December 3, 2012
Mrs. Barcy McNeal
Commission Secretary
The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215
SUBJECT: Case Nos. 12-2977-EL-RDR 89-6001-EL-TRF

Dear Mrs. McNeal:
In response to and compliance with the Orders of January 21, 2009, May 27, 2009, August 24, 2011, and July 18, 2012 in Case Nos. 07-551-EL-AIR, 08-935-EL-SSO, 10-176-ELATA, and 12-1230-EL-SSO, respectively, please file the attached tariff pages and workpapers on behalf of The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company. These tariff pages reflect changes to Riders DRR, DSM, LEX and RER and their associated pages.

Please file one copy of the tariffs in Case Nos. 12-2977-EL-RDR and 89-6001-EL-TRF, and two copies to the Staff. Thank you.

Sincerely,


Eileen M. Mikkelsen
Director, Rates \& Regulatory Affairs
Enclosures
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## RIDER DRR

Delta Revenue Recovery Rider

## APPLICABILITY:

Applicable to any customer receiving electric service under the Company's rate schedules or reasonable arrangement (special contract) approved by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. The Delta Revenue Recovery Rider (DRR) charge will apply, for all rate schedules, effective for service rendered beginning January 1, 2013, for all kWhs per kWh. This Rider is not avoidable for customers who take electric generation service from a certified supplier.

## PURPOSE:

The DRR charge recovers the difference in revenue ("delta revenue") between the application of rates in the otherwise applicable rate schedule and the result of any economic development schedule, energy efficiency schedule, reasonable arrangement, or governmental special contract approved by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio on or after January 1, 2009.

## RATE:

$$
\text { DRR charge } 0.0000 ¢
$$

## RIDER UPDATES:

The charges contained in this Rider shall be updated and reconciled on a quarterly basis. No later than December 1st, March 1st, June 1st and September 1st of each year, the Company will file with the PUCO a request for approval of the Rider charges which, unless otherwise ordered by the PUCO, shall become effective on a service rendered basis on January 1st, April 1st, July 1st and October 1st of each year, beginning October 1, 2009.

## Demand Side Management Rider

## APPLICABILITY:

A Demand Side Management ("DSM") Charge shall be applied to each kilowatt-hour ("kWh") delivered during a billing month to all retail customers taking service under Rate Schedule RS. The DSM Charge is not avoidable to customers during the period the customer takes electric generation service from a certified supplier.

## RATES:

On the Effective Date ("ED"), the DSM Charge shall be 0.0000ф, and shall be adjusted semi-annually based on the following formula:

DSM Charge $=[((A D B-A D F I T) \times C C+A M O R T+R A) / P S] \times[1 /(1-C A T)]$, rounded to the fifth decimal place.

Where:
$\mathrm{ADB}=$ The net accumulated balance of the residential demand side management costs deferred by the Company, including applicable Carrying Costs ("CC"). Residential demand side management costs that are deferred shall include all DSM program costs incurred for programs contemplated in the Settlement Stipulation approved in PUCO Case Nos. 05-1125-EL-ATA, 05-1126-EL-AAM and 05-1127-EL-UNC, all reasonable administrative costs to conduct such DSM programs and lost distribution revenues until included in the Company's tariffs established in a subsequent rate case.

The initial ADB will be based on the Company's filing in Case No. 07-551-EL-AIR, with all subsequent ADB's being based on the deferred balance at each March 31 and September 30 thereafter.

ADFIT = The accumulated deferred income tax associated with the ADB.
$\mathrm{AMORT}=$ The ADB amortized over a three year period. However in no case will the amortization period extend beyond December 31, 2012. Any ADB at April 30, 2012 will be collected over the RHY effective July 1, 2012.

RA = The net over or under collection of the RC during the Recovery Half-Year ("RHY"), plus Carrying Costs. A positive RA reflects an under collection of the RC.

PS = The Company's forecasted kWh retail sales during the RHY for customers taking service under Rate Schedule RS.

CAT $=$ The Commercial Activity Tax rate as established in Section 5751.03 of the Ohio Revised Code.
$C C=$ The return earned on the RC and RA, which shall be calculated by multiplying the RC and RA by the Company's cost of debt.

RHY = The calendar half-year in which the then current DSM Charge is collected. The RHY commences on January 1 and July 1, immediately following the determination of the ADB as of September 30 or March 31 for such DSM Charge.

Filed pursuant to Orders dated January 21, 2009 and July 18, 2012, in Case Nos. 07-551-EL-AIR and
12-1230-EL-SSO, respectively and Case No. 12-2977-EL-RDR before
The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

## RIDER LEX

## Line Extension Cost Recovery Rider

## APPLICABILITY:

Applicable to any customer receiving electric service under the Company's rate schedules. The Line Extension Cost Recovery Rider (LEX) charge will apply for all rate schedules, on a service rendered basis, for all kWhs per kWh. This Rider is not avoidable for customers who take electric generation service from a certified supplier.

## RATE:

LEX charge 0.0004 ¢

## RIDER UPDATES:

The charges contained in this Rider shall be updated and reconciled on a quarterly basis beginning December 1, 2011, and thereafter no later than December 1st, March 1st, June 1st and September 1st of each year, the Company will file with the PUCO a request for approval of the Rider charges which, unless otherwise ordered by the PUCO, shall become effective on a service rendered basis on January 1st, April 1st, July 1st and October 1st of each year.

## RIDER RER

Residential Electric Heating Recovery Rider

## APPLICABILITY:

Applicable to any residential customer that takes electric service under the Company's rate schedules. The Residential Electric Heating Recovery Rider (RER) charges will apply, by rate schedule, effective for service rendered as described below. This Rider is not avoidable for customers who take electric generation service from a certified supplier.

## PURPOSE:

The charges provided for in this Rider recover deferred purchased power costs which represent the differential between the amounts paid by customers that received or are receiving Rider RGC credits and the amounts that otherwise would have been paid by those customers but for the Commission's orders and entries in the 10-176-EL-ATA proceeding, including applicable interest.

1. The RER1 charge set forth in this Rider recovers deferred purchased power costs associated with the 10-176-EL-ATA proceeding incurred by the Company from the implementation of Rider RGC through June 30, 2011, including applicable interest.
2. The RER2 charge set forth in this Rider recovers on-going deferred purchased power costs not otherwise recovered through the RER1 charge per the Order in Case No. 10-176-EL-ATA dated May 25,2011 , including applicable interest.

## RATE:

The following charges will apply, by rate schedule for all kWhs per kWh:

|  | $\underline{\text { RER1 }}$ | $\underline{\text { RER2 }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RS | $0.2800 ¢$ | $0.3159 \Phi$ |

## RIDER UPDATES:

The RER charges set forth in this Rider shall be updated and reconciled on a semi-annual basis. No later than December 1st and June 1st of each year, the Company shall file with the PUCO a request for approval of these rider charges which, unless otherwise ordered by the PUCO, shall become effective on a service rendered basis on January 1st and July 1st of each year.

Demand Side Management Rider (Rider DSM - Sheet No. 97)
Rider DSM Charge Update Effective 1/1/13 Through 6/30/13

| Line | Description | CE | OE | TE |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Half-Year Revenue Requirement |  |  |  |
| 1 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |  |
| 2 | Forecasted Residential Sales $1 / 1 / 13-6 / 30 / 13(\mathrm{KWH})$ | -- | -- | -- |
| 3 | Rider DSM Charge $1 / 1 / 13-6 / 30 / 13(\$ / \mathrm{KWH})$ | $\$ 0.000000$ | $\$ 0.000000$ | $\$ 0.000000$ |

Line Notes \& Sources
1 The amortization of the deferred DSM costs ends December 31, 2012. Unrecovered December 31, 2012 deferral balances recovered with Ric Source: Rider DSM - Sheet No. 97 and Ohio Companies' Stipulated ESP Case No. 08-935-EL-SSO in Section E-2 page 21.

Ohio Edison Company
The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
The Toledo Edison Company
Line Extension Cost Recovery Rider (Rider LEX - Sheet No. 107)
Rider LEX Charge Update Effective 1/1/13 Through 3/31/12

| Line | Description | CEI | OE | TE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Rider LEX Charge 1/1/13-3/31/13 ( $\% / \mathrm{KWH}$ ) | 0.0004 | 0.0008 | 0.0009 |
| 2 | Q4 2012 LEX Charge ( $\phi / \mathrm{KWH}$ ) | 0.0005 | 0.0008 | 0.0009 |
| 3 | Q1 2013 vs. Q4 2012 ( $\phi / \mathrm{KWH}$ ) | -0.0001 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Line Notes \& Sources |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Source: Pages 2-4, Line 1, Column (E) x 100 |  |  |  |
| 2 | Q4 2012 LEX Charge for reference purposes only |  |  |  |

Case No. 12-2977-EL-RDR
Ohio Edison Company
The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
The Toledo Edison Company
Line Extension Cost Recovery Rider (Rider LEX - Sheet No. 107)
Rider LEX Charge Update Effective 1/1/13 Through 3/31/13

| Line | Company <br> (A) | Month <br> (B) | Year (C) | Beginning Deferral Balance (D) |  | Revenue <br> (E) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CAT } \\ \text { (F) } \end{gathered}$ | Revenue Excl CAT <br> (G) |  | Carrying Charges <br> (H) |  | Ending Deferral Balance (I) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 0.000004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | CEI | January | 2013 | \$ | 152,640 | \$ | $(6,517)$ | \$ | (17) | \$ | $(6,500)$ | \$ | 853 | \$ | 146,992 |
| 3 | CEI | February | 2013 | \$ | 146,992 | \$ | $(7,224)$ | \$ | (19) | \$ | $(7,205)$ | \$ | 819 | \$ | 140,606 |
| 4 | CEI | March | 2013 | \$ | 140,606 | \$ | $(7,359)$ | \$ | (19) | \$ | $(7,340)$ | \$ | 782 | \$ | 134,048 |
| 5 | CEI | April | 2013 | \$ | 134,048 | \$ | $(6,503)$ | \$ | (17) | \$ | $(6,486)$ | \$ | 747 | \$ | 128,308 |
| 6 | CEI | May | 2013 | \$ | 128,308 | \$ | $(6,806)$ | \$ | (18) | \$ | $(6,788)$ | \$ | 713 | \$ | 122,233 |
| 7 | CEI | June | 2013 | \$ | 122,233 | \$ | $(6,157)$ | \$ | (16) | \$ | $(6,141)$ | \$ | 680 | \$ | 116,772 |
| 8 | CEI | July | 2013 | \$ | 116,772 | \$ | $(6,296)$ | \$ | (16) | \$ | $(6,280)$ | \$ | 649 | \$ | 111,141 |
| 9 | CEI | August | 2013 | \$ | 111,141 | \$ | $(6,680)$ | \$ | (17) | \$ | $(6,663)$ | \$ | 615 | \$ | 105,093 |
| 10 | CEI | September | 2013 | \$ | 105,093 | \$ | $(7,386)$ | \$ | (19) |  | $(7,367)$ | \$ | 579 | \$ | 98,305 |
| 11 | CEI | October | 2013 | \$ | 98,305 | \$ | $(7,371)$ | \$ | (19) | \$ | $(7,352)$ | \$ | 540 | \$ | 91,493 |
| 12 | CEI | November | 2013 | \$ | 91,493 | \$ | $(6,692)$ | \$ | (17) | \$ | $(6,674)$ | \$ | 503 | \$ | 85,322 |
| 13 | CEI | December | 2013 | \$ | 85,322 | \$ | $(6,439)$ | \$ | (17) | \$ | $(6,423)$ | \$ | 469 | \$ | 79,368 |
| 14 | CEI | January | 2014 | \$ | 79,368 | \$ | $(6,611)$ | \$ | (17) | \$ | $(6,594)$ | \$ | 434 | \$ | 73,208 |
| 15 | CEI | February | 2014 | \$ | 73,208 | \$ | $(7,267)$ | \$ | (19) | \$ | $(7,248)$ | \$ | 397 | \$ | 66,357 |
| 16 | CEI | March | 2014 | \$ | 66,357 | \$ | $(7,454)$ | \$ | (19) | \$ | $(7,434)$ | \$ | 358 | \$ | 59,280 |
| 17 | CEI | April | 2014 | \$ | 59,280 | \$ | $(6,614)$ | \$ | (17) | \$ | $(6,597)$ | \$ | 320 | \$ | 53,003 |
| 18 | CEI | May | 2014 | \$ | 53,003 | \$ | $(6,895)$ | \$ | (18) | \$ | $(6,877)$ | \$ | 283 | \$ | 46,409 |
| 19 | CEI | June | 2014 | \$ | 46,409 | \$ | $(6,235)$ | \$ | (16) | \$ | $(6,219)$ | \$ | 247 | \$ | 40,437 |
| 20 | CEI | July | 2014 | \$ | 40,437 | \$ | $(6,368)$ | \$ | (17) | \$ | $(6,352)$ | \$ | 213 | \$ | 34,298 |
| 21 | CEI | August | 2014 | \$ | 34,298 | \$ | $(6,750)$ | \$ | (18) | \$ | $(6,732)$ | \$ | 177 | \$ | 27,742 |
| 22 | CEI | September | 2014 | \$ | 27,742 | \$ | $(7,471)$ | \$ | (19) | \$ | $(7,452)$ | \$ | 137 | \$ | 20,428 |
| 23 | CEI | October | 2014 | \$ | 20,428 | \$ | $(7,447)$ | \$ | (19) | \$ | $(7,428)$ | \$ | 95 | \$ | 13,095 |
| 24 | CEI | November | 2014 | \$ | 13,095 | \$ | $(6,750)$ | \$ | (18) | \$ | $(6,732)$ | \$ | 56 | \$ | 6,419 |
| 25 | CEI | December | 2014 | \$ | 6,419 | \$ | $(6,454)$ | \$ | (17) | \$ | $(6,437)$ | \$ | 18 | \$ | (0) |

NOTES:
Column (D): Estimated Rider LEX deferral balance as of December 2012 for January 2013; for February 2013 onward Column (D) = Prior Period Column (I)
Column (E): Calculation: - Column (E) Line $1 \times$ Forecasted kWh sales
Column (E) Line 1 = Estimated Rider LEX rate (in $\$ / \mathrm{kWh}$ ) to be effective January 1, 2013, calculated by solving for the rate that would need to be charged from January 2013 through December 2014 such that the Rider LEX deferred balance is zero at December 2014.
Column (F): Calculation: Column (E) x . 0026
Column (G): Calculation: Column (E) - Column (F)
Column (H): Calculation: (Column (D) + Column (G) $/ 2 \times \times 0.5708 \%$
Column (I): Calculation: Column (D) + Column (G) + Column (H)

Case No. 12-2977-EL-RDR
Ohio Edison Company
The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
The Toledo Edison Company
Line Extension Cost Recovery Rider (Rider LEX - Sheet No. 107)
Rider LEX Charge Update Effective 1/1/13 Through 3/31/13

| Line | Company <br> (A) | Month <br> (B) | Year (C) | Beginning Deferral Balance (D) |  | Revenue <br> (E) |  |  | $\underset{\text { (F) }}{\text { CAT }}$ | Revenue Excl CAT <br> (G) |  | Carrying Charges <br> (H) |  | Ending Deferral Balance (I) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 0.000008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | OE | January | 2013 | \$ | 349,356 | \$ | $(17,404)$ | \$ | (45) | \$ | $(17,359)$ | \$ | 1,945 | \$ | 333,942 |
| 3 | OE | February | 2013 | \$ | 333,942 | \$ | $(15,071)$ | \$ | (39) | \$ | $(15,031)$ | \$ | 1,863 | \$ | 320,774 |
| 4 | OE | March | 2013 | \$ | 320,774 | \$ | $(15,492)$ | \$ | (40) | \$ | $(15,451)$ | \$ | 1,787 | \$ | 307,110 |
| 5 | OE | April | 2013 | \$ | 307,110 | \$ | $(13,935)$ | \$ | (36) | \$ | $(13,898)$ | \$ | 1,713 | \$ | 294,925 |
| 6 | OE | May | 2013 | \$ | 294,925 | \$ | $(14,400)$ | \$ | (37) | \$ | $(14,363)$ | \$ | 1,643 | \$ | 282,204 |
| 7 | OE | June | 2013 | \$ | 282,204 | \$ | $(15,421)$ | \$ | (40) | \$ | $(15,381)$ | \$ | 1,567 | \$ | 268,390 |
| 8 | OE | July | 2013 | \$ | 268,390 | \$ | $(16,704)$ | \$ | (43) | \$ | $(16,660)$ | \$ | 1,485 | \$ | 253,214 |
| 9 | OE | August | 2013 | \$ | 253,214 | \$ | $(16,647)$ | \$ | (43) | \$ | $(16,603)$ | \$ | 1,398 | \$ | 238,009 |
| 10 | OE | September | 2013 | \$ | 238,009 | \$ | $(15,198)$ | \$ | (40) | \$ | $(15,159)$ | \$ | 1,315 | \$ | 224,166 |
| 11 | OE | October | 2013 | \$ | 224,166 | \$ | $(14,625)$ | \$ | (38) | \$ | $(14,587)$ | \$ | 1,238 | \$ | 210,817 |
| 12 | OE | November | 2013 | \$ | 210,817 | \$ | $(14,979)$ | \$ | (39) | \$ | $(14,941)$ | \$ | 1,161 | \$ | 197,037 |
| 13 | OE | December | 2013 | \$ | 197,037 | \$ | $(16,775)$ | \$ | (44) | \$ | $(16,731)$ | \$ | 1,077 | \$ | 181,383 |
| 14 | OE | January | 2014 | \$ | 181,383 | \$ | $(17,431)$ | \$ | (45) | \$ | $(17,386)$ | \$ | 986 | \$ | 164,982 |
| 15 | OE | February | 2014 | \$ | 164,982 | \$ | $(15,194)$ | \$ | (40) | \$ | $(15,155)$ | \$ | 899 | \$ | 150,726 |
| 16 | OE | March | 2014 | \$ | 150,726 | \$ | $(15,581)$ | \$ | (41) | \$ | $(15,540)$ | \$ | 816 | \$ | 136,002 |
| 17 | OE | April | 2014 | \$ | 136,002 | \$ | $(14,065)$ | \$ | (37) | \$ | $(14,028)$ | \$ | 736 | \$ | 122,710 |
| 18 | OE | May | 2014 | \$ | 122,710 | \$ | $(14,543)$ | \$ | (38) | \$ | $(14,506)$ | \$ | 659 | \$ | 108,863 |
| 19 | OE | June | 2014 | \$ | 108,863 | \$ | $(15,542)$ | \$ | (40) | \$ | $(15,502)$ | \$ | 577 | \$ | 93,939 |
| 20 | OE | July | 2014 | \$ | 93,939 | \$ | $(16,852)$ | \$ | (44) | \$ | $(16,808)$ | \$ | 488 | \$ | 77,619 |
| 21 | OE | August | 2014 | \$ | 77,619 | \$ | $(16,813)$ | \$ | (44) | \$ | $(16,769)$ | \$ | 395 | \$ | 61,245 |
| 22 | OE | September | 2014 | \$ | 61,245 | \$ | $(15,397)$ | \$ | (40) | \$ | $(15,357)$ | \$ | 306 | \$ | 46,194 |
| 23 | OE | October | 2014 | \$ | 46,194 | \$ | $(14,767)$ | \$ | (38) | \$ | $(14,728)$ | \$ | 222 | \$ | 31,687 |
| 24 | OE | November | 2014 | \$ | 31,687 | \$ | $(15,074)$ | \$ | (39) | \$ | $(15,035)$ | \$ | 138 | \$ | 16,790 |
| 25 | OE | December | 2014 | \$ | 16,790 | \$ | $(16,882)$ | \$ | (44) | \$ | $(16,838)$ | \$ | 48 | \$ | (0) |

NOTES:
Column (D): Estimated Rider LEX deferral balance as of December 2012 for January 2013; for February 2013 onward Column (D) = Prior Period Column (I)
Column (E): Calculation: - Column (E) Line $1 \times$ Forecasted kWh sales
Column (E) Line 1 = Estimated Rider LEX rate (in $\$ / \mathrm{kWh}$ ) to be effective January 1, 2013, calculated by solving for the rate that would need to be charged from January 2013 through December 2014 such that the Rider LEX deferred balance is zero at December 2014.
Column (F): Calculation: Column (E) x . 0026
Column (G): Calculation: Column (E) - Column (F)
Column (H): Calculation: (Column (D) + Column (G)/2) $\times 0.5708 \%$
Column (I): Calculation: Column (D) + Column (G) + Column (H)

Case No. 12-2977-EL-RDR
Ohio Edison Company
The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
The Toledo Edison Company
Line Extension Cost Recovery Rider (Rider LEX - Sheet No. 107)
Rider LEX Charge Update Effective 1/1/13 Through 3/31/13

| Line | Company <br> (A) | Month <br> (B) | Year (C) | Beginning Deferral Balance <br> (D) |  | Revenue <br> (E) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CAT } \\ \text { (F) } \end{gathered}$ | Revenue Excl CAT <br> (G) |  | Carrying Charges <br> (H) |  | Ending Deferral Balance (I) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 0.000009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | TE | January | 2013 | \$ | 168,064 | \$ | $(7,945)$ | \$ | (21) | \$ | $(7,925)$ | \$ | 937 | \$ | 161,076 |
| 3 | TE | February | 2013 | \$ | 161,076 | \$ | $(6,937)$ | \$ | (18) | \$ | $(6,919)$ | \$ | 900 | \$ | 155,057 |
| 4 | TE | March | 2013 | \$ | 155,057 | \$ | $(7,312)$ | \$ | (19) | \$ | $(7,293)$ | \$ | 864 | \$ | 148,628 |
| 5 | TE | April | 2013 | \$ | 148,628 | \$ | $(6,706)$ | \$ | (17) | \$ | $(6,688)$ | \$ | 829 | \$ | 142,769 |
| 6 | TE | May | 2013 | \$ | 142,769 | \$ | $(6,994)$ | \$ | (18) | \$ | $(6,976)$ | \$ | 795 | \$ | 136,588 |
| 7 | TE | June | 2013 | \$ | 136,588 | \$ | $(7,463)$ | \$ | (19) | \$ | $(7,443)$ | \$ | 758 | \$ | 129,903 |
| 8 | TE | July | 2013 | \$ | 129,903 | \$ | $(8,190)$ | \$ | (21) | \$ | $(8,169)$ | \$ | 718 | \$ | 122,452 |
| 9 | TE | August | 2013 | \$ | 122,452 | \$ | $(8,145)$ | \$ | (21) | \$ | $(8,124)$ | \$ | 676 | \$ | 115,004 |
| 10 | TE | September | 2013 | \$ | 115,004 | \$ | $(7,625)$ | \$ | (20) | \$ | $(7,605)$ | \$ | 635 | \$ | 108,034 |
| 11 | TE | October | 2013 | \$ | 108,034 | \$ | $(7,150)$ | \$ | (19) | \$ | $(7,131)$ | \$ | 596 | \$ | 101,499 |
| 12 | TE | November | 2013 | \$ | 101,499 | \$ | $(7,236)$ | \$ | (19) | \$ | $(7,217)$ | \$ | 559 | \$ | 94,841 |
| 13 | TE | December | 2013 | \$ | 94,841 | \$ | $(7,945)$ | \$ | (21) | \$ | $(7,924)$ | \$ | 519 | \$ | 87,436 |
| 14 | TE | January | 2014 | \$ | 87,436 | \$ | $(8,082)$ | \$ | (21) | \$ | $(8,061)$ | \$ | 476 | \$ | 79,851 |
| 15 | TE | February | 2014 | \$ | 79,851 | \$ | $(7,077)$ | \$ | (18) | \$ | $(7,058)$ | \$ | 436 | \$ | 73,228 |
| 16 | TE | March | 2014 | \$ | 73,228 | \$ | $(7,441)$ | \$ | (19) | \$ | $(7,422)$ | - | 397 | \$ | 66,203 |
| 17 | TE | April | 2014 | \$ | 66,203 | \$ | $(6,826)$ | \$ | (18) | \$ | $(6,809)$ | \$ | 358 | \$ | 59,753 |
| 18 | TE | May | 2014 | \$ | 59,753 | \$ | $(7,108)$ | \$ | (18) | \$ | $(7,089)$ | \$ | 321 | \$ | 52,985 |
| 19 | TE | June | 2014 | \$ | 52,985 | \$ | $(7,564)$ | \$ | (20) | \$ | $(7,544)$ | \$ | 281 | \$ | 45,721 |
| 20 | TE | July | 2014 | \$ | 45,721 | \$ | $(8,285)$ | \$ | (22) | \$ | $(8,263)$ | \$ | 237 | \$ | 37,695 |
| 21 | TE | August | 2014 | \$ | 37,695 | \$ | $(8,231)$ | \$ | (21) | \$ | $(8,210)$ | \$ | 192 | \$ | 29,677 |
| 22 | TE | September | 2014 | \$ | 29,677 | \$ | $(7,701)$ | \$ | (20) | \$ | $(7,681)$ | \$ | 147 | \$ | 22,143 |
| 23 | TE | October | 2014 | \$ | 22,143 | \$ | $(7,190)$ | \$ | (19) | \$ | $(7,171)$ | \$ | 106 | \$ | 15,078 |
| 24 | TE | November | 2014 | \$ | 15,078 | \$ | $(7,252)$ | \$ | (19) | \$ | $(7,233)$ | \$ | 65 | \$ | 7,911 |
| 25 | TE | December | 2014 | \$ | 7,911 | \$ | $(7,954)$ | \$ | (21) | \$ | $(7,933)$ | \$ | 23 | \$ | (0) |

NOTES:
Column (D): Estimated Rider LEX deferral balance as of December 2012 for January 2013; for February 2013 onward Column (D) = Prior Period Column (I)
Column (E): Calculation: - Column (E) Line $1 \times$ Forecasted kWh sales
Column (E) Line 1 = Estimated Rider LEX rate (in $\$ / \mathrm{kWh}$ ) to be effective January 1, 2013, calculated by solving for the rate that would need to be charged from January 2013 through December 2014 such that the Rider LEX deferred balance is zero at December 2014.
Column (F): Calculation: Column (E) x . 0026
Column (G): Calculation: Column (E) - Column (F)
Column (H): Calculation: (Column (D) + Column (G)/2) $\times 0.5708 \%$
Column (I): Calculation: Column (D) + Column (G) + Column (H)

| Line | Company <br> (A) | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Year } & \text { Month } \\ \text { (B) } & \text { (C) }\end{array}$ |  | nning Deferral Balance <br> (D) |  | Revenue (E) |  | CAT <br> (F) | Revenue Excl CAT <br> (G) |  | Carrying Charges (H) |  | Ending Deferral Balance (I) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.002800 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5708\% |  |  |
| 2 | CEI | 2011 July | \$ | 37,694,498.12 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | 215,172.76 | \$ | 37,909,670.88 |
| 3 | CEI | 2011 August | \$ | 37,909,670.88 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | 216,401.04 | \$ | 38,126,071.92 |
| 4 | CEI | 2011 September | \$ | 38,126,071.92 | \$ | (1,156,046.18) | \$ | $(3,005.72)$ | \$ | (1,153,040.46) | \$ | 214,345.36 | \$ | 37,187,376.82 |
| 5 | CEI | 2011 October | \$ | 37,187,376.82 | \$ | $(907,019.24)$ | \$ | $(2,358.25)$ | \$ | (904,660.99) | \$ | 209,695.89 | \$ | 36,492,411.72 |
| 6 | CEI | 2011 November | \$ | 36,492,411.72 | \$ | (1,055,073.89) | \$ | $(2,743.19)$ | \$ | (1,052,330.70) | \$ | 205,307.32 | \$ | 35,645,388.34 |
| 7 | CEI | 2011 December | \$ | 35,645,388.34 | \$ | (1,340,659.12) | \$ | $(3,485.71)$ | \$ | (1,337,173.40) | \$ | 199,659.24 | \$ | 34,507,874.18 |
| 8 | CEI | 2012 January | \$ | 34,507,874.18 | \$ | (1,564,862.26) | \$ | $(4,068.64)$ | \$ | (1,560,793.62) | \$ | 192,527.68 | \$ | 33,139,608.24 |
| 9 | CEI | 2012 February | \$ | 33,139,608.24 | \$ | (1,257,128.73) | \$ | $(3,268.53)$ | \$ | (1,253,860.20) | \$ | 185,593.20 | \$ | 32,071,341.25 |
| 10 | CEI | 2012 March | \$ | 32,071,341.25 | \$ | $(1,168,219.37)$ | \$ | $(3,037.37)$ | \$ | $(1,165,182.00)$ | \$ | 179,748.28 | \$ | 31,085,907.53 |
| 11 | CEI | 2012 April | \$ | 31,085,907.53 | \$ | $(969,667.34)$ | \$ | $(2,521.14)$ | \$ | $(967,146.21)$ | \$ | 174,688.33 | \$ | 30,293,449.65 |
| 12 | CEI | 2012 May | \$ | 30,293,449.65 | \$ | (1,108,311.95) | \$ | $(2,881.61)$ | \$ | $(1,105,430.34)$ | \$ | 169,770.03 | \$ | 29,357,789.34 |
| 13 | CEI | 2012 June | \$ | 29,357,789.34 | \$ | (1,395,066.64) | \$ | $(3,627.17)$ | \$ | (1,391,439.46) | \$ | 163,612.65 | \$ | 28,129,962.52 |
| 14 | CEI | 2012 July | \$ | 28,129,962.52 | \$ | (1,669,668.03) | \$ | $(4,341.14)$ | \$ | (1,665,326.89) | \$ | 155,822.08 | \$ | 26,620,457.71 |
| 15 | CEI | 2012 August | \$ | 26,620,457.71 | \$ | (1,565,637.46) | \$ | $(4,070.66)$ | \$ | (1,561,566.81) | \$ | 147,501.47 | \$ | 25,206,392.38 |
| 16 | CEI | 2012 September | \$ | 25,206,392.38 | \$ | $(993,344.87)$ | \$ | $(2,582.70)$ | \$ | $(990,762.18)$ | \$ | 141,058.69 | \$ | 24,356,688.89 |
| 17 | CEI | 2012 October | \$ | 24,356,688.89 | \$ | (1,008,548.34) | \$ | $(2,622.23)$ | \$ | (1,005,926.11) | \$ | 136,165.02 | \$ | 23,486,927.80 |
| 18 | CEI | 2012 November | \$ | 23,486,927.80 | \$ | (1,090,324.53) | \$ | $(2,834.84)$ | \$ | $(1,087,489.69)$ | \$ | 130,967.34 | \$ | 22,530,405.45 |
| 19 | CEI | 2012 December | \$ | 22,530,405.45 | \$ | (1,410,555.15) | \$ | $(3,667.44)$ | \$ | (1,406,887.71) | \$ | 124,595.57 | \$ | 21,248,113.31 |
| 20 | CEI | 2013 January | \$ | 21,248,113.31 | \$ | $(1,521,179.77)$ | \$ | $(3,955.07)$ | \$ | (1,517,224.70) | \$ | 116,960.90 | \$ | 19,847,849.51 |
| 21 | CEI | 2013 February | \$ | 19,847,849.51 | \$ | $(1,293,503.40)$ | \$ | $(3,363.11)$ | \$ | $(1,290,140.29)$ | \$ | 109,615.87 | \$ | 18,667,325.08 |
| 22 | CEI | 2013 March | \$ | 18,667,325.08 | \$ | (1,257,849.65) | \$ | $(3,270.41)$ | \$ | $(1,254,579.24)$ | \$ | 102,978.54 | \$ | 17,515,724.38 |
| 23 | CEI | 2013 April | \$ | 17,515,724.38 | \$ | $(977,015.14)$ | \$ | $(2,540.24)$ | \$ | $(974,474.90)$ | \$ | 97,204.28 | \$ | 16,638,453.75 |
| 24 | CEI | 2013 May | \$ | 16,638,453.75 | \$ | (975,717.32) | \$ | $(2,536.87)$ | \$ | $(973,180.45)$ | \$ | 92,200.22 | \$ | 15,757,473.53 |
| 25 | CEI | 2013 June | \$ | 15,757,473.53 | \$ | (1,176,325.15) | \$ | $(3,058.45)$ | \$ | (1,173,266.71) | \$ | 86,600.21 | \$ | 14,670,807.03 |
| 26 | CEI | 2013 July | \$ | 14,670,807.03 | \$ | $(1,434,088.52)$ | \$ | $(3,728.63)$ | \$ | $(1,430,359.89)$ | \$ | 79,663.37 | \$ | 13,320,110.51 |
| 27 | CEI | 2013 August | \$ | 13,320,110.51 | \$ | $(1,426,530.03)$ | \$ | $(3,708.98)$ | \$ | $(1,422,821.05)$ | \$ | 71,974.66 | \$ | 11,969,264.12 |
| 28 | CEI | 2013 September | \$ | 11,969,264.12 | \$ | $(1,167,181.96)$ | \$ | $(3,034.67)$ | \$ | $(1,164,147.29)$ | \$ | 65,001.88 | \$ | 10,870,118.71 |
| 29 | CEI | 2013 October | \$ | 10,870,118.71 | \$ | (1,040,272.58) | \$ | $(2,704.71)$ | \$ | $(1,037,567.87)$ | \$ | 59,088.87 | \$ | 9,891,639.71 |
| 30 | CEI | 2013 November | \$ | 9,891,639.71 | \$ | $(1,203,106.48)$ | \$ | $(3,128.08)$ | \$ | $(1,199,978.40)$ | \$ | 53,039.84 | \$ | 8,744,701.14 |
| 31 | CEI | 2013 December | \$ | 8,744,701.14 | \$ | $(1,515,549.89)$ | \$ | $(3,940.43)$ | \$ | (1,511,609.46) | \$ | 45,603.28 | \$ | 7,278,694.97 |
| 32 | CEI | 2014 January | \$ | 7,278,694.97 | \$ | $(1,553,762.32)$ | \$ | $(4,039.78)$ | \$ | $(1,549,722.54)$ | \$ | 37,126.05 | \$ | 5,766,098.48 |
| 33 | CEI | 2014 February | \$ | 5,766,098.48 | \$ | (1,340,541.35) | \$ | $(3,485.41)$ | \$ | $(1,337,055.94)$ | \$ | 29,098.63 | \$ | 4,458,141.17 |
| 34 | CEI | 2014 March | \$ | 4,458,141.17 | \$ | (1,292,782.65) | \$ | $(3,361.23)$ | \$ | $(1,289,421.42)$ | \$ | 21,768.33 | \$ | 3,190,488.08 |
| 35 | CEI | 2014 April | \$ | 3,190,488.08 | \$ | (1,009,233.29) | \$ | $(2,624.01)$ | \$ | (1,006,609.29) | \$ | 15,339.34 | \$ | 2,199,218.13 |
| 36 | CEI | 2014 May | \$ | 2,199,218.13 | \$ | $(1,008,221.32)$ | \$ | $(2,621.38)$ | \$ | $(1,005,599.94)$ | \$ | 9,683.72 | \$ | 1,203,301.91 |
| 37 | CEI | 2014 June | \$ | 1,203,301.91 | \$ | (1,209,872.23) | \$ | $(3,145.67)$ | \$ | $(1,206,726.56)$ | \$ | 3,424.65 | \$ | (0.00) |

## NOTES:

Column (D) Line 2: Cumulative deferral balance as of June 30, 2011.
Column (E): Page 5 of 7, Column (I) through December 2012; for January 2013 onward Column (E) $=-$ Column (E) Line $1 \times$ forecasted $k W h$ sales.
Column (E) Line 1: Proposed Rider RER1 rate ( $\$ / \mathrm{kWh}$ ) to become effective January 1, 2013, calculated by solving for the rate that would need to be charged from January 2013 through June 2014 such that the cumulative deferral balance is 0 as of June 30, 2014.
Column (F): Calculation: Column (E) $\times 0.0026$
Column (G): Calculation: Column (E) - Column (F)
Column (H): Calculation: (Column (D) + Column (G)/2) $\times 0.5708 \%$
Column (I): Calculation: Column (D) + Column (G) + Column (H)

| Line | Company <br> (A) | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Year } & \text { Month } \\ \text { (B) } & \text { (C) }\end{array}$ |  | inning Deferral Balance <br> (D) |  | Revenue <br> (E) |  | $\underset{\text { (F) }}{\text { CAT }}$ | Revenue Excl CAT <br> (G) |  | Carrying Charges (H) |  | Ending Deferral Balance (I) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.003178 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5708\% |  |  |
| 2 | OE | 2011 July | \$ | 69,705,619.46 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 397,902.91 | \$ | 70,103,522.37 |
| 3 | OE | 2011 August | \$ | 70,103,522.37 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | 400,174.27 | \$ | 70,503,696.64 |
| 4 | OE | 2011 September | \$ | 70,503,696.64 | \$ | (2,074,761.54) | \$ | $(5,394.38)$ | \$ | (2,069,367.16) | \$ | 396,552.28 | \$ | 68,830,881.76 |
| 5 | OE | 2011 October | \$ | 68,830,881.76 | \$ | (1,611,733.83) | \$ | $(4,190.51)$ | \$ | (1,607,543.32) | \$ | 388,321.42 | \$ | 67,611,659.87 |
| 6 | OE | 2011 November | \$ | 67,611,659.87 | \$ | (1,860,289.97) | \$ | $(4,836.75)$ | \$ | (1,855,453.21) | \$ | 380,654.12 | \$ | 66,136,860.77 |
| 7 | OE | 2011 December | \$ | 66,136,860.77 | \$ | (2,553,301.75) | \$ | (6,638.58) | \$ | (2,546,663.16) | \$ | 370,262.65 | \$ | 63,960,460.26 |
| 8 | OE | 2012 January | \$ | 63,960,460.26 | \$ | $(2,706,425.64)$ | \$ | (7,036.71) | \$ | (2,699,388.93) | \$ | 357,403.12 |  | 61,618,474.45 |
| 9 | OE | 2012 February | \$ | 61,618,474.45 | \$ | (2,723,949.56) | \$ | $(7,082.27)$ | \$ | (2,716,867.29) | \$ | 343,984.40 | \$ | 59,245,591.56 |
| 10 | OE | 2012 March | \$ | 59,245,591.56 | \$ | $(2,102,370.44)$ | \$ | $(5,466.16)$ | \$ | (2,096,904.28) | \$ | 332,208.67 | \$ | 57,480,895.95 |
| 11 | OE | 2012 April | \$ | 57,480,895.95 | \$ | (1,820,880.09) | \$ | $(4,734.29)$ | \$ | (1,816,145.80) | \$ | 322,936.53 | \$ | 55,987,686.69 |
| 12 | OE | 2012 May | \$ | 55,987,686.69 | \$ | $(2,122,575.27)$ | \$ | $(5,518.70)$ | \$ | (2,117,056.57) | \$ | 313,553.95 |  | 54,184,184.06 |
| 13 | OE | 2012 June | \$ | 54,184,184.06 | \$ | $(2,553,351.12)$ | \$ | (6,638.71) | \$ | (2,546,712.41) | \$ | 302,032.64 | \$ | 51,939,504.29 |
| 14 | OE | 2012 July | \$ | 51,939,504.29 | \$ | (2,859,435.61) | \$ | $(7,434.53)$ | \$ | (2,852,001.08) | \$ | 288,347.92 | \$ | 49,375,851.13 |
| 15 | OE | 2012 August | \$ | 49,375,851.13 | \$ | (2,619,327.60) | \$ | $(6,810.25)$ | \$ | (2,612,517.34) | \$ | 274,397.26 | \$ | 47,037,731.05 |
| 16 | OE | 2012 September | \$ | 47,037,731.05 | \$ | (1,836,914.96) | \$ | $(4,775.98)$ | \$ | $(1,832,138.98)$ | \$ | 263,277.82 | \$ | 45,468,869.88 |
| 17 | OE | 2012 October | \$ | 45,468,869.88 | \$ | $(1,749,248.88)$ | \$ | $(4,548.05)$ | \$ | (1,744,700.83) | \$ | 254,571.80 | \$ | 43,978,740.85 |
| 18 | OE | 2012 November | \$ | 43,978,740.85 | \$ | (1,958,868.11) | \$ | $(5,093.06)$ | \$ | (1,953,775.05) | \$ | 245,468.91 | \$ | 42,270,434.71 |
| 19 | OE | 2012 December | \$ | 42,270,434.71 | \$ | $(2,643,756.04)$ | \$ | $(6,873.77)$ | \$ | (2,636,882.27) | \$ | 233,767.63 | + | 39,867,320.07 |
| 20 | OE | 2013 January | \$ | 39,867,320.07 | \$ | (3,003,999.93) | \$ | $(7,810.40)$ | \$ | (2,996,189.53) | \$ | 219,024.33 | \$ | 37,090,154.87 |
| 21 | OE | 2013 February | \$ | 37,090,154.87 | \$ | $(2,468,775.87)$ | \$ | $(6,418.82)$ | \$ | (2,462,357.06) | \$ | 204,694.99 | \$ | 34,832,492.80 |
| 22 | OE | 2013 March | \$ | 34,832,492.80 | \$ | (2,336,641.95) | \$ | $(6,075.27)$ | \$ | $(2,330,566.68)$ | \$ | 192,183.65 | \$ | 32,694,109.77 |
| 23 | OE | 2013 April | \$ | 32,694,109.77 | \$ | (1,831,608.95) | \$ | $(4,762.18)$ | \$ | (1,826,846.77) | \$ | 181,414.75 | \$ | 31,048,677.75 |
| 24 | OE | 2013 May | \$ | 31,048,677.75 | \$ | $(1,897,524.40)$ | \$ | $(4,933.56)$ | \$ | (1,892,590.83) | \$ | 171,834.43 | \$ | 29,327,921.35 |
| 25 | OE | 2013 June | \$ | 29,327,921.35 | \$ | (2,271,613.91) | \$ | (5,906.20) | \$ | (2,265,707.71) | \$ | 160,946.84 | \$ | 27,223,160.48 |
| 26 | OE | 2013 July | \$ | 27,223,160.48 | \$ | (2,613,753.07) | \$ | $(6,795.76)$ | \$ | (2,606,957.31) | \$ | 147,958.18 | \$ | 24,764,161.36 |
| 27 | OE | 2013 August | \$ | 24,764,161.36 | \$ | (2,605,789.61) | \$ | (6,775.05) | \$ | (2,599,014.55) | \$ | 133,944.07 | \$ | 22,299,090.87 |
| 28 | OE | 2013 September | \$ | 22,299,090.87 | \$ | (2,167,444.78) | \$ | $(5,635.36)$ | \$ | (2,161,809.43) | \$ | 121,120.48 | \$ | 20,258,401.92 |
| 29 | OE | 2013 October | \$ | 20,258,401.92 | \$ | (1,970,497.41) | \$ | $(5,123.29)$ | \$ | (1,965,374.11) | \$ | 110,032.21 | \$ | 18,403,060.02 |
| 30 | OE | 2013 November | \$ | 18,403,060.02 | \$ | (2,212,592.70) | \$ | $(5,752.74)$ | \$ | (2,206,839.95) | \$ | 98,752.11 | \$ | 16,294,972.17 |
| 31 | OE | 2013 December | \$ | 16,294,972.17 | \$ | (2,907,231.98) | \$ | $(7,558.80)$ | \$ | (2,899,673.18) | \$ | 84,740.98 | \$ | 13,480,039.98 |
| 32 | OE | 2014 January | \$ | 13,480,039.98 | \$ | (2,971,948.99) | \$ | $(7,727.07)$ | \$ | (2,964,221.92) | \$ | 68,488.18 | \$ | 10,584,306.24 |
| 33 | OE | 2014 February | \$ | 10,584,306.24 | \$ | $(2,476,159.52)$ | \$ | $(6,438.01)$ | \$ | (2,469,721.51) | \$ | 53,369.75 | \$ | 8,167,954.48 |
| 34 | OE | 2014 March | \$ | 8,167,954.48 | \$ | (2,321,230.01) | \$ | $(6,035.20)$ | \$ | (2,315,194.82) | \$ | 40,017.45 | \$ | 5,892,777.12 |
| 35 | OE | 2014 April | \$ | 5,892,777.12 | \$ | (1,828,095.20) | \$ | $(4,753.05)$ | \$ | (1,823,342.15) | \$ | 28,433.81 | \$ | 4,097,868.79 |
| 36 | OE | 2014 May | \$ | 4,097,868.79 | \$ | (1,888,672.93) | \$ | (4,910.55) | \$ | (1,883,762.38) | \$ | 18,015.43 | \$ | 2,232,121.83 |
| 37 | OE | 2014 June | \$ | 2,232,121.83 | \$ | $(2,244,309.75)$ | \$ | $(5,835.21)$ | \$ | (2,238,474.54) | \$ | 6,352.72 | \$ | 0.00 |

## NOTES:

Column (D) Line 2: Cumulative deferral balance as of June 30, 2011.
Column (E): Page 5 of 7, Column (I) through December 2012; for January 2013 onward Column (E) $=-$ Column (E) Line $1 \times$ forecasted kWh sales.
Column (E) Line 1: Proposed Rider RER1 rate ( $\$ / \mathrm{kWh}$ ) to become effective January 1, 2013, calculated by solving for the rate that would need to be charged from January 2013 through June 2014 such that the cumulative deferral balance is 0 as of June 30, 2014.
Column (F): Calculation: Column (E)×0.0026
Column (G): Calculation: Column (E) - Column (F)
Column (H): Calculation: (Column (D) + Column (G)/2) $\times 0.5708 \%$
Column (I): Calculation: Column (D) + Column (G) + Column (H)


## NOTES:

Column (D) Line 2: Cumulative deferral balance as of June 30, 2011.
Column (E): Page 5 of 7, Column (I) through December 2012
Column (E) Line 1: Rider RER1 will be fully recovered by January 1, 2013, therefore the rate will be 0.
Column (F): Calculation: Column (E) $\times 0.0026$
Column (G): Calculation: Column (E) - Column (F)
Column (H): Calculation: (Column (D) + Column (G)/2) $\times 0.5708 \%$
Column (I): Calculation: Column (D) + Column (G) + Column (H)

| Line | Company <br> (A) | Year <br> (B) | Month <br> (C) |  | Beginning ferral Balance (D) | RGC Credits <br> (E) |  | Revenue <br> (F) |  | CAT <br> (G) | Net Revenue Excl CAT <br> (H) | Carrying Charges <br> (I) |  | Ending Deferral Balance <br> (J) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.003159 |  |  |  |  | 0.5708\% |  |  |
| 2 | CEI | 2012 | October |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | $(3,596,035.26)$ |
| 3 | CEI | 2012 | November | \$ | (3,596,035.26) | \$1,608,691.33 | \$ | (1,236,174.76) | \$ | 968.54 | \$371,548.02 | \$ | $(19,466.91)$ | \$ | $(3,243,954.14)$ |
| 4 | CEI | 2012 | December | \$ | $(3,243,954.14)$ | \$2,619,732.46 | \$ | (1,599,241.91) | \$ | 2,653.28 | \$1,017,837.27 | \$ | $(15,612.49)$ | \$ | (2,241,729.37) |
| 5 | CEI | 2013 | January | \$ | $(2,241,729.37)$ | \$3,144,473.81 | \$ | (1,715,850.02) | \$ | 3,714.42 | \$1,424,909.36 | \$ | $(8,729.61)$ | \$ | $(825,549.62)$ |
| 6 | CEI | 2013 | February | \$ | $(825,549.62)$ | \$3,456,836.72 | \$ | (1,459,037.18) | \$ | 5,194.28 | \$1,992,605.26 | \$ | 974.72 | \$ | 1,168,030.36 |
| 7 | CEI | 2013 | March | \$ | 1,168,030.36 | \$3,319,620.80 | \$ | (1,418,820.71) | \$ | 4,942.08 | \$1,895,858.01 | \$ | 12,078.60 | \$ | 3,075,966.97 |
| 8 | CEI | 2013 |  | \$ | 3,075,966.97 | \$281,331.23 | \$ | (1,102,046.90) | \$ | $(2,133.86)$ | (\$818,581.81) | \$ | 15,222.28 | \$ | 2,272,607.44 |
| 9 | CEI | 2013 | May | \$ | 2,272,607.44 | \$134,898.63 | \$ | (1,100,582.99) | \$ | $(2,510.78)$ | (\$963,173.58) | \$ | 10,223.74 | \$ | 1,319,657.61 |
| 10 | CEI | 2013 | June | \$ | 1,319,657.61 | \$0.00 | \$ | (1,326,863.25) | \$ | $(3,449.84)$ | (\$1,323,413.41) | \$ | 3,755.80 | \$ | 0.00 |


| Line | Company <br> (A) | Year <br> (B) | Month <br> (C) | Beginning <br> Deferral Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 |  |  |  | (D) |
| 12 | OE | 2012 October |  |  |
| 13 | OE | 2012 November | $\$$ | $(4,081,608.93)$ |
| 14 | OE | 2012 December | $\$$ | $(3,985,424.80)$ |
| 15 | OE | 2013 January | $\$$ | $(1,519,322.46)$ |
| 16 | OE | 2013 February | $\$$ | $956,754.03$ |
| 17 | OE | 2013 March | $\$$ | $4,881,786.92$ |
| 18 | OE | 2013 April | $\$$ | $8,886,019.42$ |
| 19 | OE | 2013 May | $\$$ | $7,005,258.47$ |
| 20 | OE | 2013 June | $\$$ | $4,355,138.57$ |


| RGC Credits <br> (E) |  | Revenue <br> (F) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \$0.006127 |
| \$3,036,622.13 | \$ | $(2,917,168.29)$ |
| \$6,425,349.56 | \$ | $(3,937,111.04)$ |
| \$8,274,852.52 | \$ | (5,790,716.17) |
| \$8,677,586.11 | \$ | $(4,758,981.59)$ |
| \$8,479,655.91 | \$ | (4,504,271.19) |
| \$1,599,726.13 | \$ | (3,530,734.96) |
| \$968,353.45 | \$ | $(3,657,798.09)$ |
| \$0.00 | \$ | $(4,378,918.68)$ |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{c}\begin{array}{c}\text { Net Revenue } \\
\text { Excl CAT } \\
\text { (H) }\end{array}\end{array}
$$ $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { Carrying } \\
\text { Charges } \\
\text { (I) }\end{array}
$$ \quad \begin{array}{c}Ending Deferral <br>

Balance\end{array}\right]\)| (J) |
| :---: |


| Line | Company <br> (A) | Year <br> (B) | Month <br> (C) |  | Beginning erral Balance <br> (D) | RGC Credits <br> (E) |  | Revenue <br> (F) |  | CAT <br> (G) | Net Revenue Excl CAT <br> (H) | Carrying Charges <br> (I) |  | Ending Deferral Balance ${ }^{1}$ <br> (J) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.001596 |  |  |  |  | 0.5708\% |  |  |
| 22 | TE | 2012 | October |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | (1,341,408.63) |
| 23 | TE | 2012 | November | \$ | $(1,341,408.63)$ | \$277,320.56 | \$ | (297,273.96) | \$ | (51.88) | (\$19,901.52) | \$ | $(7,714.01)$ | \$ | (1,369,024.15) |
| 24 | TE | 2012 | December | \$ | (1,369,024.15) | \$632,326.81 | \$ | $(412,596.91)$ | \$ | 571.30 | \$219,158.60 | \$ | $(7,189.33)$ | \$ | (1,195,881.14) |
| 25 | TE | 2013 | January | \$ | $(1,195,881.14)$ | \$767,513.83 | \$ | $(391,348.04)$ | \$ | 978.03 | \$375,187.76 | \$ | (5,755.64) | \$ | $(826,449.02)$ |
| 26 | TE | 2013 | February | \$ | $(826,449.02)$ | \$930,192.09 | \$ | (318,812.12) | \$ | 1,589.59 | \$609,790.39 | \$ | (2,977.20) | \$ | $(219,635.84)$ |
| 27 | TE | 2013 | March | \$ | $(219,635.84)$ | \$889,170.39 | \$ | $(309,614.30)$ | \$ | 1,506.85 | \$578,049.24 | \$ | 396.09 | \$ | 358,809.50 |
| 28 | TE | 2013 | April | \$ | 358,809.50 | \$311,530.87 | \$ | $(247,242.74)$ | \$ | 167.15 | \$64,120.98 | \$ | 2,231.22 | \$ | 425,161.69 |
| 29 | TE | 2013 | May | \$ | 425,161.69 | \$142,618.63 | \$ | $(258,498.11)$ | \$ | (301.29) | (\$115,578.19) | \$ | 2,097.09 | \$ | 311,680.59 |
| 30 | TE | 2013 | June | \$ | 311,680.59 | \$0.00 | \$ | $(313,382.44)$ | \$ | (814.79) | (\$312,567.65) | \$ | 887.06 | \$ | 0.00 |

NOTES:
1-For TE, the estimated RER1 deferral balance as of December 31, 2012 was combined with the RER2 deferral balance for December 31, 2012 since RER1 balances will be fully recovered at that point and the RER1 retail rate will become 0.
Column (E): Column (D) on page 7 of 7.
Column (F): Page 5 of 7, Column (J) through December 2012; for January 2013 onward Column ( $F$ ) = - Column (F) Line 1,11,21 respectivelyxforecasted kWh sales. Column (F) Line 1,11,21: Proposed Rider RER2 rate ( $\$ / \mathrm{kWh}$ ) to become effective January 1,2013 , calculated by solving for the rate that would need to be charged from January 2013 through June 2013 such that the cumulative RER2 deferral balance is 0 as of June 30, 2013.
Column (G): Calculation: (Column (E) + Column (F)) $\times 0.0026$
Column (H): Calculation: (Column (E) + Column (F)) - Column (G)
Column (I): Calculation: (Column (D) + Column (H)/2) $\times 0.5708 \%$
Column (J): Calculation: Column (D) + Column (H) + Column (I)
Column (J) Lines 2,12, and 22: Calculation: Oct 2012 Total RER cumulative deferral balance - RER1 deferral balance Column (I) line 17 (pages 1-3 respectively)

| $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{k W h}^{2} \\ \text { (F) } \end{gathered}$ | RER1 Rate (G) | RER2 Rate <br> ( H ) |  | RER1 Revenue (I) |  | ER2 Revenue <br> (J) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/A | 0.000000 | 0.000000 |  | N/A |  | N/A |
| N/A | 0.000000 | 0.000000 |  | N/A |  | N/A |
| 442,590,420 | 0.002612 | 0.003075 | \$ | 1,156,046.18 | \$ | 1,360,965.54 |
| 347,250,858 | 0.002612 | 0.003075 | \$ | 907,019.24 |  | 1,067,796.39 |
| 403,933,343 | 0.002612 | 0.003075 | \$ | 1,055,073.89 | \$ | 1,242,095.03 |
| 513,269,188 | 0.002612 | 0.003075 | \$ | 1,340,659.12 | \$ | 1,578,302.75 |
| 561,486,280 | 0.002787 | 0.003933 | \$ | 1,564,862.26 | \$ | 2,208,325.54 |
| 451,068,796 | 0.002787 | 0.003933 | \$ | 1,257,128.73 | \$ | 1,774,053.58 |
| 419,167,336 | 0.002787 | 0.003933 | \$ | 1,168,219.37 | \$ | 1,648,585.13 |
| 347,925,132 | 0.002787 | 0.003933 | \$ | 969,667.34 | \$ | 1,368,389.55 |
| 397,672,030 | 0.002787 | 0.003933 | \$ | 1,108,311.95 | \$ | 1,564,044.09 |
| 500,562,124 | 0.002787 | 0.003933 | \$ | 1,395,066.64 | \$ | 1,968,710.83 |
| 639,964,749 | 0.002609 | 0.002958 | \$ | 1,669,668.03 | \$ | 1,893,015.73 |
| 600,091,017 | 0.002609 | 0.002958 | \$ | 1,565,637.46 | \$ | 1,775,069.23 |
| 380,737,782 | 0.002609 | 0.002958 | \$ | 993,344.87 | \$ | 1,126,222.36 |
| 386,565,098 | 0.002609 | 0.002958 | \$ | 1,008,548.34 | \$ | 1,143,459.56 |
|  | 0.002609 | 0.002958 | \$ | 1,090,324.53 |  | 1,236,174.76 |
|  | 0.002609 | 0.002958 | \$ | 1,410,555.15 | \$ | 1,599,241.91 |


| Line | Company <br> (A) | Year Month <br> (B) <br> (C) |  | RER Total Revenue ${ }^{1}$ <br> (D) | RER Total Rate <br> (E) | $\mathbf{k W h}^{2}$ <br> (F) | RER1 Rate <br> (G) | RER2 Rate <br> (H) |  | RER1 Revenue <br> (I) |  | RER2 Revenue <br> (J) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | OE | 2011 July | \$ |  | 0.000000 | N/A | 0.000000 | 0.000000 |  | N/A |  | N/A |
| 20 | OE | 2011 August | \$ | - | 0.000000 | N/A | 0.000000 | 0.000000 |  | N/A |  | N/A |
| 21 | OE | 2011 September | \$ | 5,392,176.73 | 0.007342 | 734,428,865 | 0.002825 | 0.004517 | \$ | 2,074,761.54 | \$ | 3,317,415.19 |
| 22 | OE | 2011 October | \$ | 4,188,796.37 | 0.007342 | 570,525,248 | 0.002825 | 0.004517 | \$ | 1,611,733.83 | \$ | 2,577,062.54 |
| 23 | OE | 2011 November | \$ | 4,834,778.38 | 0.007342 | 658,509,722 | 0.002825 | 0.004517 | \$ | 1,860,289.97 | \$ | 2,974,488.41 |
| 24 | OE | 2011 December | \$ | 6,635,873.07 | 0.007342 | 903,823,627 | 0.002825 | 0.004517 | \$ | 2,553,301.75 | \$ | 4,082,571.32 |
| 25 | OE | 2012 January | \$ | 7,681,242.70 | 0.008838 | 869,115,490 | 0.003114 | 0.005724 | \$ | 2,706,425.64 | \$ | 4,974,817.06 |
| 26 | OE | 2012 February | \$ | 7,730,978.22 | 0.008838 | 874,742,953 | 0.003114 | 0.005724 | \$ | 2,723,949.56 | \$ | 5,007,028.66 |
| 27 | OE | 2012 March | \$ | 5,966,843.28 | 0.008838 | 675,135,017 | 0.003114 | 0.005724 | \$ | 2,102,370.44 | \$ | 3,864,472.84 |
| 28 | OE | 2012 April | \$ | 5,167,931.34 | 0.008838 | 584,739,912 | 0.003114 | 0.005724 | \$ | 1,820,880.09 | + | 3,347,051.25 |
| 29 | OE | 2012 May | \$ | 6,024,187.61 | 0.008838 | 681,623,400 | 0.003114 | 0.005724 | \$ | 2,122,575.27 | \$ | 3,901,612.34 |
| 30 | OE | 2012 June | \$ | 7,246,794.23 | 0.008838 | 819,958,614 | 0.003114 | 0.005724 | \$ | 2,553,351.12 | \$ | 4,693,443.11 |
| 31 | OE | 2012 July | \$ | 7,117,739.08 | 0.007037 | 1,011,473,509 | 0.002827 | 0.004210 | \$ | 2,859,435.61 | \$ | 4,258,303.47 |
| 32 | OE | 2012 August | \$ | 6,520,059.53 | 0.007037 | 926,539,652 | 0.002827 | 0.004210 | \$ | 2,619,327.60 | \$ | 3,900,731.93 |
| 33 | OE | 2012 September | \$ | 4,572,469.25 | 0.007037 | 649,775,366 | 0.002827 | 0.004210 | \$ | 1,836,914.96 |  | 2,735,554.29 |
| 34 | OE | 2012 October | \$ | 4,354,249.86 | 0.007037 | 618,765,079 | 0.002827 | 0.004210 | \$ | 1,749,248.88 | \$ | 2,605,000.98 |
| 35 | OE | 2012 November | \$ | 4,876,036.40 | 0.007037 |  | 0.002827 | 0.004210 | \$ | 1,958,868.11 | \$ | 2,917,168.29 |
| 36 | OE | 2012 December | \$ | 6,580,867.08 | 0.007037 |  | 0.002827 | 0.004210 | \$ | 2,643,756.04 | \$ | 3,937,111.04 |


| Line | Company <br> (A) | Year Month <br> (B) <br> (C) |  | RER Total Revenue ${ }^{1}$ <br> (D) | RER Total Rate <br> (E) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{kWh}^{2} \\ \text { (F) } \end{gathered}$ | RER1 Rate <br> (G) | RER2 Rate <br> (H) |  | RER1 Revenue <br> (I) |  | RER2 Revenue <br> (J) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | TE | 2011 July | \$ |  | 0.000000 | N/A | 0.000000 | 0.000000 |  | N/A |  | N/A |
| 38 | TE | 2011 August | \$ |  | 0.000000 | N/A | 0.000000 | 0.000000 |  | N/A |  | N/A |
| 39 | TE | 2011 September | \$ | 853,108.28 | 0.004092 | 208,481,984 | 0.002142 | 0.001950 | \$ | 446,568.41 | \$ | 406,539.87 |
| 40 | TE | 2011 October | \$ | 602,651.15 | 0.004092 | 147,275,452 | 0.002142 | 0.001950 | \$ | 315,464.02 | \$ | 287,187.13 |
| 41 | TE | 2011 November | \$ | 711,012.74 | 0.004092 | 173,756,779 | 0.002142 | 0.001950 | \$ | 372,187.02 | \$ | 338,825.72 |
| 42 | TE | 2011 December | \$ | 975,350.70 | 0.004092 | 238,355,499 | 0.002142 | 0.001950 | \$ | 510,557.48 | \$ | 464,793.22 |
| 43 | TE | 2012 January | \$ | 1,218,107.37 | 0.005617 | 216,860,846 | 0.003033 | 0.002584 | \$ | 657,738.94 | \$ | 560,368.43 |
| 44 | TE | 2012 February | \$ | 1,371,479.09 | 0.005617 | 244,165,763 | 0.003033 | 0.002584 | \$ | 740,554.76 | \$ | 630,924.33 |
| 45 | TE | 2012 March | \$ | 1,029,564.14 | 0.005617 | 183,294,310 | 0.003033 | 0.002584 | \$ | 555,931.64 | \$ | 473,632.50 |
| 46 | TE | 2012 April | \$ | 869,285.99 | 0.005617 | 154,759,834 | 0.003033 | 0.002584 | \$ | 469,386.58 | \$ | 399,899.41 |
| 47 | TE | 2012 May | \$ | 989,943.27 | 0.005617 | 176,240,568 | 0.003033 | 0.002584 | \$ | 534,537.64 | \$ | 455,405.63 |
| 48 | TE | 2012 June | \$ | 1,305,341.65 | 0.005617 | 232,391,250 | 0.003033 | 0.002584 | \$ | 704,842.66 | \$ | 600,498.99 |
| 49 | TE | 2012 July | \$ | 505,026.64 | 0.001714 | 294,647,981 | 0.000128 | 0.001586 | \$ | 37,714.94 | \$ | 467,311.70 |
| 50 | TE | 2012 August | \$ | 474,649.67 | 0.001714 | 276,925,128 | 0.000128 | 0.001586 | \$ | 35,446.42 | \$ | 439,203.25 |
| 51 | TE | 2012 September | \$ | 304,775.94 | 0.001714 | 177,815,601 | 0.000128 | 0.001586 | \$ | 22,760.40 | \$ | 282,015.54 |
| 52 | TE | 2012 October | \$ | 282,914.01 | 0.001714 | 165,060,683 | 0.000128 | 0.001586 | \$ | 21,127.77 | \$ | 261,786.24 |
| 53 | TE | 2012 November | \$ | 321,265.80 | 0.001714 |  | 0.000128 | 0.001586 | \$ | 23,991.85 | \$ | 297,273.96 |
| 54 | TE | 2012 December | \$ | 445,896.03 | 0.001714 |  | 0.000128 | 0.001586 | \$ | 33,299.12 | \$ | 412,596.91 |

## NOTES:

1-The revenues for November and December are calculated by applying the RER1 and RER2 retail rates effective through December 2012 to the forecasted kWh sales.
2-Forecasted kWh sales values used for November and December.
Column (D): Actual total RER revenues July 2011 through October 2012 for each respective company.
Column (E): Calculation: Column (G) + Column (H); $\$ / \mathrm{kWh}$
Column (F): Calculation: Column (D) / Column (E)
Column (G) \& Column (H): Effective tariff rates for the respective months; $\$ / \mathrm{kWh}$
Column (I): Calculation: Column (F) $\times$ Column (G)
Column (J): Calculation: Column (F)×Column (H)
(A)
(B)

| Line | Company | Month | Blocking | RGC Eligible kWh Sales | RGC Amount (\$) ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CEI |  |  |  |  | -0.0316 |
| 2 |  | 11 | All kWh | 44,775,664 | \$ | $(1,414,911)$ |
| 3 |  | 12 | All kWh | 71,955,609 | \$ | $(2,273,797)$ |
| 4 |  | 1 | All kWh | 85,692,168 | \$ | $(2,707,873)$ |
| 5 |  | 2 | All kWh | 92,525,026 | \$ | $(2,923,791)$ |
| 6 |  | 3 | All kWh | 89,428,494 | \$ | $(2,825,940)$ |
| 7 |  | Total |  | 384,376,962 | \$ | (12,146,312) |
| 8 | OE |  |  |  |  | -0.0389 |
| 9 |  | 11 | Over 1250 | 45,871,677 | \$ | $(1,784,408)$ |
| 10 |  | 12 | Over 1250 | 115,397,666 | \$ | $(4,488,969)$ |
| 11 |  | 1 | Over 1250 | 150,992,526 | \$ | $(5,873,609)$ |
| 12 |  | 2 | Over 1250 | 160,533,366 | \$ | $(6,244,748)$ |
| 13 |  | 3 | Over 1250 | 155,590,145 | \$ | $(6,052,457)$ |
| 14 |  | Total |  | 628,385,381 | \$ | (24,444, 191) |
| 15 | TE-NON APT |  |  |  |  | -0.0213 |
| 16 |  | 11 | Over 2000 | 1,618,602 | \$ | $(34,476)$ |
| 17 |  | 12 | Over 2000 | 8,226,597 | \$ | $(175,227)$ |
| 18 |  | 1 | Over 2000 | 10,815,170 | \$ | $(230,363)$ |
| 19 |  | 2 | Over 2000 | 12,916,856 | \$ | $(275,129)$ |
| 20 |  | 3 | Over 2000 | 12,109,431 | \$ | $(257,931)$ |
| 21 |  | Total |  | 45,686,656 | \$ | $(973,126)$ |
| 22 | TE-APT |  |  |  |  | -0.0335 |
| 23 |  | 11 | First 2000 | 1,004,883 | \$ | $(33,664)$ |
| 24 |  | 12 | First 2000 | 1,680,496 | \$ | $(56,297)$ |
| 25 |  | 1 | First 2000 | 1,723,111 | \$ | $(57,724)$ |
| 26 |  | 2 | First 2000 | 1,825,227 | \$ | $(61,145)$ |
| 27 |  | 3 | First 2000 | 1,823,935 | \$ | $(61,102)$ |
| 28 |  | Total |  | 8,057,653 | \$ | $(269,931)$ |

NOTES:
Column (A): Applicable kWh sales utilized in Case No. 10-176-EL-ATA.
${ }^{1}$ The RGC amounts are based on rates effective October 31, 2012 through March 31, 2013.

|  |  |  |  | RGC Additional |  |  | Total RGC ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | RGC ${ }^{1}$ | Provision ${ }^{2}$ | Successor RDC ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| Line | Company | Year | Month | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) $=(\mathrm{A})+(\mathrm{B})+(\mathrm{C})$ |
| 1 |  | 2012 | 11 | \$ (1,414,911) | $(\$ 89,915)$ | $(\$ 103,865)$ | (\$1,608,691) |
| 2 |  | 2012 | 12 | \$ (2,273,797) | (\$154,741) | $(\$ 191,194)$ | (\$2,619,732) |
| 3 |  | 2013 | 1 | \$ (2,707,873) | (\$193,377) | $(\$ 243,224)$ | (\$3,144,474) |
| 4 |  | 2013 | 2 | \$ (2,923,791) | $(\$ 232,825)$ | $(\$ 300,221)$ | $(\$ 3,456,837)$ |
| 5 |  | 2013 | 3 | \$ (2,825,940) | $(\$ 216,960)$ | $(\$ 276,720)$ | (\$3,319,621) |
| 6 |  | 2013 | 4 | \$0 | $(\$ 125,474)$ | $(\$ 155,857)$ | $(\$ 281,331)$ |
| 7 |  | 2013 | 5 | \$0 | $(\$ 62,691)$ | $(\$ 72,208)$ | $(\$ 134,899)$ |
| 8 |  |  | Total | (\$12,146,312) | (\$1,075,984) | (\$1,343,289) | (\$14,565,585) |
| 9 | OE | 2012 | 11 | \$ (1,784,408) | $(\$ 756,536)$ | $(\$ 495,678)$ | (\$3,036,622) |
| 10 |  | 2012 | 12 | \$ $(4,488,969)$ | (\$1,126,580) | $(\$ 809,800)$ | $(\$ 6,425,350)$ |
| 11 |  | 2013 | 1 | \$ $(5,873,609)$ | (\$1,400,084) | $(\$ 1,001,159)$ | $(\$ 8,274,853)$ |
| 12 |  | 2013 | 2 | \$ (6,244,748) | (\$1,300,898) | (\$1,131,940) | $(\$ 8,677,586)$ |
| 13 |  | 2013 | 3 | \$ $(6,052,457)$ | $(\$ 1,297,903)$ | $(\$ 1,129,296)$ | (\$8,479,656) |
| 14 |  | 2013 | 4 | \$0 | (\$897,611) | $(\$ 702,115)$ | (\$1,599,726) |
| 15 |  | 2013 | 5 | \$0 | $(\$ 584,817)$ | $(\$ 383,536)$ | $(\$ 968,353)$ |
| 16 |  |  | Total | (\$24,444,191) | (\$7,364,430) | $(\$ 5,653,524)$ | (\$37,462,146) |
| 17 | TE | 2012 | 11 | \$ $(68,140)$ | $(\$ 108,298)$ | $(\$ 100,883)$ | $(\$ 277,321)$ |
| 18 |  | 2012 | 12 | \$ (231,523) | (\$215,720) | $(\$ 185,083)$ | $(\$ 632,327)$ |
| 19 |  | 2013 | 1 | \$ $(288,087)$ | $(\$ 256,417)$ | $(\$ 223,010)$ | $(\$ 767,514)$ |
| 20 |  | 2013 | 2 | \$ $(336,274)$ | $(\$ 298,775)$ | $(\$ 295,143)$ | $(\$ 930,192)$ |
| 21 |  | 2013 | 3 | \$ $(319,033)$ | $(\$ 287,248)$ | $(\$ 282,890)$ | $(\$ 889,170)$ |
| 22 |  | 2013 | 4 | \$0 | (\$162,744) | $(\$ 148,787)$ | $(\$ 311,531)$ |
| 23 |  | 2013 | 5 | \$0 | $(\$ 75,633)$ | $(\$ 66,986)$ | (\$142,619) |
| 24 |  |  | Total | (\$1,243,057) | (\$1,404,834) | (\$1,302,782) | (\$3,950,673) |
| 25 | OHIO | 2012 | 11 | $(\$ 3,267,459)$ | $(\$ 954,749)$ | $(\$ 700,426)$ | (\$4,922,634) |
| 26 |  | 2012 | 12 | (\$6,994,290) | (\$1,497,042) | $(\$ 1,186,077)$ | $(\$ 9,677,409)$ |
| 27 |  | 2013 | 1 | $(\$ 8,869,569)$ | (\$1,849,878) | (\$1,467,393) | (\$12,186,840) |
| 28 |  | 2013 | 2 | $(\$ 9,504,813)$ | (\$1,832,498) | $(\$ 1,727,304)$ | (\$13,064,615) |
| 29 |  | 2013 | 3 | $(\$ 9,197,430)$ | $(\$ 1,802,111)$ | $(\$ 1,688,906)$ | (\$12,688,447) |
| 30 |  | 2013 | 4 | \$0 | (\$1,185,829) | (\$1,006,759) | $(\$ 2,192,588)$ |
| 31 |  | 2013 | 5 | \$0 | $(\$ 723,141)$ | (\$522,730) | (\$1,245,871) |
| 32 |  |  | Total | (\$37,833,560) | (\$9,845,248) | (\$8,299,595) | (\$55,978,404) |
| 33 | TOTAL (\$Millions) |  |  | (\$37.8) | (\$9.8) | (\$8.3) | (\$56.0) |

NOTES:
${ }^{1}$ RGC amounts from column (B) on page 6, based on rates effective October 31, 2012 through March 31, 2013.
${ }^{2}$ Currently effective Rider RGC Additional Provision retail rate applied to the applicable kWh sales utilized in Case No. 10-176-EL-ATA.
${ }^{3}$ Currently effective Rider RDC retail rate applied to the applicable kWh sales utilized in Case No. 10-176-EL-ATA.
${ }^{4}$ Total RGC amount includes the actual RGC credit, the Rider RGC Additional Provision credit, and the successor RDC equivalent credit since the Companies are authorized to defer purchased power equivalent to the credits provided in case 10-176-EL-ATA.
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