

**Hunter, Donielle**

**From:** Snitchler, Todd  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 29, 2013 2:53 PM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

10-501-EL-FOR  
10-502-EL-FOR

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Sandra Wood  
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2013 2:52:57 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Snitchler, Todd  
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV  
2013 JAN 29 PM 4:34  
PUCO

Jan 29, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.  
Technician AD Date Processed 1/29/13

Ms. Sandra Wood  
6147 Webbland Pl  
Cincinnati, OH 45213-1405

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Snitchler, Todd  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 29, 2013 10:15 AM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

---

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Laura Sanders  
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2013 10:14:51 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Snitchler, Todd  
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 29, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Sanders  
560 Melrose Ave  
Columbus, OH 43202-1368  
(614) 268-0832

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Snitchler, Todd  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 29, 2013 10:15 AM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

---

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Carla Rautenberg  
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2013 10:14:51 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Snitchler, Todd  
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 29, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carla Rautenberg  
3065 Berkshire Rd  
Cleveland Heights, OH 44118-2421

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Snitchler, Todd  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 29, 2013 9:45 AM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

---

**From:** Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Fran Mentch  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 29, 2013 9:44:47 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
**To:** Snitchler, Todd  
**Subject:** Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 29, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Ms. Fran Mentch  
1413 Lynn Park Dr  
Cleveland, OH 44121-1632

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Snitchler, Todd  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 29, 2013 9:15 AM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

---

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Beate van der Schalie  
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2013 9:14:46 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Snitchler, Todd  
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 29, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beate van der Schalie  
3134 Essex Rd  
Cleveland Heights, OH 44118-3538