```
1
         BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
2
3
    In the Matter of the
    Application of Columbus
    Southern Power Company
4
    and Ohio Power Company : Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO
    for Authority to Establish:
                                          11-348-EL-SSO
5
    a Standard Service Offer :
    Pursuant to $4928.143,
6
    Ohio Revised Code, in the :
    Form of an Electric
    Security Plan.
8
    In the Matter of the
9
    Application of Columbus
    Southern Power Company
    and Ohio Power Company
and Ohio Power Company
: Case Nos. 11-349-EL-AAM
10
    for Approval of Certain :
                                          11-350-EL-AAM
    Accounting Authority.
11
12
13
                          PROCEEDINGS
14
    before Steven D. Lesser, Commissioner, and Mr.
15
    Jonathan J. Tauber, Hearing Examiner, at Ohio
16
    University - Chillicothe, Bennett Hall Auditorium,
    101 University Drive, Chillicothe, Ohio, called at
17
    6:01 p.m. on Tuesday, May 1, 2012.
18
19
20
2.1
22
                    ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
                222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
23
                   Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
                (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
                      Fax - (614) 224-5724
24
25
```

	2
APPEARANCES:	
American Electric Power By Ms. Glori Fitch	
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor	
Company and Ohio Power Company.	
	American Electric Power By Ms. Glori Fitch 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-2373  On behalf of Columbus Southern Power

				3
1		INDEX		
2				
3	WITNESSES		DIRECT TESTIMONY	
4	Jim Gillette		8	
5	Shane Wolfe		11	
6	Chester Collins		13	
7	Daniel Fetty		13	
8	Jack Haessly		16	
9	John McWhorter		21	
10	Steve Maupin		22	
11	Jerry White		25	
12	Kent Nichols		27	
13	Tom Horst		30	
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Tuesday Evening Session,

May 1, 2012.

2.2

Commission of Ohio has assigned for public hearing at this time and place, case numbers 11-346 and 11-348-EL-SSO, and 11-349 and 11-350-EL-AAM which are captioned In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.142, Revised Code, as well as In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authorities.

My name is John Tauber, to my right is Commissioner Steven Lesser, and we are assigned to preside over tonight's hearing.

In addition I'm sure you saw several

Commission employees. Humphrey Wireko is in the

Commission offices and he was greeting you in front,

and Dan Harrington is also running the sign-up sheet,

and in the blue shirt, in the back of the room, is

Matt Butler with Public Affairs.

So at this time we'll begin with appearances of the parties that are here tonight.

We'll start on behalf of the company.

2.2

MS. FITCH: Hi, I'm Glori Fitch. I'm counsel for Ohio Power Company.

EXAMINER TAUBER: Thank you. And I'd like to encourage the company to stick around, too, in case there's any questions after the hearing.

Are there any other parties present tonight?

(No response.)

EXAMINER TAUBER: Okay. Hearing none, we'll move on. I'll provide a little bit of background information on how we got to tonight's hearing.

AEP-Ohio originally filed their application in January of 2011. A stipulation and recommendation was filed by several parties in the proceeding in September of 2011. In December of 2011 the Commission approved a modified version of the stipulation and recommendation. However, in February of 2012, the Commission found in its entry that the stipulation did not benefit ratepayers and it was not in the public interest and ordered AEP-Ohio to amend or withdraw the application.

So, therefore, on March 30th, 2012, Ohio Power Company filed this modified application for an

electric security plan. Ohio Power Company proposes this plan for the supply of electric generation service through May 31st, 2015.

2.2

The public hearing that is being held tonight is just one part of the whole process of what's going on with these proceedings. An evidentiary hearing is scheduled to commence on May 14, 2012 and that will be held at the Commission offices in Columbus, Ohio. There will also be oral arguments on these proceedings that are currently scheduled for July 3rd, 2012 and those also will be held at the Commission offices in Columbus. A decision should be issued sometime later this summer.

The purpose of this evening's public hearing is to receive comments from the public regarding the application in this case. This is not a question and answer session; rather, it's your opportunity to let the Commission know what you think about this modified application.

As tonight's hearing is being transcribed by the court reporter to my left, it's important that you speak clearly so she can accurately reflect your comments on the record for the Commission's consideration. If you have a prepared written statement, it will be very helpful if you can provide

that for her as well.

Before you give your comments, I'll ask you to state your name and address, and I'll also ask you to take an oath or affirmation that what you're about to say is the truth. Your testimony will be considered part of the official record in this case, so it will be reviewed by the Commission before they make their final decision on this application.

Additionally, as your testimony will become part of the official record in these proceedings, the parties to this case and I will be permitted to ask you questions about your statement.

As we begin calling witnesses, if you decide you no longer wish to testify, you can simply pass and we'll move on to the next witness.

We want to make sure that everybody who wishes to make a statement tonight is able to do so, so please be respectful of others waiting to give their testimony and keep your statements to a reasonable length of time if possible.

So seeing that there's nothing else, let's begin with witnesses and we'll start with Jim Gillette. If you can please come up to the podium. Please raise your right hand.

(Witness sworn.)

EXAMINER TAUBER: Please state your name and address for the record.

MR. GILLETTE: My name is Jim Gillette and my address is 923 Township Road 111, Ironton, Ohio.

EXAMINER TAUBER: You may go ahead.

2.2

#### JIM GILLETTE

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

### DIRECT TESTIMONY

MR. GILLETTE: I want to first thank you for this opportunity to give the public to speak and I would like to allow me to add my support for the growing number of communities, organizations, residents of Ohio and customers of AEP speaking out in favor of AEP-Ohio modified electricity security plan.

I am an Ohio resident who has currently been offered the opportunity to purchase my future power from an alternate company and have chosen AEP as my current and future provider of power.

I am a member of IBEW Local 317 located in Huntington, West Virginia. We are the men and women who have built and maintained the power plants

and the power grid for more than 50 years. AEP has provided jobs to local journeymen and provided a means to provide training to local apprentices to meet the demands for our future electrical needs for generation -- for generations to come.

2.2

As a consumer and a resident of Ohio, AEP of Ohio provides me with power at a reasonable and acceptable rate as well as customer service unequaled to other utilities or service providers.

I want to thank AEP for employing a local workforce and providing jobs in an economic atmosphere where decent-paying jobs are in high demand. A hasty transition will threaten our ability to train new workers and develop their skills needed for our future.

AEP has proposed clean coal technology at Gavin, Louisa, and Kyger Creek powerhouses, and solar projects in Noble County which would employ thousands of Ohio workers in an area where jobs are badly needed. Without our support, these projects may not happen.

AEP has been a strong community partner. We need to allow the company the opportunity to implement its plan to remain a provider of power and continue its positive effect as one of Ohio's premier

employers and service providers.

2.2

One other thing, as I left the house tonight, I got my power bill from January, February, and March, and we have three individuals in our household, our average utility bill was 120. The cell phone that we also have is through a company and for three individuals on that cell phone plan is \$180.

I think that AEP provides a lot of jobs and coal, which is in our area, to mine the coal, transport the coal, generate the power, maintain the transmission lines and get it to us, the consumer. We should be having a public hearing for cell phones and stuff like that. I have a cell phone and, like I say, the bill is a lot more. So I think it is -- I think their rates are reasonable.

EXAMINER TAUBER: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LESSER: Mr. Gillette.

MR. GILLETTE: Oh, sorry. I answer

20 | questions now, don't I.

COMMISSIONER LESSER: You said that you've chosen AEP to be your supplier. You mean you're staying on the standard AEP plan or that you chose them as a retail provider?

MR. GILLETTE: It's kind of confusing. I

1 got the letter last week. My wife told me that we 2 had the opportunity to choose purchasing power 3 through another third party or whatever, and I told her that we will stay with AEP. 4 5 I don't know how that all works. I don't 6 know if -- if I choose the other provider and I live 7 in a rural area and my power goes out, who comes out 8 and maintains those lines? Is it the other provider? 9 I don't think so. It's AEP. 10 And, to me, your local jobs is worth a 11 I mean this economy is stagnant. We have a 12 company here that provides work for local people. 13 And in my area also, Buckeye Rural Electric or 14 whatever, if you compare their rates to AEP's, I 15 think AEP's is reasonable. 16 COMMISSIONER LESSER: Thank you. 17 EXAMINER TAUBER: I apologize if I 18 mispronounce any names. Shane Wolfe. Please raise 19 your right hand. 20 21 SHANE WOLFE 22

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

23

24

25

DIRECT TESTIMONY

MR. WOLFE: Shane Wolfe. Huntington,

```
West Virginia. 4457 Pleasant View Road, Huntington, West Virginia. I'd like to thank you all for the opportunity to speak on behalf of AEP-Ohio modified electrical security plan. My name is Shane Wolfe, and, however, I am not a resident of Ohio, but my parents and sister are.
```

I am in support of AEP for several reasons. One of them and, to me, is that AEP has provided work for me in several powerhouses where a man can earn a good, honest living and support a family. My family knows how important AEP is and they will continue to support them as well.

I have been an electrician for 15 years now and have worked side-by-side with other crafts and have seen what AEP as an employer and partner in our communities can do for them also. In my opinion we need to support AEP and allow them the opportunity to go ahead with its plan to remain as a provider for electricity and its services.

EXAMINER TAUBER: Thank you.

Chester Collins.

MR. COLLINS: Chester Collins.

EXAMINER TAUBER: Please raise your right

24 hand.

2.2

(Witness sworn.)

13 1 EXAMINER TAUBER: Go ahead. 2 3 CHESTER COLLINS 4 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 5 examined and testified as follows: 6 DIRECT TESTIMONY 7 MR. COLLINS: Chester Collins. 482 8 Laurel Street, Chillicothe. I'm here to protest the 9 \$7 increase. If AEP has 100,000 customers, I know they do, that's a \$700,000 increase in revenue in one 10 11 month for their company. Add another zero on that 12 for ten months, you got \$7 million more revenue for 13 that company to operate on. 14 And when you think \$7 is not very much, 15 but you take \$7 million out of everybody's back 16 pocket, somebody is going to suffer; the seniors and 17 the low-paying income people. And that's why I'm objecting to the increase. It's revenue that they're 18 19 going to get at the end of the contract. 20 EXAMINER TAUBER: Thank you. 21 COMMISSIONER LESSER: Thank you. 2.2 EXAMINER TAUBER: Daniel Fetty. 23 24 DANIEL FETTY

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was

25

examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT TESTIMONY

MR. FETTY: Daniel Fetty. 4249 Oak
Street, New Boston, Ohio. I'm here tonight from the
Village of New Boston, representing three factions of
those people.

Looking at the AEP and the Public
Utilities Commission's website, it says in the last
three years that AEP has had an increase of 8 percent
in 2009, 7 percent in 2010, and 8 percent again in
2011.

In the Village of New Boston, we have roughly 2,300 people. Our fixed median household income is \$15,681 which is a very low income for our village. I'm here to represent these people, the residents as I am, asking and opposing the increase to the electric rates.

I am also here representing our businesses in New Boston. I spoke with one fellow who said his increase went up where he's now paying \$16,000 a year which puts him roughly at \$200,000. When the last increase was proposed and backed out, he had already made up his mind if the rate went through, he would close his business. This is a family-owned business that's been there for over 50

years. We do not need to lose businesses in New Boston as we are a small village.

2.2

And the third faction I'm here representing is the Village of New Boston. I see the financial reports each and every month. In the last three years our electric rate has gone up \$26,000. Now, we can't survive with high electric rates such as this. Looking ahead into this current year, we're looking to spend over \$100,000 in electric costs.

So what we have to do as council people in the Village of New Boston is look how to save money, and we do not want to cut jobs, we do not want to cut rates, but reality says we're going to have to do something.

So on behalf of these three factions, the residents of New Boston, the businesses of New Boston, and the Village of New Boston, we are in opposition of any rate increase by AEP. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LESSER: Sir, did you say you're on the council?

MR. FETTY: Yes, sir. I left a village council meeting tonight to come up here to represent the village for this meeting.

COMMISSIONER LESSER: Well, we appreciate that. Thank you.

16 1 MR. FETTY: Thank you. 2 EXAMINER TAUBER: Michael Snyder. 3 MR. SNYDER: I just thought that was a general sign-up sheet when I came in. I didn't know 4 5 it was to address. 6 EXAMINER TAUBER: Thank you. 7 Jack Haessly. 8 (Witness sworn.) 9 EXAMINER TAUBER: Could you provide your name and address for the record? 10 11 MR. HAESSLY: I'm sorry. Jack Haessly of 12 389 Sheets Run Road, Marietta. 13 EXAMINER TAUBER: Could you spell your last name for the record? 14 15 MR. HAESSLY: Haessly is spelled 16 H-a-e-s-s-ly. 17 JACK HAESSLY 18 19 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was

examined and testified as follows:

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

# DIRECT TESTIMONY

MR. HAESSLY: I thank you for this opportunity and thank you, gentlemen. I've done a little testimony, a few years ago, in a PUCO hearing like this over at Marietta, Ohio. A little bit of

the same reason you gentlemen might have been there. We were looking to see some pretty dramatic increases in electric cost.

2.2

I'm a business person. I'm a
manufacturer of hardwood lumber. My company is
Haessly Hardwood Lumber Company. We are just north
of Marietta a few miles. I'm here as a
representative of the forest industry here in Ohio.
It has been a huge part of the history and the
success of this great state.

My company is old, started by my dad way back in 1941; 71 years ago. I have served as the president for the last several decades. I have two sons; one of them is with me tonight. We employ over 70 people in our business — businesses. We are very proud of our heritage. We're very proud to be a successful business; a business that my dad started many years ago with a team of horses.

We have come through the horse age to the diesel-powered age and, back in the early '60s, with the introduction of electricity into our business. And only through the use of electricity have we been able to grow our business to where it's at today. So I'm very appreciative of this great source of power; clean, powerful, affordable, we hope, and into the

future we hope.

I am concerned somewhat, or I wouldn't be here tonight, with the future of our industry. We have, as an industry, suffered greatly over the past three years with this economy going down, down, and down. It is safe to say we have lost 35 to 40 percent of businesses such as the one I'm representing. And one of the things that we can't control are our costs, and our cost is becoming a serious problem as we look at the possibilities of some of our costs going up dramatically.

What I seen back in January compared to a similar period of time on an electric transmission billing was 125 percent increase. I was very pleased that the PUCO, I believe, stepped in and said hold it, we've got to look into this further. This should never have happened in the first place. This should never have been allowed to happen in the first place.

We want all companies to be successful. Without making a profit, you can't be successful, including the power companies. They're very dear to us, but they must understand that we, as customers, can only afford so much. Residents can only afford so much. And listening to the gentleman here a little earlier make a comment, people on fixed

incomes and to endure these pretty powerful increases of cost is quite serious. I look at it that way as well, but I'm looking at the future of a business that I want to see carry into the future.

2.2

We're dealing with very serious economic times in my industry. We have made a great contribution to this country and to this great state evidenced by this great and successful paper company right here in Chillicothe, I believe known as Glatfelter. That's a company that is very much needed by my industry and we don't want them to be impacted any more than we do our businesses that serve them.

I would hope that good commonsense could be put in place as we look at some of these dramatic increases. One, are they really needed in the amount that's being asked? For me to charge 125 percent more for my products, I would be eating these products because I certainly would not sell them.

But if I'm in a position to pass it on because I'll force people to take it, that's different.

We got to have a little bit of conscience in this society we live in. We must all survive or we might not all survive. Governor James Rhodes said several decades ago, "Profit is not a dirty word."

We all must have profit. But if our expenses keep increasing to the point that profitability is not to be there, then businesses across this state and across this country will decline and go out of business. When that happens, where will the power companies be at that point? Who do you sell electricity to if there's not a need for it, if there's not a market for it?

2.2

I would only ask that consideration be given to everybody within the state that's going to be affected by these rates, from the residents to the commercial to the industrial sectors, and we come out of this with a reasonable agreement of some sort that doesn't damage and hurt hardly anyone.

We cannot stand, in a down economy, these dramatic increases. If I was a power company, I would be a little bit embarrassed to even impose a 125 percent increase and even more than that.

You've heard enough of me. I appreciate this opportunity. I would hope that the PUCO would really give this a hard look, and look at what can be affordable by the people and the businesses in this great state of Ohio. Thank you, gentlemen.

EXAMINER TAUBER: Thank you.

Laura Curliss.

MR. CURLISS: I also thought it was a sign-in sheet.

EXAMINER TAUBER: John McWhorter.

- -

2.2

#### JOHN MCWHORTER

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

### DIRECT TESTIMONY

MR. MCWHORTER: John McWhorter. 1428

Pope Hollow, Grayson, Kentucky. My name is John

McWhorter. I'm an assistant business manager with

the Boilermakers Local 105 out of Piketon, Ohio. I

live in Grayson, Kentucky. I drive up to Piketon

every day to work. AEP has afforded me a good

living. I've worked -- at the power plants we've

worked for years maintaining the power plants,

building and maintaining these boilers, coal-fired

boilers.

The EPA is coming down with regulations. We just had four units at Beverly shut down because they're coal-fired. We replaced them with two brand new gas burners up here at Dresden. You know, it supplied 1,500 jobs for construction workers for probably three to four years and we just finished and they just brought them on line.

The clean coal technology is what's driving the cost up in electricity right now. The EPA cannot get a regulation set for AEP and Duke Energy to come up with a fit to make it affordable, you know, a good fit for the customer to afford the electricity.

The rate increase, you know, from what we've seen is not substantial for the amount of money, the billions, you know, I've heard millions tossed around here, they're talking billions of dollars in retrofit to make the coal-fired units.

And, you know, they've got solar, they're working on solar which is clean coal. We're speaking out in support of this rate increase.

EXAMINER TAUBER: Thank you.

Steve Maupin.

\_ \_ -

# STEVE MAUPIN

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

# DIRECT TESTIMONY

MR. MAUPIN: My name is Steve Maupin. I
live in --

24 EXAMINER TAUBER: Would you provide your

25 address?

MR. MAUPIN: Address is 4035 Catherine Avenue, Norwood, Ohio.

2.2

I am a member of the Boilermakers Local 105. I would like to thank you for the opportunity to speak here tonight. We are the men and women who have built and maintained the power plants and the power grid for more than 50 years.

Fair retail electric competition is a critical issue for construction workers. AEP-Ohio must have a reasonable time to move to competitive marketing so we can continue to keep the plants and the electric grid operating and providing jobs for our members.

The building and construction trade unions have worked for years to develop their skills and knowledge. A hasty transition will threaten our ability to train new workers. Let me explain that: If I can't keep -- if we can't keep our membership up-to-date and sharp, then we lose our competitive edge. It costs more to repair, maintain, and the price goes up.

If you think the changes -- the clean or renewable energy is going to replace AEP and the coal-burners, it's not. The windmills and the solar panels, the price of that will come back to get you

because you're going to have to replace them more. It's just cheaper, it's better, more jobs, more opportunities for young people to raise their families.

2.2

Myself, I don't have the option to go to AEP. I have, where I live, Duke Energy is our supplier and I've had multiple opportunities to switch to FirstEnergy and different ones, and I refuse until the day I get a chance to go to AEP. That is where I'm going to go as soon as the opportunity is there because of the loyalty they have had to the community, to the people of Ohio.

It's just -- I drive by plants all the time where Dayton Power and Light, Duke Energy outsources jobs from Oklahoma, Louisiana. They bring in contractors from out of the state. Where AEP works with a contractor right out of Cincinnati, Ohio; Enerfab. They -- they walk the walk and talk the talk. They absolutely try and help the people of Ohio. A headquarters in Columbus; multiple jobs there and it's essential.

I feel these businesses' grief, but if you don't have customers to buy your products, you're going to close the doors anyway. So thanks again.

EXAMINER TAUBER: Thank you.

Jerry White.

2.2

# JERRY WHITE

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

MR. WHITE: I live in Lancaster, Ohio.

EXAMINER TAUBER: Would you provide your name and address for the record.

MR. WHITE: Jerry White. 1195 East
Chestnut Street, Lancaster, Ohio 43130. Why I came
down here today is, you know, I'm a low-income
family, you know where I live. I don't make a lot of
money because I lost my job.

I was working at a factory about four years. I had to have surgery back in 2010. I had a cyst about the size of a football in my spleen which had to be removed and, while I was in the hospital, also my appendix burst, so I was in the hospital for 11 days. My job fired me because of the surgery and now I'm working for the Lancaster Eagle Gazette in Lancaster, delivering newspapers. I also work for a cleaning company.

Right now, you know, with me trying to pay my bills it's really been difficult for me to try

to keep my bills paid. Like my utility bill right now, like for the summer is like \$258, almost \$300. My electric bill, since I'm on the PIPP program was like \$81. That's just on PIPP alone.

2.2

So with me trying to survive, it's really been really difficult, and trying to get groceries to eat on and also feed my family, it's really been difficult. So I hope that, you know, you do take us into deep consideration not to raise the bill up, you know, under House Bill 221, that you guys take us into deep consideration.

Because I read all the stuff on the internet under you guys's organization and I'm pretty familiar with it, so I hope you take us into deep consideration because, you know, adding \$6.24 per 1,000 kilowatt usage, that's going to raise my bill up to at least \$113 or more. So I hope you guys take us into deep consideration. Thank you.

EXAMINER TAUBER: Thank you.

That concludes everybody who has signed up to testify. Is there anybody else who would like to testify this evening?

Come on up.

MR. NICHOLS: Kent Nichols. 12726

Township Road 71A, Roseville.

EXAMINER TAUBER: Would you please raise your right hand.

2.2

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

KENT NICHOLS

MR. NICHOLS: My name is Kent Nichols.

I'm the district manager for the Burr Oak Regional
Water District. We're located in Athens County.

We're a nonprofit rural public water system. We
provide approximately 35,000 residents, several
schools, eight villages, numerous businesses, a state
park, with a safe, dependable water supply at a
reasonable rate.

We're in a very economically depressed area if people are familiar with Athens, Morgan, Hocking and Perry Counties. AEP rates directly affect all of our district customers. Since we're a nonprofit, any increased operating cost can only be addressed by increasing our water rates.

The size of the AEP rate increase we saw the first of the year, we could not have covered it at our present operating budget which, for 2012, is \$430,000. We increased it from 360,000 in 2011

because we received a letter from AEP which stated we could expect a 23 percent increase. So we increased the operating budget to take care of the increase.

2.2

What happened, the first of the year, some of our sites saw a 300 to 400 percent increase in the rates. A portion of the rate increase was rescinded, but a fairly significant rate increase remains. I'm going to give you an example of these rates and these — we have AEP Retail as a generation provider, so these are just for the distribution, transportation costs to AEP Ohio.

Before the rate increase, we had 1.73 cents per kilowatt hour for one site. After the rate increase, it went to 5.39 cents per kilowatt hour. After you rescinded the increase, it went back to 2 cents -- 2.41 cents per kilowatt hour.

On another site it was 1.88 cents per kilowatt hour before. It then increased to 5.33 cents. Then, after you rescinded it, it returned to 2.17. And my concern is it seems that we already have a fairly significant increase so, you know, my concern is how much more of an increase are we going to have to work with here.

We recognize that AEP, like all businesses, has to make a profit to continue to

operate. We are a nonprofit. We put all of our own money back into our system. We're not allowed to make a profit. But we recognize that for you to expand and to operate, you have to make a profit. But we feel like it's -- it's exorbitant. We oppose an increase of that size.

2.2

You know, we hope that the Public
Utilities Commission understands the effect these
rate increases have on the businesses and which
always relates to the possibility of lost jobs, you
know, and most importantly the impact it has on many
people that are already struggling to pay their
bills.

We just -- we recognize that you probably have to have some type of an increase, we just -- we can't understand why it would be 300 to 400 percent. So, you know, if anybody wants to see the bills, I got them here with me. I appreciate the opportunity to speak.

EXAMINER TAUBER: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LESSER: Thank you.

MR. NICHOLS: Thank you.

EXAMINER TAUBER: Is there anybody else that would like to testify this evening who has not signed up to do so?

You already testified, so you only get 1 2 one opportunity. 3 Is there anybody else who would like to 4 testify? 5 FROM THE FLOOR: Are you taking any 6 questions? 7 EXAMINER TAUBER: The company will have 8 representatives available after the hearing and we 9 also have staff representatives if you have any 10 issues related to other issues besides tonight's 11 application. 12 Seeing nothing else, this hearing shall 13 be adjourned. 14 FROM THE FLOOR: Wait. 15 EXAMINER TAUBER: I apologize. Come on 16 up. 17 COMMISSIONER LESSER: I thought you were just trying to be the first out of the room. 18 19 MR. HORST: Yeah, I was trying to be the 20 first out of the room. 21 2.2 TOM HORST 23 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 24 examined and testified as follows: 25 DIRECT TESTIMONY

MR. HORST: Tom Horst. 1328 Northwoods

Drive, Hillsboro, Ohio. I represent 42,000

individuals that live in Highland County. I'm one of the county commissioners.

2.2

Our county was hit hard back in 2008 with the downturn of the economy. We went as high as 19 percent in our unemployment. At the present time it lays at 11 percent. Way above the national average; above the average for the state of Ohio.

The increase that was laid on us and our people in Highland County at the first of the year just about crippled us. We had individuals that were going to close their businesses and they would have closed their businesses if it hadn't been for the idea of the PUCO having AEP roll back their increase at that time.

Now, we understand that AEP, as was said before, needs to make a profit. They can't operate if they don't make money and be able to pay the guys that built the boilers that seem to be here tonight and the things that keep it going. On the other side, we can't afford an increase that goes in in an increment so large that it cripples our whole economy.

What we're running into is that with the

increase that we have, I feel, and most the people I talk to in Highland County feel, it needs to be put in, if it's going to have to happen, and it's going to happen, in smaller increments over a longer period of time.

You know, we're trying to make up, according from the original thing that started in January, they were trying to make up ten years of frozen assets in a two- or three-year period. You know, none of us in here can make up ten years of what we used to make in three years. It's not going to happen.

What we need is a longer period of time for AEP to look at what they need to do and the PUCO to get with them and stretch out this payback that we have to have. Let's get that done and go from there. Okay. Thank you.

EXAMINER TAUBER: Thank you.

Is there anybody else who would like the opportunity to testify this evening who has not already testified?

Seeing none, we are adjourned. Thank you all for coming.

(The hearing concluded at 6:40 p.m.)

\_ \_

2.2

# CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Tuesday, May 1, 2012, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Carolyn M. Burke, Registered Professional Reporter, and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires July 17, 2013.

2.1

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

5/15/2012 4:20:36 PM

in

Case No(s). 11-0346-EL-SSO, 11-0348-EL-SSO, 11-0349-EL-AAM, 11-0350-EL-AAM

Summary: Transcript of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company hearing held on 05/01/12 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Burke, Carolyn M. Mrs.