

155 East Broad Street 21st Floor Columbus, Ohio, 43215 o: 614-222-1330

f: 614-222-1330

Apr. 2, 2013

Docketing Division Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street, 11th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Subject: PUCO Case No. 13-0503-EL-EEC, In the matter of the application to Commit Energy Efficiency/Peak Demand Reduction Programs (Mercantile Customers Only - LCA Vision LLC

Dear Docketing,

On February 21, 2013, Duke Energy filed an application in the above referenced case. Page six (6) of the original application requested a commitment payment when it should have requested a cash rebate payment. The attached page reflects this correction.

Please find enclosed a copy of the revised version of page six (6) of the application to replace the original filing.

Should you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely Cochern arv

Section 5: Request for Cash Rebate Reasonable Arrangement (Option 1) or Exemption from Rider (Option 2)

Under this section, check the box that applies and fill in all blanks relating to that choice.

Note: If Option 2 is selected, the application will not qualify for the 60-day automatic approval. All applications, however, will be considered on a timely basis by the Commission.

- A) The customer is applying for:
 - ✓ Option 1: A cash rebate reasonable arrangement.

OR

□ Option 2: An exemption from the energy efficiency cost recovery mechanism implemented by the electric utility.

OR

- Commitment payment
- B) The value of the option that the customer is seeking is:
 - Option 1: A cash rebate reasonable arrangement, which is the lesser of (show both amounts):

✓ A cash rebate of \$2071.00. Refer to Appendix C for documentation.

- (
- Option 2: An exemption from payment of the electric utility's energy efficiency/peak demand reduction rider.
 - An exemption from payment of the electric utility's energy efficiency/peak demand reduction rider for ______ months (not to exceed 24 months). (Attach calculations showing how this time period was determined.)

OR

A commitment payment valued at no more than \$_____.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/2/2013 10:38:52 AM

in

Case No(s). 13-0503-EL-EEC

Summary: Correspondence Revised Page 6 of Original Application electronically filed by Carys Cochern on behalf of Duke Energy