

①

From: Snitchler, Todd
Sent: Monday, November 05, 2012 2:57 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FW: I oppose Duke Energy's rate hike!!

From: GreenpeaceOn Behalf OfJeremy Anderson
Sent: Monday, November 05, 2012 2:57:04 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Snitchler, Todd
Subject: I oppose Duke Energy's rate hike!!

Nov 5, 2012

Todd Snitchler

Dear Snitchler,

Now that the city of Cincinnati has taken a stand against Duke Energy's dirty business and chosen an energy provider using less coal, Duke is asking for a rate hike on working Ohioans and small business owners to compensate for their failure to modernize. Duke Energy shouldn't be allowed to use rate hikes to punish customers who choose cleaner, cheaper options for their electricity supply.

Duke Energy should quit investing in coal and instead invest in the renewable technologies that they claim to support--and that are required under Ohio's Renewable Portfolio Standard. In Ohio, we already pay the hidden cost of coal with our health and our environment. But if companies like Duke want to ask more from our pocketbooks too, it should be for an Ohio that's powered by local wind & solar that will protect our health and employ thousands of Ohioans.

I oppose this rate hike.

Case number: 12-1682-EL-AIR

Sincerely,

Jeremy Anderson
8229 38th St N
Lake Elmo, MN 55042-9517
(651) 757-0837

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business

Technician R Date Processed NOV 06 2012

~~NOV 06 2012~~

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2012 NOV -6 AM 8:33
PUCO