

9

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

2012 JUL -9 PM 3: 27

PUCO

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: 67587
Received: 6/26/2012 9:33:58 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 67587 AT:06-26-2012 at 09:33 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mrs. Deborah Russell

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 1151 Westwood Drive
- Van Wert , Ohio 45891
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: N/A
- Alternative: 419-238-6689
- Fax: 419-238-3668

E-MAIL: unitedway109@embarqmail.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: United Way of Van Wert County
- Name on account: Community Health Professionals
- Service address: 1151 Westwood Drive
- Service phone: 419-238-9223
- Account Number: N/A

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market. Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician SAS Date Processed JUL-09 2012

plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

AEP had continually put funds, support, and contributions back into the communities where they work to better the conditions for all. I urge you to honor their request.

From: Robert Meyer
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 6/27/2012 10:46:58 AM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Robert Meyer
50575 Boltz Hill Rd
Clarington, OH 43915-9613

From: Neville Arjani
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 6/28/2012 5:05:43 AM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Neville Arjani
16440 Majestic Oaks
Chagrin Falls, OH 44023-4534

From: Terry Layman
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 6/28/2012 7:27:31 AM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Terry Layman
26338 County Road 10
Fresno, OH 43824-9777

From: Jeff Linden
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 7/2/2012 8:10:25 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Jeff Linden
48401 Austin Rd
Wellington, OH 44090-9709

From: James Wenckoski
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 7/3/2012 2:29:32 PM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
James Wenckoski
55996 Winding Hill Rd
Bellaire, OH 43906-9589

From: Matt Law
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 7/5/2012 10:15:14 AM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Matt Law
55 Lake Ln
Vincent, OH 45784-5114

From: Russel Reed
To: ContactThePUCO
Subject: Comments Regarding 11-346-EL-SSO
Received: 7/6/2012 11:01:41 AM
Message:
Dear PUCO Commissioners:

I urge you to support AEP Ohio's modified Electric Security Plan (Case Numbers 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM). These plans support fair competition that will offer reliable electric service at the lowest reasonable rates while protecting Ohio jobs.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio repeatedly has asked AEP Ohio to delay its move to a competitive market. Regulators had a good reason to do so -- it kept electricity prices lower than what they would have been in the competitive market. Now that market rates are below AEP Ohio's rates, the utility has agreed to your request to move to a competitive market.

Other utilities in Ohio were allowed a multi-billion-dollar, multi-year transition plan. I ask you to support AEP Ohio's request for a three-year transition plan. This transition will ensure robust competition between strong competitors while fairly compensating AEP Ohio for assets currently dedicated to customers, but used by competitors for their own profit. Denying AEP Ohio an appropriate transition period is neither fair nor in the spirit of the deregulation legislation enacted by the Ohio General Assembly.

Sincerely,
Russel Reed
2135 East Street Route 60
McConnelsville, OH 43756-9794