

FILE

4

Hunter, Donielle

From: Fraizer, Michael
Sent: Friday, January 18, 2013 2:02 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Please docket in cases 10-501/10-502. Thank you.

10-501-EL-FOR
10-502-EL-FOR

Sincerely,

Mike Fraizer
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Senior Policy Advisor
614-387-5460
michael.fraizer@puc.state.oh.us
PUCO.ohio.gov



RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2013 JAN 18 PM 2:31
PUCO

Begin forwarded message:

From: John Cochrane <jcanglican@att.net>
Date: January 18, 2013, 1:40:12 PM EST
To: <todd.snitchler@puc.state.oh.us>
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future
Reply-To: John Cochrane <jcanglican@att.net>

Jan 18, 2013

PUCO Chair Todd Snitchler

Dear PUCO Chair Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the

This is to certify that the images attached are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business
Technician Sam Date Processed JAN 18 2013

project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Cochrane
243 Metz Ave
Akron, OH 44303-1569
(330) 865-9474

Hunter, Donielle

From: Fraizer, Michael
Sent: Friday, January 18, 2013 2:02 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future

Please docket in cases 10-501/10-502. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mike Fraizer
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Senior Policy Advisor
614-387-5460
michael.fraizer@puc.state.oh.us
PUCO.ohio.gov



Begin forwarded message:

From: Christine Hughes <cthughes@fastermac.net>
Date: January 18, 2013, 1:40:18 PM EST
To: <todd.snitchler@puc.state.oh.us>
Subject: Don't Turn Your Back on Ohio's Clean Energy Future
Reply-To: Christine Hughes <cthughes@fastermac.net>

Jan 18, 2013

PUCO Chair Todd Snitchler

Dear PUCO Chair Snitchler,

I was shocked to see that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio recently rejected AEP Ohio's proposal to develop the Turning Point solar project. This decision is a step in the wrong direction for Ohio's economy and environment.

The Turning Point project was set to be built on 700 acres of reclaimed strip mine land in Noble County.

As you know, Southeast Ohio's economy has struggled to climb out of the recession. The unemployment rate in Noble county stands at 9.3%, among the highest in the state. Many of the surrounding counties are even worse off. Turning Point would be a step towards reversing that by

creating over 100 local jobs during each of the three phases of the project.

Additionally, the project attracted more than \$100 million of additional investment when the Spanish solar manufacturer Isofoton announced it was locating its North American manufacturing facility in Napoleon, largely because of the Turning Point project. All told, more than 600 jobs are in jeopardy because of this controversial decision.

The economic impact of this ruling is just the tip of the iceberg.

Unlike the scarred remnants of Ohio's coal dependency that litter Southeast Ohio, Turning Point would have no negative environmental impact. In fact, the project would reduce climate change-related pollution by 70,000 tons a year.

When you took office two years ago, you promised to make Ohio a more business friendly state.

You've gone out of your way to ensure businesses and the jobs they represent, stay in Ohio. I urge you to show the same passion and commitment to job creation when it comes to this project.

Ultimately, the success or failure of Turning Point will be a symbol of your vision of Ohio.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christine Hughes
69 Grosvenor St
Athens, OH 45701-1714