

**Irwin, Steven**

**From:** Irwin, Steven  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 13, 2012 10:43 AM  
**To:** Mary Jo Parello  
**Cc:** ContactOPSB  
**Subject:** RE: Buckeye Wind Project

Mr. Parello,

Thank you for your input regarding the proposed Buckeye II Wind Farm. I have docketed your letter in the public comments section of the record in case number 12-0160-EL-BGN.

To view all activity pertaining to this case, siting application, and/or rules governing the installation and operation of wind energy in Ohio, visit the Ohio Power Siting Board's website at [www.OPSB.ohio.gov](http://www.OPSB.ohio.gov).

Again, the Board thanks you for your continued interest.

Thanks,

Steve

-----  
 Steve Irwin  
 Public Outreach Coordinator  
 Ohio Power Siting Board  
 614.466.2871  
[OPSB.ohio.gov](http://OPSB.ohio.gov)



RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV  
 2012 DEC 13 AM 10:51  
 PUCO

**From:** Mary Jo Parello [<mailto:maryjoparello@yahoo.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 12, 2012 8:26 PM  
**To:** ContactOPSB  
**Subject:** Buckeye Wind Project

You surely can't be proud of the "what's and how's" that you folks approved concerning phase I wind. First and foremost, it is not a "farm"!!! Second, the feeble attempt to make the set backs fit don't even agree with what the manufacturers recommend. If it don't fit then you must submit. Third, where you say "Ohio law does not" and the use of terms "under a condition" and "use agreement" do not provide the health and safety, code (oac4906-17-08) that is required. Under no circumstances should this "SHAM" be considered let alone "APPROVED"!!!! For the 10 board members, I can only ask, What are you thinking???? Listen to the doctor on the board. I am confident that he is aware of the health issues. Forget about the politics and the slogan "Green Energy". Steve Parello

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business  
 Technician Amu Date Processed 12/13/12