

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of its Market Rate Offer.)	Case No. 12-426-EL-SSO
)	
In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Revised Tariffs.)	Case No. 12-427-EL-ATA
)	
In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.)	Case No. 12-428-EL-AAM
)	
In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Waiver of Certain Commission Rules.)	Case No. 12-429-EL-WVR
)	
In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company to Establish Tariff Riders.)	Case No. 12-672-EL-RDR
)	

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) The Dayton Power and Light Company (DP&L) is a public utility as defined in Section 4905.02, Revised Code, and, as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.
- (2) On March 30, 2012, DP&L filed an application for a standard service offer (SSO) pursuant to Section 4928.141, Revised Code. The application was for a market rate offer in accordance with Section 4928.142, Revised Code. On September 7, 2012, DP&L withdrew its application for a market rate offer. On October 5, 2012, DP&L filed an application for an electric security plan in accordance with Section 4928.143, Revised Code. Additionally, DP&L filed

accompanying applications for approval of revised tariffs, for approval of certain accounting authority, for waiver of certain Commission rules, and to establish tariff riders.

- (3) In its application, DP&L proposed a procedural schedule for this case. The proposed procedural schedule seeks an expedited schedule to obtain a final decision from the Commission by the end of calendar year 2012.
- (4) On October 16, 2012, Intervenors and Staff filed a joint memorandum contra to DP&L's proposed procedural schedule. The joint memorandum contra states that DP&L's proposed procedural schedule presents inadequate time for discovery. The joint memorandum contra proposes a procedural schedule that would allow sufficient time for discovery but would carry this case into calendar year 2013.
- (5) The attorney examiner finds that a prehearing conference should be scheduled in this case for November 9, 2012, at 10:00 a.m., at the offices of the Commission, hearing room 11-A. Parties should come to the prehearing conference prepared to further discuss the procedural schedule for this case.
- (6) Further, Rule 4901:1-35-05, Ohio Administrative Code, provides that a technical conference should be held following the filing of an SSO application. The attorney examiner finds that a technical conference should be scheduled in this case for November 9, 2012, at 10:30 a.m., at the offices of the Commission, hearing room 11-A.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That the prehearing conference in this case be scheduled for November 9, 2012, at 10:00 a.m., at the offices of the Commission, Hearing Room 11-A, as set forth in Finding (5). It is, further,

ORDERED, That the technical conference in this case be scheduled in this case be scheduled for November 9, 2012, at 10:30 a.m., at the offices of the Commission, Hearing Room 11-A, as set forth in Finding (6). It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon each party of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

s/Bryce McKenney

By: Bryce A. McKenney
Attorney Examiner

JRJ/sc

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

10/22/2012 3:50:04 PM

in

Case No(s). 12-0426-EL-SSO, 12-0427-EL-ATA, 12-0428-EL-AAM, 12-0429-EL-WVR, 12-0672-EL-RDR

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry scheduling a prehearing conference as set forth in Finding (5) and a technical conference as set forth in Finding (6). - electronically filed by Sandra Coffey on behalf of Bryce McKenney, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio