

(4)

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 67394
Received: 6/23/2012 9:57:16 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 67394 AT:06-23-2012 at 09:57 AM

12-123D-ELSSD

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Ms. Brenda Hagman

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 907 McKinley Ave.
- Toledo , Ohio 43605
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 419-691-7087
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: bhagman43@yahoo.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: First Energy
- Name on account: Brenda K Hagman
- Service address: 907 McKinley Ave
- Service phone: 419-691-7087
- Account Number: 110020557697

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

On June 7, 2012 the PUCO held a hearing on a rate increase for First Energy. I have not read anything to the status/outcome of this hearing. My comment is: How can First Energy justify this request when in April 2012 they gave their top executives hefty pay increases between 61 percent and 101 percent? President and CEO Anthony Alexander received \$14.4 million in 2011, a 62 percent increase from 2010. They then have the nerve to ask for a rate increase. I surly hope this increase has been denied. Thank you in advance for any consideration you give to this matter.

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2012 JUL 17 PM 5:02
PUCO

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician MS Date Processed 7/17/12

Hunter, Donielle

From: ContactThePUCO
Sent: Monday, July 16, 2012 3:27 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: Docketing

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Investigation and Audit Division

Memorandum

Date: 7/16/2012

Re: James Strathern
12504 Heath Rd

Chesterland, OH 44026

Docketing Case No.: 12-1230-EL-SSO

Notes:

Please, Keep our discounts and rates down. We are seniors in our late 70's and cannot move from our home. We live on a fixed income and raising our rates would result in a great hardship for us now and in the future.

Please docket the attached in the case number above.

12-1230-EL-SSO

PUCO

2012 JUL 17 PM 5:02

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 68300
Received: 7/13/2012 8:31:16 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 68300 AT:07-13-2012 at 08:31 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. John Gembka

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 200 Rowland Rd.
- Vermilion , Ohio 44089
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 440 967-8728
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: jgembka@centurylink.net

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: First Energy
- Name on account: John Gembka
- Service address: 200 Rowland Rd.
- Service phone: 440 967-8728
- Account Number: 110 037 021 356

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

ESP3 or Case # 12-1230-EL-SSO I cannot afford the rising rates by First Energy for my all electric home. I live on a fixed income and I am retired. Please help me. Thanks, John Gembka

RECEIVED-SOCKETING DIV
2012 JUL 17 PM 5:02
PUCO

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 67900
Received: 7/4/2012 12:42:57 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 67900 AT:07-04-2012 at 12:42 PM

12-1230-EL-880

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. John Flick

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 9049 Nest Haven Way
- 9049
- NORTH RIDGEVILLE , Ohio 44039
- USA

RECEIVED-SOCKETING DIV
2012 JUL 17 PM 5:03
PUCO

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 4403768831
- Alternative: 4403768831
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: jefsauce@yahoo.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: Ohio Edison
- Name on account: JOHN FLICK
- Service address: 9049 Nest Haven Way
- Service phone: 4403768831
- Account Number: 110052117774

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I am quite concerned that the FirstEnergy distribution cos. are unjustly going to bill me more because I am an all-electric homeowner who did not qualify for any rate adjustment because the home was built after 1/1/07 even though the builder offered no other energy alternative (e.g. natural gas). I understand that the cos. need to have some sort of adjustment but to unduly make the all-electric homes pay more is not appropriate. Distribution lines carry load to all homes therefore, a uniform rate for each home is more appropriate.