BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

| In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy (Ohio, Inc., for the Establishment of a Charge Pursuant to Revised Code Section 4909.18. |)) Case No. 12-2400-EL-UNC) |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy (Ohio, Inc., for Approval to Change Accounting Methods. |) Case No. 12-2401-EL-AAM) |
| In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval of a Tariff for a New Service. |)) Case No. 12-2402-EL-ATA) |

OHIO PARTNERS FOR AFFORDABLE ENERGY'S COMMENTS

Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy ("OPAE") hereby respectfully submits to the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") these comments on the above-referenced applications filed by Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., ("Duke"). These applications adversely affect OPAE and violate the provisions of the Stipulation and Recommendation signed by Duke, OPAE and numerous other parties, including the Staff of the Commission, in Duke's recent electric security plan ("ESP") proceeding, Case No. 11-3549-EL-SSO, et al., which the Commission approved one year ago. *Duke Energy Ohio*, Case No. 11-3549-EL-SSO, et al., Opinion and Order (November 22, 2011).

On October 4, 2012, OPAE joined a motion to dismiss these applications. The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel, the Ohio Energy Group, the Greater Cincinnati Health Council, the Ohio Manufacturers' Association, the Kroger Company, the Industrial Energy Users-Ohio, Cincinnati Bell, Inc., and Wal-Mart Stores East LP and Sam's East Inc. all joined the motion to dismiss.

OPAE continues to believe that the Commission has no alternative but to dismiss these applications. The applications clearly violate the stipulations that Duke signed and that the Commission has approved. The Commission should have dismissed these applications. However, because the Commission has not acted, OPAE repeats herein in abbreviated form the arguments made in the Joint Motion to Dismiss filed October 4, 2012 and the Joint Reply to Duke's memorandum contra the joint motion filed October 26, 2012.

The Stipulation in Case No. 11-3549-EL-SSO, et al., adopted a wholesale capacity charge for competitive suppliers priced at the PJM RTO market-based Reliability Pricing Model ("RPM") prices. This means that the capacity charge is market-based, not cost-based. Duke agreed to be compensated for capacity based on competitive PJM prices, not Duke's own costs. In return for this provision, the Stipulation in Case No. 11-3549-EL-SSO, et al., provided Duke an additional \$110 million per year for each year of the three-year ESP term to provide stability to Duke as a Fixed Resource Requirement ("FRR") entity and created a non-bypassable rider called the Electric Service Stability Charge ("ESSC") rider to collect the \$330 million. Stipulation at 16.

The Stipulation in Case No. 11-3549-EL-SSO, et al., is not the only case in which Duke committed not to seek a cost-based rate for capacity. Duke made the same commitment in another stipulation in Case Nos. 11-2641-EL-RDR and 11-2642-EL-RDR, Stipulation and Recommendation, April 26, 2011, which was approved by the Commission in its Opinion and Order dated May 25, 2011.

Duke expressly chose in two separate stipulations in two separate Commission proceedings to resolve the wholesale capacity pricing issue by accepting the RPM market-based priced capacity plus the \$330 million ESSC in the ESP stipulation. Through these stipulations, Duke resolved its wholesale capacity pricing issue.

In these applications, Duke seeks to renege on its commitments in the two stipulations. Duke is now seeking a cost-based charge for its capacity and seeks to defer the difference between its current market-based charges and its cost of capacity and to recover in the future an additional \$776 million from customers.

As the Joint Movants in the Motion to Dismiss explained, Duke's applications directly violate the stipulations it signed. Duke's applications seeking cost-based pricing of capacity destroy the entire bargain of these stipulations. Duke's application for additional compensation above the RPM-base pricing it agreed to in the stipulations is barred by the Duke ESP and RDR stipulations and the Commission's orders approving the stipulations.

There is also no basis for the Commission to create a regulatory asset based on the difference between the RPM-based price and Duke's request for cost-based compensation. The Commission's ability to phase-in capacity charges emanates solely from R.C. 4928.144, which allows a just and reasonable phase-in of any electric distribution utility rate or prices established under R.C. 4928.141 to R.C. 4928.143 for standard service offers and electric security plans. Neither the cost-based transmission rate nor the non-bypassable charge to collect it has been established under the Commission's authority pertaining to Duke's standard service offer or ESP. The Commission has no authority to grant the accounting authority and non-bypassable charge requested by Duke.

Therefore, the Commission has no alternative but to dismiss these applications. The Commission should enforce the stipulations it approved and respect the requirements of Ohio law.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Colleen L. Mooney Colleen L. Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lima Street Findlay, OH 45839-1793 Telephone: (419) 425-8860

e-mail: cmooney2@columbus.rr.com

cmooney@ohiopartners.org

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Comments was served electronically upon the following persons identified below on this 2nd day of January 2013.

/s/ Colleen Mooney Colleen L. Mooney

Amy B. Spiller
Jeanne Kingery
Elizabeth H. Watts
Duke Energy Ohio
155 East Broad Street, 21st Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Amy.Spiller@duke-energy.com
Elizabeth.Watts@duke-energy.com
Jeanne.kingery@duke-energy.com

Maureen R. Grady
Kyle Kern
Assistant Consumers' Counsel
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
grady@occ.state.oh.us
kern@occ.state.oh.us

David F. Boehm
Michael L. Kurtz
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
dboehm@BKLlawfirm.com
mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com

Samuel C. Randazzo
Frank P. Darr
Joseph E. Oliker
Matthew R. Pritchard
McNees Wallace & Nurick
21 East State Street. 17th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
sam@mwncmh.com
fdarr@mwncmh.com
joliker@mwncmh.com
mpritchard@mwncmh.com

William Wright
Attorney General's Office
Public Utilities Commission Section
180 E. Broad Street, 9th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793
William.Wright@puc.state.oh.us

Douglas E. Hart 441 Vine Street, Ste. 4192 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 dhart@douglasehart.com Kimberly W. Bojko
Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP
280 Plaza Suite 1300
280 North High Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
bojko@carpenterlipps.com
sechler@carpenterlipps.com

Howard Petricoff
Vorys Sater Seymour & Pease
52 East Gay Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
mhpetricoff@vorys.com
smhoward@vorys.com
lkalepsclark@vorys.com

J. Thomas Siwo
Matthew Warnock
Bricker & Eckler LLP
100 south Third Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
tsiwo@bricker.com
mwarnock@bricker.com

Thomas J. O'Brien Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 tobrien@bricker.com

Rick D. Chamberlain Behrens, Wheeler 7 Chamberlain 6 N.E. 63rd Street, Ste. 400 Oklahoma City, OK 73105 Rdc law@swbell.net

Kevin Osterkamp Roetzel & Andress LPA 155 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 kosterkamp@ralaw.com

Joseph G. Strines
DPL Energy Resources Inc.
1065 Woodman Drive
Dayton, Ohio 45432
Joseph.strines@DPLINC.com

Jay E. Jadwin
Yazen Alami
AEPSC
155 W. Nationwide Blvd.
jejadwin@aep.com
yalami@aep.com

Mark Hayden
FirstEnergy
76 South Main Street
Akron, Ohio 44308
haydenm@firstenergy.com

James F. Lang
Laura McBride
Trevor Alexander
Calfee, Halter, Griswold
800 Superior Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
ilang@calfee.com
lmcbride@calfee.com
talexander@calfee.com

Steven T. Nourse American Electric Power 1 Riverside Plaza Columbus, Ohio 43215 stnourse@aep.com Judi Sobecki
Dayton Power and Light Company
1065 Woodman Drive
Dayton, Ohio 45432
Judi.sobecki@aes.com

Barth Royer Bell & Royer 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43215 BarthRoyer@aol.com Gary Jeffries
Dominion Resources
501 Martindale Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15212
Gary.A.Jeffries@dom.com

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

1/2/2013 2:11:07 PM

in

Case No(s). 12-2400-EL-UNC, 12-2401-EL-AAM, 12-2402-EL-ATA

Summary: Comments electronically filed by Colleen L Mooney on behalf of Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy