BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Columbus	;)	
Southern Power Company and Ohio Power	•)	
Company for Authority to Establish a Standard)	Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO
Service Offer Pursuant to § 4928.143, Ohio)	Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO
Rev. Code, in the Form of an Electric Security)	
Plan)	
)	
)	
)	
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus)	Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM
Southern Power Company and Ohio Power)	Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM
Company for Approval of Certain Accounting)	
Authority)	

POST HEARING BRIEF OF THE ASSOCIATION OF INDEPENDENT COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES OF OHIO; THE CITY OF GROVE CITY; THE CITY OF HILLSBORO; AND THE CITY OF UPPER ARLINGTON

Respectfully Submitted,

Gregory J. Dunn (0007353) Christopher L. Miller (0063259) Asim Z. Haque (0081880) Ice Miller LLP 250 West Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 462-2700 (Main Number) (614) 222-4707 (Facsimile)

Attorneys for the Association of Independent Colleges and Universities of Ohio; the City of Grove City, Ohio; the City of Hillsboro, Ohio; and the City of Upper Arlington

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Columbus)	
Southern Power Company and Ohio Power)	
Company for Authority to Establish a Standard)	Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO
Service Offer Pursuant to § 4928.143, Ohio)	Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO
Rev. Code, in the Form of an Electric Security)	
Plan)	
)	
)	
)	
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus)	Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM
Southern Power Company and Ohio Power)	Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM
Company for Approval of Certain Accounting)	
Authority)	

POST HEARING BRIEF OF THE ASSOCIATION OF INDEPENDENT COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES OF OHIO; THE CITY OF GROVE CITY; THE CITY OF HILLSBORO; AND THE CITY OF UPPER ARLINGTON

The Association of Independent Colleges and Universities of Ohio ("AICUO"), the City of Grove City, Ohio ("Grove City"), the City of Hillsboro ("Hillsboro") and the City of Upper Arlington ("Upper Arlington") (collectively the "Cities and Colleges") submit this Brief in support of its recommendations in this proceeding, and respectfully request that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO") take notice of, and adopt these recommendations.

ARGUMENT AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The PUCO has been vested with the statutory authority to modify an Electric Security Plan ("ESP") pursuant to Ohio Revised Code § 4928.143 (C)(1) (stating that the PUCO may "modify and approve" an ESP). The Cities and Colleges respectfully request that the PUCO take notice of the following recommendations and modify the Companies' ESP accordingly.

I. The Cities And Colleges Support Those Recommendations Made By The Ohio Consumers' Council And The National Federation Of Independent Business That Would Keep Residential And Small Business Electricity Rates Manageable.

The Cities and Colleges, through this brief, express their support for those arguments made by the Ohio Consumers' Council and the National Federation of Independent Business that will serve to keep rates manageable for residential and small business consumers of electricity. Many of these consumers experienced financial difficulty after the Stipulation in this case was entered into and approved in the year 2011 - difficulty that the Cities and Colleges could not have predicted based upon those projections presented by Columbus Southern Power and the Ohio Power Company (the "Companies") during Stipulation negotiations. The fiscal health of the residential and small business consumer bases is extremely important to local economies, and as such, the Cities and Colleges have a vested interest in ensuring that these consumer bases are protected from excessive rate increases. As such, again, the Cities and Colleges herein support those arguments made by the Ohio Consumers' Council and the National Federation of Independent Business that will serve to keep rates manageable for residential and small business consumers of electricity.

II. Tier 1 Capacity Pricing Should Be Awarded To Any City That Elects To Aggregate, Whether Said Election Occurs Before Or After November 8, 2011.

The Companies propose to provide Tier 1 capacity pricing at \$145.79/MW-day to cities that have approved a governmental aggregation program in the November 8, 2011 election or prior.¹ The November 8, 2011 date is an arbitrary construction and deadline, and should be stricken. Any city that aggregates during the pendency of this ESP should receive Tier 1 capacity pricing.

It became clear that the Companies arbitrarily established the November 8, 2011 deadline during the cross-examination of Company witness Mr. William Allen. During that cross-examination, Mr. Allen revealed that the Companies, in considering those aggregating cities that should be included in Tier 1 capacity pricing, did not make any distinction between those cities that were actively aggregating on November 8, 2011, and those cities that had aggregation status but were not actively aggregating as of November 8, 2011.²

The Companies, then, have three pools of cities which represent three variables in the interplay between governmental aggregation and Tier 1 capacity pricing: (i) a pool of cities that were aggregating as of November 8, 2011; (ii) a pool of cities that have been approved to aggregate but were not doing so as of November 8, 2011; and (iii) a pool of cities that did not hold an election and were not approved to aggregate as of November 8, 2011. Of these three groupings, the Companies can only place the first pool, the pool of cities aggregating as of November 8, 2011, into a category that would definitively award those cities Tier 1 capacity pricing. The second pool of cities may never again aggregate

¹ See Testimony of Witness William Allen, pp.6-7, lines 21-2.

² See Asim Haque Cross-Examination of Witness William Allen, Transcript Volume V, pp. 1567-1573.

and thus, would not represent a variable with a set value in the Companies' calculus. It is as unknown a variable as the third pool, those cities that could hold an election and be approved as a governmental aggregator in 2012 and subsequent years.

The PUCO should allow for any city, whether it has held an election prior to November 8, 2011 or not, to obtain Tier 1 capacity pricing during the pendency of this ESP. Not only does such a decision make sense based upon the Companies' testimony, but as a public policy piece, the State legislature and the PUCO have consistently supported governmental aggregation. The PUCO should continue its support by striking the arbitrary November 8, 2011 deadline and allowing all governmental aggregating cities to obtain Tier 1 capacity pricing during the pendency of this ESP.

III. The Companies Should Be Required To Invest In Economic Development Initiatives Within Its Service Territory.

If this ESP is accepted as is, the Companies stand to make a projected \$350.7 million dollars in earnings and a resulting 7.5% return on equity.³ These numbers are staggering on their face, and especially staggering in the current economic climate. The Companies, through Witness Selwyn Dias, purport to enhance economic development within its service territory through two mechanisms in this ESP: (i) interruptible service; and (ii) the economic development rider.⁴

However, upon cross-examination, Witness Dias was forced to admit that no study had been performed by the Companies to determine if these supposed economic development drivers would in fact create a single job.⁵ Mr. Dias acknowledged that

³ Rebuttal Testimony of Mr. William Allen, p.11, lines 8-11.

⁴ See Testimony of Witness Selwyn Dias, pp. 12-13, lines 9-7.

⁵ See Asim Haque Cross-Examination of Witness Selwyn Dias, Volume VII, pp. 2112-2114, lines 23-19.

certain of the Companies' customers, especially businesses in the Companies' service territory, had experienced large rate increases and financial woes as a result of the 2011 Stipulation and resulting rates.⁶ However, Mr. Dias, the witness selected by the Companies to discuss economic development incentives in this ESP, could not with any certainty state that a single job would be created as a result of the supposed economic development drivers proposed in this modified ESP.

The Cities and Colleges would agree that the Companies are not compelled to assist in local economic development endeavors. However, if the Companies include the concept of economic development within their ESP, and assert that there are mechanisms to enhance economic development efforts are imbedded within their ESP, then these efforts should be real and genuine. Cities, colleges, businesses and residents experienced tremendous financial difficulty over the last year, and should not be treated in such a trivial manner by the Companies who feign the significance of economic development, only to show no calculable impact or plan to enhance local economic development in this ESP.

The PUCO should require that the Companies invest in a direct, calculable impact on economic development within its service territory through the following mechanisms:

• With respect to the AICUO, the PUCO should require that the Companies invest in scholarships for AICUO member institutions so that these institutions can educate and train students that will enter the workforce as productive and well-equipped engineers, scientists, energy policy specialists,

⁶ *Id.* at p. 2115.

etc. This would have a direct impact on education, training and jobs in the Companies' service territory.

With respect to the Cities, the PUCO should require that the Companies reinitiate the Ohio Growth Fund that the Companies proposed in their 2011 ESP. The Ohio Growth Fund, as described in the previous ESP, was meant to provide short-term rate incentives for startups and expansions, infrastructure investment, and direct support for public/private partnerships in the state and local economic development arena. The Cities that have intervened in this proceeding should, along with the Companies, be charged with administering the Fund to those projects that will best serve to enhance economic development within the service territory. Furthermore, the Companies should be required to honor their agreement through the 2011 Stipulation to enter into productive pilot projects (such as the street light and signal project agreed to with Grove City in the 2011 Stipulation) with Grove City, Hillsboro, and Upper Arlington, as such projects will create jobs and infrastructure improvements, both of which were contemplated as direct economic development impacts under through the Ohio Growth Fund as proposed in the 2011 ESP.

These contributions by the Companies would serve as direct, tangible contributions to economic development within the Companies' service territory, and would provide more than just speculative gains to economic development - a concept that the Companies have touted in this modified ESP.

CONCLUSION

The Cities and Colleges respectfully request that the PUCO consider and adopt the recommendations made herein.

Respectfully Submitted,

Gregory J. Dunn (0007353) Christopher L. Miller (0063259) Asim Z. Haque (0081880) Ice Miller LLP 250 West Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 462-2700 (Main Number) (614) 222-4707 (Facsimile)

Attorneys for the Association of Independent Colleges and Universities of Ohio; the City of Grove City, Ohio; the City of Hillsboro, Ohio; and the City of Upper Arlington

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing Post Hearing Brief was served upon the parties of record listed below this 29th day of June, 2012 via electronic mail.

12

Asim Z. Haque

	ny B. Spiller
De De	
Frank P. Darr	puty General Counsel
	rothy K. Corbett
	sociate General Counsel
	East Fourth Street
)3-Main
	ncinnati, Ohio 45202
	ny.Spiller@duke-energy.com
fdarr@mwncmh.com	rothy.corbett@duke-energy.com
5	omas J. O'Brien
87	cker & Eckler LLP
) South Third Street
Findlay, Ohio 45839-1793 Col	lumbus, Ohio 43215-4291
cmooney2@columbus.rr.com tob	rien@bricker.com
Richard L. Sites Day	vid F. Boehm
General Counsel & Senior Director of Mic	chael L. Kurtz
Health Policy Boe	ehm, Kurtz & Lowry
Ohio Hospital Association 36	East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor Cin	cinnati, Ohio 45202
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3620 dbo	ehm@BKLlawfirm.com
ricks@ohanet.org	urtz@BKLlawfirm.com
Mark S. Yurick Ter	ry L. Etter
	ureen R. Grady
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	sistant Consumers' Counsel
,	ice of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
myurick@taftlaw.com 10	West Broad Street, Suite 1800
	umbus, Ohio 43215-3485
	er@occ.state.oh.us
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	dy@occ.state.oh.us

Terrence O'Donnell Christopher Montgomery Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291 todonnell@bricker.com cmontgomery@bricker.com	Lisa G. McAlister Matthew W. Warnock Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291 <u>Imcalister@bricker.com</u> <u>mwarnock@bricker.com</u>
M. Howard Petricoff Stephen M. Howard Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008 <u>mhpetricoff@vorys.com</u> <u>smhoward@vorys.com</u>	William L. Massey Covington & Burling, LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington, DC 20004 <u>wmassey@cov.com</u>
James F. Lang Laura C. McBride N. Trevor Alexandor Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP 1400 KeyBank Center 800 Superior Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44114 jlang@calfee.com Imcbride@calfee.com talexander@calfee.com	Michael R. Smalz Joseph V. Maskovyak Ohio Poverty Law Center 555 Buttles Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43215 msmalz@ohiopovertylaw.org jmaskovyak@ohiopovertylaw.org
Jay E. Jadwin American Electric Power Service Corp. 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 jejadwin@aep.com	Michael J. Settineri Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008 <u>mjsettineri@vorys.com</u>
Henry W. Eckhart Shannon Fisk 1200 Chambers Road, Suite 106 Columbus, Ohio 43212 <u>henryeckhart@aol.com</u> <u>sfisk@nrdc.org</u>	Steven T. Nourse Matthew J. Satterwhite American Electric Power Corp. 1 Riverside Plaza, 29 th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-2373 <u>stnourse@aep.com</u> <u>mjsatterwhite@aep.com</u>

Daniel R. Conway	Kenneth P. Kreider
Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur, LLP	Keating Muething & Klekamp PLL
41 South High Street	One East Fourth Street, Suite 1400
Columbus, Ohio 43215	Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
<u>dconway@porterwright.com</u>	<u>kpkreider@kmklaw.com</u>
Tara C. Santarelli	Holly Rachel Smith
Environmental Law & Policy Center	Holly Rachel Smith, PLLC
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201	3803 Rectortown Road
Columbus, Ohio 43212	Marshall, VA 20115
<u>tsantarelli@elpc.org</u>	holly@raysmithlaw.com
Jesse A. Rodriguez Exelon Generation Company, LLC 300 Exelon Way Kennett Square, PA 19348 jesse.rodriguez@exeloncorp.com	Sandy I-ru Grace Exelon Business Services Company 101 Constitution Avenue NW Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20001 sandy.grace@exeloncorp.com
Mark A. Hayden	Barth E. Royer
AEP Service Company	Bell & Royer Co., LPA
76 South Main Street	33 South Grant Avenue
Akron, Ohio 44308	Columbus, Ohio 43215-3927
haydenm@firstenergycorp.com	<u>BarthRoyer@aol.com</u>
Gary A. Jeffries Dominion Resources Services, Inc. 501 Martindale Street, Suite 400 Pittsburgh, PA 15212-5817 Gary.A.Jeffries@dom.com	Glen Thomas 1060 First Ave., Suite 400 King of Prussia, PA 19406 gthomas@gtpowergroup.com
Nolan Moser Trent A. Dougherty Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201 Columbus, Ohio 43212 <u>nolan@theoec.org</u> <u>trent@theoec.org</u>	Emma F. Hand Douglas G. Bonner Keith Nusbaum SNR Denton US LLP 1301 K Street, Suite 600, East Tower Washington, DC 20005-3364 <u>emma.hand@snrdenton.com</u> <u>doug.bonner@snrdenton.com</u> <u>keith.nusbaum@snrdenton.com</u>

Women Meneral III	D'IT.'
Werner Margard, III	David Fein
John H. Jones	Cynthia Fonner Brady
Assistant Attorney General	Constellation Energy Resources
Chief, Public Utilities Section	550 W. Washington Blvd., Suite
180 East Broad Street, 6 th Floor	Chicago, IL 60661-2595
Columbus, OH 43215-3793	David.fein@constellation.com
Werner.margard@puc.state.oh.us	Cynthia.brady@constellation.com
john.jones@puc.state.oh.us	
David Kutik	Joel Malina
Jones Day	Compete Coalition
North Point	1317 F. Street, N.W.
901 Lakeside Avenue	Suite 600
Cleveland, OH 44114	Washington, DC 20004
<u>dakutik@jonesday.com</u>	malina@wexlerwalker.com
Philip B. Sineneng	Gregory Poulos
Terrance A. Mebane	EnerNoc, Inc.
Thompson Hine LLP	101 Federal Street, Suite 1100
41 S. High St., Suite 1700	Boston, MA 02110
Columbus, OH 43215	gpoulos@enernoc.com
Philip.sineneng@thompsonhine.com	
Terrance.Mebane@ThompsonHine.com	
Laura Chappelle	Allison E. Haedt
4218 Jacob Meadows	Jones Day
Okemos, MI 48864	PO Box 165017
laurac@chappelleconsulting.net	Cleveland, OH 43216-5017
<u> </u>	aehaedt@jonesday.com
Carolyn S. Flahive	Lija K. Kaleps-Clark
Thompson Hine LLP	
41 South High Street, Suite 1700	Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease
Columbus, OH 43215-6101	52 E. Gay Street PO Box 1008
Carolyn.Flahive@ThompsonHine.com	Columbus, OH 43216
	lkalepsclark@vorys.com
Christopher J. Allwein	Jodi Bair
callwein@wamenergylaw.com	Jodi.Bair@puc.state.oh.us
	Bob Fortney
	Bob.Fortney@puc.state.oh.us

Doris McCarter	aaragona@eimerstahl.com
Doris.McCarter@puc.state.oh.us	cvince@sonnenschein.com
	dclark1@aep.com
Stephen Reilly	dbarnowski@sonnenschein.com
Stephen.Reily@puc.state.oh.us	dclark1@aep.com
	dmeyer@kmklaw.com
Bill Wright	dstahl@eimerstahl.com
Bill.wright@puc.state.oh.us	jestes@skadden.com
	jroberts@enernoc.com
Thomas Lindgren	kbowman@mwncmh.com
Thomas.lindgren@puc.state.oh.us	korenergy@insight.rr.com
	<u>ned.ford@fuse.net</u>
bingham@occ.state.oh.us	paul.wight@skadden.com
greta.see@puc.state.oh.us	rgannon@mwncmh.com
Jonathan.Tauber@puc.state.oh.us	rplawrence@aep.com
Daniel.Shields@puc.state.oh.us	Stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com
Tammy.Turkenton@puc.state.oh.us	<u>zkravitz@cwslaw.com</u>
mallarnee@occ.state.oh.us	afreifeld@viridityenergy.com
	amvogel@aep.com
dsullivan@nrdc.org	jkooper@hess.com
joseph.dominguez@exeloncorp.com	BAKahn@vorys.com
grady@occ.state.oh.us	kguerry@hess.com
cathy@theoec.org	swolfe@veridityenergy.com
cynthia.a.fonner@constellation.com	ssolberg@eimerstahl.com
sasloan@aep.com	drinebolt@ohiopartners.org
Dane.Stinson@baileycavalieri.com	tlindsey@uaoh.net
cendsley@ofbg.org	rjhart@hahnlaw.com
bpbarger@bcslawyers.com	rremington@hahnlaw.com
OhioESP2@aep.com	djmichalski@hahnlaw.com
kaelber@buckleyking.com	ssalamido@cloppertlaw.com
walter@buckleyking.com	whitt@whitt-sturtevant.com
Jeanne.Kingery@duke-energy.com	Thompson@whitt-sturtevant.com
Elizabeth.watts@duke-energy.com	bakahn@vorys.com
Rocco.d'ascenzo@duke-energy.com	kwatson@cloppertlaw.com
jmclark@vectren.com	toddm@wamenergylaw.com
<u>sbruce@oada.com</u>	mchristensen@columbuslaw.org
cmoore@porterwright.com	Arthur.beeman@snrdenton.com
<u>yalami@aep.com</u>	vparisi@igsenergy.com
judi.sobecki@DPLINC.com	Clinton.vince@snrdenton.com
randall.griffin@DPLINC.com	jestes@skadden.com
matt@matthewcoxlaw.com	paul.wight@skadden.com
rsugarman@keglerbrown.com	Stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com
jhummer@uaoh.net	dmeyer@kmklaw.com
Greg.price@puc.state.oh.us	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

6/29/2012 4:15:38 PM

in

Case No(s). 11-0346-EL-SSO, 11-0348-EL-SSO, 11-0349-EL-AAM, 11-0350-EL-AAM

Summary: Brief Post Hearing Brief of The Association of Independent Colleges and Universities of Ohio; The City of Grove City; The City of Hillsboro, Ohio; and The City of Upper Arlington electronically filed by Mr. Asim Z. Haque on behalf of City of Hillsboro, Ohio and The Association of Independent Colleges and Universities of Ohio and The City of Grove City, Ohio and The City of Upper Arlington, Ohio