

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of)	
Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland)	
Electric Illuminating Company, and)	Case No. 12-2190-EL-POR
The Toledo Edison Company for)	Case No. 12-2191-EL-POR
Approval of Their Energy Efficiency)	Case No. 12-2192-EL-POR
and Peak Demand Reduction Program)	
Portfolio Plans for 2013 through 2015.)	

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company (collectively, FirstEnergy or Companies) are public utilities as defined in Section 4905.02, Revised Code, and, as such, are subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.
- (2) On July 31, 2012, FirstEnergy filed an application for approval of the Companies' energy efficiency and peak demand reduction program portfolio plans for 2013 through 2015 pursuant to Section 4928.66, Revised Code, Rules 4901:1-39-04, 4901:1-39-05, 4901:1-39-06, and 4901:1-39-07, Ohio Administrative Code (O.A.C.), and the Commission's February 28, 2012, entry in Case No. 12-814-EL-UNC. In addition, FirstEnergy filed testimony in support of the application.
- (3) The Companies' application contains a proposed procedural schedule including a suggested deadline of September 17, 2012, for objections to the application and a suggested evidentiary hearing start date of October 22, 2012.
- (4) Thereafter, on August 6, 2012, the Environmental Law and Policy Center, the Sierra Club, the Ohio Environmental Council, and the Natural Resources Defense Council (collectively, Environmental Advocates), filed a collective objection to the Companies' proposed procedural schedule.
- (5) By entry issued on August 16, 2012, the attorney examiner established a procedural schedule for these proceedings,

including, *inter alia*, a technical conference to be held on August 30, 2012.

- (6) On August 21, 2012, the Environmental Advocates filed a request for certification of an interlocutory appeal from the August 16, 2012, procedural entry to the Commission.
- (7) On August 23, 2012, FirstEnergy filed a motion for modification of the procedural schedule, specifically, the August 30, 2012, technical conference. In its motion, FirstEnergy explains that the Environmental Advocates have indicated that they have a conflict with the scheduled technical conference date. FirstEnergy further states that, upon inquiry, the collaborative members, including the Environmental Advocates, indicated that September 6, 2012, would be an appropriate date for the technical conference.
- (8) As the Environmental Advocates have a conflict with the scheduled technical conference date, and all members of the collaborative have agreed to September 6, 2012, as an appropriate technical conference date, including the Environmental Advocates, the attorney examiner finds that the technical conference shall be rescheduled for September 6, 2012, at 10:00 a.m., at the offices of the Commission, 180 East Broad Street, 11th Floor, Hearing Room 11-A, Columbus, Ohio. Parties should note the change in hearing room in addition to the change in date.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That the technical conference be rescheduled as set forth in Finding (8).
It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served upon each party of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

s/Mandy Willey

By: Mandy L. Willey
Attorney Examiner

JRJ/sc

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/24/2012 8:25:27 AM

in

Case No(s). 12-2190-EL-POR, 12-2191-EL-POR, 12-2192-EL-POR

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry rescheduling technical conference to 09/06/12 at 10:00 a. m. at the offices of the Commission located on the 11th Flr., Rm. 11-A, 180 E. Broad St., Columbus, OH. - electronically filed by Sandra Coffey on behalf of Mandy Willey, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio