BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of the Application of Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc. for Authority to Adjust its Distribution Replacement Rider Charges.

Case No. 12-1423-GA-RDR

APPLICATION

Gretchen J. Hummel (Trial Attorney) Frank P. Darr McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC Fifth Third Center 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 Telephone: 614-469-8000 Telecopier: 614-469-4653 ghummel@mwncmh.com fdarr@mwncmh.com

Attorneys for Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc.

April 30, 2012

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

)

In the Matter of the Application of Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc. for Authority to Adjust its Distribution Replacement Rider Charges.

Case No. 12-1423-GA-RDR

APPLICATION

Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc. ("VEDO" or "Company") respectfully requests that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") approve an adjustment to its Distribution Replacement Rider ("DRR") charges as described and supported herein. In support of this Application, VEDO states:

1. VEDO is an Ohio corporation engaged in the business of providing natural gas distribution service to approximately 313,000 customers in west central Ohio and is a public utility as defined by Section 4905.02 and 4905.03, Ohio Revised Code.

2. On January 7, 2009, in Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR, the Commission approved, *inter alia*, a Stipulation and Recommendation (*"2008 Stipulation"*) filed on September 8, 2008 which authorized VEDO to establish a DRR for the recovery of: (1) the return on and of plant investment, including capitalized interest, or post-in-service carrying cost charges (*"PISCC"*), along with incremental costs incurred under a multi-year program for the accelerated replacement and retirement of cast iron mains and bare steel mains and service lines (*"Program"*), (2) deferred expenses incurred during

Company's investigation of the installation, use, and performance of natural gas service risers, (3) all costs of replacement of prone-to-fail risers, (4) the incremental costs attributable to assuming ownership of service lines installed or replaced by Company, and (5) the incremental cost of assuming maintenance responsibility for all service lines, less the actual annual savings of certain Operations and Maintenance ("O&M") expenses as compared to a baseline level of O&M of \$1,192,953. *2008 Stipulation* at 9-10.

3. Pursuant to the *2008 Stipulation*, in its Opinion and Order in Case No. 11-2776-GA-RDR ("*2011 Order*"), the Commission approved a Stipulation and Recommendation ("*2011 Stipulation*") which established the current DRR charges which became effective on September 1, 2011.

4. The 2008 Stipulation requires that by May 1 of each year for which the DRR is approved, commencing with 2010, VEDO "...shall make an application in this docket...to establish the DRR to be effective on the following September 1 for the subsequent twelve (12) month period." 2008 Stipulation at 11. The 2008 Stipulation provides that this Application, which is to be served on the parties electronically, shall not be considered to be an application to increase rates and charges. *Id.* In its 2010 *Order* in Case No. 10-0595-GA-RDR, the Commission ordered VEDO to file its annual DRR applications in an RDR docket. 2010 Order at 8.

5. As a part of the required May 1 application, VEDO is required to provide support for the following:

a. The return of and on the plant investment, inclusive of capitalized interest or post-in-service carrying costs charges ("PISCC"). PISCC shall be accrued and recovered at the rate of 7.02% for the

accumulated infrastructure investment amounts in the DRR from the date that the applicable assets are placed in service until the effective date of the next subsequent DRR;

- b. The incremental costs of the Program (as described in Exhibit No. JMF-6);
- c. The actual deferred costs resulting from compliance with the PUCO-ordered riser investigation (Case No. 05-463-GA-COI);
- d. The incremental costs of assuming ownership and repair of customer service lines as described in the rate case application;
- e. The costs associated with the replacement of prone-to-fail risers over a five year period;
- f. The incremental revenue requirement for the year and for each component of the DRR;
- g. A summary of its construction plans for the next year, including expected investment, expected location of the infrastructure replacement work, and the expected miles to be replaced; and
- h. The actual annual savings of O&M expenses.

2008 Stipulation at 9-12.

6. With respect to this Application, the 2008 Stipulation provides that VEDO "...shall: bear the burden of proof of demonstrating the justness and reasonableness of the level of recovery proposed by the Company for the successor DRR charge; and, support the adjustment to the annual revenue requirement for increases or adjustments to the then existing DRR charge...." 2008 Stipulation at 12.

7. In order to demonstrate the justness and reasonableness of the level of recovery sought for the DRR charges proposed herein and to support the proposed adjustment to the underlying annual revenue requirement, VEDO submits the following as attachments hereto:

- a. Attachment A: Direct Testimony of James M. Francis (and included Exhibits);
- b. Attachment B: Direct Testimony of Janice M. Barrett (and included Exhibits); and
- c. Attachment C: Direct Testimony of Scott E. Albertson (and included Exhibits).

8. The data and information contained in the Application attachments enumerated above support revised DRR charges as follows:

Rate Schedule	<u>\$ Per Month</u>	<u>\$ Per Ccf</u>
310, 311 and 315	\$1.99	
320, 321 and 325 (Group 1)	\$1.99	
320, 321 and 325 (Group 2 and 3)	\$0.01509
341	\$10.19	
345		\$0.00340
360		\$0.00223

9. A revised tariff Sheet No. 45, Sixth Revised Page 2 of 2, which reflects the DRR charges in No. 8 above, is included in the Direct Testimony of Scott E. Albertson as Exhibit No. SEA-2.

WHEREFORE, VEDO respectfully requests that the Commission approve the DRR charges shown on the proposed Sheet No. 45, Sixth Revised Page 2 of 2, included in the Direct Testimony of Scott E. Albertson as Exhibit No. SEA-2.

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Gretchen J. Hummel</u> Gretchen J. Hummel (Trial Attorney) Frank P. Darr McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC Fifth Third Center 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Telephone: 614-469-8000 Telecopier: 614-469-4653 ghummel@mwncmh.com fdarr@mwncmh.com

Attorneys for Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing *Application* has been sent electronically, this 30th day of April, 2012 to the following parties of record.

<u>/s/ Gretchen J. Hummel</u> Gretchen J. Hummel

Maureen Grady Assistant Consumers' Counsel Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, 18th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

David Rinebolt Colleen Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lima Street PO Box 1793 Findlay, OH 45839-1793

Mark Yurick Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP 65 East State Street, Suite 1000 Columbus, OH 43215-4213

John M. Dosker Stand Energy Corporation 1077 Celestial Street Suite 110 Cincinnati, OH 45202-1629 Vern Margard Duane W. Luckey Assistant Attorney General Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street, 9th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Trent Dougherty, Attorney Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Ave. Columbus, OH 43212-3449

W. Jonathan Airey Gregory D. Russell Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 E. Gay Street, PO Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008

ATTACHMENT A

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JAMES M. FRANCIS

DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING AND ASSET MANAGEMENT

ON BEHALF OF

VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF OHIO, INC.

CASE NO. 12-1423-GA-RDR

April 30, 2012

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JAMES M. FRANCIS

INTRODUCTION

Please state your name, business address and occupation. 1 Q. 2 My name is James M. Francis. My address is One Vectren Square, Α. 3 Evansville, Indiana, and I am Director of Engineering & Asset Management for Vectren Utility Holdings, Inc. ("VUHI"), the immediate 4 parent company of Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc. ("VEDO" or "the 5 Company"). 6 7 What are your duties in your present position? Q. 8 Α. I have responsibility for engineering and technical support for VEDO utility My specific responsibilities include System Design and 9 operations. 10 Planning, Corrosion Control, Project Engineering, Compliance, Standards, 11 Asset Management, Pipeline Integrity Management, and Capital Planning Additionally, I am responsible for identifying and 12 and Management. implementing many of VEDO's asset management programs. 13

14 Q. Please describe your work experience.

A. I have been employed by VEDO since April 8, 2004 as the Director of
Technical Services. My title has subsequently been changed to Director
of Engineering & Asset Management. Prior to my current position, I have
been employed with VEDO since the purchase of the gas assets of the
Dayton Power & Light Company by Vectren Corporation in 2000.
Immediately prior to my current position, I was the Regional Manager of

Francis Direct Testimony

the Troy Operating Region with responsibility for field operations. I also
held other positions at VEDO including Planning Manager and
Measurement Supervisor. Prior to my employment with VEDO, in 1991, I
became an employee of Dayton Power & Light serving as a Project
Engineer, System Planner and Measurement Supervisor.

6

Q.

What is your educational background?

A. I received a Bachelor of Science in mechanical engineering from the
University of Dayton in 1993. I received a Masters in Business
Administration from The Ohio State University in 2000.

- 10 Q. Are you involved in any gas industry association activities?
- A. Yes. I am active in the American Gas Association's ("AGA") Operating
 Section. I am currently a member of the AGA's Distribution and
 Transmission Engineering Committee.
- 14 Q. Have you previously testified before this Commission?

A. Yes. I testified in VEDO's most recent general rate case, Case No. 071080-GA-AIR ("Rate Case"), in support of the need for recovery of certain
costs under the Distribution Replacement Rider ("DRR") proposed in that
proceeding. I also testified in VEDO's 2010 DRR proceeding, Case No.
10-0595-GA-RDR and 2011 DRR proceeding, Case No. 11-2776-GARDR.

Francis Direct Testimony

1

Q.

What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

2 Α. First, I will provide details on the progress of VEDO's accelerated bare 3 steel and cast iron replacement program ("Replacement Program"). I will 4 discuss the status of pipe replacement, the costs incurred and the benefits identified in 2011. I will discuss certain other issues, such as meter 5 6 relocations and plastic pipe retirements, and how these are addressed 7 within the Replacement Program. I will discuss the processes used to 8 assess and award the construction work associated with the Replacement 9 Program, and will provide the 2012 replacement plan.

The second portion of my testimony will discuss VEDO's riser replacement 10 11 program ("Riser Program"). I will detail the status of replacements and 12 costs associated with the Riser Program in 2011. I will also discuss how the Riser Program work was awarded in 2011. 13

14 The third portion of my testimony will discuss VEDO's experience with the change in service line ownership and responsibilities which took effect in 15 2009. 16

The final portion of my testimony will discuss identified savings resulting 17 from the Replacement Program as well as the additional costs incurred by 18 19 VEDO due to its assumption of service line responsibility in 2009.

What Exhibits are you sponsoring in this proceeding? 20 Q.

I am sponsoring the following exhibits: 21 Α.

22

Exhibit No. JMF-1- 2011 VEDO BS/CI Replacement Program Progress Francis Direct Testimony

1		<u>Exhibit No. JMF-2</u> - Plastic Main Retirement Causes
2		<u>Exhibit No. JMF-3</u> - VEDO BS/CI 2012 Replacement Plan
3		Exhibit No. JMF-4- VEDO Riser Replacement Program 2011 Costs
4		<u>Exhibit No. JMF-5</u> - VEDO 2011 BS/CI Maintenance Expense
5		<u>Exhibit No. JMF-6</u> -VEDO Incremental Service Line Responsibility
6		Capital Costs
7	Q.	How is your testimony organized?
8	A.	My testimony is organized in four sections:
9		I. Bare Steel and Cast Iron Replacement Program
10		II. Riser Replacement Program
11		III. Service Line Responsibility
12		IV. O&M Savings and Incremental Costs
13	١.	Bare Steel and Cast Iron Replacement Program
14	Q.	Please provide a brief description of VEDO's Replacement Program.
15	Α.	As of the end of 2010, VEDO had a total of 492 miles of bare steel and
16		161 miles of cast iron main remaining in its system. In the Rate Case,
17		VEDO proposed to replace its remaining bare steel and cast iron
18		infrastructure over a twenty year period at a rate of approximately 35 miles
19		per year. The Replacement Program, as approved by the Commission in
20		the Rate Case, includes the replacement of both mains and service lines.
21		Existing bare steel and cast iron mains and service lines are being retired
22		as part of the Replacement Program.

Francis Direct Testimony

1 Q. How much bare steel and cast iron infrastructure did VEDO retire in 2 2011 as part of the Replacement Program?

- 3 Α. In 2011, VEDO retired 29.6 miles of bare steel and 5.3 miles of cast iron 4 mains under the Replacement Program. Additionally, VEDO retired 3,662 5 bare steel service lines, with 3,347 of those being replaced.
- 6 Q. How much did VEDO invest in the Replacement Program in 2011?

7 As identified by VEDO witness Janice M. Barrett, VEDO's Replacement Α. 8 Program investment for projects placed in service in 2011 was 9 \$17,544,517. Exhibit No. JMF-1 provides a detailed list of the projects placed in service under the Replacement Program in 2011, the costs of 10 11 each project as of December 31, 2011, and the amount of pipe (main 12 footage and number of service lines) retired and replaced. For some projects placed in service in 2011, additional trailing charges (such as 13 14 restoration costs) will be incurred in 2012. These costs will be included in 15 a future DRR filing.

Did VEDO retire any plastic main as part of the Replacement 16 Q. 17 Program in 2011?

18 VEDO retired a total of 7,458 feet of plastic main within the Α. Yes. replacement projects completed in 2011. There were a number of 19 20 reasons why plastic main segments were retired, which were discussed in my testimony in the Rate Case. Some short segments of plastic main 21 22 existed within the bare steel or cast iron systems. It would have been 23 more costly to try and salvage that main rather than replace it. Also, there Francis Direct Testimony

existed sections of plastic main at the ends of some distribution systems being retired wherein those segments no longer served any customers; therefore, there was no reason to continue to maintain those segments at this time. <u>Exhibit No. JMF-2</u> "Plastic Main Retirement Causes" provides a brief description of the cause of the plastic retirement for each applicable project.

Q. Did the Rate Case Stipulation contemplate the inclusion of plastic 8 pipe replacement costs for recovery through the DRR?

9 Yes. The Rate Case Stipulation, Paragraph 10(a) requires that the annual Α. 10 Replacement Program construction plans are to be provided to the Rate 11 Case parties on February 1 of each year and shall include, among other things, the "...investment in infrastructure replacement under the program 12 13 (including service line replacement costs and the other cost components included in the Company's application)...." The Rate Case Application, 14 15 Alt. Reg. Exhibit A, Page 4, discusses in detail the replacement of plastic pipe as a part of the Replacement Program. Additionally, the Rate Case 16 17 Stipulation, Paragraph 10(c), requires that the annual application to establish the DRR rate "...will include the information described in 18 Paragraph 10(a) above for the costs incurred during the previous calendar 19 year," which, as already indicated, includes the cost components, 20 21 including plastic pipe replacement, which were included in the Rate Case 22 Application.

1

2

3

4

5

6

Q. Is there any other evidence that the replacement of plastic pipe was
 contemplated to be a part of the Replacement Program as proposed
 in the Rate Case Application?

A. Yes. The Direct Testimony of Scott E. Albertson in the Rate Case, Page
4, in discussing the content of Rate Case Application, Alt. Reg. Exhibit A
and the cost components thereof, reiterates that the replacement of plastic
pipe was a part of the Replacement Program from its inception.

8 Q. Did VEDO move any meters outside as part of the Replacement 9 Program?

Yes. VEDO moved 2,579 meters outside in 2011. Because the newly 10 Α. installed mains operate at a higher pressure (requiring the installation of a 11 12 service regulator), the cost associated with moving the meters outside was less than if the meter remained inside and the necessary service regulator 13 14 was installed outside. In addition to better utilization of VEDO's capital, moving the meters outside should improve operational efficiency 15 associated with future meter order work and will eliminate the need for 16 17 inside atmospheric corrosion inspections. VEDO has employed this meter move-out approach since the Replacement Program first 18 was 19 implemented.

Q. Does VEDO believe that the Replacement Program is achieving or
 will achieve the expected benefits?

A. Yes. VEDO expects to experience improved service reliability and safety
 through the reduction of leakage and the replacement of the mains and
 Francis Direct Testimony

1 service lines that contribute most to system leaks. Proactive replacement of this pipe, moving meters outside, and retiring the older assets will drive 2 workforce efficiencies. The Company was able, in 2011, to achieve 3 improved capital utilization by retiring more existing main infrastructure 4 5 than it was necessary to replace. Customers and property owners will experience a reduction in the number and frequency of disturbances and 6 inconveniences (such as leak repair, service interruptions, etc.) as the 7 8 older sections of main are retired. VEDO has historically repaired approximately 1 leak per mile per year on the mains retired. Additionally, 9 as quantified below, there are active leaks and meter orders that will be 10 11 eliminated as a result of replacing the infrastructure. The elimination of active leaks will result in a relatively lower level of lost and unaccounted 12 for gas, although it is impractical to guantify a specific reduction. Finally, 13 VEDO expects long term benefits in terms of reduced impacts on the 14 communities where public infrastructure improvements may occur after 15 these projects are completed. 16

Q. What operational benefits did VEDO achieve as a result of the
 Replacement Program in 2011?

A. There are a number of operational benefits that VEDO has achieved todate as a result of the Replacement Program.

• The replacement of these assets has reduced the number of active leaks in VEDO's system, is expected to reduce the occurrence of future leaks and leak repair work, and will reduce interruptions,

inconveniences and disturbances to customers. Specifically, the replacement projects from 2011 have allowed VEDO to eliminate 110 active leaks, of which 44 would have required a more immediate and less efficient repair.

Over the past 7 years, the Company has experienced an average
of 156 asset condition related meter orders on the types of assets
that were replaced in 2011. VEDO will experience a reduction in
the number of these meter orders (Outside Gas Leak, Gas
Emergency, Water in Line, and No Gas orders) through the
retirement of bare steel and cast iron infrastructure.

- VEDO moved 2,579 inside meters outside. This will eliminate the
 requirement for a separate atmospheric corrosion check.
- Certain system components that had been used to address issues
 associated with assets in poor condition have been eliminated,
 such as the 42 drips used to remove water from low pressure
 mains.

Ultimately, these types of improvements provide reliability and safety
benefits to VEDO's customers or property owners that live in the vicinity of
the replacement projects.

20

1

2

3

4

Q. Did VEDO derive cost savings from the 2011 replacement projects?

A. Yes. VEDO has detailed the reduction of specific work items, assets and
 the estimated reduction of historically experienced work quantities, all of
 which allowed VEDO to achieve maintenance cost savings attributable to

Francis Direct Testimony

the Replacement Program (and specific to the assets that were retired) in
 2011. Quantification of the savings achieved in 2011 compared to the
 baseline amount of \$1,192,953 established in the Rate Case will be
 discussed later in my testimony.

5 Q. Were the construction projects within the 2011 Replacement
 6 Program competitively bid?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. How were the bid packages organized, bid and awarded?

9 Based on the geographical location of the projects, VEDO divided the Α. 10 planned 2011 projects into ten (10) bid packages. Separate bid packages 11 were prepared for the bare steel and cast iron replacement projects and the riser replacement work. All existing contractors could bid on any of the 12 10 packages but were not required to bid on all packages. If a contractor 13 14 had not performed a gas distribution replacement project for Vectren 15 within the last 3 years, they were deemed a new contractor and were limited to bid on the two (2) designated entry level packages. Each bid 16 17 package was independently evaluated.

18 Twelve (12) different construction contractors were invited to provide bids 19 for the work. A pre-bid meeting was held with all of the contractors to 20 provide direction and to answer questions with regard to the work to be 21 performed and the bids to be submitted. Each contractor was provided

Francis Direct Testimony

with copies of prints for all of the projects and were given time to visit the
 project sites prior to submitting bids.

3 Bids were submitted based on unit pricing; that is, a fixed price for a given unit of work to be performed. VEDO used the unit prices and the 4 estimated work units for each project to create comparative cost 5 6 estimates. These comparative estimates were then summarized for each 7 bid package. Each package was evaluated based on overall cost, and the contractor's capacity. If a contractor submitted bids on several projects, 8 the contractor's capacity was evaluated to ensure the potential award did 9 10 not exceed the contractor's capacity.

11

Q. What is VEDO's replacement plan for 2012?

12 VEDO's planned replacement projects for 2012 are identified in Exhibit Α. No. JMF-3. VEDO plans, in 2012, to spend approximately \$18.6 million 13 14 under the Replacement Program, replacing approximately 33 miles of bare steel and cast iron main along with the bare steel service lines 15 served from those mains. As was the case in 2011, VEDO reserves the 16 right to modify the plan as necessary to accommodate additional or 17 18 different, higher priority projects as circumstances may change throughout 19 the year.

1 II. <u>Riser Program</u>

2 Q. Please describe the Riser Program.

A. As ordered by the PUCO, in 2007 VEDO began conducting an inventory of customer owned service risers in its service territory. VEDO completed its inventory of risers in 2008. VEDO began replacing the risers identified as "prone-to-fail" in 2009 and further refined the list of risers to be replaced. As of the end of 2010, VEDO had 14,709 remaining prone-tofail risers to replace.

9

Q. How many risers did VEDO replace in 2011?

A. VEDO replaced the remaining 14,709 prone-to-fail risers in 2011. The cost to replace these risers was \$5,471,106 or approximately \$372 per riser. Exhibit No. JMF-4 provides a breakdown of the costs incurred under the Riser Program. VEDO has now replaced all identified prone-to-fail risers.

Q. What is the total Riser Program cost after completion at the end of
 2011?

A. The total Riser Program cost as of the end of 2011 was \$17,262,601,
which consists of the 2009 Riser Program cost of \$5,451,132, the 2010
Riser Program cost of \$6,340,363 and the 2011 Riser Program cost of
\$5,471,106. This total estimated cost is less than the \$33 million
projected spend identified during the Rate Case due to a reduction of the

Francis Direct Testimony

number of risers to be replaced and the Company's use of alternative replacement methods, as described below.

3

1

2

Q. What methods did VEDO use to replace risers in 2011?

A. Where possible, VEDO used the Perfection Servi-Sert service head
adaptor to replace the service riser head. Where the Servi-Sert was not
able to be used, the entire riser was replaced.

Q. Why was the average per unit cost of a riser replacement in 2011
 \$372 compared to \$337 in 2010?

9 Many of the more challenging riser replacements were completed in 2011, Α. which included the need to hand dig and squeeze off services as a result 10 11 of inaccessible curb stops. Additionally, there were fewer Servi-Serts 12 installed in 2011 than in 2010 based on varying manufactures as a result. of the existing service risers. This required more risers to be replaced 13 14 using a full riser replacement. Additionally, VEDO incurred an increase in 15 material costs resulting from the replacement of 86% more 1 1/4" risers (which are more costly than a 1" riser) than in 2010. 16

17 Q. Was the riser replacement work in 2011 competitively bid?

18 A. Yes.

Francis Direct Testimony

- 1 Q. How were the bid packages organized, bid and awarded?
- 2 A. The Riser Program bid packages were organized geographically into two3 (2) packages.

Twelve (12) different construction contractors were invited to provide bids for the riser work, of which six (6) provided bids. A pre-bid meeting was held with all of the contractors to answer questions with regard to the work to be performed and the bid packages to be submitted. Each contractor was provided with a count of risers to be replaced by package.

Bids were submitted based on unit pricing for full replacements, service
riser head replacements and any associated activities. VEDO used the
unit prices to create comparative cost estimates for each package. Each
package was evaluated independently, much like the Replacement
Program, and awarded accordingly.

14 The two (2) bid packages were awarded to the lowest two bidders based 15 on the comparative cost estimate. The same two (2) contractors 16 performed the Riser Program work in both 2010 and 2011.

Q. Was some of the riser replacement work completed by VEDO crews?
A. Yes. In addition to the contracted crews, VEDO used internal crews to
complete a number of replacements.

20 Q. Is VEDO's Riser Replacement Program complete?

21 A. Yes.

Francis Direct Testimony

1

111.

Service Line Responsibility

Q. Are you able to assess how VEDO's transition to service line responsibility has progressed?

4 VEDO continues to view the transfer of service line responsibility to the Α. 5 Company as a positive for both the Company and its customers. In 6 general, VEDO's assumption of service line responsibility has been a 7 benefit to its customers. Customers no longer are required to schedule 8 the services of a plumber to repair or replace their service line, minimizing 9 inconvenience and out of pocket costs for customers. VEDO's response 10 times to leak calls and its repair activities reduce the amount of time 11 customers are out of service. The Company's ability to adjust to an ever 12 changing schedule to meet the needs of customers has also been a 13 benefit. Also, confusion over customer responsibility for the service line has been essentially eliminated because there is now a clear delineation 14 15 of responsibility between the customer and VEDO. Because VEDO (and 16 its customers) have a significant number of aged service line assets, the 17 annual amount of service line replacements is significant. VEDO has 18 responded to numerous leak calls, many on bare steel service lines that have required replacement. VEDO does expect that as the Replacement 19 20 Program matures and as individual service lines are replaced, over time 21 this leak call activity will be reduced, as was identified in the Replacement 22 Program benefits.

Francis Direct Testimony

Q. Has VEDO experienced any incremental costs as a result of assuming service line responsibility?

3 Α. Yes. VEDO has had to repair a number of gas leaks on the portion of the 4 buried service line and the above ground meter setting that was previously maintained by the customer. As a result of this change, VEDO has seen 5 6 both an increase in capital replacements and operations and maintenance 7 expenses to repair these leaks. Incremental capital replacement costs 8 related to service line responsibility are included in VEDO witness Barrett's DRR revenue requirement. The incremental O&M expenses will 9 10 be discussed later in my testimony.

11 IV. Maintenance Savings and Incremental Costs

12 Q. Did VEDO achieve maintenance savings in 2011 compared to the 13 baseline amount of \$1,192,953?

Yes. VEDO calculated its maintenance expenses incurred in 2011 by the 14 Α. 15 same method it used to calculate the baseline maintenance expense amount of \$1,192,953. The actual comparable maintenance expenses in 16 2011 were \$870,301, resulting in a savings against the baseline of 17 18 \$322,652. This amount is broken into expense reductions attributable to mains of \$350,190 and expense increases from service lines replaced, 19 and now owned by VEDO, of \$27,538 for a net savings of \$322,652. 20 21 Additionally, VEDO experienced an increase in maintenance expenses of \$86,335 for those service lines that are not bare steel. Exhibit No. JMF-5 22

Francis Direct Testimony

provides the actual 2011 maintenance expenses and a comparison
 against the baseline expense amount. Additionally, this exhibit provides a
 breakdown of the maintenance expenses between mains and services.

4 Q. Are the maintenance savings fully attributable to the Replacement
 5 Program?

6 A. While certainly the elimination of the bare steel and cast iron No. 7 infrastructure would have driven some of the cost reductions, the change 8 in service line responsibilities also led to some of the savings. The reason 9 for this is that VEDO completed a significant number of service line 10 replacements that would have formerly been at the customer's expense. 11 The resources that previously had been conducting more leak repairs 12 instead completed service line replacements, which are capital 13 expenditures. As such, the maintenance expenses identified in 2011 are 14 not necessarily indicative of the ongoing level of O&M. Rather, they are indicative of the work VEDO actually performed in a single year (2011). 15 16 As such, the actual maintenance savings as compared to the baseline will 17 change year over year.

Q. Has VEDO experienced any incremental capital investment, beyond
 the Replacement Program, as a result of assuming service line
 responsibility?

A. Yes. VEDO has replaced a number of service lines in order to eliminate
 gas leaks on the portion of the buried service line and the above ground
 meter setting that was previously maintained by the customer. As a result
 Francis Direct Testimony

1 of this change, VEDO has seen an increase in capital costs. In 2011, 2 VEDO spent, on average, \$4,812 per service line replaced. The 3 incremental cost of the curb-to-meter portion of the service line is 4 approximately \$1,113 per service line replaced over that experienced 5 during the baseline period of 2007. The incremental investment includes 6 the cost for the incremental length of curb-to-meter service line and meter 7 setting that was formerly installed and maintained by the customer. In 8 2011, VEDO replaced 1,354 service lines that were not associated with 9 the formal Replacement Program. This equated to an incremental capital 10 investment of \$1,507,002 for service line replacements as a result of the assumption of this responsibility for service lines. Exhibit No. JMF-6 11 12 provides the calculation of the incremental investment.

13 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

14 A. Yes.

Exhibit No. JMF-1 Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio Page 1 of 1

2011 VEDO BS/CI Replacement Program Progress Actual Install & Retirement

Diasta			
Utility Plant Plastic Installed Additions ⁽¹⁾ (Feet)	1	City	
107,569 2,089	¢	Dayton \$	
	÷	Washington CH \$	Washington CH
	\$		Dayton
	ŝ		Dayton
	\$		Miamisburg
2019,404 4,893	÷		÷ •
		÷ 63	Washington CH \$
		ь Ф	W Carroliton \$
42,659 700		ф	ф
198,573 2,339	\$		\$
	\$	\$	\$
53,546 2,426	÷		Yellow Springs \$
	\$		Oakwood \$
1,768,959 11,269	\$ 1,7		\$
1,425,502 [9,614	\$ 1,		Dayton \$
	\$		\$ 9
	\$	Dayton \$	Dayton
		÷	Dayton \$
	÷		Covington
	\$		Covington
	ŝ	1	Bellefontaine
	\$		Bellefontaine
ຕ	÷	+	Aracanum
	\$	Yellow Springs \$	-
	\$	New Carliste \$	New Carliste
93,735 1,209	\$	Jamestown \$	
461,981 4,170	\$	Fairborn \$	
907,077 11,043	69		ь
218,283 2,620	\$		÷
		\$	Ś
143.785 2.889	8		
	\$	0	Bellefontaine
437,758 5,760	\$	$\left \right $	Jamestown
	s		Bellefontaine
255,586 4,080			\$
544,517 164,153		\$	\$

Notes: ¹ Utility plant additions do not include cost of removal or 2011 trailing charge activity associated with BS/CI groups placed in service prior to January 1, 2011, both of which will be included in the 2012 DRR filing.

² Quantities may reflect estimates as final as-built information has not been received for all work orders; final as-built quantities will be reflected in 2012 DRR filing.

³ Completion date is pending completion of service tie ins and/or retirements.

Exhibit No. JMF-2 Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio Page 1 of 1

.

2011 VEDO BS/CI Replacement Program Plastic Main Retirement Causes

Work Order Number	Completion Date	Group#	City	Total PL. Retried (Feet)	Plastic Retirement Causes
11046603052215	9	V-775	Dayton	56 Short section of main between steel.	
10046703052210	23-Dec-11	V-444	Washington CH	Installed 310' main in the alley and retired existing plastic ma 445 Also a segment of plastic main was between steel mains seg (directional bore the new main)	Installed 310' main in the alley and retired existing plastic main from from front distribution due to local requirements for meter location. Also a segment of plastic main was between steel mains segments to be retired (higher cost to dig both ends and uprate). (directional bore the new main)
10046803052213	9-Jan-12	V-361	Dayton	250 Plastic main crossing was retired (higher cost to dig both end segments to be retired (directional pore the new main).	Plastic main crossing was retired (higher cost to dig both ends and uprate). Also a segment of plastic was between steel mains segments to be retired (directional bore the new main).
10046803052212	-Jan-12	V-358	Dayton	442 2 segments of plastic mains were between steel mains (high was retired, not needed, no customer.	2 segments of plastic mains were between steel mains (higher cost to dig both ends and uprate). Also segment of plastic main was retired not needed, no customer.
10046903052212	6-Jan-12	V-352	Miamisburg	535 Transfered services from existing 3" LPP to the MPS main, do not need the second main	to not need the second main.
09046703052525	22-Dec-11	V-103	Washington CH	0	
09046703052523 10046703052212	21-Dec-11 21-Dec-11	V-102 V-450	Washington CH Washington CH	0	
09046952532	16-Sep-11	V-10-19	. W Carrollton	158 Plastic main between steel main segments to be retired (high	Plastic main between steel main segments to be retired (higher cost to dig both ends and uprate), (directional bore the new main).
11046903052210	11-Jul-11	V-816	Kettering	0	
09048152529	09-Jun-11	V-09-32	Greenville		
09046852534	6-Jan-12	V-10-05	Vallant Dayton	53 Isolated plastic main segment was retired, not needed, no customer	stomer.
09046952530	14-Sen-11	V-1013	Dakwood	430 Isolated plastic main segment was reared, not needed, no customer 0	stomer,
09046852537	22-Dec-11	V-10-18	Dayton	0	
09046852536	06-Jan-12	V-10-20	Dayton	236 3 segments of plastic main were between steel mains (higher retired, not needed, no customer.	3 segments of plastic main were between steel mains (higher cost to dig both ends and uprate). Also segment of plastic main was retired, not needed, no customer.
09046852542	22-Dec-11	V-10-35	Dayton	94 Segment of plastic main was between steel main segments to be retired (higher cost to dig both ends bore the new main).	o be retired (higher cost to dig both ends and uprate). (directional
09046803052523	23-Sep-11	V-104	Dayton		
09046952533	14-Sep-11	V-10-41	Dayton	0	
09048103052523	28-Oct-11	V-106	Covington	1 ₃₀ Segment of plastic main was between steel main segments t bore the new main).	Segment of plastic main was between steel main segments to be retired (higher cost to dig both ends and uprate). (directional bore the new main).
09048103052525	28-Oct-11	V-107	Covington	170 Segment of plastic main was between steel main segments t plastic main.	Segment of plastic main was between steel main segments to be retired. 1" and 11/4" plastic main segments upgraded to 2" plastic main.
09048203052523	25-Aug-11	V-108	Bellefontaine	25 Segment of plastic main was between steel main segments t bore the new main).	Segment of plastic main was between steel main segments to be retired (higher cost to dig both ends and uprate). (directional bore the new main).
09048203052525	25-Aug-11	V-109	Bellefontaine		MPP, no need for 2 mains on the same side of street.
09048103052526	14-Jul-11	V-110	Aracanum	165	
09046603052525	10-Aug-11	V-111	Yellow Springs		
09046603052527	05-Aug-11	V-113	Jamestown	0	
09046603052528	15-Aug-11	V-114	Fairborn	166 Segment of plastic main was between steel main segments t bore the new main).	Segment of plastic main was between steel main segments to be retired (higher cost to dig both ends and uprate). (directional bore the new main).
10048103052212	23-Aug-11	V-124	Greenville	610 Segment of plastic main was between steel main segments to be retired. 600' of '1" and 11/4" LP plastic main segments uppraded to 2" plastic main.	o be retired. 600' of 1" and 11/4" LP plastic main segments
10046603052210	13-Aug-11	V-137	Xenia	140 Segment of plastic main was between steel main segments t bore the new main).	Segment of plastic main was between steel main segments to be retired (higher cost to dig both ends and uprate). (directional bore the new main).
09046803052525	23-Sep-11	V-211	Dayton	215 2 Segment of plastic main was between steel main segments bore the new main).	2 Segment of plastic main was between steel main segments to be retired (higher cost to dig both ends and uprate). (directional bore the new main).
10048103052213	28-Oct-11	V-447	Covington	137 Segments of plastic main was between steel main segments bore the new main).	Segments of plastic main was between steel main segments to be retired (higher cost to dig both ends and uprate). (directional bore the new main).
10048203052210	25-Aug-11	V-449	Bellefontaine	20 Retired isolated Plastic main, no customer.	
10046603052212	05-Aug-11	V-451	Jamestown	⁸³¹ 3 segments of plastic main were between steel main segmer bore the new main).	3 segments of plastic main were between steel main segments to be retired (higher cost to dig both ends and uprate). (directional bore the new main).
10048203052212	25-Aug-11	V-454	Bellefontaine	375 3 segments of plastic main were between steel main segmer bore the new main).	3 segments of plastic main were between steel main segments to be retired (higher cost to dig both ends and uprate). (directional bore the new main).
10048103052210	30-Jun-11	V-455	Aracanum		3 segments of plastic main were between steel main segments to be retired (higher cost to dig both ends and uprate). 45 of 11/4" LPP main upgraded to 2" main.
			TOTAL	7,458	



VEDO BS / CI 2012 Replacement Program Calendar Year 2012

and a state of the second second second

					Estimated	I	
Project Group #	Operating Center	City	Street	Install Footage	Retire Footage	Project Services	Estimated Project Cost
V-441	Bellefontaine	BELLEFONTAINE	Green St., Park St.	3,010	4,481	84	\$460,750
V-481	Bellefontaine	BELLEFONTAINE	Lake Ave., Superior St., Erie St.	1,803	2,267	53	\$265,049
V-133	Centerville	DAYTON	Maple St., Clover St., Little St.	4,405	6,445	242	\$995,755
V-147	Centerville	DAYTON	Heaton Ave., Highland Ave.	7,585	7,650	266	\$996,497
V-291	Centerville	DAYTON	Coventry Rd. Cleaveland Ave.	4,645	3,955	151	\$546,812
V-453	Centerville	MIAMISBURG	Cole ave., Park Ave.	4,338	4,931	106	\$500,434
V-513	Centerville	DAYTON	Hessler St., Glenn Rock, Pusell Ave.	940	1,455	45	\$212,557
V-523	Centerville	DAYTON	Guncle Ave., Gebhart St.	2,740	2,854	75	\$401,285
V-524	Centerville	DAYTON	Angle St., George St.	5,165	5,990	165	\$786,744
V-530	Centerville	DAYTON	Wayne Ave., Epworth Ave.	6,810	6,851	239	\$961,211
V-744	Centerville	DAYTON	Brown st., K St.	1,114	2,124	17	\$171,128
V-810	Centerville	DAYTON	Paterson Rd.	3,777	. 3,955	83	\$578,458
V-10-42	Dayton West	DAYTON	Ray Ave., Troy St., Edmond St.,	5,760	9,420	192	\$965,129
V-115	Dayton West	DAYTON	Fith st., Riverview Ave., E Second St.	3,787	6,295	31	\$462,878
V-116	Dayton West	DAYTON	Hart St.,Leo St., Leonard St.	5,385	4,940	252	\$996,972
V-117	Dayton West	DAYTON	First St., Douglas Ave., Webb St.	5,840	8,055	128	\$719,729
V-118	Dayton West	DAYTON	Findlay st., S. Jersey St., N McGee St.	9,455	10,775	195	\$998,945
V-123	Dayton West	EATON	Maple St., E. Edison St., E Mechanic St.	7,075	7,010	124	\$660,392
V-134	Dayton West	DAYTON	Bolton St., Richard St., Bantz Ct.	6,286	9,214	137	\$753,466
V-511	Dayton West	DAYTON	Pleasant St., Garland St., Harbine St.	4,394	5,560	184	\$845,015
V-528	Dayton West	DAYTON	Ryburn Ave., Bruce Ave.,	2,496	3,531	87	\$367,687
V-567	Dayton West	DAYTON	Orchard St., Mathison St., 1st St.	4,040	6,285	136	\$653,704
V-596	Dayton West	DAYTON	Edison St., Woodward St., Howell St.	2,858	4,686	64	\$365,658
V-440	Fairborn	CEDARVILLE	Elm st., Walnut St., North St.	3,125	4,950	53	\$342,016
V-452	Fairborn	XENIA	Main St., West St., Collier St.	8,990	12,450	125	\$760,743
V-612	Fairborn	JAMESTOWN	Maple St., Washington St., Xenia St.	3,731	4,448	64	\$288,482
V-120	Тгоу	NEW MADISON	Cherry St., Summit St., Wayne St.	5,649	6,733	115	\$613,051
V-460	Troy	SIDNEY	Mishigan Ave., Cary St., North St.	4,330	4,910	130	\$411,519
V-520	Тгоу	PIQUA	Summit St., Willard St., Sunset St.	2,108	3,109	77	\$469,132
V-522	Troy	PIQUA	Garfield St., Plum St.	2,892	2,872	74	\$412,008
V-623	Тгоу	SIDNEY	Miami St., South St., Thompson St. TOTAL	4,421 138,954	4,956 173,157	158 3,852	\$685,612 \$18,648,818

Exhibit No. JMF-4 Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio Page 1 of 1

Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio Riser Replacement Program Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011

Expense Category	Expense
Contract Labor	\$ 2,805,386
Materials	\$ 1,127,312
Overheads	\$ 1,066,605
Labor	\$ 408,776
Other Expenses	\$ 63,027
Total	\$ 5,471,106
# Risers	14,709
Costs per Riser	\$ 372

Notes:

(1) Ties to Exhibit No. JMB-3a, Column P, Line 11.

Exhibit No. JMF-5 Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio Page 1 of 1

VEDO 2011 Maintenance Expense - BS/CI & Service Line Ownership

A12 - B12 Change from Baseline (10,484) Change from Baseline Change from Baseline ŝ B5 * B6 B9/B8 2 * B10 B7 * 311 114928 4,134,424 35.97 71.95 782 1651 36 5880 43.6% 2564 3411 184,452 2011 2011 2011 2011 œ ŝ Service O&M Expense Change A7 * A11 A5 * A6 A9/A8 2 *A10 Leak Repair & Management Meter Order Management 3,542,248 29.01 58.03 3467 937 1831 11 6246 48% 2998 22091 173,968 Baseline (C1xC2) Baseline Baseline Baseline ŝ Orders Applicable to BS/CI x Average Order Cost per Asset Condition based Order Total Meter Orders Meter Order Mgmt Actuals Average Cost per Order Average cost per Asset Condition based Order • Leak Investigation order averages approximately 2x's longer than average meter order Maintenance Expenses Reduction Opportunity Service Leaks Maintenance Expenses 5 Total 8 % Allocated to BS/CI Facilities 7 Orders applicable to BS/CI Outside Leaks Investigate Gas Emergency Maintenance Expenses Water in Service leter Orders No Gas 2 0

A15-B15 A16-B16 A17-B17 A13-B13 (27,538) (17,054) (86,335) (103,389) B12+B15 B13*B14 B13-B15 39.6% 98,621 150,423 283,073 249,044 ŝ A13-A15 A12+A15 A13*A14 145,655 56% 81,567 64,088 255,535 G Service Leak Repair Actuals
 % of Service BS/CI Leak Repairs
 Incremental Service O&M Expenses attributable to BS/CI
 Incremental Service O&M Expenses attributable to All Other Asset Types 17 TOTAL BS/CI SERVICE MAINTENANCE EXPENSES

MAIN O&M Expense Change

	Leak Repai	-eak Repair & Management						
	Que seched #\$27%/0000575/00040800000000000000000000000000000000	12000000000000000000000000000000000000	ANADAUT PROPERTY AND	2002/2002/2002/2002/2012/2012/2012/2012	NUMBER OF STREET, STORE		17 N/A 5/67/8-19(298)92090000000283603600000000000000000000000000	210715112 (2600522 041115.076) % 2647569
Main Leaks Maintenance Expenses	Baseline			2011			Change from Baseline	е (
18 Total Main Leak Repair Actuals	\$ 1,610	610,684		5 1,1	,172,215			
19 Cost Associated with Soft Surface Repairs	\$ 64	644,274		\$ 7	736,151			
20 % of Soft Surface Repairs on BS/CI Main Leaks		39%			42%			
21 Cost Associated with Hard Surface Repairs	96	966,410		\$ 4	436,064			
22 % of Hard Surface Repairs on BS/CI Main Leaks		71%			64%			
23 Main O&M Expenses attributable to BS/CI	\$ 33	937,418 (A19*A20)+(A21*A22)	21*A22)	2	587,228	(B19*B20)+(B21*B22)	\$ 350,190	0 A23-B23
24 Total O&M Maintenance Expenses (Main + Services)	\$ 1,19;	,192,953 A17+A23	3	8	870,301	B17+B23	\$ 322,652	2 A24-B24

Exhibit No. JMF-6 Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio Page 1 of 1

VEDO Incremental Service Line Responsibility Capital Costs ^B

	1,620			1
				B3-A3
	er Baseline			1,113
O	cremental over		. 1	
	u)		_	₩
-				B1/B2
	1	5,450	1,354	4,812
В	201	6,51		
		ஒ		ю
•				A1/A2
	ine	867	896	6699
۲	Base	3,313		
		\$	\neg	θ
				bed
		ts	р	Repla
		s Cos	place	Line
		ements	es Re	ervice
		eplace	ce Lin	per Sc
		ine R	Servic	Cost
		vice L	unt of	rage
		1 Ser	5 CO	3 Ave

	A7*B7	
al Incremental Capital Cost	1,507,002	
Tot	\$	
	82	
ervice acements	1,354	
S. Repla		
	c3	
nental per vice	1,113	
Incren Cost Sen	Ġ	
	7 Total Incremental Capital Investment for Service Line Responsibility	

Note: The service replacements included in this count were not replaced as part of a bare steel/cast iron replacement project. Replacements were performed as a result of individual leaks, relocations, public improvement projects or other system improvement projects.

ATTACHMENT B

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JANICE M. BARRETT

DIRECTOR OF REGULATORY AND PLANT ACCOUNTING

ON BEHALF OF

VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF OHIO, INC.

CASE NO. 12-1423-GA-RDR

APRIL 30, 2012

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JANICE M. BARRETT

1 INTRODUCTION

- 2 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- 3 Α. Janice M. Barrett. One Vectren Square, Evansville, Indiana 47708.
- What position do you hold with Applicant Vectren Energy Delivery of 4 Q. 5 Ohio, Inc. ("VEDO" or "the Company")?
- 6 Α. I am Director of Regulatory and Plant Accounting for Vectren Utility 7 Holdings, Inc. ("VUHI"), the immediate parent company of VEDO. I hold the same position with two other utility subsidiaries of VUHI -- Southern 8 9 Indiana Gas and Electric Company, Inc. d/b/a Vectren Energy Delivery of 10 Indiana, Inc. ("Vectren South") and Indiana Gas Company, Inc. d/b/a/ 11 Vectren Energy Delivery of Indiana ("Vectren North").
- 12 Please describe your educational background. Q.
- I am a 1993 graduate of The Ohio State University with a Bachelor of 13 Α. 14 Science Degree in Agriculture. I continued my education at Louisiana State University and Miami University of Ohio and obtained my public 15 16 accounting certification in 1998. I am a Certified Public Accountant in the 17 State of Indiana.
- 18 Q.

Please describe your professional experience.

19 Α. From 1996 to 1998, I was employed by KPMG Peat Marwick, LLP first as a staff auditor and ultimately promoted to Supervising Senior. From 1998 to 20

2001, I was employed by Prime Succession, Inc. where I served as
 Director of Internal Audit. Since 2001, I have been employed by Vectren
 and have held various Corporate Accounting positions. In March 2008, I
 was promoted to Director of Regulatory and Plant Accounting.

5 Q. What are your present duties and responsibilities as Director of
 6 Regulatory and Plant Accounting?

7 A. I am responsible for and oversee all regulatory and plant accounting
8 functions for VEDO (and VUHI's other utility subsidiaries).

- 9 Q. Are you familiar with the books, records, and accounting procedures
 10 of VEDO?
- 11 A. Yes, I am.

Q. Are VEDO's books and records maintained in accordance with the
 Uniform System of Accounts ("USoA") and generally accepted
 accounting principles?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Have you previously testified before this Commission?

A. Yes. I testified on behalf of VEDO in its previous Distribution Replacement
 Rider ("DRR") cases, Case Nos. 10-0595-GA-RDR and 11-2776-GA-RDR.

- 19 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
- 20 A. My testimony in this proceeding will provide an explanation of the 21 calculation of the revenue requirement for VEDO's DRR, which includes

1 the bare steel and cast iron replacement program ("Replacement 2 Program"), natural gas riser replacement program and incremental costs 3 associated with the Company's assumption of service line responsibility. I will also provide an explanation of the accounting procedures the Company 4 5 uses to record and segregate the costs recoverable in the DRR. 6 Q. Please explain the exhibits to your testimony? 7 The following exhibits are attached to my testimony: Α. 8 Exhibit No. JMB-1 - Summary of DRR Revenue Requirement Exhibit No. JMB-2 - Revenue Requirement for Main Replacement Program 9 10 Exhibit No. JMB-2a - Utility Plant Additions for Main Replacement Program 11 Exhibit No. JMB-2b - Utility Plant Retirements for Main Replacement 12 Program 13 Exhibit No. JMB-2c - Accumulated Depreciation for Main Replacement 14 Program 15 Exhibit No. JMB-2d - Cost of Removal for Main Replacement Program 16 Exhibit No. JMB-2e - Post in Service Carrying Costs ("PISCC") for Main 17 Replacement Program Exhibit No. JMB-2f - Annualized Property Tax Expense for Main 18 19 Replacement Program Exhibit No. JMB-2g - Deferred Taxes on Liberalized Depreciation for Main 20 21 **Replacement Program** 22 Exhibit No. JMB-3 - Revenue Requirement for Service Line and Riser Replacement Program 23

1	Exhibit No. JMB-3a - Utility Plant Additions for Service Line and Riser
2	Replacement Program
3	Exhibit No. JMB-3b - Utility Plant Retirements for Service Line and Riser
4	Replacement Program
5	Exhibit No. JMB-3c - Accumulated Depreciation for Service Line and Riser
6	Replacement Program
7	Exhibit No. JMB-3d - Cost of Removal for Service Line and Riser
8	Replacement Program
9	Exhibit No. JMB-3e - PISCC for Service Line and Riser Replacement
10	Program
11	Exhibit No. JMB-3f - Annualized Property Tax Expense for Service Line
12	and Riser Replacement Program
13	Exhibit No. JMB-3g - Deferred Taxes on Liberalized Depreciation for
14	Service Line and Riser Replacement Program
15	Exhibit No. JMB-4 - DRR Revenue Requirement Variance at December 31,
16	2011.
17	Exhibit No. JMB4a - DRR Recoveries by Tariff
18	ACCOUNTING PROCEDURES
19	Q. Please explain the work order process that VEDO utilizes to
20	segregate and record the capital costs of the bare steel and cast iron
21	replacement and riser/service line replacement programs (collectively
22	"Programs") while the projects are under construction ("Program
23	Construction Costs").

1 To ensure proper accumulation and segregation of Program Construction Α. 2 Costs, a project number is assigned to each capital work order. All 3 Program Construction Costs, as incurred, are recorded to the assigned 4 project number and are maintained in the Company's Financial Information 5 System ("FIS") Projects Accounting ("PA") module. The project number is required for the recording of all Program Construction Costs into any of the 6 7 FIS feeder systems. Each of the feeder systems, which include payroll, 8 accounts payable, and material inventory, interface with the PA module. 9 Total Program Construction Costs incurred can be viewed and/or reported 10 by the project number at any point in time as the Programs progress.

Q. What types of costs did VEDO include in the value of the property for
 the DRR rate base additions?

A. The DRR includes the construction costs of the Programs, as well as
 engineering and project management, permitting, consulting services, site
 preparation, equipment and installation, cost of retirement, an allocation of
 administrative overhead, and other related expenses.

17 Q. Is an allowance for funds used during construction ("AFUDC")
 18 included in the Program Construction Costs?

A. Yes, AFUDC has been recorded as part of the Program Construction Costs
in accordance with USoA and the 2011 AFUDC rate used for all other
VEDO construction projects was 8.53%.

Barrett Direct Testimony

Q. When does VEDO discontinue recording AFUDC on the Program
 Construction Costs?

A. VEDO ceases the accrual of AFUDC when each work order is placed in
service and begins accruing PISCC at an annual rate of 7.02%, as
provided in the Commission's order in Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR. The net
PISCC deferred as of December 31, 2011 has been reflected on Exhibit
<u>No. JMB-2</u>, Line 11 for mains and Exhibit No. JMB-3, Line 18 for service
lines.

9

Q. Please explain PISCC and how it works.

A. PISCC is an allocation of interest cost to the infrastructure investments
 made in the Programs and is accumulated from the in-service date through
 the date each project's costs are included for recovery in the DRR or in
 base rates.

14 Q. Does the Replacement Program include retirements and cost of 15 removal of utility plant assets?

A. Yes. Existing bare steel and cast iron mains and service lines are being
retired as part of the Program. VEDO had discontinued the installation of
bare steel and cast iron pipe by the 1950's; therefore any retirements of
these types of mains and service lines represent fully depreciated plant in
service. As the retirements are performed, VEDO is also recording the
cost to retire or remove the bare steel and cast iron assets as part of the
Replacement Program.

Barrett Direct Testimony

Q. How did VEDO account for the asset retirements and associated cost
 of removal?

3 Α. In accordance with the USoA, the retirement of utility assets, at original 4 cost, and the retirement's related cost of removal made necessary by the 5 Replacement Program were charged to the associated depreciation 6 reserve(s). The Replacement Program's original cost retirements are 7 reflected on Exhibit No. JMB-2, Lines 4 and 9 for mains, and on Exhibit No. 8 JMB-3, Lines 7 and 8 and Lines 15 and 16 for service lines, and cost of 9 removal is reflected on Exhibit No. JMB-2, Line 8 for mains and Exhibit No. 10 JMB -3, Line 14 for service lines.

Q. What operating expenses are included in the DRR revenue
 requirement calculation?

13 VEDO has reflected the incremental property tax (Exhibit No. JMB-2, Line Α. 14 18 (mains) and Exhibit No. JMB-3, Line 25 (service lines and risers) and 15 annualized depreciation expense Exhibit No. JMB-2, Line 19 (mains) and 16 Exhibit No. JMB-3, Line 26 and 27 (service lines and risers)) based on the 17 net additions to plant in service shown on Exhibit No. JMB-2, Lines 5, mains, and Exhibit No. JMB-3, Line 9, service lines. The annualized 18 19 depreciation expense was calculated using the depreciation rates 20 approved in VEDO's base rate case, Case No. 04-0571-GA-AIR, and 21 property tax expense is supported by Exhibit Nos. JMB-2f (mains) and JMB-3f (service lines and risers). 22

Barrett Direct Testimony

VEDO has also included in the DRR revenue requirement the incremental
 cost associated with assuming ownership of service lines. This expense is
 reflected on Exhibit No. <u>JMB-3</u>, Line 29. VEDO witness Francis provides
 the support for the incremental expense in <u>Exhibit No. JMF-5</u>

5 Q. Are there maintenance expense adjustments associated with the 6 Replacement Program?

7 Yes. As described by VEDO witness Francis, the maintenance expense Α. 8 savings are measured by comparing actual maintenance expenses for 9 leaks (mains and services) and meter maintenance for the twelve months 10 ended December 31, 2011 to baseline O&M expense of \$1,192,953 11 established in Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR. VEDO witness Francis' Exhibit 12 No. JMF-5 provides the comparison of actual and baseline expenses and 13 defines the adjustment applicable to this filing, which is reflected in the 14 DRR revenue requirement on Exhibit No. JMB-2, Line 21 for mains and 15 Exhibit No. JMB-3, Line 30 for service lines.

16 **EXPLANATION OF EXHIBITS**

17 Q. Please explain Exhibit No. JMB-1.

A. <u>Exhibit No. JMB-1</u> summarizes the annualized revenue requirement for the
 Programs. The revenue requirement is supported by <u>Exhibit Nos. JMB-2</u>
 through JMB-4.

Barrett Direct Testimony

- 1 Q. Please explain Exhibit No. JMB-2 and Exhibit No. JMB-3.
- A. <u>Exhibit Nos. JMB-2 and JMB-3</u> represent the revenue requirement
 calculation for VEDO's DRR rates based on net rate base at December 31,
 2011 inclusive of PISCC and deferred taxes related to depreciation and
 PISCC. <u>Exhibit No. JMB-2</u> represents the revenue requirement calculation
 for the main replacement program and <u>Exhibit No. JMB-3</u> represents the
 revenue requirement calculation for service line and riser replacements.

8 Q. Please explain Exhibit No. JMB-2a and Exhibit No. JMB-3a.

- 9 A. <u>Exhibit Nos. JMB-2a and JMB-3a</u> provide the balance of plant additions at
 10 December 31, 2010, and actual plant additions by month for the twelve
 11 months ended December 31, 2011 to determine utility plant additions at
 12 December 31, 2011. <u>Exhibit No. JMB-2a</u> provides information for the main
 13 replacement program and <u>Exhibit No. JMB-3a</u> provides information for the
 14 service line and riser replacement programs.
- 15

Q.

Please explain Exhibit No. JMB-2b and Exhibit No. JMB-3b.

A. <u>Exhibit Nos. JMB-2b and JMB-3b</u> provide the balance of the original cost of
 plant retired under the Program as of December 31, 2010 as shown in
 Case No. 11-2776-GA-RDR and actual original cost retired by month for
 projects completed during the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 to
 calculate the Replacement Program's total original cost retirements.
 <u>Exhibit No. JMB-2b</u> provides information for the main replacement program

and <u>Exhibit No. JMB-3b</u> provides information for the service line and riser
 replacement programs.

3 Q. Please explain Exhibit No. JMB-2c and Exhibit No. JMB-3c.

A. <u>Exhibit Nos. JMB-2c and JMB-3c</u> provide the balance of accumulated depreciation at December 31, 2010, and the actual provision for depreciation by month for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 to calculate the accumulated depreciation provision at December 31, 2011.
<u>Exhibit No. JMB-2c</u> provides information for the main replacement program and <u>Exhibit No. JMB-3c</u> provides information for the service line and riser replacement programs.

11 Q. Please explain Exhibit No. JMB-2d and Exhibit No. JMB-3d.

A. <u>Exhibit Nos. JMB-2d and JMB-3d</u> provide the balance of cost of removal at
 December 31, 2010 and the actual cost of removal by month for the twelve
 months ended December 31, 2011 to calculate the Program's total cost of
 removal through December 31, 2011. <u>Exhibit No. JMB-2d</u> provides
 information for the main replacement program and <u>Exhibit No. JMB-3d</u>
 provides information for the service line and riser replacement programs.

18 **Q**.

Please explain Exhibit No. JMB-2e and Exhibit No. JMB-3e.

A. <u>Exhibit Nos. JMB-2e and JMB-3e</u> provide the balance of the PISCC
regulatory asset at December 31, 2010, and the PISCC activity by month
for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 to calculate the PISCC
regulatory asset balance at December 31, 2011. These schedules also

Barrett Direct Testimony

provide the amortization of PISCC by month for the twelve months ended
 December 31, 2011, and an accumulated PISCC amortization balance at
 December 31, 2011. Furthermore, these schedules provide the Net PISCC
 Regulatory Asset at December 31, 2011. <u>Exhibit No. JMB-2e</u> provides
 information for the main replacement program and <u>Exhibit No. JMB-3e</u>
 provides information for the service line and riser replacement programs.

7

Q.

Please explain <u>Exhibit No. JMB-2f and Exhibit No. JMB-3f.</u>

8 Α. Exhibit Nos. JMB-2f and JMB-3f provide the calculation of the annualized 9 property tax expense based on the net additions (mains, service lines and 10 risers) to Plant In-Service under the Programs. This calculation follows the 11 process used in VEDO's Annual Report to the Ohio Department of 12 Taxation to determine the Net Property Valuation and uses the latest 13 known average personal property tax rate. Exhibit No. JMB-2f provides 14 information for the net main additions and Exhibit No. JMB-3f provides 15 information for the net service line and riser additions.

16

Q. Please explain Exhibit No. JMB-2g and Exhibit No. JMB-3g.

A. <u>Exhibit Nos. JMB-2g</u> (mains) and <u>JMB-3g</u> (service lines/risers) provide the
 calculation of depreciation related deferred taxes for the Programs' capital
 investments placed in service during 2009, 2010 and 2011.

20 Q. Please explain Exhibit No. JMB-4 and Exhibit No. JMB-4a.

A. <u>Exhibit No. JMB-4</u> provides the calculation of the DRR variance at
 December 31, 2011. This variance is associated with the DRR revenue

Barrett Direct Testimony

- 1 requirement for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011.
- 2 <u>Exhibit No. JMB-4a</u> reflects DRR recoveries by month by customer group
- 3 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011.
- 4 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 5 A. Yes.

VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF OHIO, INC. DISTRIBUTION REPLACEMENT RIDER SUMMARY OF DRR REVENUE REQUIREMENT

Line	Description	 Amount	Reference	_
1	Mains Revenue Requirement	\$ 2,170,992	Exhibit No. JMB-2, Line 24	
2	Service Lines Revenue Requirement	 6,453,000	Exhibit No. JMB-3, Line 33	
3	Annual DRR Revenue Requirement	\$ 8,623,992	Line 1 + Line 2	

VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF OHIO, INC. DISTRIBUTION REPLACEMENT RIDER **ANNUAL REVENUE REQUIREMENT - MAINS**

Line	Description	·····	Amount	Reference
1	Return on Investment:			
2	Plant In-Service at December 31, 2011			
3	Additions - Main Replacements	\$	19,150,236	Exhibit JMB-2a, Column O, Line 2
4	Original Cost - Retired Mains	\$	(505,092)	Exhibit JMB-2b, Column Q, Line 2
5	Total Plant In-Service	\$	18,645,144	Line 3 + Line 4
6	Less: Accumulated Depreciation at December 31, 2011			
7	Depreciation Expense - Mains	\$	(464,213)	Exhibit JMB-2c, Column O, Line 2
8	Cost of Removal - Mains	Ŧ	1,101,959	Exhibit JMB-2d, Column O, Line 2
9	Original Cost - Retired Mains		505,092	-Line 4
10	Total Accumulated Depreciation	\$	1,142,838	Sum of Lines 7 - 9
1 1	Net Deferred Post In-Service Carrying Costs (PISCC) (3)	\$	1,029,350	Exhibit JMB-2e, Column O, Line 4
12	Net Deferred Tax Balance - PISCC	\$	(360,273)	Line 11 x 35%
13	Deferred Taxes on Depreciation	\$	(5,089,446)	Exhibit No. JMB-2g, Line 18
14	Net Rate Base	\$	15,367,613	Sum of Lines 5 and 10-13
15	Pre-Tax Rate of Return		11.67%	Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR
16	Annualized Return on Rate Base - Mains	\$	1,793,400	Line 14 * Line 15
17	Operations and Maintenance Expenses			
18	Annualized Property Tax Expense	\$	409,462	Exhibit No. JMB-2f, Line 17
19	Annualized Depreciation Expense		330,019	Line 5 x 1.77% ⁽¹⁾
20	Annualized PISCC Amortization Expense		15,920	Exhibit JMB-2e, Column D, Line 13
21	Annualized Maintenance Adjustment		(350,190)	(2)
22	Total Incremental Operating Expenses - Mains		405,211	Sum of Lines 18-21
23	Variance	· _ \$	(27,619)	Exhibit JMB-4, Line 15
24	Total Annual Revenue Requirement - Mains	(To Exhibit No. JMB-1 a	2,170,992 ind Exhibit No. SE	Line 16 + Line 22 + Line 23 A-1, page 1 of 5)

(1) FERC Account 676 depreciation rate approved in Case No. 04-0571-GA-AIR.
 (2) Support provided by VEDO Witness James Francis, <u>Exhibit No. JMF-5</u>, Column C, Line 23.
 (3) PISCC is accrued at an annual rate of 7.02% from the in service date until investments are reflected in the DRR rate. as approved in Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR.

No. JMB-2a	Page 1 of 1
Exhibit	

Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio Distribution Replacement Rider (DRR) Mains - Plant Additions Tweive Months Ended December 31, 2011

æ			\$12,293,313 \$12,295,941 \$12,295,941 \$12,393,328 \$13,115,196 \$13,861,026 \$14,736,995 \$15,733,210 \$16,956,105 \$18,170,383 \$19,151,377 \$19,773,446 \$19,150,236 To JMB-2, Line 3	
o	Balance at 12/31/2011		\$ 19,150,236	
z	11/30/2011		\$ 19,773,446	
¥	10/31/2011		\$ 19,151,377	
Ч	9/30/2011		\$ 18,170,383	
¥	8/31/2011		\$ 16,956,105	
٦	7/31/2011		\$ 15,733,210	
-	6/30/2011		\$ 14,736,995	
н	5/31/2011		\$ 13,861,026	
U	4/30/2011		\$ 13,115,196	
Ŀ.	3/31/2011		\$ 12,393,328	
ш	2/28/2011		\$ 12,295,941	
٥	1/31/2011		\$ 12,295,941	
U	Balance at 12/31/2010		\$ 12,293,313	
в	Description	<u>Cumulative Balance</u>	Mains	
٩	Line <u>No.</u> D	- 0	2	

Activity for Twelve Months Ended <u>12/31/2011</u>	6,856,923
A <u>12/</u> 31/2011	\$ (623,210) \$
11/30/2011	4 \$ 622,069 \$ (
10/31/2011	\$ 980,994
9/30/2011	\$ 1,214,278
8/31/2011	15 \$ 1,222,895 \$ 1,2
7/31/2011	\$ 996,215
6/30/2011	\$ 875,969
5/31/2011	\$ 745,830
4/30/2011	\$ 721,868
3/31/2011	\$ 97,387
2/28/2011	۰ چ
1/31/2011	\$ 2,628
ctivity	
3 Current Year A	4 Mains

Exhibit No. JMB-2b Page 1 of 1

Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio Distribution Replacement Rider (DRR) Mains - Retirements Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011

Total Retirements for Work Orders Placed in Service by 12/31/2011 σ ٥. 12/31/2011 o <u>4/30/2011 5/31/2011 5/30/2011 7/31/2011 8/31/2011 9/30/2011 10/31/2011 11/30/2011</u> × _ н c 2/28/2011 3/31/2011 1/31/2011 ۵ Retirements per 2011 Filing υ Cumulative <u>Balance</u> Description 8 Line No. <

(505.092) To JMB-2, Line 4 69 \$ (246,819) \$ (246,819) \$ (246,819) \$ (246,819) \$ (246,819) \$ (289,799) \$ (289,739) \$ (294,128) \$ (294,128) \$ (401,609) \$ (401,609) \$ (401,609) \$ (481,953) Mains 7 7

(258,273) Retirements for Work Orders Placed In Service in 201<u>1</u> - \$ (80,344) \$ (23,139) \$ 3/31/2012 12/31/2011 4/30/2011 5/31/2011 6/30/2011 7/31/2011 5/31/2011 9/30/2011 /0/31/2011 11/30/2011 \$ ' - \$ - \$ (42,980) \$ (4,329) \$ (689) \$ (106,792) \$ هې ب 3/31/2011 69 2/28/2011 , 69 1/31/2011 , \$7 Current Year Activity Mains • 4

Exhibit No. JMB-2c Page 1 of 1			(435,507) \$ (464,213) To JMB-2, Line 7	2011 Depreciation <u>Expense</u>	\$ (271,703)
	o	12/31/2011	\$ (464,213)	12/31/2011	\$ (28,706) \$
	z	11/30/2011		11/30/2011	\$ (28,707) \$
	Σ	10/31/2011	\$ (406,800) \$	10/31/2011	\$ (27,525) \$
	Ч	<u>9/30/2011</u>	(265,803) \$ (285,698) \$ (306,789) \$ (329,261) \$ (353,369) \$ (379,275) \$	9/30/2011	\$ (25,906) \$
	×	8/31/2011) \$ (353,369)	8/31/2011	(21,091) \$ (22,472) \$ (24,108) \$
y of Ohio Rider (DRR) tton	unber 31, 2011 J	7/31/2011	9) \$ (329,261	7/31/2011	1) \$ (22,472
Vectren Energy Dellvery of Ohio Distribution Replacement Rider (DRR) Mains - Depreciation	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011 H I	6/30/2011	8) \$ (306,78	6/30/2011	
Vectren Distributio M	Twelve Mon	<u>6/31/2011</u>	33) \$ (285,69	5/31/2011	(18,813) \$ (19,895) \$
	U	4/30/2011		4/30/2011	
	ц	1 3/31/2011	82) \$ (246,99	1 3/31/2011	37) \$ (18,20
	ш	1 2/28/2011	345) \$ (228,7	<u> 2/28/2011</u>	\$ (18,135) \$ (18,137) \$ (18,208) \$
	٩	ated tion 2010 <u>1/3//2011</u>	\$ (192,510) \$ (210,645) \$ (228,782) \$ (246,990) \$	1/31/2011	\$ (18,1
	υ	Accumulated Depreciation <u>at 12/3/1/2010</u> Balance	\$ (192	ar Activity	
	£	Ac Description AC Cumulative Balance	Mains	Current Year Activity	Mains
	A	Line <u>No.</u>	7		4

Exhibit No. JMB-2d Page 1 of 1

> Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohlo Distribution Replacement Rider (DRR) Mains - Cost of Removal Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011

802,872 \$ 807,037 \$ 808,264 \$ 821,928 \$ 834,602 \$ 867,328 \$ 906,566 \$ 947,783 \$ 994,042 \$ 1,086,578 \$ 1,170,903 \$ 1,101,959 To JMB-2, Line 8 ۵. Balance at 12/31/2011 0 11/30/2011 z 10/31/2011 Z 9/30/2011 ---8/31/2011 ¥ 7/31/2011 7 6/30/2011 5/31/2011 I 4/30/2011 Ø 3/31/2011 н. 2/28/2011 ш 1/31/2011 Δ Cost of Removal at 12/31/2010 ပ Cumulative Balance ¢ **Description** m Mains Line <u>No.</u> ~ 2 <

Activity for Twelve Months Ended <u>12/31/2011</u> 299,087 (89,505) \$ 12/31/2011 20,561 \$ 11/30/2011 92,536 \$ 84,325 \$ 10/31/2011 9/30/2011 \$ 13,664 \$ 12,574 \$ 32,824 \$ 39,240 \$ 41,217 \$ 46,259 \$ 8/31/2011 7/31/2011 6/30/2011 <u>1/31/2011</u> <u>2/28/2011</u> <u>3/31/2011</u> <u>4/30/2011</u> <u>5/31/2011</u> \$ 4,165 \$ 1,227 Current Year Activity Mains •• 4

Exhibit No. JMB-2e Page 1 of 1

4. To be a solution of an analysis of the second s

Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohlo Distribution Replacement Rider (DRR) Mains - Post in Service Carrying Costs (PISC) Twelive Months Ended December 31, 2011

				e 11	Twelve ndeď 1 <u>11</u>	244,776 222.091	466,867	(3,916)	
۵.				1,029,350 To JMB-2, Line 11	Activity for Twelve Months Ended <u>12/31/2011</u>				
o	Bafance at 12/31/2011		1,033,770 (4,420)	1,029,350 T	12/31/2011	- 41,936 \$	41,936	(727) \$	
	₩ E		\$\$ \$\$	69	1	6 7 67	\$	\$	
Z	11/30/2011		991,834 (3,693)	988,141	11/30/2011	- 42,441	42,441	(727)	
			හෙ හෙ ගෙ	\$ 		њ. О	ക റ	7) \$	
Σ.	10/31/2011		\$ 949,393 \$ (2,966)	946,427	10/31/2011	5 38,389	\$ 38,389	\$ (727)	
	_		39) 5	65	_	99	99	(727)	
ب	9/30/2011		\$ 911,004 \$ (2,239)		9/30/2011	\$ 30,966	\$· 30,966	L) \$	
×.	8/31/2011		880,038 \$ (1,512) \$	878,526	8/31/2011	30,597 25,546	56,143	(126)	
	w,		\$ \$	6 9		69 69	69	\$	
٦	7/31/2011		823,895 (1,386)	822,509	7/31/2011	30,597 18,362	48,959	(126)	
			\$ \$ 00	ер Ср		\$ \$ 5	4	\$ (9)	
-	6/30/2011		\$ 774,936 \$ (1,260)	\$ 773,676	6/30/2011	\$ 30,597 \$ 12,427	\$ 43,024	\$ (126)	
	**1		31,912 ((1,134)	178	-			(126)	
r	5/31/2011		\$ 731,912 \$ (1,134)	\$ 730,778	5/31/2011	\$ 30,597 \$ 7,642	\$ 38,239	\$	
	티		93,673 (1,008)	692,665	되	30,597 4,039	34,636	(126)	
U	4/30/2011		693,673 (1,008)	692,	4/30/2011	8 4 8	34,	0	
	4		የ የ	ŝ	4	ww	\$	\$	
Ŀ	3/31/2011		659,037 (882)	658,155	3/31/2011	30,597 320	30,917	(126)	
			(,120 \$ (756) <u>\$</u>	4 8		597 \$ 15 \$	¢+ <>	(9) \$	
ш	2/28/2011		628	627,364	2/28/2011	30,597	30,612	5 (126)	
	_		8 6	8/		8	8	(126)	
۵	1/31/2011		597,508 \$ (630) \$	596,878	1/31/2011	30,597 8	30,605	Ð	
	1/3		<u>د</u> د	ŝ	1/3	6 69	69	ŝ	
U	PISCC at 12/31/2010		566,903 (504)	566,399					
	at 1		\$	÷					_
æ	Description	<u>Cumulative Balance</u>	Mains-PISCC Mains-PISCC Amortization	Deferred PISCC - Mains	Current Year Activity	2010 Mains - Deferred PISCC 2011 Mains - Deferred PISCC	Total 2011 Deferred PISCC	Mains-PISCC Amortization	Annualized PISCC Amortization
٩	No.		20	4	LO LO	0 h	80		10
									•

Notes: (1) FERC Account 676 depreciation rate's average service life or 55 years, as approved in Case No. 04-0571-GA-AIR.

\$ 1,033,770 <u>1.54%</u> <u>\$ 15,920</u> To JMB-2, Line 20

Cumulative PISCC at 12/31/2011 Amortization % ⁽¹⁾ Annualized PISCC Amortization

3 9 7

Exhibit No. JMB-2f Page 1 of 1

DISTRIBUTION REPLACEMENT RIDER ANNUALIZED PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE - MAIN REPLACEMENTS VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF OHIO, INC.

Line	Description				Am	Amount				Reference
			:	In Se	In Service Year					
			2009		2010		2011		Total	
£	Mains Replacements - Book Value	69	7,062,973	ω	5,230,340	θ	6,856,923	ŵ	19,150,236	Exhibit No. JMB-2, Line 3
2	Less: Capitalized Interest / AFUDC		(14,378)		(18,419)		(19,885)	ዏ	(52,682)	
ო	Net Cost of Taxable Property	\$	7,048,595	φ	5,211,921	φ	6,837,038	ŝ	19,097,554	Line 1 + Line 2
4	% Good ⁽¹⁾		91.7%	-	95.0%		98.3%			
ъ	Tax Value	69 69	6,463,562	φ	4,951,325	÷	6,720,809	φ	18,135,696	Line 3 x Line 4
9	x Valuation Percentage (25%) ⁽²⁾		25.0%		25.0%		25.0%		25.0%	
7	Taxable Value/Assessment	<u>ب</u>	1,615,891	φ	1,237,831	ω	1,680,202	ω	4,533,924	Line 5 x Line 6
ω	VEDO's Average 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate								9.10%	
თ	Annual Property Tax Expense - Main Replacements							÷	412,587	Line 7 x Line 8
6	Mains Retired - Property Tax Basis	ь	(155,580)	φ	(91,239)	φ	(258,273)	ф	(505,092)	Exhibit No. JMB-2, Line 4
11	% Good ⁽³⁾		27.2%		27.2%		27.2%			
12	Tax Value	φ	(42,318)	φ	(24,817)	φ	(70,250)	မာ	(137,385)	Line 10 x Line 11
13	x Valuation Percentage (25%) ⁽²⁾		25.0%		25.0%		25.0%		25.0%	
14	Taxable Value/Assessment	ф	(10,580)	ь	(6,204)	ω	(17,563)	ю	(34,346)	Line 12 x Line 13
15	VEDO's Average 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate								9.10%	
16	Annual Property Tax Reduction - Main Retirements							φ	(3,125)	Line 14 x Line 15
17	Annualized Property Tax Expense - Mains							s	409,462	Line 9 + Line 16
							(To E	xhibit	(To Exhibit No. JMB-2, Line 18)	18)

Notes:
 (1) Per Ohio Department of Taxation Annual Natural Gas Property Tax Report, Schedule C, Distribution Plant.
 (2) Per Ohio Department of Taxation Annual Natural Gas Property Tax Report, Schedule G.
 (3) Per Ohio Department of Taxation Annual Natural Gas Property Tax Report, Schedule G.

Exhibit No. JMB-2g Page 1 of 1

VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF OHIO, INC. DISTRIBUTION REPLACEMENT RIDER DEFERRED TAXES ON LIBERALIZED DEPRECIATION - MAINS

I

5
Descriptio
ine

Reference		2011 Reference ^[1]	Exhibit No. JMB-2, Line 3	[3] -Line 2 - (Line 4 * 2) - Line 3 Sum of Lines 2-4	[4] [4] -Line 4 - Line 5 Line 10 + Line 12	-Exhibit No. JMB-2, Line 7	Line 15 - Line 13	Line 16 * Line 17
		Total	\$ 19,150,236	\$ (7,375) \$ (5,266,456) (8,609,949) \$ 5,266,456	\$ 1,151,267 \$ (22,183) \$ 13,876,405 \$ 15,005,488	\$ 464,213	\$ (14,541,275) 35%	<mark>\$ (5,089,446)</mark> (To Exhibit No. JMB-2, Line 13)
ount		2011	\$ 6,856,923	\$ (2,784) 591,548 (8,037,235) \$ (591,548)	3.75% \$ _ (22,183) 7,445,687 \$ 7,423,504			(To Ext
Amount	In Service Year	2010 ^[2]	\$ 5,230,340	\$ (2,579) (2,327,524) (572,714) \$ 2,327,524	14.50% \$ 337,491 2,900,238 \$ 3,237,729			
		2009 [2]	\$ 7,062,973	\$ (2,013) (3,530,480) \$ 3,530,480	23.05% \$ 813,776 <u>3,530,480</u> \$ 4,344,266			
Description			<u>Plant in Service at December 31, 2011.</u> Mains - Bare Steel/Cast Iron Replacements	Book to Tax Basis Adjustment - Capitalized Interest Book to Tax Basis Adjustment - 50% Bonus Depreciation Book to Tax Basis Adjustment - 100% Bonus Depreciation Total Income Tax MACRS Depreciation Base	Tax Deprectation: MACRS Rate - 15 Year MACRS Rate - 20 Year MACRS Depreciation - 15 Year MACRS Depreciation - 20 Year Bonus Depreciation Total Tax Depreciation	<u>Book Depreciation:</u> Mains	Tax Depreciation in Excess of Book Depreciation Federal Deferred Taxes at 35%	Deferred Tax Balance at December 31, 2011 - Mains
Line		•	- 0	ო 4 ო დ	с в e f f f f	15	16 17	18

Notes:

(1) Reference column is applicable to column 2011 under in Service Year section to the left.

(2) Agrees to Exhibit JMB-3g in Case No. 11-2776-GA-RDR with exception of tax depreciation section (Lines 7 - 13). See Note 4 for tax depreciation formula. (3) Represents the sum of 2011 activity on work orders placed in service prior to October 1, 2010 and construction work in progress (CWIP) balance for work orders placed in service in 2011. (4) Per Internal Revenue Code ("IRC"). Sec 168(e)(3)(E)(viil), gas utility distribution facilities placed in service before January 1, 2011 have a MACRS life of 15 years. For utility distribution facilities placed in service after January 1, 2011, MACRS life is 20 years per IRC Rev. Proc. 87-56. Below is the formula for tax depreciation by year.

<u>Formula:</u> Line 10 = Line 6 * Line 8 Line 11 = Line 6 * Line 9

×<u>2010</u> <u>2009</u> ×

×

VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF OHIO, INC. DISTRIBUTION REPLACEMENT RIDER **ANNUAL REVENUE REQUIREMENT - SERVICE LINES**

Line	Description	Amount	Reference
1	Return on Investment:		
2	Plant In-Service at December 31, 2011		
3	Additions - Services Replacements (Bare Steel/Cast Iron)	\$ 15,892,32	21 Exhibit JMB-3a, Column O, Line 2
4	Additions - Meter Installation (Bare Steel/Cast Iron)	3,381,35	
5	Additions - Services Replacements (Service Line Responsibility	() 3,627,48	
6	Additions - Natural Gas Risers	17,262,60	1 Exhibit JMB-3a, Column O Line 5
7	Original Cost - Retired Services	(119,06	8) Exhibit JMB-3b, Column Q, Line 2
8	Original Cost - Retired Meter Installation	(11,53	7) Exhibit JMB-3b, Column Q, Line 3
9	Total Plant In-Service	\$ 40,033,15	54 Sum of Lines 3 - 8
10	Less: Accumulated Depreciation at December 31, 2011		
11	Depreciation Expense - Services	\$ (1,106,44	 Exhibit JMB-3c, Column O, Line 2
12	Depreciation Expense - Meter Installation	(53,26	 Exhibit JMB-3c, Column O, Line 3
13	Depreciation Expense - Natural Gas Risers	(1,294,17	(3) Exhibit JMB-3c, Column O, Line 4
14	Cost of Removal - Services	1,266,83	9 Exhibit JMB-3d, Column O, Line 2
15	Original Cost - Retired Services	119,06	68 -Line 7
16	Original Cost - Retired Meter Installation	11,53	57Line 8
17	Total Accumulated Depreciation	\$ (1,056,44	1) Sum of Lines 11 - 17
18	Net Deferred Post In-Service Carrying Costs (PISCC) ⁽³⁾	\$ 1,961,46	8 Exhibit JMB-3e, Column O, Line 10
19	Net Deferred Tax Balance - PISCC	\$ (686,51	4) -Line 19 x 35%
20	Deferred Taxes on Depreciation	\$(9,978,89	4) Exhibit No. JMB-3g, Line 30
21	Net Rate Base	\$ 30,272,77	3 Sum of Lines 9 and 17-20
22	Pre-Tax Rate of Return		% Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR
23	Annualized Return on Rate Base -Service Lines	\$ 3,532,83	3 Line 21 * Line 22
24	Operations and Maintenance Expenses		
25	Annualized Property Tax Expense	\$ 871,09	8 Exhibit No. JMB-3f, Line 24
26	Annualized Depreciation Expense - Services	1,928,49	1 (Line 1+ Lines 5-7) x 5.26% ⁽¹⁾
27	Annualized Depreciation Expense - Meter Installation	61,33	1 (Line 4 + Line 8) x 1.82% ⁽¹⁾
28	Annualized PISCC Amortization Expense	34,51	6 Exhibit No. JMB-3e, Column D, Line 33
29	Incremental O&M - Service Line Responsibility	86,33	5 (2)
30	Annualized Maintenance Adjustment	27,53	.8 (4)
31	Total Incremental Operating Expenses - Service Lines	\$ 3,009,30	9 Sum of Lines 25-30
32	Variance ⁽⁴⁾	\$ (89,14	2) Exhibit No. JMB-4, Column D, Line 16
33	Total Revenue Requirement - Service Lines	\$ 6,453,00 To Exhibit No. JMB-1 and Exhibit No.	

(1) FERC Account 680 (Line 26) and FERC Account 682 (Line 27) depreciation rates approved in Case No. 04-0571-GA-AIR.
 (2) Support provided by VEDO Witness James Francis, <u>Exhibit No. JMF-5</u>, Column C, Line 16.
 (3) PISCC is accrued at an annual rate of 7.02% from the in service date until investments are reflected in the DRR rate

as approved in Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR. (4) Support provided by VEDO Witness James Francis, <u>Exhibit No. JMF-5</u>, Column C, Line 17.

No. JMB-3a	Page 1 of 1
zhlhit	

۵.

o

z

Σ

L

¥

~

-

π

Q

۳L,

ш

D

o

m

۹

Vectren Energy Dellvery of Ohio Distribution Replacement Rider (DRR) Service Lines - Plant Additions Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011

LIne	Line M. D. D. Line		Balance at	110011011	110010010	110011010	120/2014	12412044	61200244	110011011	, 1001 1010	110010010		1100100111	Balance at	
- 12	Balance	anialalau	0107116171	1107/10/1	11070717		107000	1071100	1102/02/0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1071 610	TINZINCIE	1107/10/01	1102/00/11	1107/10/71	
7	Services		\$ 7,498,051	\$ 7,498,051 \$ 7,499,867 \$	\$ 7,499,867 \$	\$ 7,585,120	\$ 8,110,482	\$ 8,796,829	\$ 9,444,820	\$ 10,170,413 \$	11,189,612	\$ 12,404,545	13,296,929	\$ 7,499,867 \$ 7,586,120 \$ 8,110,482 \$ 8,796,829 \$ 9,444,820 \$ 10,170,413 \$ 11,189,612 \$ 12,404,545 \$ 13,296,929 \$ 13,900,224 \$ 15,692,321 To JMB-3, Line 3	15,892,321	o JMB-3, Line 3
~	Meter Installation		\$ 1,221,792	\$ 1,221,980 {	\$ 1,221,980	\$ 1,237,465	\$ 1,315,375	\$ 1,451,887	\$ 1,612,592	\$ 1,811,289 \$	2,038,287	\$ 2,272,415	2,485,863	\$ 1,221,792 \$ 1,221,980 \$ 1,221,980 \$ 1,237,465 \$ 1,315,375 \$ 1,451,887 \$ 1,612,592 \$ 1,811,289 \$ 2,038,287 \$ 2,272,415 \$ 2,485,863 \$ 2,630,374 \$ 3,381,357 To JMB-3, Line 4	3,381,357	o JMB-3, Line 4
4	Service Line Responsibility		\$ 2,120,478	\$ 2,237,343	\$ 2,320,818	\$ 2,502,237	\$ 2,607,972	\$ 2,757,114	\$ 2,858,397	\$ 2,984,166 \$	3,157,794	3,289,128	3.400,428	\$ 2,120,478 \$ 2,237,343 \$ 2,320,818 \$ 2,602,237 \$ 2,607,972 \$ 2,757,114 \$ 2,858,397 \$ 2,984,166 \$ 3,157,794 \$ 3,289,128 \$ 3,400,428 \$ 3,539,553 \$ 3,627,480 To JMB-3, Line 5	3,627,480	o JMB-3, Line 5
ŝ	Risers		\$ 11,791,495	\$ 11,963,214 \$	\$ 12,077,178	\$ 12,216,522	\$ 13,167,039	\$ 13,847,005	\$ 14,817,787	\$ 15,453,876 \$	16,222,537	\$ 16,898,848	17,174,936	\$ 11,791,495 \$ 11,963,214 \$ 12,077,178 \$ 12,216,522 \$ 13,167,039 \$ 13,847,005 \$ 14,617,787 \$ 15,453,876 \$ 16,222,537 \$ 16,898,848 \$ 17,174,936 \$ 17,267,827 \$ 17,262,601 To JMB-3, Line 6	17,262,601	o JMB-3, Line 6
9	Total Service Line Additions Sum of Lines 2-5 \$ 22,631,916 \$ 22,922,404 \$ 23,	of Lines 2-5	\$ 22,631,816	\$ 22,922,404		\$ 23,541,344	\$ 25,200,868	19,843 \$ 23,541,344 \$ 25,200,868 \$ 26,852,835 \$ 28,733,596	\$ 28,733,596	\$ 30,419,744 \$	32,608,230	\$ 34,864,936	36,358,156	\$ 30,419,744 \$ 32,608,230 \$ 34,864,936 \$ 36,358,156 \$ 37,327,978 \$ 40,163,759	40,163,759	
																Activity for Twelve Months Ended

																			Activity for Twelve Monthe Ended	
7	Current Year Activity	1/3	/31/2011	2/28/2011	ï	131/2011	4/30/2011	5/31/2011		6/30/2011	7/31/2011	,	8/31/2011	9/30/2011	10/31/2011	•	11/30/2011	12/31/2011	12/31/2011	
8	Services	\$	1,816	' \$	↔	85,253 \$	\$ 525,362	\$ 686,34	47 \$	647,991	\$ 725,5	93 \$ 1	,019,199 \$	1,214,933	\$ 89	2,384 \$	603,295 \$	525,362 \$ 686,347 \$ 647,991 \$ 725,593 \$ 1,019,199 \$ 1,214,933 \$ 892,384 \$ 603,295 \$ 1,992,097 \$	8,394,270	
6	Meter installation	÷	188	, ∳	ŝ	15,485 \$	\$ 77,910	\$ 136,5	12 \$	160,705	\$ 198,6	\$ 16	226,998 \$	234,128	\$ 21	3,448 \$	77,910 \$ 136,512 \$ 160,705 \$ 198,697 \$ 226,998 \$ 234,128 \$ 213,448 \$ 144,511 \$	750,983 \$	2,159,565	
10	10 Service Line Responsibility	\$	116,865 \$	83,4	ŝ	.75 \$ 181,419 \$	105,735	\$ 149,1	42 \$	101,283	\$ 125.7	69 \$	173,628 \$	131,334	\$	1,300 \$	105,735 \$ 149,142 \$ 101,283 \$ 125,769 \$ 173,628 \$ 131,334 \$ 111,300 \$ 139,125 \$	87,927 \$	1,507,002	
ŧ	l Risers	ŝ	171,719	171,719 \$ 113,96	64 \$	139,344 \$	\$ 139,344 \$ 950,517 \$ 679,966 \$ 970,782 \$ 636,089 \$ 768,661 \$ 676,311 \$ 276,088 \$ 82,891 \$	\$ 679,9(96 \$	970,782	\$ 636,0	89 \$	768,661 \$	676,311	\$ 27	6,088 \$	82,891 \$	4,774 \$	5,471,106	
12	12 Total Service Line Additions Sum of Lines 8-11	ю	290,588	290,588 \$ 197,43	es es	421,501 \$	39 \$ 421,501 \$ 1,669,524 \$ 1,661,967 \$ 1,680,761 \$ 1,686,148 \$ 2,188,486 \$ 2,266,706 \$ 1,493,220 \$ 969,822 \$ 2,835,781	\$ 1,651,96	57 \$ 1	880,761	\$ 1,686,1	48 \$ 2	,188,486 \$	2,256,706	\$ 1,49	3,220 \$	969,822 \$	2,835,781 \$	17,531,943	

Exhibit No. JMB-3b Page 1 of 1

11200 (112 - H + -

Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio Distribution Replacement Rider (DRR) Service Lines - Retirements Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011

σ	Total Retirements for Work Orders Placed in Service by 12(31/2011		\$ (119,068) To JMB-3, Line 7	\$ (11,537) To JMB-3, Line 8	Retirements for Work Orders Placed
٩					
o	12/31/2011		(111,000)	(11,847)	
z	11/30/2011		(69.283) \$ (69.283) \$ (86.018) \$ (87,324) \$ (87,324) \$ (102.227) \$ (102.227) \$ (102.227) \$ (111,000)	(6.424) \$ (6.424) \$ (7.341) \$ (7.397) \$ (7.397) \$ (8.759) \$ (8.759) \$ (8.759) \$ (11.647)	
۶	10/31/2011		(102,227) \$	(8,759) \$	
Ļ	9/30/2011		(102,227) \$; (8,759) \$	
×	8/31/2011		(87,324) \$	(7,397) \$	
٦	7/3//2011		(87,324) \$	\$ (1,397)	
-	6/30/2011		(86,018) \$	(7,341) \$	
I	5/31/2011		(69,283) \$	(6.424) \$	
ø	4/30/2011				
Ľ.	3/31/2011		\$ (69,283) \$ (69,283) \$ (69,283) \$ (69,283) \$	(6.424) \$	
ш	2/28/2011		(69,283) \$	(6,424) \$	
۵	1/31/2011		(69,283) \$	(6,424) \$	
υ	Retirements at 12/31/2010		(69,283) \$	(6,422) \$	
8	F Description	Cumulative Balance	Services \$	Meter Installations \$ (6.422) \$ (6.424) \$ (6.424) \$ (6.424) \$	
۲	Line <u>No.</u>	÷	7		

4	Current Year Activity	1/31/2011	2/28/2011	111	3/31/2011	4/30/2011	011	5/31/2011	£	6/30/2011	7/31/2011	8/31/2011	m (9/30/2011	10/31/2011	11/2	11/30/2011	12/31/2011	•7	8/31/2012	Nork Orders Flaced In Service in 2011	
ŝ	Services	۔ ج	€}	1	'	\$		\$		\$ (16,735) \$	(1,306)	'	69	(14,903) \$	'	\$	•	\$ (8.7	(8,773) \$	(8,068) \$	(49,785)	
9	Meter Installations	\$	2) \$		'	s		\$,	(917)	\$ (56) \$	'	67	(1,362) \$	•	\$	•	\$ (3,0	(3,088) \$	310 \$	(5,115)	

Exhibit No. JMB-3c Page 1 of 1	<u>с</u>			To JMB-3, Line 11	To JMB-3, Line 12	To JMB-3, Line 13	2011 Depreciation <u>Expense</u>	(532,986) (151,352)	(684,338)	(32,761)	(769,817)				
	0	12/31/2011		(1,106,444)	(53,268)	(1,294,173)	12/31/2011	(65,295) \$ (15,708) <u>\$</u>	(81,003) \$	(4,559) \$	(75,657) \$				
	z	11/30/2011		(1,025,441) \$	(48,709) \$	(1,218,516) \$	11/30/2011	(59,607) \$ (15,210) \$	(74,817) \$	(3,880) \$	(75,465) \$				
	W	10/31/2011		(950,624) \$	(44,829) \$	(1,143,051) \$	10/31/2011	(56,329) \$ (14,661) \$	\$ (066'02)	(3,608) \$	(74,678) \$				
				34) \$	21) \$	73) \$		30) \$	41) \$	69) \$	91) \$				
	Ч	9/30/2011		(879,634)	(41,221)	(1,068,373)	9/30/2011	(51,711) (14,130)	(65,841)	(3,269)	(72,591)				
	×	<u>8/31/2011</u>		(813,793) \$	(37,952) \$	(995,782) \$	8/31/2011	(46,814) \$ (13,461) \$	(60,275) \$	(2,919) \$	(69,424) \$				
				518) \$	333) \$	358) \$		90) \$	'95) \$	(2,596) \$	345) \$				
io DRR) 1, 2011	ר	7/31/2011		(753,518)	(35,033)	(926,358)	7/31/2011	(42,990) (12,805)	(55,795)		66,345)				
Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio Distribution Replacement Rider (DRR) Service Lines - Depreciation Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011	-	6/30/2011		(697,723) \$	(32,437) \$	(860,013) \$	6/30/2011	(39,980) \$ (12,307) \$	(52,287) \$	(2,324) \$	(62,824) \$	lity.	•		
ectren Energy Service Linergy Service Lines e Months Ende	I	5/31/2011		(645,436) \$	(30,113) \$	\$ (797,189)	5/31/2011	(37,055) \$ (11,758) \$	(48,813) \$	(2,099) \$	(59,206) \$	e line responsibi			
Dist	U	4/30/2011		(596,623) \$	(28,014) \$	(737,983) \$	4/30/2011	(34,400) \$ (11,200) \$	(45,600) \$	(1,936) \$	(55,632) \$	ents and service			
	LL.	3/31/2011		(551,023) \$	(26,078) \$	(682,351) \$	3/31/2011	(33,061) \$ (10,571) \$	(43,632) \$	(1,865) \$	(53,244) \$	ervice replacem			
	ш	2/28/2011 3		(507,391) \$	(24,213) \$	(629,107) \$	2/28/2011 3	(32,874) \$ (9,990) \$	(42,864) \$	(1,853) \$	(52,689) \$	tions for BS/Ct s			
	۵	<u>1/31/2011</u> 2		(464,527) \$	(22,360) \$	(576,418) \$	1/31/2011 2	(32,870) \$ (9,551) \$	(42,421) \$	(1,853) \$	(52,062) \$	utility plant addi			
	U	Accumulated Depreciation at 12/31/2010 1		(422,106) \$	(20,507) \$	(524,356) \$.	seponsibility \$	Line 6 + Line 7 \$	\$	Ś	ciation activity for			
	œ.	D Description at	<u>Cumulative Balance</u>	Services ⁽¹⁾ \$	Meter Installation \$	Natural Gas Risers \$	Current Year Activity	BS/CI Service Lines Incremental Service Line Responsibility	Services ⁽¹⁾ Lir	Meter Installation	Natural Gas Risers	Notes: (1) This line includes depreciation activity for utility plant additions for BS/CI service replacements and service line responsibility.			·
	4	Line <u>No.</u> D	- -	2	9 W	4	ي م	9 F		Ф 6	10 N	Z D			

i tit talaala

and a straight the second s

IB-3d				-	d	643,803	
Exhibit No. JMB-3d Page 1 of 1		٩		JMB-3, Line 14	Activity for Twelve Months Ended <u>12/31/2011</u>	643	
		o	Balance at 12/31/2011	1,266,839 To JMB-3, Line 14	A 12/31/2011	214,650 \$	
			Ва 121	\$	12	\$	
		z	11/30/2011	\$ 1,052,189	11/30/2011	\$ 22,018	
×		W	10/31/2011	1,030,171	10/31/2011	54,518	
		Ļ	9/30/2011	975,653 \$	9/30/2011	112,557 \$	
		¥	8/31/2011	863,096 \$	8/31/2011	48,827 \$	
				269 \$		43,139 \$	
	iio DRR) fal 1, 2011	٦	7/31/2011	\$ 814,269	7/31/2011	\$ 43,	
	Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio Distribution Replacement Rider (DRR) - Service Lines - Cost of Removal Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011	-	6/30/2011	771,130	6/30/2011	57,128	
	ectren Energy ibution Replac ervice Lines - e Months Ende	т	5/31/2011	714,002 \$	5/31/2011	43,654 \$	
	∨ Dist → S Twelv	ט	4/30/2011	670,348 \$	4/30/2011	15,719 \$	
		ш	3/31/2011	654,629 \$	3/31/2011	23,042 \$	
		ш	2/28/2011	631,587 \$	2/28/2011	4,239 \$	• •
		۵	1/31/2011	\$ 627,348 \$	1/31/2011	\$ 4,312 \$	•
		U	Cost of Removal at 12/31/2010	623,036 \$		63	
				alance \$	Activity		
		Ē	Description	Cumulative Balance Services	Current Year Activity	Services	
		۲	Line <u>No.</u>	- 0	ñ	4	

Exhibit No. JMB-3e Page 1 of 1

Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio Distruktion Rephasement Ruder (DRR) Service Lines - Post in Service Carrying Costs (PISCC) Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011

1,961,468 To JMB-3, Line 18 (6,100) (292) (6,392) 709,544 112,217 197,566 948,533 1,967,860 Balance at 12/31/2011 \$ 69 69 69 69 69 6 6 6 G (4,827) (219) (5,046) 666,264 101,780 189,007 916,541 1,873,592 1,868,546 11/30/2011 φ (0. (0 \$ \$ 630,177 93,900 181,112 884,805 1,789,994 (3,554) (146) (3,700) \$ 1,786,294 10/31/2011 сA (2,281) (73) (2,354) 597,885 86,858 173,950 854,119 1,712,812 \$ 1,710,458 9/30/2011 \$ 69 6 (1,008) (1,008) 572,558 81,366 167,498 826,219 1,647,641 \$ 1,646,633 8/31/2011 •• •• ee | ee ⇔ \$ (924) (924) 528,567 74,093 155,391 765,455 1,523,506 \$ 1,522,582 7/31/2011 69 490,409 68,181 144,159 708,800 1,411,549 (840) (840) \$ 1,410,709 6/30/2011 69 69 60 69 ŝ (756) (756) 456,743 63,477 133,591 656,845 1,310,656 1,309,900 5/31/2011 ŝ \$ G 426,656 59,579 123,756 609,718 1,219,709 (672) (672) 1,219,037 4/30/2011 ທທ ŝ 399,860 56,331 114,666 567,360 1,138,217 . (588) (588) \$ 1,137,629 3/31/2011 in in ŝ Ś 375,851 53,500 106,416 528,190 1,063,957 (504) (504) 1,063,453 2/28/2011 ŝ ŝ ŝ **60 60 60** 352,108 50,717 98,941 489,761 991,527 (420) (420) 991,107 1/31/2011 ÷ ω (336) PISCC at 12/31/2010 (336) 328,371 47,934 92,052 452,168 920,525 920,189 Accumulated PISCC Amortization - Services Service Lines-PISCC Amortization Meter Installation-PISCC Amortization Service Lines-PISCC Meter Installation-PISCC (3) Service Line Responsibility-PISCC Risers-PISCC Gross Deferred PISCC - Services Net Deferred PISCC - Services Cumulative Balance Description R, Li \$ 20 ~ @ 0

189,856 191,317 381,173 22,256 42,027 64,283 52,376 53,138 105,514 (5,764) (292) 296,728 199,637 496,365 Activity for Tweive Months Ended <u>12/31/2011</u> \$ (1,273) (73) 10,437 10,437 31,992 31,992 43,280 43,280 8,559 8,559 12/31/2011 რთ **6** امب ما •9 69 69 69 69 \$ \$ 7,880 <u>31,736</u> 31,736 (1,273) (73) 36,087 36,087 7,895 7,895 11/30/2011 რო 4 (1,273) (73) 30,686 30,686 32,292 32,292 7,042 7,042 7,162 7,162 10/31/2011 69 69 (1,273) (73) -5,492 5,492 27,900 27,900 -6,452 6,452 25,327 25,327 9/30/2011 69 69 ŝ 6A) 69 e, 37,091 23,673 60,764 (84) 2,782 4,491 7,273 6,547 5,560 12,107 23,732 20,259 43,991 8/31/2011 *и*, *и*, 69 (J) ŝ G 69 Ø, 2,782 3,130 5,912 6,547 4,685 11,232 37,091 19,564 56,655 14,426 38,158 (84) 23,732 7/31/2011 *ю*, ю 2,782 1,922 4,704 6,547 4,021 10,568 37,091 14,864 51,955 9,934 33,666 (84) 23,732 6/30/2011 ÷ 69 69 6,547 3,288 9,835 37,091 10,036 47,127 . (8 23,732 6,355 30,087 2,782 1,116 3,898 5/31/2011 2,782 466 3,248 (84) 3,064 26,796 6,547 2,543 060'6 37,091 5,267 42,358 23,732 4/30/2011 6,547 1,703 8,250 23,732 277 24,009 2,782 49 2,831 37,091 2,079 39,170 . (8 1,855,643 Column O, Line 2 + Line 4 + Line 5 3/31/2011 \$ 69 ÷ 2,783 6,547 928 7,475 23,732 11 23,743 2,782 37,091 1,338 38,429 . (84) 2/28/2011 67 69 69 ₩ ÷ 6,547 342 6,889 37,091 502 37,593 23,737 2,782 2,783 (84) 23,732 1/31/2011 w Total 2011 Service Line Responsibility Deferred PISCC 2010 Service Line Responsibility - Deferred PISCC 2011 Service Line Responsibility - Deferred PISCC Net Deferred Service Lines PISCC at 12/31/2011 Amortization % ⁽¹⁾ Amnualized Service Lines PISCC Amortization 2010 Meter Installation - Deferred PISCC 2011 Meter Installation - Deferred PISCC Total 2011 Meter Installation Deferred PISCC 2010 Service Lines - Deferred PISCC 2011 Service Lines - Deferred PISCC Total 2011 Services Deferred PISCC Services-PISCC Amortization Meter Installation-PISCC Amortization 2010 Risers - Deferred PISCC 2011 Risers - Deferred PISCC Total 2011 Risers Deferred PISCC Annualized PISCC Amortization **Current Year Activity** 20 20 ត ដ ដ ÷ 28 29 29 25

<u>Notes:</u> (1) FERC Account 680 depreciation rate's average service life or 57 years, as approved in Case No. 04-0571-GA-AIR. (2) FERC Account 682 depreciation rate's average service life or 55 years, as approved in Case No. 04-0571-GA-AIR. **\$ 34,516** Line 29 + Line 32 To JMB-3, Line 28

112,217 Column O, Line 3

Net Deferred Meter Installation PISCC at 12/31/2011

Amortization % ⁽²⁾ Annualized Meter Installation PISCC Amortization

828 B

Total Annualized Services PISCC Amortization

1.82%

۵.

o

z

Σ

_

¥

7

_

т

ø

u.

ш

۵

υ

m

Exhibit No. JMB-3f Page 1 of 1

40.00°

VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF OHIO, INC. DISTRIBUTION REPLACEMENT RIDER ANNUALIZED PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE - SERVICE LINES

Service and Meter Institution Replacements - Book Value Loss of Marking - Cast	d Meter Installation Replacements - Book Value 200 italized Interest / AFUDC 5.18 F Taxable Property Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ (3) tiue / Assessment 6.25%) ⁽³⁾ (3) and Meter Installation Retired - Property Tax Basis 7.(3) and Meter Installation Retired - Property Tax Basis 7.(3) Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ tiue / Assessment 6.25%) ⁽³⁾ Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ tiue / Assessment 6.25%) ⁽³⁾ Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ Percentage 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate 6.25% ⁽³⁾ perty Tax Reduction - Service Line Retirements 7.5,4% facements - Book Value 5.5,4%	201 301 301 301 301 301 301 301 3	Tott \$ 22,900 \$ 21,92 \$ 5,48 \$ 21,92	Exhibit No. JMB-3, Line 1 ine 1 + 1 ine 2
Allocie 2000 2011 2000 2011 2000 2011 2000 2011 2000 2011 2000 2011 2000 2011 2000 2010 2000 2010 2000	200 A Meter Installation Replacements - Book Value \$ 5,18 Italized Interest / AFUDC \$ 5,18 I Taxable Property \$ 5,18 Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ \$ 4,77 Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ \$ 4,77 Itule / Assessment \$ 1,16 erage 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate \$ 1,16 operty Tax Expense - Service Line Replacements \$ 1,16 Ind Meter Installation Retired - Property Tax Basis \$ (3) Ind Meter Installation Retired - Property Tax Basis \$ (3) Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ \$ 1,16 Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ \$ 1,16 Itule / Assessment \$ 5,45 Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ \$ 5,45 Itule / Assessment \$ 5,45 Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ \$ 5,45	2010 5,651,622 \$ 12,06 (16,322) \$ 12,06 5,635,300 \$ 12,02 95.0% \$ 11,82 5,353,535 \$ 11,82 7,338,384 \$ 2,95	Tot: \$ 22,900 \$ 22,900 \$ 22,901 \$ 5,48 \$ 5,48 \$ 5,48	Exhibit No. JMB-3, Line Line 1 + Line 2
Italized Interest / AFUDC (16.32)	italized Interest / AFUDC Taxable Property Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ A 77 Taxable Property Tax Expense (25%) ⁽³⁾ fule / Assessment perty Tax Expense - Service Line Replacements and Meter Installation Retired - Property Tax Basis and Meter Installation Retired - Property Tax Basis Tax Expense - Service Line Replacements Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ tiue / Assessment renage 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate perty Tax Reduction - Service Line Retirements allocements - Book Value \$ 5,45	(16,322) (3 5,635,300 \$ 12,02 95,0% \$ 11,82 5,353,535 \$ 11,82 25,0% \$ 11,82 1,338,384 \$ 2,95	(5) \$ 22,84 \$ 21,92 \$ 5,48 \$ 5,48	ine 1 + 1 ine 2
Taxable Property 5 5 6 10 5 2.541,562 5 2.544,562 5 2.544,562 5 2.544,562 5 2.50% 5 2.50% 5 2.50% 5 2.50% 5 2.50% 5 2.50% 5 2.50% 5 2.50% 5 2.50% 2.60% 2.70% 2.50% 2.60% 2.70% 2.70% 2.70% 2.70% 2.70% 2.70% 2.70% 2.50% 2.50% 2.50% 2.60% 2.70% 2.70% 2.70% 2.60% 2.70% <	I Taxable Property \$5,16 Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ A 4,77 Assessment \$4,77 Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ \$4,77 \$7,17 Percentage 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate operty Tax Expense - Service Line Replacements \$ (3 To Meter Installation Retired - Property Tax Basis \$ (3 Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ fue / Assessment \$ Percentage 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate operty Tax Reduction - Service Line Retirements \$ 5,45 lacements - Book Value \$ 5,45	5,635,300 \$ 12,02 95,0% \$ 11,82 5,353,535 \$ 11,82 25,0% \$ 11,82 1,338,384 \$ 2,95	\$ 22,84 \$ 21,92 \$ 5,48	l ine 1 + l ine 2
Percentage (25%) ⁽¹⁾ $\frac{91,7\%}{5}$ $\frac{95,0\%}{5}$ $\frac{96,3\%}{5}$ $2,95,438$ $2,32,6\%$ $25,0\%$ $25,0\%$ $25,0\%$ $25,0\%$ $25,0\%$ $25,0\%$ $25,0\%$ $25,0\%$ $25,0\%$ $25,0\%$ $25,0\%$ $25,0\%$ $25,0\%$ $25,0\%$ $5,33,33,34$ $5,235,35,3,11,35,35$ $5,11,35,35,35,35,35,35,35,35,35,35,35,35,35,$	Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ * 4,7 ilue / Assessment * 4,7 rerage 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate * 1,16 operty Tax Expense - Service Line Replacements * 1,16 and Meter Installation Retired - Property Tax Basis * (3 and Meter Installation Retired - Property Tax Basis * (3 Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ * (3 Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ * (3 fulle / Assessment * (3 operty Tax Rate * (3 fulle / Assessment * (3 operty Tax Rate * (3 fulle / Assessment * (3 operty Tax Reduction - Service Line Retirements * 5,45	95.0% 5,353,535 \$ 11,82 25.0% 1,338,384 \$ 2,95	\$ 21,92 \$ 5,48	
Precretage (2%), (1) 5, 4/750, (18 5, 5,335,535 5, 1,18,7,735 2,10,80,5 2,50,9% 2,10,9% 2,10,9% 2,1,29%	Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ \$ 4,72 tule / Assessment \$ 1,16 terage 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate \$ 1,16 operty Tax Expense - Service Line Replacements \$ (3 nd Meter Installation Retired - Property Tax Basis \$ (3 Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ \$ (3 riue / Assessment \$ (3 Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ \$ (3 riue / Assessment \$ (3 reage 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate \$ (3 operty Tax Reduction - Service Line Retirements \$ 5,45	5,353,535 \$ 11,82 25.0% 1,338,384 \$ 2,95	\$ 21,92 \$ 5,48	
Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ $= \frac{25.0\%}{1.187,330}$ $= \frac{25.0\%}{1.338,384}$ $= \frac{25.0\%}{5.431,352}$ $= \frac{26.0\%}{9.10\%}$ rege 2011 Personal Property Tax Expense - Service Line Replacements $= \frac{25.0\%}{1.187,330}$ $= \frac{25.0\%}{1.330,384}$ $= \frac{25.0\%}{5.431,352}$ rege 2011 Personal Property Tax Expense - Service Line Replacements $= \frac{25.0\%}{1.330,650}$ $= \frac{25.0\%}{1.330,650}$ $= \frac{25.0\%}{1.330,650}$ $= \frac{25.0\%}{2.5255}$ od Meter Installation Retired - Property Tax Basis $= \frac{25.0\%}{2.50\%}$ <td>Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ \$ 1,16 kile / Assessment \$ 1,16 erage 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate operty Tax Expense - Service Line Replacements \$ (3 and Meter Installation Retired - Property Tax Basis \$ \$ (3 Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ hille / Assessment \$ \$ \$ (4 erage 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate operty Tax Reduction - Service Line Retirements \$ 5,45 lacements - Book Value \$ 5,45</td> <td>25.0% 1,338,384 \$ 2,95</td> <td>\$ 5,48</td> <td>Line 3 x Line 4</td>	Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ \$ 1,16 kile / Assessment \$ 1,16 erage 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate operty Tax Expense - Service Line Replacements \$ (3 and Meter Installation Retired - Property Tax Basis \$ \$ (3 Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ hille / Assessment \$ \$ \$ (4 erage 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate operty Tax Reduction - Service Line Retirements \$ 5,45 lacements - Book Value \$ 5,45	25.0% 1,338,384 \$ 2,95	\$ 5,48	Line 3 x Line 4
Ule / Assessment \$ 1,137,50 \$ 1,333,384 \$ 2,955,438 \$ 5,481,322 perfy Tax Expense - Service Line Replacements \$ 1,137,50 \$ 1,333,384 \$ 2,955,438 \$ 5,481,322 perfy Tax Expense - Service Line Replacements \$ (130,605) \$ (130,605) \$ (130,605) \$ (130,605) nd Meter Installation Retired - Property Tax Basis \$ (24,360) \$ (51,345) \$ (34,900) \$ (130,605) Percendage (25%) ⁽³⁾ \$ (3,330,50) \$ (1,557) \$ (3,13966) \$ (14,933) \$ (25,65) Percendage (25%) ⁽³⁾ \$ (1,557) \$ (3,13966) \$ (1,657) \$ (3,13966) \$ (3,1730) \$ (4,933) Percendage (25%) ⁽³⁾ \$ (1,557) \$ (3,130,605) \$ (1,657) \$ (3,40,350) \$ (4,00,130)	ilue / Assessment \$ 1,16 erage 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate operty Tax Expense - Service Line Replacements and Meter Installation Retired - Property Tax Basis \$ () Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ fulle / Assessment erage 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate operty Tax Reduction - Service Line Retirements operty Tax Reduction - Service Line Retirements \$ 5,45	1,338,384 \$	\$ 5,48	
erage 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate perty Tax Expense - Service Line Replacements ad Meter Installation Retired - Property Tax Basis ad Meter Installation Retired - Property Tax Rate ad Assessment terage 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate perty Tax Reduction - Service Line Retirements alcoments - Book Value facements - Book Value facements - Book Value alcoments - Book Value facements - Book Value alcoments - Book Value facements - Book Value facements - Book Value alcoments - Book Value facements - Book Value fa	erage 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate operty Tax Expense - Service Line Replacements and Meter Installation Retired - Property Tax Basis \$ (3) Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ alue / Assessment erage 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate operty Tax Reduction - Service Line Retirements alacements - Book Value \$ 5,45			Line 5 x Line 6
Sperty Tax Expense - Service Line Replacements \$ (24,360) \$ (51,345) \$ (54,900) \$ (130,605) \$ 27,2% \$ 25,0% \$ 27,0% \$ 27,0% \$ 27,0% \$ 25,0% \$ 25,0% \$ 25,0% \$ 25,0% \$ 26,0% \$ 27,2% \$ 26,0% \$ 27,2% \$ 26,0% \$ 27,2% \$ 26,0% \$ 26,0% \$ 26,0% \$ 26,0% \$ 26,0% \$ 26,0% \$ 26,0% \$ 26,0% \$ 26,0% \$ 26,0% \$ 26,0% \$ 26,0% \$ 26,0%<	operty Tax Expense - Service Line Replacements and Meter Installation Retired - Property Tax Basis \$ (3) Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ atue / Assessment erage 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate operty Tax Reduction - Service Line Retirements lacements - Book Value \$ 5,45			
Inder Installation Retired - Property Tax Basis \$ (24,360) \$ (51,345) \$ (54,900) \$ (130,605) \$ 77,2% \$ 77,2% \$ 77,2% \$ 77,2% \$ 77,3% \$ 7,30,605 \$ <th,30,605< th=""> \$ 1,30,605</th,30,605<>	nd Meter Installation Retired - Property Tax Basis \$ (2)			Line 7 x Line 8
Inder Installation Retired - Property Tax Basis \$ (24,360) \$ (51,345) \$ (54,900) \$ (130,605) Percentage (25%) (31,32) \$ (31,365) \$ (37,2%) \$ (73,605) \$ (73,605) \$ (73,605) \$ (730,605) \$ (710,605) \$ (710,605) \$ (710,605) \$ (710,605) \$ (710,605) \$ (710,605) \$ (710,605) \$ (710,605) \$ (710,605) \$ (710,605) \$ (710,605) \$ (710,605) \$ (710,605) \$ (710,605) \$ (710,605) \$ (710,605) \$ (7100,605) \$ (7100,605) \$ (7100,605) \$ (7100,605) \$ (7100,605) \$ (7100,605) \$ (7100,605) \$ (7100,605) \$ (7100,605) \$ (7100,605) \$ (7100,605) \$ (7100,605) \$ (7100,605) \$ (7100,605) \$ (7100,605) \$ (7100,6	nd Meter Installation Retired - Property Tax Basis \$ (; Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ \$ \$ itue / Assessment erage 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate operty Tax Reduction - Service Line Retirements poerty Tax Reduction - Service Line Retirements \$ 5,4!			
Precentage (25%) (3) 27.2% 27.0% 27.2% 27.0% 27.0% 27.0%	Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ ilue / Assessment erage 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate operty Tax Reduction - Service Line Retirements lacements - Book Value \$ 5,45	(51,345) \$	Ø	Exhibit No. JMB-3, Line
Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ \$ (13,960) \$ (14,933) \$ (35,525) Nue / Assessment 25,0% 25,0% 25,0% 25,0% 25,0% 25,0% 25,0% 25,0% 25,0% 25,0% 25,0% 25,0% 25,0% 25,0% 25,0% 25,0% 25,0% 25,0% 26,0	Percentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ Recentage (25%) ⁽³⁾ alue / Assessment erage 2011 Personal Property Tax Rate pperty Tax Reduction - Service Line Retirements lacements - Book Value \$ 5,4!			
irements $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	stirements \$ 5,45	(13,966) \$ (1	69	Line 10 x Line 11
\$ (1,657) \$ (3,492) \$ (3,733) \$ (881) irements 9.10% \$ 5,451,132 \$ 6,340,363 \$ 5,471,106 \$ 17,262,601 \$ 5,451,132 \$ 6,340,363 \$ 5,471,106 \$ 17,262,601 \$ 4,998,688 \$ 6,023,345 \$ 5,378,097 \$ 16,400,130 \$ 7,1249,672 \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 4,100,033 \$ 7,1249,672 \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 4,100,033 \$ 7,1249,672 \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 4,100,033 \$ 7,105,836 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 3,100,033 \$ 9,10% \$ 7,102,033 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 4,100,033 \$ 10%	stirements \$5,45			
irements \$ 5,451,132 \$ 6,340,363 \$ 5,471,106 \$ 17,262,601 \$ 4,988,688 \$ 5,023,345 \$ 5,378,097 \$ 16,400,130 \$ 4,988,688 \$ 5,023,345 \$ 5,378,097 \$ 16,400,130 \$ 1,249,672 \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 4,100,033 \$ 1,249,672 \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 4,100,033 \$ 1,249,672 \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 4,100,033 \$ 1,00,033 \$ 10% \$ 3,100% \$ 3,100% \$ 1,00,033 \$ 10% \$ 1,00,033 \$ 10% \$ 1,00,033 \$ 10% \$ 1,00,033 \$ 1,000 \$ 10% \$ 1,00,033 \$ 1,000 \$ 10% \$ 1,000 \$ 1,000 \$ 10% \$ 1,000 \$ 10%\\ \$ 1,000 \$ 1	tirements \$ 5,45	(3.492) \$	с с	Line 12 x Line 13
irements $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	stirements \$ 5,45			
\$ 5,451,132 \$ 6,340,363 \$ 5,471,106 \$ 17,262,601 91.7% 95.0% 98.3% \$ 4,00,130 \$ 4,998,088 \$ 6,023,345 \$ 5,378,097 \$ 16,400,130 \$ 7,124,672 \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 4,100,033 \$ 7,1249,672 \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 100,033 \$ 7,1249,672 \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 100,033 \$ 7,100,033 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 100,033 \$ 100,033 \$ 7,100,033 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 100,033 \$ 100,033 \$ 100,033 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 100,033 \$ 100,033	6 5,45			Line 14 x Line 15
\$ 5,451,132 \$ 6,340,363 \$ 5,471,106 \$ 17,262,601 91,7% 95,0% 98,3% \$ 16,400,130 \$ 4,998,688 \$ 6,023,345 \$ 5,578,097 \$ 16,400,130 \$ 25,0% \$ 25,0% \$ 1,344,524 \$ 4,100,033 \$ 1,249,672 \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 4,100,033 \$ 1,249,672 \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 4,100,033 \$ 25,0% \$ 1,344,524 \$ 1,00,033 \$ 9,10% \$ 1,00,033 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 1,00,033 \$ 1,00,033 \$ 2,00 \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 1,00,033 \$ 2,00 \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 1,00,033 \$ 2,00 \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 1,00,033 \$ 2,00 \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,00,033 \$ 2,00 \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,00,033 \$ 2,00 \$ 1,00,033 \$ 1,00,033 \$ 1,00,033 \$ 1,00 \$ 1,00,033 \$ 1,00,033 \$ 1,00,033 \$ 2,00 \$ 1,00,033 \$ 1,00,033 \$ 1,00,033 \$ 2,00 \$ 1,00,033 \$ 1,00,033 <t< td=""><td>\$ 5,45</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	\$ 5,45			
91.7% 95.0% 98.3% \$ 4,998,688 \$ 6,023,345 \$ 5,378,097 \$ 16,400,130 \$ 25.0% 25.0% \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 4,100,033 \$ 1,249,672 \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 4,100,033 \$ 9.10% \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 4,100,033		6,340,363 \$		Exhibit No. JMB-3, Lir
\$ 4,998,688 \$ 6,023,345 \$ 5,378,097 \$ 16,400,130 25.0% 25.0% 25.0% 25.0% \$ 1,249,672 \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 4,100,033 9.10% \$ 3,73,103 9.10% 5 373,103 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 4,100,033 9.10% \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 4,100,033 9.10% \$ 3,73,103 \$ 3,100,033 9.10% \$ 3,73,103 \$ 3,100,033 9.10% \$ 3,73,103 \$ 3,100,033 9.10% \$ 3,73,103 \$ 3,100,033 9.10% \$ 3,100,033 \$ 3,100,033 9.10% \$ 3,100,033 \$ 3,100,033 9.10% \$ 3,100,033 \$ 3,100,033 9.10% \$ 3,100,033 \$ 3,100,033 9.10% \$ 3,100,035 \$ 3,100,033 9.10% \$ 3,100,035 \$ 3,100,035 5 \$ 4,100,035 \$ 5,100,035 6 \$ 5,000,045 \$ 5,100,035 7 \$ 5,000,045 \$ 5,000,045 8 \$ 5,000,045 \$ 5,000,045 8 \$ 5,000,045			_	
25.0% 25.0% 25.0% 25.0% 25.0% 25.0% \$ 1,249,672 \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 4,100,033 \$ 373,103 (To Exhibit No. JMB-3, Line 25)	69	6,023,345 \$	⇔	Line 17 x Line 18
\$ 1,249,672 \$ 1,505,836 \$ 1,344,524 \$ 4,100,033 9.10% 9.10% 5 373,103 (To Exhibit No. JMB-3, Line 26)	(3)	25.0%		
s 9.10% <u>\$ 373,103</u> (To Exhibit No. JMB-3, Line 25)	\$ 1,24	1,505,836 \$	ι φ	Line 19 x Line 20
s <u>373,103</u> \$ 871,098 (To Exhibit No. JMB-3, Line 25)				
<mark>\$ 871,098</mark> (To Exhibit No. JMB-3, Line 25)	Annual Property Tax Expense - Natural Gas Risers			Line 21 x Line 22
(To Exhibit No. JMB-3, Line 25)	Annualized Property Tax Expense - Service Lines		\$ 871,098	
			(To Exhibit No. JMB-3, Line	25)

Per Ohio Department of Taxation Annual Natural Gas Property Tax Report, Schedule C, Distribution Plant.
 Per Ohio Department of Taxation Annual Natural Gas Property Tax Report, Schedule G.
 Per Ohio Department of Taxation Annual Natural Gas Property Tax Report, Schedule C(2), Distribution Plant.

Exhibit No. JMB-3g Page 1 of 1

VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF OHIO, INC. DISTRIBUTION REPLACEMENT RIDER

Line	Description		드	In Service Year			
-	Plant in Service at December 31, 2011:	2009 ^[2]		<u>2010 ^[2]</u>	2011	Total	2011 Reference ^[1]
01 co 41 co	Assets Elicible for 50% Bonus Depreciation Service Additions - Bare Steel/Cast Iron Replacements Meter Installation Additions - Bare Steel/Cast Iron Replacements Service Additions - Service Lino Ownership Additions of Natural Gas Risers	\$ 3,441,221 746,228 1,001,250 5,451,132		 \$640,145 360,431 962,436 5,554,215 	\$ 825,438 370,471 -	\$ 7,906,804 \$ 1,477,130 \$ 1,963,686 \$ 11,005,347	E]
9	Total Plant In Service - Eligible for 50% Bonus Depreciation	\$ 10,639,831		\$ 10,517,227	\$ 1,195,909	\$ 22,352,967	Sum of Lines 2 - 5
× 8 6 7 1	Assets Eligible for 100% Bonus Depreciation Service Additions - Bare Steel/Cast iron Replacements Meter Installation Additions - Bare Steel/Cast iron Replacements Service Additions - Service Line Ownership Additions of Natural Gas Risers Total Plant In Service - Eligible for 100% Bonus Depreciation	ю (ю		\$ 416,686 115,133 156,792 786,148 \$ 1,474,759	 7,568,832 1,789,094 1,507,002 5,471,106 5,471,106 5,636,034 	\$ 7,985,517 \$ 1,904,227 \$ 1,663,794 \$ 1,663,794 \$ 1,653,794 \$ 1,610,792	Exhibit No. JMB-3a, Column P, Line 8 - Line 2 Exhibit No. JMB-3a, Column P, Line 9 - Line 3 Exhibit No. JMB-3a, Column P, Line 10 Exhibit No. JMB-3a, Column P, Line 11 Sum of Lines 7 - 10
12	Total Plant in Service at December 31, 2011	\$ 10,639,831	"	\$ 11,991,986	\$ 17,531,943	\$ 40,163,760	Exhibit No. JMB-3, Lines 3-6
t 4 5	Book to Tax Basis Adjustment - Capitalized Interest Book to Tax Basis Adjustment - Bonus Depreciation Total Income Tax MACRS Depreciation Base	\$ (1,209) (5,319,311) \$ 5,319,311		\$ (2,285) (6,732,230) \$ 5,257,471	\$ (4,849) (16,929,139) \$ 597,955	\$ (8,344) \$ (28,980,680) \$ 11,174,736	-(Line 6* 50%) - Line 11 - Line 13 Sum of Lines 12 - 14
16 19 22 22 22 22 22	Tax Depreciation: MACRS - 15 Year Rate MACRS - 20 Year Rate MACRS - 15 Year MACRS - 20 Year Bonus Depreciation Total Tax Depreciation	23.05% 17.65% 5 1,140,098 65,840 5.319,311 5 6,525,249		14.50% 10.97% \$ 736,202 19,768 6,732,230 \$ 7,488,200	3.75% \$ 22,423 16,929,139 \$ 16,951,562	\$ 1,876,300 \$ 1,866,300 \$ 28,980,680 \$ 30,965,011	[4] [4] -Line 14 Sum of Lines 19 - 21
23 25 26 27	Book Depreciation: Services Meter Installation Natural Gas Risers Total Book Depreciation					\$ 1,106,444 53,268 1,294,173 \$ 2,453,885	-Exhibit No. JMB-3, Line 11 -Exhibit No. JMB-3, Line 12 -Exhibit No. JMB-3, Line 13 Sum of Lines 24 - 26
28	Tax Depreciation in Excess of Book Depreciation					\$ (28,511,126)	Line 27 - Line 22
29	Federal Deferred Taxes at 35%					35%	
30	Deferred Tax Balance at December 31, 2011 - Service Lines				E	<mark>\$ (9,978,894)</mark> (To Exhibit No. JMB-3, Line 20)	Line 28 * Line 29

Notes:

(1) Reference column is applicable to column 2011 under In Service Year section to the left.

(2) Agrees to Exhibit JMB-3g in Case No. 11-2776-GA-RDR with exception of tax depreciation section. See Note 4 for tax depreciation formula.
(3) Represents the sum of 2011 activity on work orders placed in service prior to October 1, 2010 and construction work in progress (CWIP) balance for work orders placed in service in 2011.

(4) Per Internal Revenue Code ("IRC") Sec 198(e)(3)(E)(vill), gas utility distribution facilities placed in service before January 1, 2011 have a MACRS life of 15 years. For utility distribution facilities placed in service after January 1, 2011, MACRS life is 20 years per IRC Rev. Proc. 87-56. Plaase note that meter installation is not considered a facility, therefore, 20 MACRS has applied to meter installation balances in 2009 - 2011. Below is the formula for tax depreciation by year.

Formula:	2009	2010	2011
Line 19 = (Line 6-Line 3+Line 13) * 50% * Line 17	×	×	
Line 20 = Line 3 * 50% * Line 18	×	×	
Line 20 = Line 6 * 50% * Line 18			×

Exhibit No. JMB-4 Page 1 of 1

VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF OHIO, INC. DISTRIBUTION REPLACEMENT RIDER DRR REVENUE REQUIREMENT VARIANCE

Line	Description	 	 Total	Reference
1	Revenue requirement for January 2011 - August 2011 per Case No. 10-0595-GA- RDR, Exhibit SEA-S4, Page 5 of 5, Line 14	\$ 1,852,989		
2	Less: DRR Recoveries January 2011 - August 2011	 (1,950,642)		- (Sum of Lines 19-26)
3	DRR (Over)/Under Recovery for Eight Months Ended August 31, 2011		\$ (97,653)	Line 1 + Line 2
4	Revenue requirement for September 2011 - December 2011 per Case No. 11-2776- GA-RDR, Exhibit SEA-S1, Page 5 of 5, Line 5	\$ 1,852,002		
5	Less: DRR Recoveries September 2011 - December 2011	 (1,871,110)		- (Sum of Lines 27-30)
6	DRR (Over)/Under Recovery for Four Months Ended December 31, 2011		\$ (19,108)	Line 4 + Line 5
7	DRR (Over)/Under Recovery for Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011		\$ (116,761)	Line 3 + Line 6

8 (Over)/Under Recovery - Mains and Services Allocation

	Description	Revenue Requireme			R Variance Ilocation		
	2010	A ⁽¹⁾	В	C =	Line 3 * B		
9	Mains	\$ 651	463 23.0%	\$	(22,460)		
10	Services	2,135	278 77.0%		(75,193)		
11	Total	\$ 2,786	741 100.0%	\$	(97,653)	Line 3	
	<u>2011</u>	D ⁽²⁾	Е	F=	Line 6 * E		
12	Mains	\$ 1,505	621 27.0%	\$	(5,159)		
13	Services	4,035	204 73.0%		(13,949)		
14	Totał	\$ 5,540	825 100.0%	\$	(19,108)	Line 6	
15	Total Main (Over) Re	covery Variance		\$	(27,619)	Line 9 + Line 12	To JMB-2, Line 23
16	Total Services (Over) Recovery Variance		\$	(89,142)	Line 10 + Line 13	To JMB-3, Line 32

17 DRR Recoveries by Month:

18		R	ecovery - \$	Reference
19	January 2011	\$	307,654	Exhibit No. JMB-4a, Column H, Line 1
20	February 2011		283,198	Exhibit No. JMB-4a, Column H, Line 2
21	March 2011		257,022	Exhibit No. JMB-4a, Column H, Line 3
22	April 2011		236,920	Exhibit No. JMB-4a, Column H, Line 4
23	May 2011		219,933	Exhibit No. JMB-4a, Column H, Line 5
24	June 2011		217,142	Exhibit No. JMB-4a, Column H, Line 6
25	July 2011		213,610	Exhibit No. JMB-4a, Column H, Line 7
26	August 2011		215,163	Exhibit No. JMB-4a, Column H, Line 8
27	September 2011		368,021	Exhibit No. JMB-4a, Column H, Line 9
28	October 2011		497,278	Exhibit No. JMB-4a, Column H, Line 10
29	November 2011		472,480	Exhibit No. JMB-4a, Column H, Line 11
30	December 2011		533,331	Exhibit No. JMB-4a, Column H, Line 12
31	Total DRR Recoveries	\$	3,821,752	

Notes:

(1) Revenue Requirement per Case No. 10-0595-GA-RDR (Exhibit SEA-S4, Page 1 of 5) (2) Revenue Requirement per Case No. 11-2776-GA-RDR (Exhibit SEA-S1, Page 1 of 5). Exhibit No. JMB-4a Page 1 of 1

> VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF OHIO, INC. DISTRIBUTION REPLACEMENT RIDER DRR RECOVERIES BY TARIFF

	A	B	5	0	L.	0		ш		LL.		U		т
							DRR Re	DRR Recoveries						
Line	<u>Month</u>	310/311/315	320/321/3	:25 - Grp 1	ĕ	341	320/32	<u>320/321/325 - Grp 2</u>		<u>345</u>		<u>360</u>		Total
~	Jan-11	\$ 187,480	ŝ	10,420	θ	9	ŝ	79,228	ω	9,449	φ	21,071	ф	307,654
2	Feb-11	186,382		10,205		9		60,636		6,594		19,375		283,198
ო	Mar-11	186,341		10,092		9		36,313		5,755		18,515		257,022
4	Apr-11	183,817		10,045		9		24,376		3,749		14,927		236,920
5	May-11	183,231		9,912		9		9,467		3,260		14,058		219,933
9	Jun-11	183,656		9,743		7		7,707		2,801		13,233		217,142
7	Jul-11	181,154		9,810		ო		7,390		2,562		12,692		213,610
80	Aug-11	181,040		9,847		ო		7,770		3,105		13,397		215,163
б	Sep-11	309,205		16,273		4		17,205		7,028		18,307		368,021
10	Oct-11	409,764		22,590		18		33,001		9,936		21,969		497,278
11	Nov-11	357,167		18,950		13		61,607		11,444		23,298		472,480
12	Dec-11	372,598		20,431		13		99,609		13,537		27,142		533,331
13	Total	\$ 2,921,834	φ	158,317	ф	6	÷	444,309	φ	79,218	φ	217,983	φ	3,821,752

ATTACHMENT C

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

SCOTT E. ALBERTSON

DIRECTOR OF REGULATORY AFFAIRS

ON BEHALF OF

VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF OHIO, INC.

CASE NO. 12-1423-GA-RDR

APRIL 30, 2012

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF SCOTT E. ALBERTSON
2		
3	INTR	ODUCTION
4	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
5	A.	Scott E. Albertson
6		One Vectren Square
7		Evansville, Indiana 47708
8	Q.	What position do you hold with Applicant Vectren Energy Delivery of
9		Ohio, Inc. ("VEDO" or "the Company")?
10	Α.	I am Director of Regulatory Affairs for Vectren Utility Holdings, Inc.
11		("VUHI"), the immediate parent company of VEDO. I hold the same
12		position with two other utility subsidiaries of VUHI Southern Indiana Gas
13		and Electric Company d/b/a/ Vectren Energy Delivery of Indiana ("Vectren
14		South") and Indiana Gas Company, Inc. d/b/a/ Vectren Energy Delivery of
15		Indiana ("Vectren North").
16	Q.	Please describe your educational background.
17	Α.	I received a Bachelor of Science degree in mechanical engineering from
18		Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology in 1984.
19	Q.	Are you a Registered Professional Engineer?
20	Α.	Yes. I have been a professional engineer in Indiana since 1990
21		(registration number 900464).

Albertson Direct Testimony

1 Q. Please describe your professional experience.

2 Α. I have over 25 years' experience in the utility industry, primarily in the 3 operations and engineering areas. I began my career with Ohio Valley Gas Corporation in a project engineering position. I have worked at VUHI 4 and its predecessor companies since 1987 in a variety of positions 5 6 including Operations Staff Manager, Assistant Chief Engineer, Director of 7 Engineering Projects, and Director of Engineering. Prior to assuming my 8 current role in 2004, I was Director of Technical Services with responsibility 9 for engineering and technical support for all VUHI utility operations.

10 What are your present duties and responsibilities as Director of Q. 11 **Regulatory Affairs?**

12 I have responsibility for regulatory matters of the regulated utilities within Α. 13 VUHI, including proceedings before the Indiana and Ohio utility regulatory 14 commissions.

15 Q.

Have you previously testified before this Commission?

16 Yes. I filed testimony in the Company's most recent general rate case, Α. 17 Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR; its Merchant Function Exit proceeding, Case 18 No. 07-1285-GA-EXM; its 2010 Distribution Replacement Rider ("DRR") 19 proceeding, Case No. 10-0595-GA-RDR ("2010 DRR Filing"); its 2011 20 DRR proceeding, Case No. 11-2776-GA-RDR ("2011 DRR Filing"); and in a number of other proceedings. 21

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding ("2012 DRR Filing")?

- A. My testimony in this proceeding supports the proposed DRR charges, as
 well as the proposed tariff sheet, and associated bill impacts.
- 5 Q. What exhibits are attached to your testimony?
- 6 A. The following exhibits which have been prepared by me or under my7 supervision are attached to my testimony:
- 8 <u>Exhibit No. SEA-1</u>, Pages 1 through 5 DRR Derivation of Charges;
- 9 <u>Exhibit No. SEA-2</u>, Page 1 of 1 DRR Tariff Sheet; and
- 10 <u>Exhibit No. SEA-3</u>, Page 1 of 1 DRR Annual Residential Customer Bill
- 11 Impact.

12 BACKGROUND

13 Q. What is the DRR?

A. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") approved a
Stipulation and Recommendation in VEDO's last general rate case, Case
No. 07-1080-GA-AIR ("Approved Stipulation"). The DRR was part of the
Approved Stipulation, and recovers

a return on and of investments made by the Company under an 18 19 accelerated bare steel and cast iron pipeline replacement program ("Replacement Program"), inclusive of capitalized interest (or post-20 21 ("PISCC")) in-service carrying costs associated with the 22 Replacement Program,

1		the actual deferred costs resulting from compliance with the
2		Commission-ordered riser investigation in Case No. 05-463-GA-
3		COI,
4		 the costs associated with the replacement of prone-to-fail risers over
5		a five year period ("Riser Program"), and
6		 the incremental costs of assuming responsibility for service lines.
7		Savings of certain Operation and Maintenance ("O&M") expenses are
8		also included as a credit in the derivation of the DRR revenue
9		requirement.
	-	
10	Q.	Are you familiar with the Stipulation and Recommendation approved
11		by the Commission in Case No. 10-595-GA-RDR ("the 2010 DRR
12		Stipulation") and the Stipulation and Recommendation approved by
13		the Commission in Case No. 11-2776-GA-RDR ("the 2011 DRR
14		Stipulation")?
15	Α.	Yes, I am.
16	Q.	Please describe the 2010 DRR Stipulation.
17	Α.	The 2010 DRR Stipulation indicated that VEDO should work with Staff prior
18		to filing its next DRR application, the 2011 DRR Filing, in order to include
19		more detailed schedules as described in Staff's comments filed in Case
20		No. 10-595-GA-RDR and that VEDO should make two (2) changes to the
21		DRR revenue requirement filed in the 2010 DRR Filing which resulted in
22		revised DRR rates.

1 Q. Please describe the 2011 DRR Stipulation.

A. The 2011 DRR Stipulation indicated that VEDO should make two (2)
changes to the DRR revenue requirement filed in the 2011 DRR Filing
which resulted in revised DRR rates. Those changes included adjusting
the revenue requirement by \$4,832 to eliminate the compounding of
PISCC and by \$18,468 to reflect the most current interpretations and
guidance available for the tax treatment of depreciation.

8 Q. Did VEDO comply with the terms of the 2010 and 2011 DRR 9 Stipulations?

10 Yes. VEDO modified its schedules per the 2010 DRR Stipulation. VEDO Α. 11 also modified its 2011 DRR Filing as described above and as per the 2011 12 DRR Stipulation in its DRR Stipulation Exhibit 1 and implemented revised 13 DRR rates resulting from those modifications. VEDO's 2012 DRR Filing is 14 consistent with those same modifications related to the classification of 15 meter move-out costs and permitting costs (as per the 2010 DRR 16 Stipulation) and the elimination of the compounding PISCC and tax 17 treatment of depreciation (as per the 2011 DRR Stipulation).

18 Q. How do VEDO's customers benefit from the DRR?

A. As more fully described in VEDO witness James M. Francis' testimony,
 VEDO customers will realize significant benefits as a direct result of the
 Replacement and Riser Programs and the DRR mechanism. Because the
 Company is provided an opportunity to more quickly recover its
 investments under the programs, VEDO's customers will more quickly

1 realize enhanced service reliability levels than would be realized under a more traditional regulatory paradigm. Over time, customers will also 2 3 benefit from a diminution of O&M costs related to distribution mains. 4 Moreover, the elimination of active leaks achieved by replacement of bare 5 steel and cast iron pipelines in a given year will result in a reduced level of 6 O&M expenses reflected in the DRR and/or base rates prospectively. 7 Finally, customers are no longer required to directly bear the out-of-pocket 8 cost of service line repair or replacement since the Company has assumed 9 that responsibility.

10 PROPOSED DRR

11 Q. Please describe the DRR proposed herein.

A. VEDO has proposed a DRR based upon Replacement Program and Riser
 Program costs for all projects placed in service as of December 31, 2011.
 The DRR revenue requirement proposed by VEDO witness Janice M.
 Barrett, which also includes the other cost components described
 previously, is used to derive the DRR charges which are presented in the
 attached Exhibit No. SEA-1, Pages 1 through 5.

18 Q. Please describe Exhibit No. SEA-1.

A. <u>Exhibit No. SEA-1</u> contains the filing schedules to support the derivation of
the Company's proposed DRR.

<u>Exhibit No. SEA-1</u>, Page 1 of 5 shows the derivation of the DRR revenue
 requirement and charges by rate schedule. The rate schedule allocation

Albertson Direct Testimony

1 factors from page 2 of 5 (described below) are multiplied by the total 2 revenue requirement (from Exhibit No. JMB-1) to determine the allocated 3 revenue requirement by rate schedule. For residential (Rates 310, 311 and 315), small general service (Group 1 customers served under Rates 4 5 320, 321 and 325; hereinafter referred to as "Group 1 Customers"), and 6 Rate 341 customers, the allocated revenue requirement for each rate schedule is then divided by the number of customers in each rate 7 8 schedule, and then divided by 12, to determine the monthly DRR charge 9 applicable to customers in those rate schedules. For larger customers 10 (Group 2 and Group 3 customers under Rates 320, 321 and 325, 11 hereinafter referred to as "Group 2 and Group 3 Customers") and all 12 customers receiving service under Rates 345 and 360, the allocated 13 revenue requirement for each rate schedule is divided by the projected 14 annual throughput for each rate schedule to determine the DRR charge per 15 Ccf applicable to those rate schedules.

16 <u>Exhibit No. SEA-1</u>, Page 2 of 5 lists the rate schedule distribution mains 17 and service lines allocation factors from Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR. These 18 allocation factors are used to allocate the mains and service lines revenue 19 requirements to the various rate schedules.

<u>Exhibit No. SEA-1</u>, Page 3 of 5 shows how the general service customer
 revenue requirement allocation is determined. Due to the similarity in
 facilities required to serve Group 1 Customers and those required to serve
 residential customers, and consistent with the Commission's order in Case

1 No. 07-1080-GA-AIR, VEDO presents a DRR charge to Group 1 2 Customers equal to the DRR charge applicable to residential customers. 3 The residential DRR charge is multiplied by the number of Group 1 4 Customers, with that result multiplied by 12 to determine the annual DRR 5 revenue requirement to be recovered from Group 1 Customers. The 6 Group 1 Customer revenue requirement is then subtracted from the total 7 revenue requirement allocated to Rates 320, 321 and 325. The resulting 8 amount is then divided by the projected annual throughput for Group 2 and 9 Group 3 Customers to determine the DRR charge per Ccf applicable to 10 those customers.

11 <u>Exhibit No. SEA-1</u>, Page 4 of 5 shows the impact of the proposed DRR on
 12 each rate schedule.

13 Exhibit No. SEA-1, Page 5 of 5 identifies the recoveries applicable to the 14 periods September 2012 through December 2012 and January 2013 15 through August 2013. These are the twelve months during which the 16 proposed DRR is projected to be in effect. The purpose of this schedule is 17 to provide the basis for determining the revenue requirement recovery 18 variance applicable to the period of September through December 2012, 19 since in the next annual DRR filing VEDO will reconcile actual costs and actual recoveries through December 2012¹. The variance determined on 20 21 Exhibit No. JMB-4, Page 1 of 1 in this proceeding is allocated to mains and

¹ Recoveries applicable to January through August 2012 were included in the determination of the final DRR revenue requirement in the 2011 DRR Filing.

Albertson Direct Testimony

1 services based upon the approved revenue requirement in VEDO's 2011 2 DRR Filing. The allocated variances are added to the annual revenue 3 requirements for mains and services, shown on Exhibit No. JMB-2 and 4 Exhibit No. JMB-3 respectively, for investments made in 2011. Likewise, in the 2013 DRR filing the variance applicable to the period of January 5 6 through August 2013 will be based upon the recoveries for that period as 7 identified on Page 5. My testimony in Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR 8 supported this methodology.

9

Q. Please describe <u>Exhibit No. SEA-2</u>.

A. <u>Exhibit No. SEA-2</u>, Page 1 of 1 illustrates the proposed DRR tariff sheet
 containing the proposed DRR charges. Tariff Sheet No. 45, Sixth Revised
 Page 2 of 2 will replace the currently effective Fifth Revised Page 2 of 2.

13 Q. Please describe Exhibit No. SEA-3.

A. The annual impact of the proposed DRR on a residential customer is
shown on <u>Exhibit No. SEA-3</u>, Page 1 of 1.

Q. In your opinion, has the Company met all requirements set forth in
 the Approved Stipulation in Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR?

A. Yes, the Company has filed an application for approval of the successor
DRR charge. The application has been served electronically on the Parties
to the Approved Stipulation and includes all supporting information for the
costs incurred in calendar year 2011. As contained in VEDO witness
Francis' testimony, the Company is providing a summary of its construction

Albertson Direct Testimony

plans for 2012 including expected investment, expected location of the
 infrastructure replacement work and the expected miles of pipe to be
 replaced. Finally, the Company has not exceeded the cap on DRR
 charges consistent with the Approved Stipulation.

5

Q. Please elaborate on the approved cap.

As per the Approved Stipulation, the monthly DRR charge applicable to 6 Α. 7 Residential and Group 1 Customers in the first annual DRR application (the 2010 DRR Filing) could not exceed \$1.00 per customer. The cap for 8 9 successor DRR charges applicable to Residential and Group 1 Customers 10 may increase in increments of \$1.00 per year, beginning with the DRR 11 charge proposed by the Company in the 2011 DRR Filing. Since the 12 currently effective DRR charge for Residential and Group 1 Customers is 13 less than \$2.00 per customer per month, and the corresponding DRR 14 charge proposed herein is less than \$3.00 per customer per month, the Company has complied with the Approved Stipulation in this regard. 15

Q. Has VEDO had the opportunity to recover all costs associated with
 the Commission-ordered riser investigation?

A. Yes. VEDO implemented initial DRR charges on March 1, 2009 which
were designed to recover deferred expenses through July 2008 associated
with the Commission-ordered riser investigation. In compliance with the
Approved Stipulation, all DRR charges were removed from the tariff (i.e.
reset to zero) effective March 1, 2010, and the remaining variance was
included in the determination of the DRR revenue requirement in its 2010

Albertson Direct Testimony

1 DRR Filing sponsored by VEDO witness Barrett. VEDO implemented the 2 DRR charges from the 2011 DRR Filing on September 1, 2011. Variances 3 from September 2011 through December 2011 have been included in the determination of the DRR revenue requirement in this proceeding. While 4 5 costs which may have been incurred to complete the riser investigation 6 work can no longer be identified specifically, the ongoing annual 7 reconciliation of DRR variances ensures that VEDO has had an opportunity 8 to recover its costs associated with the riser investigation.

- 9 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 10 A. Yes, at this time.

VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF OHIO DISTRIBUTION REPLACEMENT RIDER **DERIVATION OF CHARGES**

		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
Lino	Rate Schedule	Mains Allocated DRR Revenue Requirement (b)	Service Lines Allocated DRR Revenue Reguirement (b)	Total DRR Revenue Requirement	Customer Count (c)	Proposed DRR per Customer Per Month	Annual Volumes (c)	Proposed DRR per Ccf
<u>Liņe</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Requirement (b)</u>	<u>Requirement (b)</u>	(A) + (B)		(C)/(D)/12	(Ccf)	(C)/(F)
1	310/311/315	\$1,334,715	\$5,496,917	\$6,831,633	286,051	\$1.99		
2 3 4	320/321/325 Group 1 Group 2 & 3	\$507,785	\$915,055	\$1,422,840 \$368,731 (d) \$1,054,109 (d)	15,441	\$1.99	69,861,679	\$0.01509
5	341	\$99	\$146	\$244	2	\$10.19		
6	345	\$133,302	\$28,338	\$161,640			47,551,025	\$0.00340
7	360	\$195,091	\$12,544	\$207,635			93,063,056	\$0.00223
8	Total (a)	\$2,170,992	\$6,453,000	\$8,623,992				

(a) Mains and Service Revenue Requirement shown on Exhibit No. JMB-1, Lines 1 and 2 respectively.
(b) Reflects revenue requirement multiplied by allocation factors shown on Exhibit No. SEA-1, Page 2
(c) 2012 Budget - Customer Count and Volumes
(d) From Exhibit No. SEA-1, Page 3

Exhibit No. SEA-1 Page 2 of 5

VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF OHIO DISTRIBUTION REPLACEMENT RIDER RATE SCHEDULE ALLOCATION FACTORS

<u>Line</u>	Rate <u>Schedule</u>	Description		Mains Allocation <u>Factors (a)</u> (%)	Service Line Allocation <u>Factors (b)</u> (%)
1	310/311/315	Residential DSS/SCO/Transportation		61.480%	85.184%
2	320/321/325	General DSS/SCO/Transportation		23.390%	14.180%
3	341	Dual Fuel		0.005%	0.002%
4	345	Large General Transportation		6.140%	0.439%
5	360	Large Volume Transportation		8.986%	0.194%
6			Total	<u>100.000%</u>	<u>100.000%</u>

(a) Mains Allocation Factor as presented in Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR(b) Service Lines Allocation Factor as presented in Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR

Exhibit No. SEA-1 Page 3 of 5

VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF OHIO DISTRIBUTION REPLACEMENT RIDER ALLOCATION OF REVENUE REQUIREMENT - RATES 320, 321 AND 325

Line	Description	<u>Amount</u>		Reference
1	Proposed DRR - Rate 310/311/315	\$1.99	Per Month	Exhibit No. SEA-1, Page 1
2	Proposed DRR - Rate 320/321/325 - Group 1	\$1.99	Per Month	Line [1]
3	Customer Count - Group 1	15,441		Exhibit No. SEA-1, Page 1
4	Revenue Requirement - Group 1 (1)	\$368,731		Line [2] x Line [3] x 12
5	Revenue Requirement - Total 320/321/325	\$1,422,840		Exhibit No. SEA-1, Page 1
6	Revenue Requirement - Group 2 & 3 (1)	\$1,054,109	:	Line [5] - Line [4]

Notes:

(1) to Exhibit No. SEA-1, Page 1

VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF OHIO DISTRIBUTION REPLACEMENT RIDER RATE SCHEDULE BILL IMPACTS

		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	
Line	Rate <u>Schedule</u>	<u>Present Revenue (a)</u>	Previous DRR Revenue Requirement	Current DRR <u>Revenue Requirement (c)</u>	Incremental DRR <u>Revenue Requirement</u> (C)-(B)	<u>% Increase</u> (D)/(A)	
1	310/311	\$63,853,186	\$2,993,351	\$4,311,526	\$1,318,175	2.06%	(d)
2	315	\$31,934,849	\$1,369,642	\$2,520,107	\$1,150,465	3.60%	(b) (d)
3	320/321	\$12,553,529	\$614,771	\$811,476	\$196,706	1.57%	(d)
4	325	\$10,557,652	\$309,591	\$611,364	\$301,773	2.86%	(b) (d)
5	341	\$16,966	\$160	\$244	\$85	0.50%	
6	345	\$5,621,759	\$110,168	\$161,640	\$51,472	0.92%	(b)
7	360	\$7,854,582	\$143,143	\$207,635	\$64,492	0.82%	(b)
8	Total	\$132,392,523	\$5,540,825	\$8,623,992	\$3,083,167	2.33%	

(a) Twelve months ending December 31, 2011

Excludes revenues from former Rate 330 customers; Rate 330 was terminated effective April 14, 2010.

(b) Does not include gas costs(c) From Exhibit No. SEA-1, Page 1

(d) Current revenues calculated as unit rate times Number of customers

VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF OHIO DISTRIBUTION REPLACEMENT RIDER DETERMINATION OF APPROVED RECOVERIES BY CALENDAR MONTH

	(A)	(B)	(C)
Line	Month	Allocation Factor (1)	Approved Recoveries (2)
1	September-12	7.46%	\$643,102
2	October-12	7.80%	\$672,512
3	November-12	8.49%	\$731,862
4	December-12	9.59%	\$827,402
5	Subtotal (To Fourth Annual DRR Filing)		\$2,874,879
6	January-13	10.01%	\$863,142
7	February-13	9.43%	\$813,084
8	March-13	9.08%	\$783,406
- 9	April-13	7.99%	\$689,144
10	May-13	7.73%	\$666,428
11	June-13	7.52%	\$648,877
12	July-13	7.46%	\$643,324
13	August-13	7.44%	\$641,709
14	Subtotal (To Fifth Annual DRR Filing)		\$5,749,113

(1) Based on monthly volumes / customer count (as applicable) as a percentage of annual, in 2012 Budget.(2) Allocation Factor in Column B times total revenue requirement.

Exhibit No. SEA-2 Page 1 of 1

VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF OHIO, INC. Tariff for Gas Service P.U.C.O. No. 3 Sheet No. 45 Sixth Revised Page 2 of 2 Cancels Fifth Revised Page 2 of 2

DISTRIBUTION REPLACEMENT RIDER

DISTRIBUTION REPLACEMENT RIDER CHARGE

The charges for the respective Rate Schedules are:

Rate Schedule	\$ Per Month	\$ Per Ccf
310, 311 and 315	\$1.99	
320, 321 and 325 (Group 1)	\$1.99	
320, 321 and 325 (Group 2 and 3)		\$0.01509
341	\$10.19	
345		\$0.00340
360		\$0.00223

 Filed pursuant to the Finding and Order dated ______ in Case No. ______ of the Public

 Utilities Commission of Ohio.

Issued: _____

Issued by: Jerrold L. Ulrey, Vice President

Effective:

Exhibit No. SEA-3 Page 1 of 1

VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF OHIO DISTRIBUTION REPLACEMENT RIDER ANNUAL RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER BILL IMPACT

<u>Line</u>			Reference
1	Proposed DRR Charge Per Customer Per Month Exhibit SEA-1, Page 1, Column (E), Line 1	\$1.99	Exhibit No. SEA-1, Page 1
2	Current DRR Charge Per Customer Per Month	\$1.27	2011 DRR Filing
3	Incremental DRR Charge Per Month	\$0.72	Line [1] - Line [2]
4	Months	12	
5	Annual Incremental Bill Impact	\$8.64	Line [3] x Line [4]
6	Total Annual DRR Bill Impact	\$23.88	Line [1] x Line [4]

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/30/2012 2:48:53 PM

in

Case No(s). 12-1423-GA-RDR

Summary: Application Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc.'s Application for Authority to Adjust its Distribution Replacement Rider Charges electronically filed by Ms. Vicki L. Leach-Payne on behalf of Hummel, Gretchen J. Ms.