June 17, 2013 Intervention Deadline
- (b) June 17, 2013 Comments Due
- (c) July 1, 2013 Reply Comments Due

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That the procedural schedule for this matter be revised in accordance with finding (4). It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

s/Bryce McKenney

By: Bryce A. McKenney  
Attorney Examiner

JRJ/sc

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**5/23/2013 3:39:31 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 12-3062-EL-RDR, 12-3266-EL-AAM**

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry grants motion for extension of time to file comments. -  
electronically filed by Sandra Coffey on behalf of Bryce McKenney, Attorney Examiner, Public  
Utilities Commission of Ohio