

FILE

86

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 2:13 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

10-501-EL-FOR
10-502-EL-FOR

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of mb grey
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 2:12:55 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2013 JAN 28 PM 4:52
PUCO

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

~~This is to certify that the images appearing are an~~
accurate and complete reproduction of a case file
document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician MS Date Processed 1/28/13

Ms. mb grey
3119 Essex Rd
Cleveland Heights, OH 44118-3537

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 2:13 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Joseph Sommer
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 2:12:55 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joseph Sommer
425 Pebblebrook Dr SW
North Canton, OH 44709-4200
(330) 244-9282

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 2:13 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Renee Bogue
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 2:12:55 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Ms. Renee Bogue
204 Willow Ave NE
Massillon, OH 44646-4546
(330) 833-7753

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 2:13 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Stephen Farmer
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 2:12:55 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen Farmer
369 Dutch Ridge Rd
Portsmouth, OH 45662-8735
(740) 776-3441

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 2:43 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of David Ockenga
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 2:42:55 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Dr. David Ockenga
5995 State Route 303
Wakeman, OH 44889-9453

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 2:43 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Fran Mentch
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 2:42:55 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Ms. Fran Mentch
3060 Chelsea Dr
Cleveland Heights, OH 44118-1219

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 12:43 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Melanie Shuter
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 12:42:47 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Ms. Melanie Shuter
815 Dartmouth Dr
Circleville, OH 43113-1291

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 12:13 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Jackson
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 12:12:42 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Ms. a jackson
1165 Simpson Dr
Columbus, OH 43227-1353

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 1:43 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Judith DiNardo
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 1:42:49 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Judith DiNardo
3683 Silvercrest Dr
Stow, OH 44224-3259
(330) 688-1767

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 1:43 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Thomas Weigand
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 1:42:49 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Weigand
133 Far View Dr SW
North Canton, OH 44720-4275

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 1:13 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Susan Snyder
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 1:12:49 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Snyder
1400 Seneca Blvd
Apt 209
Broadview Heights, OH 44147-2488

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 12:43 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Sandra Cobb
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 12:42:47 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandra Cobb
3880 Ellendale Rd
Moreland Hills, OH 44022-1124

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 12:43 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Raymond DiCarlo
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 12:42:47 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mr. Raymond DiCarlo
6350 Lee Rd S Apt 24
Maple Heights, OH 44137-4561
(216) 475-6718

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 12:43 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Maureen McCormick
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 12:42:47 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Ms. Maureen McCormick
2870 Country Squire Dr
New Carlisle, OH 45344-9507

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 12:43 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Ann Morahan
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 12:42:47 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ann Morahan
1032 Bluesail Dr
Westerville, OH 43081
(614) 899-6952

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 11:43 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Sara Pandolfi
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 11:42:39 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sara Pandolfi
165 Hollywood St
Oberlin, OH 44074-1009

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 11:43 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Courage Woodward
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 11:42:39 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mr. Courage Woodward
818 North St
Hebron, OH 43025-5003
(740) 739-0048

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 11:43 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Ruth Clinton
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 11:42:39 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ruth Clinton
11016 Corona Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45240-3616

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 11:43 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Verna Riffe biemel
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 11:42:39 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Verna Riffe biemel
7151 Deeridge Dr
Walton Hills, OH 44146-4133

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 11:13 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Mary Jane Vovk, SND
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 11:12:34 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Miss Mary Jane Vovk,SND
7209 Wil Lou Ln
North Ridgeville, OH 44039-3143

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 11:13 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Lawrence Heitkamp
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 11:12:34 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lawrence Heitkamp
7220 Mar Del Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45243-1804
(513) 417-1347

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 11:13 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Karen Kindel
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 11:12:34 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isotoner announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Kindel
5921 Freitag St NW
Canton, OH 44718-1123

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 11:13 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Randy Ellingson
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 11:12:34 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. *Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off.* Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Dr. Randy Ellingson
3528 Brookside Rd
Ottawa Hills, OH 43606-2611

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:43 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Scott Teresi
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:42:32 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mr. Scott Teresi
2466 Indianola Ave Apt A
Columbus, OH 43202-2778

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:43 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Jason Earley
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:42:32 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jason Earley
647 Briggs St
Columbus, OH 43206-1332

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:43 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Lesley Woodward
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:42:32 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lesley Woodward
3303 Linden Rd Apt 304
Rocky River, OH 44116-4104

Hunter, Danielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:43 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Dan Lanser
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:42:32 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dan Lanser
775 Arbury Ave SE
North Canton, OH 44720-8708
(330) 818-6915

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:13 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Charles Glaser
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:12:31 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Glaser
173 Green Ave
Groveport, OH 43125-1376
(614) 836-2139

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:13 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Steven Carter
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:12:31 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven Carter
111 Fallis Rd
Columbus, OH 43214-3725
(614) 268-7097

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:13 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Michael Kubisek
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:12:31 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Kubisek
2731 Lottridge Rd
Guysville, OH 45735-9570

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:13 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of David Kersten
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:12:31 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Kersten
329 Forest Meadows Dr
Medina, OH 44256-1611

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:13 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of James Skalsky
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:12:31 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Skalsky
21 Pleasantview Dr
Athens, OH 45701-1447

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:13 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Fran Teresi
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:12:31 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Fran Teresi
7979 State St
Garrettsville, OH 44231-1020

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:13 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Bob Krasen
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 10:12:31 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bob Krasen
566 Blenheim Rd
Columbus, OH 43214-3264
(614) 261-0754

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 9:43 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Chris Byknish
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 9:42:25 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. *Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.*

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer *Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project.* All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Ms. Chris Byknish
Custer Orangeville
Masury, OH 44438

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 9:42 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Juliana Mulroy
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 9:42:25 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Dr. Juliana Mulroy
5 Sheppard Pl
Granville, OH 43023-1152

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 2:13 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Brian McCollum
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 2:12:55 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian McCollum
7710 Lucerne Dr
Cleveland, OH 44130-6576

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 4:44 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Ronald Hedges
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 4:43:07 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ronald Hedges
323 Hetrick Dr
Galion, OH 44833-1848
(419) 468-4931

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 4:13 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Sabrina Reynolds-Wing
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 4:13:06 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sabrina Reynolds-Wing
3045 Fair Ave
Columbus, OH 43209-2228
(419) 302-3964

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 3:43 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Heather Beauchamp
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 3:43:03 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Heather Beauchamp
71456 Bates Rd
Guernsey, OH 43749-9503

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 3:43 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Stacy Grossman
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 3:43:03 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Stacy Grossman
2745 Allegheny Ave
Bexley, OH 43209-1013

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 3:13 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Scott Bushbaum
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 3:12:59 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mr. Scott Bushbaum
613 Gainsborough Rd
Dayton, OH 45419-3695

Hunter, Donielle

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 3:13 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

From: Ohio Environmental Council On Behalf Of Susan McGarvey
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 3:12:59 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Jan 28, 2013

PUCO Chair Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Susan McGarvey
3939 Girbert St
Grove City, OH 43123-2739