#### **BEFORE**

#### THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Ohio, Inc., for the Establishment of a Charge Pursuant to Revised Code Section 4909.18.	) ) )	Case No. 12-2400-EL-UNC
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval to Change Accounting Methods.	) )	Case No. 12-2401-EL-AAM
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for the Approval of a Tariff for a New Service.	) )	Case No. 12-2402-EL-ATA

# DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.'S MEMORANDUM CONTRA THE MOTION TO INTERVENE OF DPL ENERGY RESOURCES, INC.

On August 29, 2012, Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., (Duke Energy Ohio or Company) filed an application (Application) with this honorable Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (Commission), seeking determination of a charge for capacity services pursuant to the newly adopted state compensation mechanism, authority for a deferral of the difference between such charge and the market prices for capacity services currently being received by Duke Energy Ohio, and approval of a tariff pursuant to which such deferral could subsequently be recovered.

On October 15, 2012, DPL Resources, Inc., (DPLER) moved to intervene in these proceedings. As DPLER correctly indicates in its motion, interventions in Commission proceedings are governed by R.C. 4903.221 and O.A.C. 4901-1-11. However, DPLER errs in applying the relevant legal parameters to the issues relevant to these proceedings, as set forth in the Company's Application. Consequently, as demonstrated herein, DPLER's motion should be denied.

#### **Nature of the Prospective Intervenor's Interest**

The first element to be considered by the Commission, pursuant to R.C. 4903.221, is the nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest. Here, DPLER does not articulate a real and substantial interest unique to it that is implicated by, or relevant to, Duke Energy Ohio's Application. Rather, DPLER summarily states that the "change in capacity pricing proposed by Duke Energy Ohio...may have a negative impact to the viability and health of the competitive market within Duke Energy Ohio's territory." DPLER further alleges, albeit erroneously, that the outcome of these proceedings could threaten the competitive market within the state as a whole. But these allegations do not demonstrate an interest warranting intervention.

Although Duke Energy Ohio recognizes that DPLER operates in its territory as a competitive retail electric service (CRES) provider, it fails to explain any way in which the Application in these proceedings could possibly impact such business. The proposal, designed to mirror that which was recently set in place by the Commission for another, similarly situated utility, will not impact suppliers. It does not change any of a retail supplier's costs of doing business. It does not impose any additional charges, or raise any current charges, due from a retail supplier. Indeed, in the Application, Duke Energy Ohio concedes that suppliers will continue to be charged the final zonal capacity price, which is a market-based price.<sup>3</sup> Thus, the proposal has no impact on DPLER, its business plans, or its future viability as a CRES provider, whether in Duke Energy Ohio's territory or within the state. And, as such, DPLER's claim that it has a real and substantial interest in these proceedings is misplaced.

Although DPLER admits that Duke Energy Ohio is not proposing, in these proceedings, to alter the capacity charges applicable to retail suppliers, it suggests that its intervention is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> DPLER Memorandum in Support of Motion to Intervene, at pg. 3 (October 15, 2012).

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Duke Energy Ohio Application, at paragraph 7 (August 29, 2012).

warranted because future capacity prices are at issue here. To the extent DPLER is referring to capacity charges applicable to CRES providers, which it should given that its status as such, DPLER's contention is false. Again, Duke Energy Ohio is not proposing to alter or adjust the market-based prices that CRES providers will be charged for capacity and DPLER's concern that such pricing could be changed is unfounded. And to the extent DPLER is concerned with adjustments to capacity charges to which it will not be subject, it cannot demonstrate a real and substantial interest in these proceedings.

DPLER has failed to identify an interest sufficient to warrant intervention in these proceedings.

#### Legal Position and Probable Relation to Merits of the Case

The second element to be considered by the Commission, pursuant to R.C. 4903.221, is the prospective intervenor's legal position and its probable relation to the merits of the case. Again, however, DPLER fails to identify any position that it might take that is related to the actual merits of the case. The proceedings will have no impact on DPLER's business or its ability to engage in the competitive retail market. Indeed, as discussed above, the capacity charges applicable to suppliers are unchanged by the Company's Application. DPLER should not be granted intervention, as it is undeniably unaffected by this Application.

#### **Undue Delay and Significant Contribution**

The third and fourth elements to be considered by the Commission, pursuant to R.C. 4903.221, are whether the requested intervention will unduly prolong or delay the proceeding and whether the prospective intervenor will provide a significant contribution to full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. Neither of these elements is satisfied in the instant request for intervention.

3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> DPLER Memorandum in Support of Motion to Intervene, at pg. 4 (October 15, 2012).

As Duke Energy Ohio fully explained in the Application, these proceedings seek approval of a tariff to collect for services not previously covered by a tariff and do not seek any increase; thus, no hearing is required under R.C. 4909.18 unless the Application may be unjust or unreasonable. As the Commission has just adopted the state compensation mechanism and approved the determination of a charge pursuant thereto, with a deferral and subsequent recovery over time for a comparable entity, the Application here cannot be deemed unjust or unreasonable. The Application merely seeks arithmetic calculations and the application of an outcome that has already been found to be just and reasonable. It is indisputable that the Application does not require a hearing. Thus, DPLER's effort to intervene in these proceedings can have no other impact than to delay the resolution. As there is no factual inquiry to be made, since the state mechanism relies on existing federal filings, DPLER's input will not provide a significant contribution to development or resolution of factual issues.

The elements to be considered for intervention in Commission proceedings have not been met by DPLER.

WHEREFORE, Duke Energy Ohio respectfully requests that the Commission deny the motion by DPL Resources, Inc., for intervention in the above-referenced proceedings.

Respectfully submitted, DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

Amy B. Spiller (0047277) (Counsel of Record)

State Regulatory General Coursel

Rocco O. D'Ascenzo (0077651)

Associate General Counsel

Jeanne W. Kingery (0012172)

Associate General Counsel

Elizabeth H. Watts (0031092)

Associate General Counsel

Duke Energy Business Services LLC

139 East Fourth Street

1303-Main

Cincinnati Ohio 45202

513-287-4359 (telephone)

513-287-4385 (facsimile)

amy.spiller@duke-energy.com (e-mail)

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing document was served this day of 2012, by U.S. mail, postage prepaid, or by electronic mail upon the persons listed below.

Jeanne W. Kingery

Steven Beeler
John Jones
Assistant Attorneys General
Public Utilities Section
180 East Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Steven.beeler@puc.state.oh.us
John.jones@puc.state.oh.us

**Counsel for Staff of the Commission** 

Colleen L. Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lima Street Findlay, Ohio 45839-1793 cmooney@columbus.rr.com

Counsel for Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy

Samuel C. Randazzo
Frank P. Darr
Joseph E. Oliker
Matthew R. Pritchard
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 East State Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
sam@mwncmh.com
fdarr@mwncmh.com
joliker@mwncmh.com
mpritchard@mwncmh.com

Counsel for Industrial Energy Users-Ohio

Maureen R. Grady Kyle L. Kern Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 grady@occ.state.oh.us kern@occ.state.oh.us

Counsel for the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

David F. Boehm Michael L. Kurtz Jody M. Kyler Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 dboehm@BKLlawfirm.com mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com

jkyler@BKLlawfirm.com

Douglas E. Hart 441 Vine Street Suite 4192 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 dhart@douglasehart.com

Counsel for The Greater Cincinnati

**Health Council** 

Kimberly W. Bojko

Mallory M. Mohler

280 North High Street

#### **Counsel for the Ohio Energy Group**

Douglas E. Hart 441 Vine Street Suite 4192 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 dhart@douglasehart.com

Counsel for Cincinnati Bell Inc.

Suite 1300 Columbus, Ohio 43215 Bojko@CarpenterLipps.com

Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP

Mohler@CarpenterLipps.com

#### **Counsel for The Kroger Company**

Thomas J. O'Brien Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291 tobrien@bricker.com

**Counsel for City of Cincinnati** 

James F. Lang
Laura C. McBride
N. Trevor Alexander
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP
1405 East Sixth Street
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
jlang@calfee.com
lmcbride@calfee.com

talexander@calfee.com

Mark A. Hayden
FirstEnergy Service Company
76 South Main Street
Akron, Ohio 44308
haydenm@firstenergycorp.com

Counsel for FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.

J. Thomas Siwo Matthew W. Warnock Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291

tsiwo@bricker.com mwarnock@bricker.com

Counsel for The Ohio Manufacturers' Association

Counsel for FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.

Samuel C. Randazzo
Frank P. Darr
Joseph E. Oliker
Matthew R. Pritchard
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 East State Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
sam@mwncmh.com
fdarr@mwncmh.com
joliker@mwncmh.com
mpritchard@mwncmh.com

Mr. Thomas W. Craven Wausau Paper Corp. 200 Paper Place Mosinee, Wisconsin 54455-9099 tcraven@wasaupaper.com

### Counsel for Wausau Paper Towel & Tissue, LLC

Mr. Lawrence W. Thompson Energy Strategies, Inc. 525 South Main Street, Suite 900 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103-4510 lthompson@energy-strategies.com

### For Wausau Paper Towel & Tissue, LLC

Jay E. Jadwin
Yazen Alami
American Electric Power Service
Corporation
155 Nationwide Ave.
Columbus, Ohio 43215
jejadwin@aep.com
yalami@aep.com

#### **Counsel for AEP Energy**

David Stahl
Eimer Stahl LLP
224 S. Michigan Ave., Ste 1100
Chicago, Illinois 60604
dstahl@eimerstahl.com

### For Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. and Exelon Generation Company, LLC

### For Wausau Paper Towel & Tissue, LLC

Ms. Karen Campbell Energy Strategies, Inc. 525 South Main Street, Suite 900 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103-4510 kcampbell@energy-strategies.com

### For Wausau Paper Towel & Tissue, LLC

M. Howard Petricoff
Lija Kaleps-Clark
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 East Gay Street, P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
mhpetricoff@vorys.com
lkalepsclark@vorys.com

## Counsel for Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. and Exelon Generation Company, LLC

Stephen Bennett
Exelon Corporation
300 Exelon Way
Kennett Square, Pennsylvania 19348
Stephen.bennett@exeloncorp.com

### For Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. and Exelon Generation Company, LLC

David I. Fein Constellation Energy Group, Inc. 550 W. Washington Blvd., Suite 300 Chicago, Illinois 60661 David.fein@constellation.com Cynthia Fonner Brady Constellation Energy Resources, LLC 550 W. Washington Blvd., Suite 300 Chicago, Illinois 60661 Cynthia.brady@constellation.com

### For Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. and Exelon Generation Company, LLC

# For Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. and Exelon Generation Company, LLC M. Howard Petricoff

Steven T. Nourse
Matthew J. Satterwhite
American Electric Power Service
Corporation
1 Riverside Plaza 29<sup>th</sup> Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
<a href="mailto:stnourse@aep.com">stnourse@aep.com</a>
<a href="mailto:mjsattershite@aep.com">mjsattershite@aep.com</a>

M. Howard Petricoff
Stephen M. Howard
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 East Gay Street, P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
mhpetricoff@vorys.com
smhoward@vorys.com

#### **Counsel for Ohio Power Company**

### Counsel for Interstate Gas Supply, Inc.

M. Howard Petricoff Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street, P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008 mhpetricoff@vorys.com M. Howard Petricoff
Stephen M. Howard
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 East Gay Street, P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
mhpetricoff@vorys.com
smhoward@vorys.com

### Counsel for Miami University and the University of Cincinnati

### **Counsel for the Retail Energy Supply Association**

Joseph G. Strines DPL Energy Resources Inc. 1065 Woodman Drive Dayton, Ohio 45432 Joseph.strines@DPLINC.com Judi L. Sobecki
Randall V. Griffin
The Dayton Power and Light Company
1065 Woodman Drive
Dayton, Ohio 45432
Judi.sobecki@DPLINC.com
Randall.griffin@DPLINC.com

#### **Counsel for DPL Energy Resources**

### Counsel for The Dayton Power and Light Company

Kevin J. Osterkamp Roetzel & Andress LPA PNC Plaza, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor 155 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 kosterkamp@ralaw.com Rick D. Chamberlain Behrens, Wheeler & Chamberlain 6 N.E. 63<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 400 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 Rdc\_law@swbell.net

### Counsel for Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, and Sam's East, Inc.

Barth E. Royer
Bell & Royer Co., LPA
33 South Grant Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3927
BarthRoyer@aol.com

Counsel for Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, and Sam's East, Inc.

Gary A. Jeffries
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.
501 Martindale Street, Suite 400
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15212-5817
Gary.A.Jeffries@dom.com

Counsel for Dominion Retail, Inc.

Counsel for Dominion Retail, Inc.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

10/16/2012 4:57:20 PM

in

Case No(s). 12-2400-EL-UNC, 12-2401-EL-AAM, 12-2402-EL-ATA

Summary: Memorandum Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.'s Memorandum Contra the Motion to Intervene of DPL Energy Resources, Inc. electronically filed by Miss Kristen Cocanougher on behalf of Duke Energy Ohio and Spiller, Amy B. Mrs. and D'Ascenzo, Rocco Mr. and Kingery, Jeanne W. Mrs. and Watts, Elizabeth Mrs.