



Case No.: 12-0033-EL-EEC

Mercantile Customer: Cleveland Clinic

Electric Utility: The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company

Program Title or Description: East 89th Street Garage and Service Center

Rule 4901:1-39-05(F), Ohio Administrative Code (O.A.C.), permits a mercantile customer to file, either individually or jointly with an electric utility, an application to commit the customer's existing demand reduction, demand response, and energy efficiency programs for integration with the electric utility's programs. The following application form is to be used by mercantile customers, either individually or jointly with their electric utility, to apply for commitment of such programs in accordance with the Commission's pilot program established in Case No. [10-834-EL-POR](#)

Completed applications requesting the cash rebate reasonable arrangement option (Option 1) in lieu of an exemption from the electric utility's energy efficiency and demand reduction (EEDR) rider will be automatically approved on the sixty-first calendar day after filing, unless the Commission, or an attorney examiner, suspends or denies the application prior to that time. Completed applications requesting the exemption from the EEDR rider (Option 2) will also qualify for the 60-day automatic approval so long as the exemption period does not exceed 24 months. Rider exemptions for periods of more than 24 months will be reviewed by the Commission Staff and are only approved up the issuance of a Commission order.

Complete a separate application for each customer program. Projects undertaken by a customer as a single program at a single location or at various locations within the same service territory should be submitted together as a single program filing, when possible. Check all boxes that are applicable to your program. For each box checked, be sure to complete all subparts of the question, and provide all requested additional information. Submittal of incomplete applications may result in a suspension of the automatic approval process or denial of the application.

Any confidential or trade secret information may be submitted to Staff on disc or via email at ee-pdr@puc.state.oh.us.

Section 1: Mercantile Customer Information

Name:Cleveland Clinic

Principal address:9500 Euclid, Cleveland, OH 44195

Address of facility for which this energy efficiency program applies: same

Name and telephone number for responses to questions:John D'Angelo

Electricity use by the customer (check the box(es) that apply):

- The customer uses more than seven hundred thousand kilowatt hours per year at the above facility. (Please attach documentation.)
- The customer is part of a national account involving multiple facilities in one or more states. (Please attach documentation.)

Section 2: Application Information

A) The customer is filing this application (choose which applies):

- Individually, without electric utility participation.
- Jointly with the electric utility.

B) The electric utility is: The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company

C) The customer is offering to commit (check any that apply):

- Energy savings from the customer's energy efficiency program. (Complete Sections 3, 5, 6, and 7.)
- Capacity savings from the customer's demand response/demand reduction program. (Complete Sections 4, 5, 6, and 7.)
- Both the energy savings and the capacity savings from the customer's energy efficiency program. (Complete all sections of the Application.)

Section 3: Energy Efficiency Programs

A) The customer's energy efficiency program involves (check those that apply):

- Early replacement of fully functioning equipment with new equipment. (Provide the date on which the customer replaced fully functioning equipment, and the date on which the customer would have replaced such equipment if it had not been replaced early. Please include a brief explanation for how the customer determined this future replacement date (or, if not known, please explain why this is not known)). **If Checked, Please see Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2**
- Installation of new equipment to replace equipment that needed to be replaced. The customer installed new equipment on the following date(s): _____.
- Installation of new equipment for new construction or facility expansion. The customer installed new equipment on the following date(s):
10/31/08.
- Behavioral or operational improvement.

B) Energy savings achieved/to be achieved by the energy efficiency program:

- 1) If you checked the box indicating that the project involves the early replacement of fully functioning equipment replaced with new equipment, then calculate the annual savings [(kWh used by the original equipment) - (kWh used by new equipment) = (kWh per year saved)]. Please attach your calculations and record the results below:

Annual savings: _____ kWh

- 2) If you checked the box indicating that the customer installed new equipment to replace equipment that needed to be replaced, then calculate the annual savings [(kWh used by less efficient new equipment) - (kWh used by the higher efficiency new equipment) = (kWh per year saved)]. Please attach your calculations and record the results below:

Annual savings: _____ kWh

Please describe any less efficient new equipment that was rejected in favor of the more efficient new equipment. **Please see Exhibit 1 if applicable**

- 3) If you checked the box indicating that the project involves equipment for new construction or facility expansion, then calculate the annual savings [(kWh used by less efficient new equipment) - (kWh used by higher efficiency new equipment) = (kWh per year saved)]. Please attach your calculations and record the results below:

Annual savings: 522,713 kWh

Please describe the less efficient new equipment that was rejected in favor of the more efficient new equipment. **Please see Exhibit 1 if applicable**

- 4) If you checked the box indicating that the project involves behavioral or operational improvements, provide a description of how the annual savings were determined.

Section 4: Demand Reduction/Demand Response Programs

A) The customer's program involves (check the one that applies):

- Coincident peak-demand savings from the customer's energy efficiency program.
- Actual peak-demand reduction. (Attach a description and documentation of the peak-demand reduction.)
- Potential peak-demand reduction (check the one that applies):
 - The customer's peak-demand reduction program meets the requirements to be counted as a capacity resource under a tariff of a regional transmission organization (RTO) approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.
 - The customer's peak-demand reduction program meets the requirements to be counted as a capacity resource under a program that is equivalent to an RTO program, which has been approved by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

B) On what date did the customer initiate its demand reduction program?

10/31/08

C) What is the peak demand reduction achieved or capable of being achieved (show calculations through which this was determined):

208 kW

Section 5: Request for Cash Rebate Reasonable Arrangement (Option 1) or Exemption from Rider (Option 2)

Under this section, check the box that applies and fill in all blanks relating to that choice.

Note: If Option 2 is selected, the application will not qualify for the 60-day automatic approval. All applications, however, will be considered on a timely basis by the Commission.

A) The customer is applying for:

Option 1: A cash rebate reasonable arrangement.

OR

Option 2: An exemption from the energy efficiency cost recovery mechanism implemented by the electric utility.

OR

Commitment payment

B) The value of the option that the customer is seeking is:

Option 1: A cash rebate reasonable arrangement, which is the lesser of (show both amounts):

A cash rebate of \$31,363 (Rebate shall not exceed 50% project cost. Attach documentation showing the methodology used to determine the cash rebate value and calculations showing how this payment amount was determined.)

Option 2: An exemption from payment of the electric utility's energy efficiency/peak demand reduction rider.

An exemption from payment of the electric utility's energy efficiency/peak demand reduction rider for _____ months (not to exceed 24 months). (Attach calculations showing how this time period was determined.)

OR

A commitment payment valued at no more than \$_____. (Attach documentation and calculations showing how this payment amount was determined.)

OR

- Ongoing exemption from payment of the electric utility's energy efficiency/peak demand reduction rider for an initial period of 24 months because this program is part of the customer's ongoing efficiency program. (Attach documentation that establishes the ongoing nature of the program.) In order to continue the exemption beyond the initial 24 month period, the customer will need to provide a future application establishing additional energy savings and the continuance of the organization's energy efficiency program.)

Section 6: Cost Effectiveness

The program is cost effective because it has a benefit/cost ratio greater than 1 using the (choose which applies):

- Total Resource Cost (TRC) Test. The calculated TRC value is: _____(Continue to Subsection 1, then skip Subsection 2)
- Utility Cost Test (UCT) . The calculated UCT value is: **See Exhibit 3** (Skip to Subsection 2.)

Subsection 1: TRC Test Used (please fill in all blanks).

The TRC value of the program is calculated by dividing the value of our avoided supply costs (generation capacity, energy, and any transmission or distribution) by the sum of our program overhead and installation costs and any incremental measure costs paid by either the customer or the electric utility.

The electric utility's avoided supply costs were _____.

Our program costs were _____.

The incremental measure costs were _____.

Subsection 2: UCT Used (please fill in all blanks).

We calculated the UCT value of our program by dividing the value of our avoided supply costs (capacity and energy) by the costs to our electric utility (including administrative costs and incentives paid or rider exemption costs) to obtain our commitment.

Our avoided supply costs were **See Exhibit 3**

The utility's program costs were **See Exhibit 3**

The utility's incentive costs/rebate costs were **See Exhibit 3**

Section 7: Additional Information

Please attach the following supporting documentation to this application:

- Narrative description of the program including, but not limited to, make, model, and year of any installed and replaced equipment.
- A copy of the formal declaration or agreement that commits the program or measure to the electric utility, including:
 - 1) any confidentiality requirements associated with the agreement;
 - 2) a description of any consequences of noncompliance with the terms of the commitment;
 - 3) a description of coordination requirements between the customer and the electric utility with regard to peak demand reduction;
 - 4) permission by the customer to the electric utility and Commission staff and consultants to measure and verify energy savings and/or peak-demand reductions resulting from your program; and,
 - 5) a commitment by the customer to provide an annual report on your energy savings and electric utility peak-demand reductions achieved.
- A description of all methodologies, protocols, and practices used or proposed to be used in measuring and verifying program results. Additionally, identify and explain all deviations from any program measurement and verification guidelines that may be published by the Commission.



Public Utilities Commission

Application to Commit Energy Efficiency/Peak Demand Reduction Programs (Mercantile Customers Only)

Case No.: 12-0033 -EL-EEC

State of Ohio :

John D'Angelo, Affiant, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that:

1. I am the duly authorized representative of:

The Cleveland Clinic Foundation

[insert customer or EDU company name and any applicable name(s) doing business as]

2. I have personally examined all the information contained in the foregoing application, including any exhibits and attachments. Based upon my examination and inquiry of those persons immediately responsible for obtaining the information contained in the application, I believe that the information is true, accurate and complete.

3. I am aware of fines and penalties which may be imposed under Ohio Revised Code Sections 2921.11, 2921.31, 4903.02, 4903.03, and 4903.99 for submitting false information.

Handwritten signature of John D'Angelo

Signature of Affiant & Title


Sworn and subscribed before me this 20 day of MAY, 2011 Month/Year

Handwritten signature of Mary E. Wandersleben

Signature of official administering oath

Print Name and Title

My commission expires on 2-1-15



Revised October 28th, 2010

Customer Legal Entity Name: Cleveland Clinic

Site Address: 89th St. Garage and Service Center

Principal Address: 9500 Euclid Ave.

Project No.	Project Name	Narrative description of your program including, but not limited to, make, model, and year of any installed and replaced equipment:	Description of methodologies, protocols and practices used in measuring and verifying project results	What date would you have replaced your equipment if you had not replaced it early? Also, please explain briefly how you determined this future replacement date.	Please describe the less efficient new equipment that you rejected in favor of the more efficient new equipment.
1	East 89th Street Garage and Service Center	East 89th Street Garage and Service Center was a new building dedicated to house and support functions required at the Cleveland Clinic in Capmus. Equipment in the building consists of boilers, chillers, cooling towers, air handling units, exhaust fans and pumps. All equipment was installed in 2008.	Please see the attached LEED template.	This project is new construction.	N/A

Docket No. 12-0033
 Site: 9500 Euclid Ave.

Exhibit 3 Utility Cost Test

UCT = Utility Avoided Costs / Utility Costs

Project	Total Annual Savings, MWh (A)	Utility Avoided Cost \$/MWh (B)	Utility Avoided Cost \$ (C)	Utility Cost \$ (D)	Cash Rebate \$ (E)	Administrator Variable Fee \$ (F)	Total Utility Cost \$ (G)	UCT (H)
1	523	\$ 308	\$ 161,142	\$ 3,546	\$31,363	\$5,227	\$ 40,136	4.0
Total	523	\$ 308	161,142	3,546	\$31,363	\$5,227	40,136	4.0

Notes

- (A) From Exhibit 2, = kWh saved / 1000
- (B) This value represents avoided energy costs (wholesale energy prices) from the Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration’s 2009 Annual Energy Outlook (AEO) low oil prices case. The AEO represents a national average energy price, so for a better representation of the energy price that Ohio customers would see, a Cinergy Hub equivalent price was derived by applying a ratio based on three years of historic national average and Cinergy Hub prices. This value is consistent with avoided cost assumptions used in EE&PDR Program Portfolio and Initial Benchmark Report, filed Dec 15, 2009 (See Section 8.1, paragraph a).
- (C) = (A) * (B)
- (D) Represents the utility's costs incurred for self-directed mercantile applications for applications filed and applications in progress. Includes incremental costs of legal fees, fixed administrative expenses, etc.
- (E) This is the amount of the cash rebate paid to the customer for this project.
- (F) Based on approximate Administrator’s variable compensation for purposes of calculating the UCT, actual compensation may be less.
- (G) = (D) + (E) + (F)
- (H) = (C) / (G)

Cleveland Clinic ~ 89th St. Garage and Service Center
Docket No. 12-0033

Site: 9500 Euclid Ave.



Ohio Edison • The Illuminating Company • Toledo Edison

Mercantile Customer Program - Custom Project Rebate Calculator

Project Name and Number:	89th Street Garage and Service Center
Site Name:	Main Campus
Completed by (Name):	Anne McGregor
Date completed:	3/8/2012

Energy Conservation Measure	Annual Energy Savings kWh	Eligible Prescriptive Rebate Amount kWh * \$0.08
LEED certified new construction	522,713	41817.04
Total Project Energy Savings kWh	522,713	
Total Custom Prescriptive Rebate Amount \$	\$	41,817.04

Notes about this rebate calculation:
Savings taken from LEED template

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

5/23/2012 1:11:28 PM

in

Case No(s). 12-0033-EL-EEC

Summary: Amended Application to Commit Energy Efficiency/Peak Demand Reduction Programs of The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and Cleveland Clinic electronically filed by Ms. Jennifer M. Sybyl on behalf of The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and Cleveland Clinic