Proceedings

```
1
        BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
2
3
     In the Matter of the
    Application of the Ohio
    Development Services
4
    Agency for an Order : Case No. 12-1719-EL-USF
5
    Approving Adjustments to :
    the Universal Service Fund:
6
    Riders of Jurisdictional :
    Ohio Electric Distribution:
7
    Utilities.
8
9
                          PROCEEDINGS
10
    before Ms. Greta See, Hearing Examiner, at the Public
    Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street,
11
12
    Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00 a.m. on
13
    Monday, December 3, 2012.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
                     ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
                222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
                   Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
22
                (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
23
                      Fax - (614) 224-5724
24
25
```

2 1 **APPEARANCES:** Bell & Royer Co., LPA 2. By Mr. Barth E. Royer 3 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43215 4 On behalf of Ohio Development Services 5 Agency. 6 Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General Public Utilities Section 7 By Mr. Thomas W. McNamee Assistant Attorney General 8 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 9 On behalf of the Staff of the Public 10 Utilities Commission of Ohio. 11 Duke Energy Business Services By Ms. Elizabeth H. Watts 12 Ms. Amy B. Spiller 139 East Fourth Street 13 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 14 On behalf of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. 15 Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Joseph P. Serio 16 Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215 17 On behalf of Residential Utility 18 Customers. 19 Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 20 By Ms. Colleen L. Mooney 231 West Lima Street 21 Findlay, Ohio 45840 22 On behalf of Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy. 23 24 25

		3
1	APPEARANCES (Continued):	
2	McNees, Wallace & Nurick, LLC. By Mr. Frank P. Darr	
3	Ms. Gretchen J. Hummel 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215	
_		
5	On behalf of Industrial Energy Users-Ohio.	
6	AEP Service Corporation	
7	By Mr. Matthew J. Satterwhite One Riverside Plaza	
8	Columbus, Ohio 43215-2373	
9	On behalf of Ohio Power Company.	
10	The Dayton Power & Light Company By Ms. Judi L. Sobecki	
11	MacGregor Park 1065 Woodman Avenue	
12	Dayton, Ohio 45432	
13	On behalf of The Dayton Power & Light Company.	
14		
15	FirstEnergy Corporation By Ms. Carrie Dunn 76 South Main Street	
16	Akron, Ohio 44308	
17	On behalf of the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Ohio Edison	
18	Company, and Toledo Edison Company.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

,				4
1	INDEX			
2				
3	ODSA EXHIBITS	IDFD	ADMTD	
4	1 - Application	8	13	
5	<pre>1A - Corrected USF Rider Revenue Surplus/Deficiency Table</pre>	8	13	
	2 - Testimony of Randall Hunt	8	13	
7	3 - Testimony of Susan M. Moser	8	13	
9	3A - Errata to Testimony of ODSA Witness Susan M. Moser	8	13	
10				
11	JOINT EXHIBIT	IDFD	ADMTD	
12	1 - Joint Stipulation and Recommendation	9	13	
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1 Monday Morning Session, December 3, 2012. 2 3 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Scheduled for 4 5 hearing today at this time before the Public 6 Utilities Commission is Case No. 12-1719-EL-USF, being entitled In the Matter of the Application of 7 the Ohio Development Services Agency for an Order Approving Adjustments to the Universal Service Fund 9 Riders of Jurisdictional Ohio Electric Distribution 10 11 Utilities. 12 On behalf of the Ohio Department of 13 Services -- Development Services Agency. 14 MR. ROYER: Thank you, your Honor. 15 behalf of ODSA, Barth Royer, Bell and Royer Co., LPA, 16 33 South Grant Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43215. 17 And I've also been asked to enter appearances on behalf of Matthew J. Satterwhite, AEP 18 19 Service Corporation, 1 Riverside Plaza, Columbus, 20 Ohio 43215, on behalf of Ohio Power Company. 21 Judi L. Sobecki, MacGregor Park, 1065 22 Woodman Avenue, Dayton, Ohio, 45432, on behalf of The 23 Dayton Power and Light Company. 24 Carrie Dunn, 76 South Main Street, Akron,

Ohio, on behalf of Cleveland Electric Illuminating

```
1 | Company, Ohio Edison Company, and Toledo Edison
```

- 2 | Company. And I believe everybody else is here.
- 3 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Okay. On behalf 4 of the staff.
- 5 MR. MCNAMEE: On behalf of the staff of
- 6 the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, Mike DeWine,
- 7 Attorney General of the State of Ohio, I'm Thomas W.
- 8 McNamee, Assistant Attorney General, and the address
- 9 is 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio.
- 10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: On behalf of
- 11 Duke.
- MS. WATTS: Your Honor, on behalf of Duke
- 13 | Energy Ohio, Amy B. Spiller, Elizabeth H. Watts, 139
- 14 East Fourth Street, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- 15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: On behalf of
- 16 | IEU-Ohio.
- MS. HUMMEL: Thank you, your Honor. On
- 18 behalf of Industrial Energy Users-Ohio, NcNees,
- 19 Wallace & Nurick, by Frank P. Darr and Gretchen J.
- 20 | Hummel, 21 East State Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.
- 21 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: On behalf of Ohio
- 22 | Partners for Affordable Energy.
- 23 MS. MOONEY: On behalf of Ohio Partners
- 24 for Affordable Energy, Colleen L. Mooney, 231 West
- 25 | Lima Street, Findlay, Ohio.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: I note that there's no one present for the Ohio Consumers' Counsel.

MR. ROYER: I believe Mr. Serio is next door in the Columbia Gas.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Okay.

Mr. Royer.

2.2

MR. ROYER: Thank you, your Honor.

The majority of the parties in this proceeding have entered into a Joint Stipulation and Recommendation which, if accepted by the Commission, would resolve all the issues in this case. It would also resolve the question of the refund of the OCC assessment reductions that was originally addressed by the Commission in Case No. 11-5384-AU-ORD. There's a motion pending in that case that that matter be taken up in the context of this proceeding.

Pursuant to the stipulation, the parties have -- the signatory parties have agreed that certain documents should be offered and recommend that they be admitted into evidence.

So, at this time, I'd like to mark as ODSA Exhibit 1, the application in this case that was filed on November 7th.

As ODSA Exhibit 1A, a two-page document

```
1
    which contains corrected tables to the -- corrections
2
    to the tables that were originally submitted in the
3
    application; those appear on page 5 and page 11. And
     as I indicated off the record, this is -- there was
4
     one typo in the corrected version that was filed on
5
6
    Friday, but these numbers are correct.
7
                 As well, I'd like to have marked as ODSA
8
    Exhibit No. 2, the testimony of Randall Hunt. As
9
    ODSA Exhibit No. 3, the testimony of ODSA witness
    Susan Moser. And as --
10
11
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: I'm sorry,
12
    Mr. Royer, you said ODSA Exhibit 2 was Mr. Hunt's
13
    testimony?
14
                 MR. ROYER: Correct.
15
                 ODSA 3 would be then Ms. Moser's
16
    testimony. And filed on November 15th, there was an
17
    errata sheet to that testimony. I'd like to have
    that marked as ODSA Exhibit 3A.
18
19
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Okay.
                                                The
20
    exhibits are so marked.
21
                 (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
2.2
                 MR. ROYER: I would like to have the
23
     stipulation and recommendation that was filed in this
24
    matter on November 30th marked as Joint Exhibit 1.
25
```

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: The stipulation

is marked Joint Exhibit 1.

2.2

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. ROYER: And I'd just like to make a clarifying comment with respect to the OCC refund assessment reduction issue. We've had conversation with the staff about this, of course, and the -- and it appears that staff is -- agrees to the -- agrees with this approach.

There was a question raised regarding the fact that not all of the refunds have yet been collected. And I just wanted to make sure it was clear that we have — we have made the adjustment based on the total refund amount, so customers will get anything — are getting everything to which they are entitled even though there's still a few thousand dollars outstanding. It's my understanding that they're pursuing that collection. But the customers are getting everything they're entitled to in this case as a result of the adjustment.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: So that when the -- it's my understanding that there's only one more entity that needs to submit --

MR. ROYER: Okay.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: -- their refund.

Is that correct, Mr. Royer?

```
MR. ROYER: Yes. I don't -- staff will
1
2
    have to answer that one. But --
3
                 MR. MCNAMEE: I do.
4
                 MR. ROYER: -- again, I just want to make
5
     it clear that the refund adjustment is based on the
    total amount, so if that money eventually comes in it
6
7
    will go into the USF, but customers are getting it
    whether it comes in or not.
9
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Okay. Is that
    your understanding, too, Mr. McNamee?
10
11
                 MR. MCNAMEE: Yes, your Honor. There is
    one, out of all the regulated entities there's only
12
13
    one that hasn't paid. The amount of money is a
     little less than $3,600, but I anticipate that money
14
15
    will be forthcoming.
16
                 MR. ROYER: Then with that, your Honor,
17
     I'd offer Joint Exhibit 1, and ODSA Exhibits 1, 1A,
     2, 3, and 3A.
18
19
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Are there any
20
    objections to the admission of those exhibits?
21
                 MS. HUMMEL: No, your Honor.
2.2
                 MS. WATTS: No objections.
23
                 MS. MOONEY:
                             No.
24
                 MR. MCNAMEE:
                               No.
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: I note for the
25
```

```
record that Duke did not sign the stipulation.
1
2
                 MS. WATTS: That's correct, your Honor,
    we did not.
3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Do you plan on
4
5
     opposing the stipulation?
6
                 MS. WATTS: We do not oppose the
7
    stipulation.
8
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: I don't think
9
     staff signed the stipulation either. Is that
10
    correct, Mr. McNamee?
11
                               That's correct, your Honor.
                 MR. MCNAMEE:
12
     In keeping with the approach that we've done for the
13
     last several cycles of this, the staff does not
     oppose, though the staff has reviewed the
14
15
    calculations and finds them all to be correct and
16
    reasonable, we will not oppose the stipulation, will
17
    not sign it and will not oppose it.
18
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Mr. Royer, I also
    note that OCC didn't sign the stipulation; is that
19
20
    correct?
21
                 MR. ROYER: That is correct, your Honor.
22
    My understanding is that they won't oppose it and the
```

23

24

```
1
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Let's go off the
2
    record.
                 (Discussion off the record.)
3
4
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Let's go back on
5
    the record.
6
                 Mr. Serio, you represent OCC, correct?
7
                 MR. SERIO: Yes, your Honor. Joe Serio,
8
    on behalf of the residential utility customers of
9
    Ohio, representing Bruce J. Weston, Consumers'
    Counsel.
10
11
                 I have no reason to believe that we will
12
    be taking a position other than to not sign and not
13
    oppose the stipulation; however, I have not been
    given clarification of that and would tell your Honor
14
15
    that I will file a letter clarifying that within the
16
    next day or so as soon as I get word from my
17
    superiors.
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Okay. Thank you,
18
19
    Mr. Serio.
20
                 MR. SERIO: Thank you, your Honor.
21
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: If there are no
22
    objections to the admission of ODSA Exhibits 1, 1A,
23
     2, 3, and 3A, and Joint Exhibit 1 -- any objections?
24
                 MS. WATTS: No objections, your Honor.
25
                 MS. HUMMEL: No, your Honor.
```

```
13
 1
                 MS. MOONEY: No, your Honor.
 2
                 MR. MCNAMEE: No objections.
 3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Those exhibits
 4
     are admitted into the record.
 5
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
 6
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Is there anything
 7
     further?
 8
                 MR. MCNAMEE: Nothing.
 9
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: If there's
     nothing else, the hearing is adjourned.
10
                 (The hearing concluded at 10:15 a.m.)
11
12
1.3
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Monday, December 3, 2012, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Carolyn M. Burke, Registered Professional Reporter, and Notary Public in and for the

My commission expires July 17, 2013.

State of Ohio.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

12/17/2012 1:59:01 PM

in

Case No(s). 12-1719-EL-USF

Summary: Transcript of the Ohio Development Services Agency hearing held on 12/03/12 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Burke, Carolyn M. Mrs.