BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of)	
Columbus Southern Power Company and)	
Ohio Power Company for Authority to)	Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO
Establish a Standard Service Offer)	Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO
Pursuant to § 4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code,)	
)	
In the Form of an Electric Security Plan		
In the Matter of the Application of)	
Columbus Southern Power Company and)	Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM
Ohio Power Company for Approval of)	Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM
Certain Accounting Authority)	

MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER OF CONFIDENTIAL VERSION OF ORMET PRIMARY ALUMINUM CORPORATION'S POST-HEARING BRIEF

Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation ("Ormet"), by its attorneys and pursuant to Section 4901-1-24(D) of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio's ("Commission") rules (O.A.C. § 4901-1-24(D)), hereby moves the Commission to enter a protective order keeping confidential certain designated confidential and/or proprietary information contained on pages 1-3 and 13-14 of the unredacted version of Ormet's Post-Hearing Brief. Consistent with the requirements of Section 4901-1-24(D) of the Commission's rules, an original and two unredacted copies containing the confidential information which is the subject of this motion have been hand-delivered to the Commission today for filing under seal. The relevant portions of the brief for which the protective order is sought and the reasons supporting this motion are detailed in the attached Memorandum in Support.

s/ Thomas R. Millar

SNR DENTON US LLP Emma F. Hand Dan Barnowski James Rubin Thomas R. Millar 1301 K Street, NW Suite 600, East Tower Washington, DC 20005 emma.hand@snrdenton.com 202-408-6400 (phone) 202-408-6399 (fax)

Counsel for Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation

Dated: June 29, 2012

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of)	
Columbus Southern Power Company and)	
Ohio Power Company for Authority to)	Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO
Establish a Standard Service Offer)	Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO
Pursuant to § 4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code,)	
)	
In the Form of an Electric Security Plan		
In the Matter of the Application of)	
Columbus Southern Power Company and)	Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM
Ohio Power Company for Approval of)	Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM
Certain Accounting Authority)	
- ·		

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER OF CONFIDENTIAL VERSION OF ORMET PRIMARY ALUMINUM CORPORATION'S POST-HEARING BRIEF

Ormet respectfully requests that portions of its Post-Hearing Brief be designated as confidential and protected from public disclosure. The information for which protection is sought includes information that was introduced during the recently-concluded hearing in the above-captioned matter in closed, confidential session and that was produced to Ormet in discovery subject to a Confidentiality Agreement. Specifically, the brief discusses information that Ohio Power Company (now merged with Columbus Southern Power Company and referred to herein as "AEP Ohio") produced in response to First Energy Solutions' ("FES") Interrogatory 5-05, which has been treated by the Commission as confidential. Ormet introduced this interrogatory response into evidence at the hearing subject to its confidential treatment as Ormet Exhibit 101. To introduce that document and question Mr. David M. Roush about it, Ormet also moved the hearing into confidential session. *See generally* Hr'g Tr., vol. IV, May 22, 2012 (Confidential). Additionally, Ormet again moved the Commission into confidential session when putting on its own witness Whitfield A. Russell, who offered testimony regarding information that drew upon the AEP Ohio's response to FES-INT-5-05 and Hr'g Tr., vol. IV (Confidential). *See*

generally Hr'g Tr., vol. XIV, June 6, 2012 (Confidential).

The portions of Ormet's Post-Hearing Brief where confidential information is discussed are listed in the table below and identified in the brief with the designation [BEGIN

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION . . . END CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION]:

Redacted Sentence			Page Number
	estioning by Commissioner A		1
	wn witness acknowledged th		
1 0	that the current Electric Sec	•	
	use Ormet's electricity rates l		
CONFIDENTIAL INFO	ORMATION EN	ID CONFIDENTIAL	
INFORMATION]comp	ared to its 2011 rates.		
The real rate increase for	or Ormet, as was explained b	y the uncontroverted	2
_	ness Whitfield A. Russell, w		
CONFIDENTIAL INFO	·	END	
CONFIDENTIAL INFO	ORMATION] if the fuel cost	ts increases projected by	
AEP Ohio witness Oliv	AEP Ohio witness Oliver J. Sever prove accurate.		
For example, according	to the evidence presented in	the case, it is clear that	2-3
	experience a rate increase of	as much as [BEGIN	
CONFIDENTIAL INFO	ORMATION \$EN	ID CONFIDENTIAL	
	its 2011 rates by 2013. See		
12, June 6, 2012. This	would represent a [BEGIN of	CONFIDENTIAL	
INFORMATION	END CONFIDENTI	AL INFORMATION]	
increase over the 2011 rates.			
In a line of questioning in which Commissioner Porter probed AEP Ohio			13
witness Roush's statements that Ormet's rates could increase by over [BEGIN			
CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION END CONFIDENTIAL			
INFORMATION] under the currently proposed ESP plan, Commissioner Porter			
concluded as follows: '	'[BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL	INFORMATION	
END CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION]"			
Take, for example, the impact that the FAC has on the GS-4 tariff rate. The			13-14
FAC component of that rate has increased 60% since 2009 and 22% since 2011.			
FAC Average Cost	\$22.09	100%	
in 2009			
FAC Average Cost	\$25.80	117%	
in 2010			
FAC Average Cost	\$28.94	131%	
in 2011			
FAC for Q2 2012 is	\$35.42	160%	
AEP Ohio's	[BEGIN	[BEGIN	
Estimated FAC for	CONFIDENTIAL	CONFIDENTIAL	
2013	INFORMATION	INFORMATION	
	END CONFIDENTIAL	END CONFIDENTIAL	

	INFORMATION	INFORMATION	13-14
Based on AEP Ohio's estimate, the actual impact on Ormet as a result of			
increased fuel costs will be [BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION]			
END CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION].			

AEP Ohio has asserted that the public release of the information designated as confidential in Ormet's brief would harm the Company. Other portions of Ormet's Post-Hearing Brief have been redacted because they reveal information obtained in portions of the hearing designated confidential and non-public. They were treated confidentially at hearing and are a part of this motion because they discuss the information contained in FES-5-05. For these reasons, as more specifically laid out below, the information redacted from the public version of Ormet's Post-Hearing Brief should be subject to a protective order by the Commission. Ormet therefore requests that the Commission enter such an order to ensure that this information remains confidential and protected and not subject to public disclosure.

Section 4901-1-24(D) of the Commission's rules provides that the Commission or certain designated employees may issue an order which is necessary to protect the confidentiality of information contained in documents filed with the Commission's Docketing Division to the extent that state or federal law prohibits the release of the information and where non-disclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. Ohio Admin. Code § 4901-1-24(D) (2012). As set forth herein, the information described above has been represented as confidential and sensitive business information by AEP Ohio and has been provided to Ormet subject to a protective agreement. Therefore, Ormet requests that this information be protected from disclosure. The Commission regularly grants such protective orders. *See, e.g., Elyria Tel. Co.*, Case No. 89-965-TP-AEC (Finding and Order, Sept. 21, 1989); *Ohio Bell Tel. Co.*, Case No. 89-718-TP-ATA (Finding and Order, May 31, 1989); *Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc.*, Case No. 90-17-GA-GCR (Entry, Aug. 17, 1990).

While the Commission has often expressed its preference for open proceedings, the

Commission also long ago recognized its statutory obligations with regard to trade secrets:

The Commission is of the opinion that the "public records" statute must also be read *in pari materia* with Section 1333.31, Revised Code ("trade secrets" statute). The latter statute must be interpreted as evincing the recognition, on the part of the General Assembly, of the value of trade secret information.

In re: General Telephone Co., Case No. 81-383-TP-AIR (Entry, Feb. 17, 1982). Section 1333.61(D) identifies the definition of a trade secret as set forth in the Uniform Trade Secrets Act:

"Trade Secret" means information, including the whole or any portion or phase of any scientific or technical information, design, process, procedure, formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method, technique, or improvement, or any business information or plans, financial information, or listing of names, addresses, or telephone numbers that satisfies both of the following:

- (1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.
- (2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.

Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 1331.61(D) (West 2012). The Commission facilitates the protection of such trade secrets in its rules in the Administrative Code as well. *See* Ohio Admin. Code § 4901-124(A)(7) (2012). AEP Ohio, in providing the information for which Ormet is requesting a protective order, has asserted that their projections of fuel cost changes, and discussion of them, constitute trade secrets.

In *State ex rel The Plain Dealer v. Ohio Dept. of Ins.*, the Ohio Supreme Court adopted a six factor test to analyze whether information is a trade secret under Revised Code section 1331:

(1) The extent to which the information is known outside the business; (2)

the extent to which it is known to those inside the business, i.e., by the employees; (3) the precautions taken by the holder of the trade secret to guard the secrecy of the information; (4) the savings effected and the value to the holder in having the information as against competitors; (5) the amount of effort or money expended in obtaining and developing the information; and (6) the amount of time and expense it would take for others to acquire and duplicate the information.

State ex rel. The Plain Dealer v. Ohio Dept. of Ins., 80 Ohio St. 3d 513, 524-25 (1997).

Applying these factors to the portions of Ormet's Post-Hearing Brief for which Ormet seeks confidential treatment indicates that a protective order should be granted. The Fuel Adjustment Clause ("FAC") projections are not known outside the business; they are known inside AEP Ohio only to a limited extent; AEP Ohio has consistently sought its treatment as a trade secret; AEP Ohio's competitors could use that information to their competitive advantage; the information and forecasting and negotiation efforts that underlie were expensive for AEP Ohio to develop; and others would face comparable cost to develop it on their own, if that were even possible. *Id.* For these reasons, the *Plain Dealer* factors favor treating the confidential portions of Ormet's Post-Hearing Brief as Trade Secrets. *Id.* That is why the Hearing Examiners were justified in moving the hearing into closed session when these topics came up, and that is why Ormet has redacted the portions of its Post-Hearing Brief that draw on the confidential hearing transcripts discussing these issues.

Accordingly, by this motion and memorandum in support Ormet seeks to uphold its agreement with AEP Ohio and treat the information AEP Ohio has designated as confidential as such in the Post-Hearing Brief and ensure that it is filed under seal pursuant to a confidentiality order by the Commission.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Thomas R. Millar

SNR DENTON US LLP Emma F. Hand Dan Barnowski James Rubin Thomas R. Millar 1301 K Street, NW Suite 600, East Tower Washington, DC 20005 emma.hand@snrdenton.com 202-408-6400 (phone) 202-408-6399 (fax)

Counsel for Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation

Dated: June 29, 2012

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Motion for Protective Order of Confidential Version of Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation's Post-Hearing Brief was served this 29th day of June, 2012, via the PUCO electronic filing system and/or by U.S. mail, on the parties listed below.

/s/ Thomas R. Millar

SNR Denton US LLP Thomas R. Millar 1301 K Street, NW Suite 600, East Tower Washington, DC 20005 emma.hand@snrdenton.com 202-408-6400 (phone) 202-408-6399 (fax)

Attorney for Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation

Steven T. Nourse Matthew J. Satterwhite Yazen Alami American Electric Power Corp. 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

Vick Leach-Payne
Samuel C. Randazzo
Joseph E. Oliker
Frank P. Darr
Matthew R. Pritchard
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 East State Street, 17th Fl
Columbus, OH 43215
The Kroger Co.
Linda Viens
60 Worthington Mall
Worthington, OH 43085

Michael Kurtz David F. Boehm Kurt Boehm Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202

Richard L. Sites Ohio Hospital Association 155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Paul F. Wight John Estes, III Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP 1440 New York Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20005 Maureen R. Grady Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 W Broad Street Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215

The Kroger Co.
John W. Bentine
Mark Yurick
Zachary D. Kravitz
Chester Wilcox & Saxbe LLP
65 E State Street
Suite 1000
Columbus, OH 43215

Jesse A. Rodriquez Exelon Generation Company, LLC 300 Exelon Way Kennett Square, PA 19348

Lisa G. McAlister Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215

Lija K. Kaieps-Clark M. Howard Petricoff Stephen Howard Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease 52 E. Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008

Sandy I-ru Grace 101 Constitution Avenue, NW Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20001

Jeffrey Small
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 180
Columbus, OH 43215

Thomas J. O'Brien
Teresa Orahood
Ohio Hospital Association
Bricker & Eckler LLP
100 South Third Street
Columbus, OH 43215

Andrew Campbell Melissa L. Thompson Mark A. Whitt Whitt Sturtevant LLP PNC Plaza, Ste. 2020 155 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Dane Stinson Bailey Cavalieri LLC 10 West Broad Street, Ste. 2100 Columbus, OH 43215

Deb J. Bingham Patti Mallarnee Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 W. Broad St., 18th Fl. Columbus, OH 43215

Christopher L. Miller Gregory H. Dunn Asim Z. Haque Stephen J. Smith C. Todd Jones Ice Miller LLP 250 West Street Columbus, OH 43215

United Way of Jefferson County 501 Washington Street P.O. Box 1463 Steubenville, OH 43952

Vincent Parisi Interstate Gas Supply 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, OH 43016 Gary A. Jeffries Dominion Resources Services, Inc. 501 Martindale Street Suite 400 Pittsburgh, PA 15212-5817

Ohio Construction Materials Coalition Attn: Brian Barger Brady, Coyle & Schmidt, Ltd. 4052 Holland-Sylvania Road Toledo, OH 43623 David A. Kutik Jones Day 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114

Constellation NewEnergy Inc. Cynthia Fonner Brady David Fein 550 W Washington Street, Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60661

Allison E. Haedt Grant W. Weber Jones Day P.O. Box 165017 325 John H. McConnell Boulevard Suite 600 Columbus, OH 43216

Steve Howard 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43215

Joseph M. Clark Direct Energy Services, LLC 6641 North High Street, Ste. 200 Worthington, OH 43085 Dianne Kuhnell Matt Walz, Vice President Duke Energy Business Services 139 East Fourth Street EA025 PO Box 960 Cincinnati, OH 45201

Chad A. Endsley Ohio Farm Bureau Federation 280 N. High Street Columbus, OH 43218

Jennifer Duffer Armstrong & Okey, Inc. 222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor Columbus, OH 43215

James F. Lang
Laura C. McBride
N. Trevor Alexander
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP
1400 KeyBank Center
800 Superior Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44114

Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy David C. Rinebolt 231 West Lima Street Findlay, OH 45839

Robert A. McMahon Eberly McMahon LLC 2321 Kemper Lane, Suite 100 Cincinnati, OH 45206

AEP Retail Energy Partners LLC Anne M. Vogel 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 Amy Spiller Rocco D'Ascenzo Elizabeth Watts 139 E. Fourth Street, 1303-Main P.O. Box 961 Cincinnati, OH 45202

Roger P. Sugarman Kegler, Brown, Hill & Ritter 1800 Capitol Square 65 East State Street Columbus, OH 43215

Larry F. Eisenstate Richard Lehfeldt Bradley Hutter Robert Kinder, Jr. Dickstein Shapiro LLP 1825 Eye Street, NW Washington, DC 20006

Carolyn S. Flahive Philip B. Sineneng Terrance Mebane Stephanie Chmiel Thompson Hine LLP 41 S. High Street, Ste. 1700 Columbus, OH 43215

Sue Salamido Kristin Watson Cloppert, Latanick, Sauter & Washburn 225 E. Broad St., 4th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Nolan Moster
Trent Dougherty
Tara Santarelli
The Ohio Environmental Council
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201
Columbus, OH 43212

Andrea P. Govan Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215 Sara Reich Bruce Staff Counsel Ohio Metro Automobile Dealers Association 655 Metro Place South, Ste. 270 Dublin, OH 43017

Christopher Allwein Todd M. Williams Williams Allwein & Moser, LLC 1373 Grandview Ave, Suite 212r Columbus, OH 43212

Randy Hart Rob Remington David Michalski Hahn Loeser & Parks LLP 200 Public Square, Ste. 2800 Cleveland, OH 44114

Robert Burke Braith Kelly Competitive Powers Ventures, Inc. 8403 Colesville, Road, Ste. 915 Silver Spring, MD 20910

Mary W. Christensen Christensen Law Offices 8760 Orion Place, Ste. 300 Columbus, OH 43240-2109

Kenneth Kreider Holly Rachel Smith Keating Muething & Klekamp PPL Hitt Business Center 3603 Rectortown Road Marshall, VA 20115

First Energy Solutions Corp Louis M. D'Alessandris 341 White Pond Drive Akron, OH 44320 Judi L. Sobecki Dayton Power and Light Company 1065 Woodman Drive Dayton, OH 45432

Matthew R. Cox Matthew Cox Law, Ltd. 4145 St. Theresa Blvd. Avon, OH 44011

Jeanne W. Kingery Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. 139 E. Fourth Street Cincinnati, OH 45201-0960 Teresa Ringenbach, Manager Direct Energy & Services LLC Government & Regulatory Affairs 9605 El Camino Lane Plain City, OH 43064

Christen M. Moore Porter Wright Morris & Arthur, LLP 41 South High Street, 30th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Carys Cochern Duke Energy 155 East Borad Street, 21st Floor Columbus, OH 43215 This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

6/29/2012 3:49:20 PM

in

Case No(s). 11-0346-EL-SSO, 11-0348-EL-SSO, 11-0349-EL-AAM, 11-0350-EL-AAM

Summary: Motion MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER OF CONFIDENTIAL VERSION OF ORMET PRIMARY ALUMINUM CORPORATION'S POST-HEARING BRIEF and MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT THEREOF electronically filed by Ms. Emma F Hand on behalf of Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation