

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2013 6:40 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

1D-501-EL-FOR
1D-502-EL-FOR

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of pete morabito
Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2013 6:39:51 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Mar 26, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

This is to certify that the attached document is an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business
Technician AMJ Date Processed 3/28/13

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2013 MAR 28 AM 11:15
PUCO

Mr. pete morabito
6463 S Timberidge Dr
Youngstown, OH 44515-5551

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2013 10:11 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of William O'Brien
Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2013 10:10:28 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Mar 26, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isotoner announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mr. William O'Brien
12520 SW Gem Ln Apt 202
Beaverton, OR 97005-1360

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Wednesday, March 27, 2013 12:11 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Pete Morabito
Sent: Wednesday, March 27, 2013 12:10:44 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Mar 26, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mr. Pete Morabito
6463 S Timberidge Dr
Youngstown, OH 44515-5551