

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO COR CO CO C

)

)

In the Matter of the Commission Review of the Capacity Charges of Ohio Power and Columbus Southern Power Company.

Case No. 10-2929-EL-UNC

# REPLY BRIEF OF BUCKEYE ASSOCIATION OF SCHOOL ADMINISTRATORS, OHIO ASSOCIATION OF SCHOOL BUSINESS OFFICIALS, OHIO SCHOOL BOARD ASSOCIATION, AND OHIO SCHOOLS COUNCIL

Dane Stinson, Esq. BAILEY CAVALIERI LLC 10 West Broad Street, Suite 2100 Columbus, OH 43215-3422 (614) 221-3155 (telephone) (614) 221-0479 (fax) Dane.Stinson@BaileyCavalieri.com

Attorney for Ohio Schools

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. Technician \_\_\_\_\_\_ Date Processed 5-30-12

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

<i>I</i> .	INTRODUCTION AND CONCLUSION 1
II.	ARGUMENT2
	A. SHOPPING SCHOOLS FACE RATE SHOCK OR CRES
	CONTRACT TERMINATION UNDER AEP OHIO'S COST BASED
	CAPACITY CHARGE PROPOSAL IN VIOLATION OF SECTIONS
	4928.02(A) AND (C), OHIO REV. CODE2
	<b>B. NON-SHOPPING SCHOOLS WILL BE DENIED THE BENEFITS</b>
	OF SHOPPING UNDER AEP OHIO'S COST BASED CAPACITY
	CHARGE PROPOSAL IN VIOLATION OF SECTION 4928.02(C),
	OHIO REV. CODE4
	C. STANDARD OF REVIEW5
III.	CONCLUSION

# I. INTRODUCTION AND CONCLUSION

In this proceeding, AEP Ohio<sup>1</sup> seeks an enormous increase in the capacity charge that it assesses to competitive retail electric service ("CRES") providers – from approximately \$20/MW-day (the traditional Reliability Pricing Model ("RPM") price), to approximately \$355/MW-day (AEP Ohio's proposed "cost-based" price). Ohio Schools' Exhibit 101, at 5-6. As stated in their Initial Brief filed May 23, 2012, the Ohio Schools<sup>2</sup> intervened in this proceeding to avoid the rate shock that many school districts experienced under the order issued December 14, 2011, which approved a stipulation resolving this case and related cases. Ohio Schools' Motion to Intervene, at 6. The Ohio Schools' primary concerns are that the massive capacity charge increase AEP Ohio is proposing in this phase of the proceeding:

- A. would be passed through to school districts which currently shop, causing similar rate shock;
- B. would prevent non-shopping schools from shopping and from realizing the benefits of a competitive marketplace, and
- C. would force schools to reduce funding for teaching and staff positions, equipment and materials.

Unfortunately, AEP Ohio's Initial Brief filed May 23, 2012, confirms the Ohio Schools' fears. On brief, AEP Ohio recognizes that the capacity charge increase may be passed through to shopping consumers and advances the incredible position that consumers' appropriate remedy is to terminate their CRES contracts, pay early termination fees (AEP Ohio Initial Brief, at 18-19),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company merged effective December 31, 2011. Ohio Power Company is the surviving entity and will be referred to as AEP-Ohio.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Joint Intervenors Buckeye Association of School Administrators, Ohio Association of School Business Officials, Ohio School Boards Association and Ohio Schools Council are collectively referred to as the "Ohio Schools."

and apparently return to AEP Ohio's standard service offer ("SSO"). While acknowledging this potential termination of all shopping contracts, AEP Ohio has the gall to claim that its proposed \$355.72/MW-day capacity charge actually promotes retail competition in this state. AEP Ohio Initial Brief, at 16. AEP Ohio's position is more galling considering that it admits in its Motion for Relief filed February 27, 2012, that an increased capacity charge of \$255/MW-day was needed in order to keep its customers from shopping. Entry of March 7, 2012, at 5. AEP Ohio's position clearly violates the state policy contained in Section 4928.02(C), Ohio Rev. Code, which is to "[e]nsure diversity of electricity supplies and suppliers, by giving consumers effective choices over the selection of those supplies and suppliers..."

As stated in the Ohio Schools' Initial Brief, if the increased capacity charges are passedthrough to customers, the attendant rate shock will violate Section 4928.02(A), Ohio Rev. Code, which requires the Commission to ensure reasonably priced electric service.

AEP Ohio has failed to show that its proposed capacity charge complies with the policies of the State of Ohio contained in Section 4928.02, Ohio Rev. Code. Accordingly, its request to change the state capacity compensation mechanism from RPM to its "cost-based" proposal must be denied.

# II. ARGUMENT

# A. SHOPPING SCHOOLS FACE RATE SHOCK OR CRES CONTRACT TERMINATION UNDER AEP OHIO'S COST BASED CAPACITY CHARGE PROPOSAL IN VIOLATION OF SECTIONS 4928.02(A) AND (C), OHIO REV. CODE.

Ohio Schools' witness Frye testified at hearing that AEP Ohio traditionally has charged CRES providers for capacity at RPM prices (Schools Ex. 101, at 5), and that CRES providers had relied on AEP Ohio's RPM pricing when setting the price in their contracts with Ohio's schools. Id., at 8, 9. However, to protect against subsequent and material regulatory changes, e.g., changes to capacity charges and new taxes, CRES providers inserted provisions in their contracts that would permit them to pass through such incremental costs to consumers. Id., at 9; Tr. XI, at 1769.

Mr. Frye's assertion that AEP Ohio's "cost-based" \$355.72/MW-day capacity charge could be passed through to Ohio's schools was not disputed at hearing.<sup>3</sup> Nor does AEP Ohio dispute Mr. Frye's assertion on brief. Indeed, AEP Ohio recognizes the existence of such contract provisions and advances the incredible argument that, if the capacity charges are passed through to consumers, the consumer's remedy is to terminate the contract, incur early termination fees (AEP Ohio Initial Brief, at 18-19), and apparently return to AEP Ohio's standard service offer ("SSO"). While acknowledging the potential termination of all shopping contracts, AEP Ohio has the gall to claim that its proposed \$355.72/MW-day capacity charge actually promotes retail competition in this state. AEP Ohio Initial Brief, at 16. AEP Ohio's position clearly violates the state policy contained in Section 4928.02(C), Ohio Rev. Code, which is to "[e]nsure diversity of electricity supplies and suppliers, by giving consumers effective choices over the selection of those supplies and suppliers..."

As stated in the Ohio Schools' Initial Brief, if the increased capacity charges are passedthrough to customers, the attendant rate shock will violate Section 4928.02(A), Ohio Rev. Code, which requires the Commission to ensure reasonably priced electric service.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Indeed, CRES providers confirmed that such pass-through would occur. See, e.g., Tr. IV, at 784; Tr. VIII, at 1693.

#### **B.** NON-SHOPPING SCHOOLS WILL BE DENIED THE BENEFITS OF SHOPPING UNDER AEP OHIO'S COST BASED CAPACITY CHARGE PROPOSAL IN VIOLATION OF SECTION 4928.02(C), OHIO REV. CODE.

Similar to his analysis with shopping schools, Ohio School's witness Frye testified that non-shopping schools would be harmed by AEP Ohio's proposed capacity charge increase, as it would increase CRES providers' price of service and impair shopping. Schools Ex. 101, at 10-11. Mr. Frye testified that even a partial pass-through of the costs would impair shopping. Tr. XI, at 1769-1770.

On brief, AEP Ohio claims that the \$355.72/MW-day capacity charge will not impair shopping, yet bases its argument largely on the fact that some CRES providers could make contract offers to some customers based on the current second tier \$255/MW-day capacity charge. AEP Ohio Initial Brief, at 17-18. Shopping at the \$255 capacity price clearly is not probative of the shopping that will occur at the \$355.72 level.

AEP Ohio also argues that "some" CRES providers could provide some contracts at the \$355.72 price (AEP Ohio Initial Brief at 17-18); however, in doing so it misrepresents the testimony of the Retail Energy Suppliers Association ("RESA"). RESA's testimony makes clear that CRES providers generally could not make such offers because the "financials" wouldn't support the contract. Tr. IV, at 820-821. The only situation in which such contracts would be offered would be where a CRES provider was serving a customer in other states and included the customers' Ohio facilities as part of national account. Id. Multiple intervenors, including RESA, testified that shopping would be severely impaired or non-existent at the \$355.72 level. See Tr. VIII, at 1564; Tr. IV, at 784; RESA Exhibit 101, at 5, 12; IGS Exhibit 101, at 5; NFIB Exhibit 101, at 5;

4

AEP Ohio's intent to impair shopping is made evident by its Motion for Relief filed in this proceeding on February 27, 2012, in which it asked the Commission for an interim twotiered capacity charge, with the Tier 1 charge of approximately \$145.79/MW-day available only to the first 21% of load in each customer class, and the Tier 2 charge of \$255 available to all other shopping customers. AEP Ohio sought the two-tiered charge claiming that, if the charge were based solely on RPM pricing (currently at \$145.79/MW-day), it would lose a majority of its customers to shopping. Entry of March 7, 2012, at 5. The impairment will be much more significant if the Commission were to approve AEP-Ohio's proposed capacity charge of \$355.72/MW-day in this phase of the proceeding. AEP Ohio clearly intends to economically require a substantial portion of its distribution customers to remain on its standard service offer. Thus, the proposed charge violates Section 4928.02(C), Ohio Rev. Code, because it deprives consumers of effective choices over their electric supplies and suppliers.

# C. STANDARD OF REVIEW

Conspicuously absent from AEP Ohio's brief is the statutory standard of review under which the Commission is to determine this proceeding. Instead, AEP Ohio relies on PJM's Reliability Assurance Agreement ("RAA") to support its "right" to cost-based capacity pricing, and specifically Section D.8 of Schedule 8.1, which provides:

> In the case of load reflected in the FRR Capacity Plan that switches to [a CRES], where the state regulatory jurisdiction requires switching customers or the [CRES] to compensate the FRR Entity for its FRR capacity obligations, such state compensation mechanism will prevail. In the absence of a state compensation mechanism, the applicable [CRES] shall compensate the FRR Entity at [rest-of-pool or "RTO" clearing prices], provided that the FRR Entity may, at any time, make a filing with FERC under Sections 205 of the Federal Power Act proposing to change the basis for compensation to a method based on the FRR Entity's costs or such other basis shown to be just and reasonable. (Emphasis supplied.)

It is settled that the Commission has adopted RPM pricing as the state compensation mechanism for capacity. See Opinion and Order, December 8, 2010. Under the above language, Ohio's RPM compensation mechanism prevails, and precludes AEP Ohio from seeking cost based capacity rates at the FERC. Rather, Ohio's statutory provisions apply. In this instance, the applicable statutory provisions are contained in the state policies set forth in Section 4928.02, Ohio Rev. Code. The Ohio Schools, and other intervenors in this proceeding, have shown that AEP Ohio's proposed changes to the state compensation mechanism for capacity miserably fails these state policies and must be denied.

#### III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Ohio Schools respectfully request the Commission to order AEP Ohio to continue its traditional, competitively-based, RPM pricing.

Respectfully submitted,

Dane Stinson, Esq. BAILEY CAVALIERI LLC 10 West Broad Street, Suite 2100 Columbus, OH 43215-3422 (614) 221-3155 (telephone) (614) 221-0479 (fax) Dane.Stinson@BaileyCavalieri.com Attorney for Ohio Schools

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true copy of the foregoing Reply Brief was served by electronic mail this 30<sup>th</sup> day of May upon the following.

and Strins

Dane Stinson

Steven T. Nourse, Counsel of Record Matthew J. Satterwhite American Electric Power Service Corporation 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 <u>stnourse@aep.com</u> mjsatterwhite@aep.com

Mark Hayden First Energy 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308 haydenm@firstenergycorp.com

David A. Kutik Jones Day 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44144 <u>dakutik@jonesday.com</u>

James F. Lang Laura C. McBride N. Trevor Alexander Calfee, Halter & Griswold, LLP 1400 KeyBank Center 800 Superior Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114 jlang@calfee.com Imcbride@calfee.com talexander@calfee.com Daniel R. Conway Porter Wright Morris & Arthur Huntington Center 41 South High Street Columbus, OH 43215 dconway@porterwright.com

Allison E. Haedt Jones Day 901 Lakeside Avenue Columbus, OH 43216 <u>aehaedt@jonesday.com</u>

Cynthia Fonner Brady David I. Fein 550 W. Washington St., Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60661 cynthia.a.fonner@constellation.com david.fein@constellation.com

Terry L. Etter Maureen R. Grady Jeffrey L. Small Office of the Ohio Consumer Counsel 10 West Broad St., Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215-3485 etter@occ.state.oh.us grady@occ.state.oh.us small@occ.state.oh.us Dorothy K. Corbett Amy Spiller Jeanne W. Kingery Duke Energy Retail Sales Q39 East Fourth Street 1303-Main Cincinnati, OH 45202 <u>dorothy.corbett@duke-energy.com</u> <u>amy.spiller@duke-energy.com</u>

Richard L. Sites Ohio Hospital Association 155 East Broad St., 15th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 <u>ricks@ohanet.org</u>

Thomas J. O'Brien Bricker & Eckler 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215 tobrien@bricker.com

Jay E. Jadwin 155 West Nationwide Blvd., Suite 500 Columbus, OH 43215 jejadwin@aep.com

Terrance O'Donnell Christopher Montgomery Bricker & Eckler, LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215 todonnell@bricker.com cmontgomery@bricker.com

Lisa G. McAlister Matthew W. Warnock Bricker & Eckler, LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215 <u>Imcalister@bricker.com</u> <u>mwarnock@bricker.com</u> John W. Bentine J. Bentine Chester Wilcox & Saxbe, LLP 65 East State St., Suite 1000 Columbus, OH 43215 jbentine@cwslaw.com jbentine@taftlaw.com

David F. Boehm Michael L. Kurtz Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh St., Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202 <u>dboehm@bkllawfirm.com</u> <u>mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com</u>

David M. Stahl Eimer Stahl Klevorn & Solberg, LLP 224 South Michigan Ave., Suite 1100 Chicago, IL 60604 <u>dstahl@eimerstahl.com</u>

Glen Thomas 1060 First Avenue, Suite 400 King of Prussia, PA 19406 gthomas@gtpowergroup.com

Michael R. Smalz Joseph V. Maskovyak Ohio Poverty Law Center 555 Buttles Avenue Columbus, OH 43215 <u>msmalz@ohiopovertylaw.org</u> jmaskovyak@ohiopovertylaw.org

Trent A. Dougherty Nolan Moser Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Ave., Suite 201 Columbus, OH 43212 trent@theoec.org cathy@theoec.org William L. Massey Covington & Burling, LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20004 wmassey@cov.com

Mark A. Whitt Whitt Sturtevant LLP PNC Plaza, Suite 2020 155 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215 whitt@whitt-sturtevant.com

Sandy Grace Exelon Business Services Company 101 Constitution Avenue, NW Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20001 sandy.grace@exeloncorp.com

Gregory H. Dunn Counsel of Record Chrisopher L. Miller Asim Z. Hague Ice Miller LLP 250 West Street Columbus, OH 43215 <u>Gregory.dunn@icemiller.com</u> <u>Christopher.miller@icemiller.com</u> <u>Asim.hague@icemiller.com</u>

Steve W. Chriss Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. 2001 SE 10th Street Bentonville, AR 72716 <u>stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com</u>

Barth E. Royer Bell & Royer Co., LPA 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, OH 43215 <u>barthroyer@aol.com</u> Henry W. Eckhart 2100 Chambers Road, Suite 106 Columbus, OH 43212 henryeckhart@aol.com

Laura Chappell 4218 Jacob Meadows Okemos, MI 48864 laurac@chappelleconsulting.net

Gary A. Jeffries Dominion Resources Services, Inc. 501 Martindale Street, Suite 400 Pittsburgh, PA 15212 gary.a.jeffries@dom.com

M. Howard Petricoff Stephen M. Howard Michael J. Settineri Lija Kaleps-Clark Benita Kahn Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, LLP 52 East Gay Street Columbus, OH 43216 <u>mhpetricoff@vorys.com</u> <u>smhoward@vorys.com</u> <u>mjsettineri@vorys.com</u> <u>lkalepsclark@vorys.com</u> bakahn@vorys.com

Holly Rachel Smith Holly Rachel Smith, PLLC HITT Business Center 3803 Rectortown Road Marshall, VA 20115 holly@raysmithlaw.com

Gregory J. Poulos EnerNOC 101 Federal Street, Suite 1100 Boston, MA 02110 gpoulos@enernoc.com Kenneth P. Kreider David A. Meyer Keating Muething & Klekamp, PLL One East Fourth Street, Suite 1400 Cincinnati, OH 45202 <u>kpkreider@kmklaw.com</u> dmeyer@kmklaw.com

Emma F. Hand Douglas G. Bonner Keith C. Nusbaum Clinton A. Vince SNR Denton US, LLP 1301 K Street, NW, Suite 600, East Tower Washington, DC 20005-3364 emma.hand@snrdenton.com doug.bonner@snrdenton.com clinton.vince@snrdenton.com

Samuel C. Randazzo Joseph E. Oliker Frank P. Darr McNees Wallace & Nurick 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 <u>sam@mwncmh.com</u> joliker@mwncmh.com fdarr@mwncmh.com

John N. Estes III Paul F. Wright Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom LLP 1440 New York Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20005 jestes@skadden.com paul.wright@skadden.com Werner L. Margard III John H. Jones William Wright Stephen Beeler Assistant Attorneys General Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 werner.margard@puc.state.oh.us william.wright@puc.state.oh.us John.Jones@puc.state.oh.us steven.beeler@puc.state.oh.us

Philip B. Sineneng Terrance A. Mebane Carolyn S. Flahive Thompson & Hine, LLP 41 S. High Street, Suite 1700 Columbus, OH 43215 philip.sineneng@thompsonhine.com carolyn.flahive@thompsonhine.com terrance.mebane@thompsonhine.com

Colleen L. Mooney David C. Rinebolt Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lima Street, PO Box 1793 Findlay, OH 45840 <u>cmooney2@columbus.rr.com</u> <u>drinebolt@ohiopartners.org</u>

Tara C. Santarelli Environmental Law & Policy Center 1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201 Columbus, OH 43212 tsantarelli@elpc.org Joel Malina Executive Director COMPLETE Coalition 1317 F Street, NE, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20004 malina@wexlerwalker.com

Jay L. Kooper Katherine Guerry Hess Corporation One Hess Plaza Woodbridge, NJ 07095 jkooper@hess.com kguerry@hess.com

Robert Korandovich KOREEnergy P.O. Box 148 Sunbury, OH 43074 korenergy@insight.rr.com

Jeanne W. Kingery Associate General counsel Amy B. Spiller Deputy General Counsel 139 E. Fourth Street, 1303-Main P.O. Box 961 Cincinnati, OH 45201-0960 Jeanne.Kingery@duke-energy.com Amy.Spiller@duke-energy.com

Roger P. Sugarman Kegler, Brown, Hill & Ritter 65 East State Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215 rsugarman@keglerbrown.com Christopher J. Allwein Williams, Allwein and Moser, LLC 1373 Granview Avenue, Sutie 212 Columbus, OH 43212 callwein@wamenergylaw.com

Allen Freifeld Samuel A. Wolfe Viridity Energy, Inc. 100 West Elm Street, Suite 410 Conshohocken, PA 19428 <u>afreifeld@viridityenergy.com</u> <u>swolfe@viridityenergy.com</u>

Chad A. Endsley Chief Legal Counsel Ohio Farm Bureau Federation 280 North High Street P.O. Box 182383 Columbus, OH 43218-2383 cendsley@ofbf.org

Mark S. Yurick Zachary D. Kravitz Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP 65 East State Street, Suite 1000 Columbus, OH 43215 <u>myurick@taftlaw.com</u> <u>zkravitz@taftlaw.com</u>

Brian P. Barger Brady, Coyle & Schmidt, Ltd. 4052 Holland-Sylvania Road Toledo, OH 43623 <u>bpbarger@bcslawyers.com</u>

#### **Additional Electronic Service**

greta.see@puc.state.oh.us jeff.jones@puc.state.oh.us tammy.turkenton@puc.state.oh.us jodi.bair@puc.state.oh.us bob.fortney@puc.state.oh.us doris.mccarter@puc.state.oh.us stephen.reilly@puc.state.oh.us john.jones@puc.state.oh.us daniel.shields@puc.state.oh.us dclark1@aep.com grady@occ.state.oh.us keith.nusbaum@snrdenton.com ned.ford@fuse.net pfox@hilliardohio.gov ricks@ohanet.org joseph.dominquez@exeloncorp.com dsullivan@nrdc.org whitt@whitt-sturtevant.com thompson@whitt-sturtevant.com aaragona@eimerstahl.com ssolberg@eimerstahl.com callwein@wamenergylaw.com sasloan@aep.com Kim.Wissman@puc.state.oh.us Hisham.Choueiki@puc.state.oh.us Dan.Johnson@puc.state.oh.us Sarah.Parrot@puc.state.oh.us