FIIF



Irwin, Steven

From:

Irwin, Steven

Sent:

Friday, December 07, 2012 12:33 PM

To:

carys0u812@hotmail.com

Cc:

ContactOPSB

Subject:

RE: OPSB Email for Response

Mr. Cary,

Thank you for your input regarding the proposed Buckeye II Wind Farm. I have docketed your correspondence in the public comments section of the record in case number 12-0160-EL-BGN.

The adjudicatory proceedings of the case will conclude sometime this week and the parties of the case will continue to litigate the issues at hand. Before the Board makes a decision about the Buckeye II Wind Farm, it will consider the developer's application, OPSB staff report, public comments, and the records of the public and adjudicatory hearings. All of these materials are available on the Ohio Power Siting Board website, along with many other materials about wind development in the state: www.OPSB.ohio.gov

Again, the Board thanks you for your interest in this case.

Thanks,

Steve

Steve Irwin Public Outreach Coordinator Ohio Power Siting Board 614.466.2871 OPSB.ohio.gov





From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us

To: ContactThePUCO

Subject: 71250

Received: 12/6/2012 8:36:14 PM

Message:

WEB ID: 71250 AT:12-06-2012 at 08:36 PM

Related Case Number: 12-0160

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Sean Cary

CONTACT SENDER? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 29 N. Main St.
- Mechanicsburg, Ohio 43044
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: (937) 834-9045

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: carys0u812@hotmail.com

INDUSTRY:Other

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- (no utility company name provided?)
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I am a citizen of Champaign County and am very opposed to the turbine initiative. The setbacks are not far enough for safety or nuisance purposes. This is being lobbied by a big corporation at the expense of home values and quality of life to the citizens that it is supposed to benefit. I also find it very disconcerting that Everpower is using out-of-county and out-of-state people to support the turbines with form letters. As such, those should be discounted compared to residents who actually live here and will have to deal with the negatives or positives of living with the project (nuisances, noise, health issue, reduced property value, etc.) At a minimum, if this is allowed to proceed, Everpower should be required to make property value guarrantees to all homeowners within 1 mile of a turbine. I am also very disturbed by Everpower's portrayal of industrial wind turbines having absolutely no negative consequences, so that citizens can actually make a fully informed decision. As my mother always says, if it's too good to be true, it usually isn't.

I am not anti-wind power. I am not against renewable energy. I just believe that they should be the result of initiatives at the local level for homeowners, not for a large corporation that is sacrificing and degrading an entire community for its bottom-line profit.