

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio )  
Power Company to Establish a )  
Competitive Bidding Process for ) Case No. 12-3254-EL-UNC  
Procurement of Energy to Support its )  
Standard Service Offer. )

ENTRY

The Commission finds:

- (1) By Opinion and Order issued August 8, 2012, the Commission modified and approved an application for an electric security plan (ESP) filed by Ohio Power Company (AEP-Ohio) in *In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan*, Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO (ESP Case), et al. The Opinion and Order established a series of competitive energy auctions for AEP-Ohio, including a series of 10 percent, slice-of-system energy only auctions to commence after AEP-Ohio's corporate separation, a 60 percent slice-of-system energy only auction for AEP-Ohio's load for delivery commencing on June 1, 2014, and, finally, a 100 percent energy only auction for AEP-Ohio's delivery load commencing January 1, 2015, and continuing throughout the remainder of the ESP. The Opinion and Order also directed AEP-Ohio to implement a competitive bid procurement (CBP) process consistent with Section 4928.142, Revised Code, by December 31, 2012, and establish a stakeholder process prior to filing its CBP.
- (2) On September 7, 2012, AEP-Ohio initiated its stakeholder process, and by correspondence docketed October 12, 2012, AEP-Ohio scheduled a stakeholder meeting.

- (3) On December 21, 2012, AEP-Ohio filed its application in the above-captioned case to establish a CBP process for its standard service offer. The application includes proposed CBP rules for auctions, associated bidder protocols, communication protocols, and a master energy supply agreement. In its application, AEP-Ohio indicates that the stakeholder process focused on the energy auction procurement process, and that, following the Commission's decision on rehearing in the ESP Case, AEP-Ohio intends to supplement its application to include retail rate issues associated with the energy auctions.
- (4) On January 30, 2013, the Commission issued its entry on rehearing in the ESP Case.
- (5) In order to assist the Commission in its review of AEP-Ohio's CBP process, the attorney examiner finds that the following procedural schedule should be established:
  - (a) February 11, 2013 - Deadline for AEP-Ohio to amend or supplement its application.
  - (b) February 25, 2013 - Deadline for motions to intervene.
  - (c) March 4, 2013 - Deadline for the filing of comments on all issues related to the CBP process, including any potential rate issues, by Staff and intervenors.
  - (d) March 14, 2013 - Deadline for all parties to file reply comments.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That the procedural schedule set forth in finding (5) be adopted.  
It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served upon all parties and other interested persons of record in this case and all parties of record in Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO, et al.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

s/Jonathan Tauber

---

By: Jonathan J. Tauber  
Attorney Examiner

JRJ/sc

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**1/31/2013 1:14:33 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 12-3254-EL-UNC**

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry orders a procedural schedule be adopted as set forth in finding (5). - electronically filed by Sandra Coffey on behalf of Jonathan Tauber, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio