

FILE

PUCO

Gwendolyn Tandy  
1439 Sulzer Ave  
Euclid, OH 44132

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

2013 APR 28 PM 2:42

PUCO

March 28, 13

Dear Sir,

I disagree with the dismissal of my complaint with the Illuminating Co. for the following reason.

1. The PUCO provided no one to represent me, I wasn't prepared to stand alone against 3 Attorneys representing the Illuminating Co.

I also disagree with the dismissal of my complaint with Dominion, E. OH. Because

1. I had no knowledge of the

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business  
Technician AS Date Processed 4/25/13

the hearing. I had no phone call or letter to confirm the date and time of the hearing.

I did call Ms Gretta Cee to let her know that I had a death in my family. My Aunt who was only 2 yrs older than me, and who was like my only sister.

The day of the hearing I call to get the day and time. And I was told the hearing was schudute for that day. I didnt know it was schudelle for that day

also I told my examiner that I wasn't capable to <sup>take</sup> hear on both Companies on the same day. However the hearings were schuelle on the same day. Also if I had known that no Attorney examiner would be there and also beformer with my case, I would have consulted with an attorney befor I came to ~~for~~ the hearings.

Yours Truly

Gwendolyn Lande