

**BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

<b>In the Matter of the Application of</b>	)	
<b>Columbus Southern Power Company</b>	)	<b>Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO</b>
<b>and Ohio Power Company for</b>	)	<b>Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO</b>
<b>Authority to Establish a Standard</b>	)	
<b>Service Offer Pursuant to § 4928.143,</b>	)	
<b>Ohio Rev. Code, in the Form of an</b>	)	
<b>Electric Security Plan.</b>	)	
	)	
<b>In the Matter of the Application of</b>	)	<b>Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM</b>
<b>Columbus Southern Power Company</b>	)	<b>Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM</b>
<b>and Ohio Power Company for Approval</b>	)	
<b>of Certain Accounting Authority.</b>	)	

---

**OHIO POWER COMPANY’S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER**

---

Ohio Power Company (“AEP Ohio” or the “Company”), pursuant to Rule 4901-1-24(D) of the Ohio Administrative Code (“O.A.C.”), respectfully requests that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (“Commission”) issue a protective order keeping confidential the information that is the subject of the motion for protective order filed by Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation (“Ormet”) on July 10, 2012 in this case. The information for which protection is being sought by Ormet is confidential, proprietary, competitively sensitive, and trade secret information of the Company. The reasons supporting this motion are provided in the attached memorandum in support.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Yazen Alami

Steven T. Nourse

Matthew J. Satterwhite

Yazen Alami

American Electric Power Service  
Corporation  
1 Riverside Plaza, 29<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
(614) 716-1608  
Fax: (614) 716-2950  
Email: stnourse@aep.com  
mjsatterwhite@aep.com  
yalami@aep.com

Daniel R. Conway  
Christen M. Moore  
Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur, LLP  
41 S. High St.  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
(614) 227-2270  
Fax: (614) 227-2100  
Email: dconway@porterwright.com  
cmoore@porterwright.com

**Counsel for Ohio Power Company**

---

## MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

---

Rule 4901-1-24(D) of the Ohio Administrative Code provides that the Commission or certain designated employees may issue an order to protect the confidentiality of information contained in documents filed with the Commission to the extent that state or federal law prohibits the release of the information and where non-disclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Ohio Revised Code. The criteria used to determine what information the Commission should keep confidential is well established, and the Commission also long ago recognized its statutory obligation to protect trade secrets:

The Commission is of the opinion that the "public records" statute must also be read *in pari materia* with Section 1333.31, Revised Code ("trade secrets" statute). The latter statute must be interpreted as evincing the recognition, on the part of the General Assembly, of the value of trade secret information.

*In re: General Telephone Co.*, Case No. 81-383-TP-AIR (Entry, February 17, 1982).

Likewise, the Commission has facilitated the protection of trade secrets in its rules. *See* O.A.C. Rule 4901-1-24(A)(7).

The Uniform Trade Secrets Act, as adopted in Ohio, defines "trade secret" to mean:

information, including the whole or any portion or phase of any scientific or technical information, design, process, procedure, formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method, technique, or improvement, or any business information or plans, financial information or listing of names, addresses, or telephone numbers, that satisfies both of the following:

(1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.

(2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.

Ohio Revised Code § 1333.61(D). This definition clearly reflects the State policy favoring the protection of trade secrets such as the information that is the subject of this motion.

Courts of other jurisdictions have held that not only does a public utilities commission have the authority to protect the trade secrets of the companies subject to its jurisdiction, but that trade secret statutes create a duty to protect them. *See, e.g., New York Tel. Co. v. Pub. Serv. Comm. N.Y.*, 56 N.Y. 2d 213 (1982). Indeed, for the Commission to do otherwise would be to negate the protections the Ohio General Assembly has granted to all businesses, including public utilities, through the Uniform Trade Secrets Act. The Commission has previously carried out its obligations in this regard in numerous proceedings. *See, e.g., Elyria Tel. Co.*, Case No. 89-965- TP-AEC (Finding and Order, September 21, 1989); *Ohio Bell Tel. Co.*, Case No. 89-718-TP-ATA (Finding and Order, May 31, 1989); *Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc.*, Case No. 90-17-GA-GCR (Entry, August 7, 1990).

In *State ex rel. The Plain Dealer v. Ohio Dept of Ins.*, 80 Ohio St3d 513, 524-525 (1997), the Supreme Court of Ohio adopted the following factors to be considered in determining a trade secret:

(1) The extent to which the information is known outside the business, (2) the extent to which it is known to those inside the business, *i.e.*, by the employees, (3) the precautions taken by the holder of the trade secret to guard

the secrecy of the information, (4) the savings effected and the value to the holder in having the information as against competitors, (5) the amount of effort or money expended in obtaining and developing the information, and (6) the amount of time and expense it would take for others to acquire and duplicate the information.

Applying these factors to the information that is the subject of the motion filed by Ormet demonstrates that protection from disclosure is appropriate.

The information marked confidential by Ormet on pages 2-3, 8-9 and 15 of its Post Hearing Reply Brief consists of confidential, proprietary, competitively sensitive, and trade secret information of the Company. The information relates to Fuel Adjustment Clause projections made by the Company. This information is not readily available in the public domain and the Companies take steps to protect this information from public disclosure. Further, this information was discussed during a closed, confidential session of the evidentiary hearing and the hearing exhibits relating to this information were appropriately marked confidential. The public disclosure of such information would adversely impact the Company because it would give competitors inappropriate access to competitively sensitive business information about the Company. Accordingly, release of the information to the public would significantly reduce, if not eliminate, the value that the information has by being kept confidential and would cause harm to AEP Ohio.

Pursuant to O.A.C. Rule 4901-1-24(D)(1), only the information that is essential to prevent disclosure of the confidential information is redacted. Ormet has filed a confidential version of their Post Hearing Reply Brief under seal with the Commission and has shared it with parties that have executed confidentiality agreements.

For the reasons provided above, AEP Ohio requests that the Commission grant this motion for protective order, as well as the motion for protective order filed by Ormet on July 10, 2012 in this proceeding, and protect the designated information from public disclosure.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Yazen Alami

Steven T. Nourse

Matthew J. Satterwhite

Yazen Alami

American Electric Power Service  
Corporation

1 Riverside Plaza, 29<sup>th</sup> Floor

Columbus, Ohio 43215

(614) 716-1608

Fax: (614) 716-2950

Email: stnourse@aep.com

mjsatterwhite@aep.com

yalami@aep.com

Daniel R. Conway

Christen M. Moore

Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur, LLP

41 S. High St.

Columbus, Ohio 43215

(614) 227-2270

Fax: (614) 227-2100

Email: dconway@porterwright.com

cmoore@porterwright.com

**Counsel for Ohio Power Company**

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served upon the below-listed counsel via electronic mail this 12th day of July, 2012.

/s/ Yazen Alami

Yazen Alami

Jodi.Bair@puc.state.oh.us,  
Bob.Fortney@puc.state.oh.us,  
Doris.McCarter@puc.state.oh.us,  
Daniel.Shields@puc.state.oh.us,  
Tammy.Turkenton@puc.state.oh.us,  
Stephen.Reilly@puc.state.oh.us,  
Werner.Margard@puc.state.oh.us,  
William.Wright@puc.state.oh.us,  
Thomas.Lindgren@puc.state.oh.us,  
john.jones@puc.state.oh.us,  
dclark1@aep.com,  
grady@occ.state.oh.us,  
keith.nusbaum@snrdenton.com,  
kpkreider@kmklaw.com,  
mjsatterwhite@aep.com,  
ned.ford@fuse.net,  
pfox@hilliardohio.gov,  
ricks@ohanet.org,  
stnourse@aep.com,  
cathy@theoec.org,  
dsullivan@nrdc.org,  
aehaedt@jonesday.com,  
dakutik@jonesday.com,  
haydenm@firstenergycorp.com,  
dconway@porterwright.com,  
jlang@calfee.com,  
lmcbride@calfee.com,  
talexander@calfee.com,  
etter@occ.state.oh.us,  
grady@occ.state.oh.us,  
cynthia.a.fonner@constellation.com,  
David.fein@constellation.com,  
Dorothy.corbett@duke-energy.com,  
Amy.spiller@duke-energy.com,  
dboehm@bkllawfirm.com,  
mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com,  
ricks@ohanet.org,

tobrien@bricker.com,  
myurick@taftlaw.com,  
zkravitz@cwslaw.com,  
jejadwin@aep.com,  
msmalz@ohiopoveritylaw.org,  
jmaskovyak@ohiopoveritylaw.org,  
todonnell@bricker.com,  
mwarnock@bricker.com,  
cmontgomery@bricker.com,  
lmcaster@bricker.com,  
gthomas@gtpowergroup.com,  
wmassey@cov.com,  
henryeckhart@aol.com,  
laurac@chappelleconsulting.net,  
whitt@whitt-sturtevant.com,  
thompson@whitt-sturtevant.com,  
sandy.grace@exeloncorp.com,  
mhpeticoff@vorys.com,  
smhoward@vorys.com,  
mjsettineri@vorys.com,  
lkalepsclark@vorys.com,  
bakahn@vorys.com,  
Gary.A.Jeffries@dom.com,  
Stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com,  
dmeyer@kmklaw.com,  
holly@raysmithlaw.com,  
barthroyer@aol.com,  
philip.sineneng@thompsonhine.com,  
carolyn.flahive@thompsonhine.com,  
terrance.mebane@thompsonhine.com,  
cmooney2@columbus.rr.com,  
drinebolt@ohiopartners.org,  
trent@theoec.org,  
nolan@theoec.org,  
gpoulos@enernoc.com,  
emma.hand@snrdenton.com,

doug.bonner@snrdenton.com,  
clinton.vince@snrdenton.com,  
sam@mwncmh.com,  
joliker@mwncmh.com,  
fdarr@mwncmh.com,  
jestes@skadden.com,  
paul.wight@skadden.com,  
dstahl@eimerstahl.com,  
tsantarelli@elpc.org,  
callwein@wamenergylaw.com,  
malina@wexlerwalker.com,  
jkooper@hess.com,  
kquerry@hess.com,  
afreifeld@viridityenergy.com,  
swolfe@viridityenergy.com,  
korenergy@insight.rr.com,  
sasloan@aep.com,  
Dane.Stinson@baileycavalieri.com,  
cendsley@ofbf.org,  
bpbarger@bcslawyers.com,  
OhioESP2@aep.com,  
kaelber@buckleyking.com,  
walter@buckleyking.com,  
Jeanne.Kingery@duke-energy.com,  
Amy.spiller@duke-energy.com,  
Elizabeth.watts@duke-energy.com,

Rocco.d'ascenzo@duke-  
energy.com,  
jmclark@vectren.com,  
sbruce@oada.com,  
cmoore@porterwright.com,  
yalami@aep.com,  
judi.sobecki@DPLINC.com,  
randall.griffin@DPLINC.com,  
matt@matthewcoxlaw.com,  
rsugarman@keglerbrown.com,  
jhummer@uaoh.net,,  
tlindsey@uaoh.net,  
rjhart@hahnlaw.com,  
rremington@hahnlaw.com,  
djnichalski@hahnlaw.com,  
toddm@wamenergylaw.com,  
mchristensen@columbuslaw.org,  
matt@matthewcoxlaw.com,  
arthur.beeman@snrdenton.com,  
stephanie.chmiel@thompsonhine.co  
m,  
Michael.dillard@thompsonhine.com  
asim.haque@icemiller.com,  
christopher.miller@icemiller.com,  
gregory.dunn@icemiller.com



**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**7/12/2012 3:23:14 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 11-0346-EL-SSO, 11-0348-EL-SSO, 11-0349-EL-AAM, 11-0350-EL-AAM**

Summary: Motion for Protective Order electronically filed by Mr. Yazen Alami on behalf of Ohio Power Company