BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

)

)

)

In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company to Update its Economic Development Rider

Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR

APPLICATION OF THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY TO UPDATE ITS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT RIDER

The Dayton Power and Light Company ("DP&L" or "the Company") hereby submits this application to update its Economic Development Rider ("EDR") pursuant to the Finding and Order of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO" or "Commission") dated October 26, 2011, in Case Nos. 11-4503-EL-SSO, *et al.*

In support of this Application DP&L states as follows:

 DP&L is a public utility and electric light company as defined by O.R.C. §4905.02 and §4905.03(A)(4) respectively, and an electric distribution utility as defined by R.C. §4928.01(A)(6).

2. O.R.C. §4905.31 permits a public utility and one or more of the utility's customers to enter into a reasonable arrangement providing for "any other financial device that may be practicable or advantageous to the parties interested."

3. O.R.C. §4905.31(E) provides further: "[i]n the case of a schedule or arrangement concerning a public utility electric light company, such other financial device may include a device to recover costs incurred in conjunction with any economic development and job retention program of the utility within its certified territory, including recovery of revenue foregone as a result of any such program..."

4. By Opinion and Order dated April 5, 2011, the Commission approved of an economic development arrangement between DP&L and Caterpillar Inc.

("Caterpillar"). The Caterpillar economic development arrangement involved the construction of a new facility in Clayton, Ohio, which was completed at the end of the second quarter of 2011.

5. By Opinion and Order dated June 8, 2011, The Commission approved of an economic development arrangement between DP&L and the United States of America, Wright Patterson Air Force Base ("WPAFB"). The WPAFB economic development arrangement became effective on July 1, 2011.

6. In PUCO Case No. 11-4503-EL-RDR, DP&L sought and was granted the Commission's authority to update the EDR from zero, and begin recovery of the costs incurred as a result of the Caterpillar and WPAFB reasonable arrangements being approved and taking effect.

7. Consistent with the provisions of section 4901:1-38-08(A)(5) of the Ohio Administrative Code ("O.A.C"), DP&L files this Application to update and reconcile the EDR semiannually, however DP&L again proposes to set the rate based on 12 months of revenue to provide rate smoothing to all tariff classes.

8. In support of this Application to update the rider, the following are attached:

Schedule A-1	Copy of redlined tariff schedules;
Schedule A-2	Copy of proposed tariff schedules;
Schedule B-1	EDR Summary;
Schedule B-2	Summary of Actual Costs;
Schedule B-3	Projected Monthly Cost Calculation;
Schedule C-1	EDR Cost by Tariff Class;

Schedule D-1 Summary of Proposed Rates;

2

Schedule E-1

Typical Bill Comparison; and

Workpapers

9. As set forth in Schedule E-1, the bill impacts of the EDR rate for the typical residential customer using 750 kWh per month is projected to result in that customer experiencing a total decrease of \$0.16/month for the May 2012 through October 2012 time period.

10. DP&L's proposed rates included in the updated EDR, as reflected in Schedule D-1 and as supported by the remaining Schedules and Workpapers, are just and reasonable and should be approved.

WHEREFORE, DP&L respectfully requests that the Commission approve its Application with updated tariff rates for its EDR to be made effective on a bills-rendered basis with the Company's first billing unit beginning in May 2012.

Respectfully submitted,

Judi Ll Sobechi (0067186) Randall V. Griffin (0080499) The Dayton Power and Light Company 1065 Woodman Drive Dayton, OH 45432 Telephone: (937) 259-7171 Facsimile: (937) 259-7178 Email: judi.sobecki@dplinc.com randall.griffin@dplinc.com

Attorneys for The Dayton Power and Light Company THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY CASE NO. 12-815-EL-RDR

Economic Development Rider (EDR)

Schedule A-1 Redlined Tariffs

P.U.C.O. No. 17 ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION SERVICE TARIFF INDEX

Sheet <u>No.</u>	Version	Description	Number of Pages	Tariff Sheet Effective Date
D1	First Revised	Table of Contents	1	June 30, 2009
D2 T	hirty-NinthFortieth Rev	visedTariff Index	2 <u>Ma</u>	1 <u>y 1</u> February 24, 2012

RULES AND REGULATIONS

D3	Original	Application and Contract for Service	3	January 1, 2001
D4	Second Revised	Credit Requirements of Customer	1	February 24, 2012
D5	Sixth Revised	Billing and Payment for Electric Service	8	February 24, 2012
D6	First Revised	Disconnection/Reconnection of Service	5	July 8, 2005
D7	Original	Meters and Metering Equipment-		•
	C .	Location and Installation	2	January 1, 2001
D8	Original	Service Facilities – Location and		•
	C	Installation	3	January 1, 2001
D9	Original	Equipment on Customer's Premises	3	January 1, 2001
D10	Original	Use and Character of Service	5	January 1, 2001
D11	Original	Emergency Electrical Procedures	12	January 1, 2001
D12	First Revised	Extension of Electric Facilities	4	March 1, 2010
D13	First Revised	Extension of Electric Facilities to		
		House Trailer Parks	2	November 1, 2002
D14	First Revised	Definitions and Amendments	4	August 16, 2004
D15	Original	Additional Charges	1	January 1, 2001
D16	Original	Open Access Terms and Conditions	3	January 1, 2001
TARI	FFS			
D17	Tenth Revised	Residential	2	December 1, 2011
D18	Tenth Revised	Residential Heating	3	December 1, 2011

D18	Tenth Revised	Residential Heating	3	December 1, 2011
D19	Ninth Revised	Secondary	4	December 1, 2011
D20	Ninth Revised	Primary	3	December 1, 2011

Filed pursuant to the Finding and Order in Case No. 121-8154504-EL-RDRATA dated February 14, 2012 of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

Issued February 23, 2012

Effective February May 124, 2012

Issued by <u>ANDREW M. VESEYPHIL HERRINGTON</u>, <u>Acting President and</u> Chief Executive Officer

P.U.C.O. No. 17 ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION SERVICE TARIFF INDEX

Sheet <u>No.</u>	Version	Description	Number of Pages	Tariff Sheet Effective Date
D21	Ninth Revised	Primary-Substation	3	December 1, 2011
D22	Eighth Revised	High Voltage	3	December 1, 2011
D23	Tenth Revised	Private Outdoor Lighting	3	December 1, 2011
D24	Ninth Revised	School	2	December 1, 2011
D25	Ninth Revised	Street Lighting	4	December 1, 2011
D26	Second Revised	Miscellaneous Service Charges	1	February 24, 2012
D35	Second Revised	Interconnection Tariff	8	October 7, 2008
<u>RIDEI</u>	RS			
D27	First Revised	Reserved	1	November 1, 2011
D28	Twelfth Revised	Universal Service Fund Rider	1	January 1, 2012
D29	Fifth Revised	Reserved	1	December 1, 2011
D30	Third Revised	Reserved	1	July 14, 2008
D31	Fourth Revised	Reserved	1	April 7, 2011
D32	Second Revised	Reserved	1	January 1, 2011
D33	Third Revised	Excise Tax Surcharge Rider	1	May 1, 2010
D34	First Revised	Switching Fees	2	January 1, 2006
D36	First Revised	Reserved	1	July 25, 2008
D37	Second Revised	Reserved	1	January 1, 2012
D38	Third Revised	Energy Efficiency Rider	1	November 1, 2011
D39	Second Third Revised	Economic Development Cost		
		Recovery Rider	1 🖡	November <u>May</u> 1, 201 <u>2</u> 1

Filed pursuant to the Finding and Order in Case No. 121-8154504-EL-RDRATA dated February 14, 2012 of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

Issued February 23, 2012

Effective February May 124, 2012

Issued by ANDREW M. VESEYPHIL HERRINGTON, Acting President and Chief Executive Officer

P.U.C.O. No. 17 ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION SERVICE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COST RECOVERY RIDER

Ohio Law allows for the recovery of costs incurred as a result of economic development and job retention programs including foregone revenues. The rates and charges listed below are designed to recover the cost incurred in DP&L's service territory. The cost associated with these programs may change over time based on customer participation. The Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider shall be assessed on kilowatt-hours (kWh) of electricity per tariff class distributed under this Schedule at the rates stated below, effective on a bills-rendered basis in the Company's first billing unit for the month of MayNovember 20121.

Residential	\$0.000 <u>5379</u> 7454	/kWh
Residential Heating - Rate A	\$0.000 <u>5379</u> 7454	/kWh
Residential Heating - Rate B	\$0.000 <u>5379</u> 7454	/kWh
Secondary	\$0.000 <u>2595</u> 44 22	/kWh
Primary	\$0.000 <u>0931</u> 1605	/kWh
Primary-Substation	\$0.0000 <u>394</u> 613	/kWh
High Voltage	\$0.0000 <u>881<mark>618</mark></u>	/kWh
Private Outdoor Lighting		
9,500 Lumens High Pressure Sodium	\$0.0 <u>226278</u> 331734	/lamp/month
28,000 Lumens High Pressure Sodium	\$0.0 <u>556992</u> 816576	/lamp/month
7,000 Lumens Mercury	\$0.0 <u>435150</u> 637950	/lamp/month
21,000 Lumens Mercury	\$0. <u>0893508</u> 1309924	/lamp/month
2,500 Lumens Incandescent	\$0.0 <u>371328</u> 544384	/lamp/month
7,000 Lumens Fluorescent	\$0.0 <u>382932</u> 561396	/lamp/month
4,000 Lumens PT Mercury	\$0.0 <u>249486</u> 365758	/lamp/month
School	\$0.000 <u>1462</u> 2159	/kWh
Street Lighting	\$0.000 <u>3063</u> 4 <mark>390</mark>	/kWh

The Economic Development Rider Tariff shall be assessed until the Company's costs are fully recovered and may be revised twice a year subject to PUCO approval.

Filed pursuant to the Finding and Order in Case No. 121-8154503-EL-RDR dated-October 26, 20121 of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

Issued October 28, 20121

Effective November May 1, 20121

Issued by

PHIL HERRINGTON PAUL M. BARBAS, President and Chief Executive Officer

THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY CASE NO. 12-815-EL-RDR

Economic Development Rider (EDR)

Schedule A-2 Proposed Tariffs

P.U.C.O. No. 17 ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION SERVICE TARIFF INDEX

Sheet <u>No.</u>	Version	Description	Number of Pages	Tariff Sheet Effective Date
D1	First Revised	Table of Contents	1	June 30, 2009
D2	Fortieth Revised	Tariff Index	2	May 1, 2012

RULES AND REGULATIONS

D3	Original	Application and Contract for Service	3	January 1, 2001
D4	Second Revised	Credit Requirements of Customer	1	February 24, 2012
D5	Sixth Revised	Billing and Payment for Electric Service		February 24, 2012
D6	First Revised	Disconnection/Reconnection of Service	5	July 8, 2005
D7	Original	Meters and Metering Equipment-		
	-	Location and Installation	2	January 1, 2001
D8	Original	Service Facilities – Location and		
		Installation	3	January 1, 2001
D9	Original	Equipment on Customer's Premises	3	January 1, 2001
D10	Original	Use and Character of Service	5	January 1, 2001
D11	Original	Emergency Electrical Procedures	12	January 1, 2001
D12	First Revised	Extension of Electric Facilities	4	March 1, 2010
D13	First Revised	Extension of Electric Facilities to		
		House Trailer Parks	2	November 1, 2002
D14	First Revised	Definitions and Amendments	4	August 16, 2004
D15	Original	Additional Charges	1	January 1, 2001
D16	Original	Open Access Terms and Conditions	3	January 1, 2001
TARIF	<u>FFS</u>			
D17	Tenth Revised	Residential	2	December 1, 2011

D18	Tenth Revised	Residential Heating	3	December 1, 2011
D19	Ninth Revised	Secondary	4	December 1, 2011
D20	Ninth Revised	Primary	3	December 1, 2011

Filed pursuant to the Finding and Order in Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR dated, _____2012 of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

Issued _____, 2012

Effective May 1, 2012

P.U.C.O. No. 17 ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION SERVICE TARIFF INDEX

Sheet <u>No.</u>	Version	Description	Number of Pages	Tariff Sheet Effective Date
D21 D22 D23	Ninth Revised Eighth Revised Tenth Revised	Primary-Substation High Voltage Private Outdoor Lighting	3 3 3	December 1, 2011 December 1, 2011 December 1, 2011
D24 D25 D26 D35	Ninth Revised Ninth Revised Second Revised Second Revised	School Street Lighting Miscellaneous Service Charges Interconnection Tariff	2 4 1 8	December 1, 2011 December 1, 2011 February 24, 2012 October 7, 2008
<u>RIDEI</u>	<u>RS</u>			
D27 D28 D29 D30 D31 D32 D33 D34 D36 D37 D38	First Revised Twelfth Revised Fifth Revised Third Revised Fourth Revised Second Revised Third Revised First Revised First Revised Second Revised Third Revised	Reserved Universal Service Fund Rider Reserved Reserved Reserved Excise Tax Surcharge Rider Switching Fees Reserved Reserved Energy Efficiency Rider	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1	November 1, 2011 January 1, 2012 December 1, 2011 July 14, 2008 April 7, 2011 January 1, 2011 May 1, 2010 January 1, 2006 July 25, 2008 January 1, 2012 November 1, 2011
D39	Third Revised	Economic Development Cost		

Recovery Rider

Filed pursuant to the Finding and Order in Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR dated, _____2012 of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

Issued _____, 2012

Effective May 1, 2012

May 1, 2012

1

P.U.C.O. No. 17 ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION SERVICE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COST RECOVERY RIDER

Ohio Law allows for the recovery of costs incurred as a result of economic development and job retention programs including foregone revenues. The rates and charges listed below are designed to recover the cost incurred in DP&L's service territory. The cost associated with these programs may change over time based on customer participation. The Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider shall be assessed on kilowatt-hours (kWh) of electricity per tariff class distributed under this Schedule at the rates stated below, effective on a bills-rendered basis in the Company's first billing unit for the month of May 2012.

Residential	\$0.0005379	/kWh
Residential Heating - Rate A	\$0.0005379	/kWh
Residential Heating - Rate B	\$0.0005379	/kWh
Secondary	\$0.0002595	/kWh
Primary	\$0.0000931	/kWh
Primary-Substation	\$0.0000394	/kWh
High Voltage	\$0.0000881	/kWh
Private Outdoor Lighting		
9,500 Lumens High Pressure Sodium	\$0.0226278	/lamp/month
28,000 Lumens High Pressure Sodium	\$0.0556992	/lamp/month
7,000 Lumens Mercury	\$0.0435150	/lamp/month
21,000 Lumens Mercury	\$0.0893508	/lamp/month
2,500 Lumens Incandescent	\$0.0371328	/lamp/month
7,000 Lumens Fluorescent	\$0.0382932	/lamp/month
4,000 Lumens PT Mercury	\$0.0249486	/lamp/month
School	\$0.0001462	/kWĥ
Street Lighting	\$0.0003063	/kWh

The Economic Development Rider Tariff shall be assessed until the Company's costs are fully recovered and may be revised twice a year subject to PUCO approval.

Filed pursuant to the Finding and Order in Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR dated	, 2012 of the Public Utilities
Commission of Ohio.	

Issued____, 2012

Effective May 1, 2012

Issued by PHIL HERRINGTON, President and Chief Executive Officer

The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR EDR Summary

Data: Actual & Forecasted Type of Filing: Original Work Paper Reference No(s).: WPD-1

Schedule B-1 Page 1 of 1

			Actual		Fore	cast			
<u>Line</u>	Description	<u>Pr</u>	<u>ior Aug 11 -</u> <u>Feb 12</u>	N	<u>1ar 12 - Apr 12</u>	<u>Ma</u>	y 12 - Apr 13		<u>Total</u>
(A)	(B)		(C)		(D)		(E)		(F)
		Sc	hedule B-2, Col (K)		/orkpaper D-1, ol (F) & Col (H)	So	hedule B-3, Col (O)	Со	ol (C) + Col (D) + Col (E)
1	Delta Revenues:								
2	Customer 1	\$	2,042,432			\$	4,632,124	\$	6,674,556
3	Customer 2	\$	45,238			\$	37,020	\$	82,258
4	Administrative Costs	\$	151,541			\$	21,710	\$	173,251
5	Total EDR Costs	\$	2,239,211			\$	4,690,854	\$	6,930,065
6	Revenue	\$	(2,100,179)			\$	-	\$	(2,100,179)
7	(Over) / Under Recovery	\$	139,032	\$	(456,276)	\$	4,690,854	\$	4,373,610
8	Carrying Costs	\$	25,613	\$	(619)	\$	(10,293)	\$	14,701
9	Total (Over) / Under Recovery	\$	164,645	\$	(456 <i>,</i> 895)	\$	4,680,561	\$	4,388,311
10	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor		1.0072		1.0072		1.0072		1.0072
11	Total EDR including carrying costs	\$	165,830	\$	(460,185)	\$	4,714,261	\$	4,419,906

The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR Summary of Actual Costs Prior to August 2011 - February 2012

Data: Actual

Type of Filing: Original

Work Paper Reference No(s).: WPD-1, WPC-3

		Pri	or to Aug-																
Line	Description		<u>11</u>		Aug-11		<u>Sep-11</u>		<u>Oct-11</u>	<u>Nov-11</u>		Dec-11		<u>Jan-12</u>	<u>F</u>	eb-12		<u>Total</u>	Source
(A)	(B)		(C)		(D)		(E)		(F)	(G)		(H)		(1)		(J)		(K)	(L)
1	Delta Revenues:																		
2	Customer 1			\$	371,769	\$	345,440	\$	297,621	\$ 268,698	\$	268,389	\$	248,067	\$	242,448	\$	2,042,432	Accounting Records
3	Customer 2	\$	35,620	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ (826)	\$	2,767	\$	3,720	\$	3,957	\$	45,238	Accounting Records
4	Administrative Costs	\$	138,082	\$	1,952	\$	1,942	\$	1,933	\$ 1,923	\$	1,913	\$	1,903	\$	1,893	\$	151,541	Accounting Records
5	Total EDR Expenses	\$	173,702	\$	373,721	\$	347,382	\$	299,554	\$ 269,795	\$	273,069	\$	253,690	\$	248,298	\$	2,239,211	
6																			
7	Revenues									\$ (435,548)	\$	(501,181)	\$	(598,649)	\$	(564,801)	\$	(2,100,179)	Workpaper D-1, Col (E)
8																			
9	(Over) / Under Recovery	\$	173,702	\$	373,721	\$	347,382	\$	299,554	\$ (165,753)	\$	(228,112)	\$	(344,959)	\$	(316,503)	\$	139,032	Line 5 + Line 7
10																			
11	Carrying Costs	\$	424	\$	1,763	\$	3,532	\$	5,129	\$ 5,481	\$	4,546	\$	3,169	\$	1,569	\$	25,613	Workpaper D-1, Col (H)
12																			
13	(Over) / Under Recovery with Carrying Costs	Ş	174,126	Ş	375,484	Ş	350,914	Ş	304,683	\$ (160,272)	Ş	(223,566)	Ş	(341,790)	Ş	(314,934)	Ş	164,645	Line 9 + Line 11
14																		4 0070	
15	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor																	1.0072	Workpaper C-3, Line 21
16 17	Total (Over) / Under Peroveny with Carrying Costs																Ś	165,830	Line 13 * Line 15
17	Total (Over) / Under Recovery with Carrying Costs																Ş	105,830	LILE 12 LILE 12

Schedule B-2 Page 1 of 1

The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR Projected Monthly Cost Calculation May 2012 - April 2013

	of Filing: Original Paper Reference No(s).: WPD-1, WPC-3														Schedule B-3 Page 1 of 1
<u>Line</u> (A)	Description (B)	<u>Мау-12</u> (С)	<u>Jun-12</u> (D)	<u>Jul-12</u> (E)	<u>Aug-12</u> (F)	<u>Sep-12</u> (G)	<u>Осt-12</u> (Н)	<u>Nov-12</u> (I)	<u>Dec-12</u> (J)	<u>Jan-13</u> (К)	<u>Feb-13</u> (L)	<u>Mar-13</u> (M)	<u>Apr-13</u> (N)	<u>Total</u> (O)	Source (P)
1	Delta Revenues:														
2	Customer 1	\$ 378,830	\$ 378,830	\$ 378,830	\$ 378,830	\$ 378,830	\$ 378,830	\$ 378,830	\$ 378,830	\$ 400,371	\$ 400,371	\$ 400,371	\$ 400,371	\$ 4,632,124	Corporate Forecast
3	Customer 2	\$ 3,085	\$ 3,085	\$ 3,085	\$ 3,085	\$ 3,085	\$ 3,085	\$ 3,085	\$ 3,085	\$ 3,085	\$ 3,085	\$ 3,085	\$ 3,085	\$ 37,020	Corporate Forecast
4	Administrative Costs	\$ 1,863	\$ 1,854	\$ 1,844	\$ 1,834	\$ 1,824	\$ 1,814	\$ 1,804	\$ 1,794	\$ 1,784	\$ 1,775	\$ 1,765	\$ 1,755	\$ 21,710	Corporate Forecast
5	Total EDR Expenses	\$ 383,778	\$ 383,769	\$ 383,759	\$ 383,749	\$ 383,739	\$ 383,729	\$ 383,719	\$ 383,709	\$ 405,240	\$ 405,231	\$ 405,221	\$ 405,211	\$ 4,690,854	Sum (Line 2 thru Line 4)

(889) \$

\$ 382,395 \$ 382,468 \$ 382,539 \$ 382,612 \$ 382,684 \$ 382,757 \$ 382,830 \$ 382,904 \$ 404,572 \$ 404,752 \$ 404,933 \$ 405,115 \$ 4,680,561

(805) \$ (668) \$

(479) \$

(288) \$

\$ (1,383) \$ (1,301) \$ (1,220) \$ (1,137) \$ (1,055) \$ (972) \$

13 Total Projected EDR Expenses

11 Gross Revenue Conversion Factor

Projected Carrying Cost of (Over) /

Projected (Over) / Under Recovery with

Under Recovery

Carrying Costs

Data: Forecasted

6

7

8

9

10

12

Line 5 + Line 7

Workpaper D-1, Col (H)

Workpaper C-3, Line 21

\$ 4,714,261 Line 9 * Line 11

1.0072

(96) \$ (10,293)

The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR EDR Cost by Tariff Class

Data: Actual & Forecasted Type of Filing: Original Work Paper Reference No(s).: WPC-1

Line

(A)

1 2

3

6

7

9

Residential

4 Secondary

School

11 Projected EDR Expenses

5 Primary

Tariff Allocator Description Source <u>Total</u> (B) (C) (D) (E) Workpaper C-1 Schedule B-1 Col (D) Line 11, Col (F) **Total EDR including Carrying Costs** \$ 4,419,906 \$ 2,940,563 Line 1, Col (D) * Line 3, Col (C) 66.53% \$ 24.00% 1,060,777 Line 1, Col (D) * Line 4, Col (C) \$ 6.04% 266,962 Line 1, Col (D) * Line 5, Col (C) **Primary Substation** 0.54% \$ 23,867 Line 1, Col (D) * Line 6, Col (C) \$ High Voltage 1.91% 84,420 Line 1, Col (D) * Line 7, Col (C) \$ 8 Street Lighting 0.38% 16,797 Line 1, Col (D) * Line 8, Col (C) \$ 0.20% 8,840 Line 1, Col (D) * Line 9, Col (C) \$ 10 Private Outdoor Lighting 0.40% 17,680 Line 1, Col (D) * Line 10, Col (C)

\$

4,419,906

100.00%

Schedule C-1 Page 1 of 1

The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR Summary of Proposed Rates

Data: Actual & Forecasted Type of Filing: Original Work Paper Reference No(s).: WPC-2

Schedule D-1 Page 1 of 1

<u>Line</u> (A)	<u>Description</u> (B)	<u>Residential</u> (C)	<u>Secondary</u> (D)	<u>Primary</u> (E)	Primary Substation (F)	<u>High Voltage</u> (G)	<u>Street Lighting</u> (H)	<u>School</u> (I)	<u>Private</u> <u>Outdoor</u> Lighting (J)	<u>Total</u> (K)	<u>Source</u> (L)
1	Projected EDR Expenses	\$ 2,940,563	\$ 1,060,777	\$ 266,962	\$ 23,867	\$ 84,420	\$ 16,797	\$ 8,840	\$ 17,680	\$ 4,419,906	Schedule C-1, Col (D)
3	Projected Billing Determinants (kWh)	5,466,826,833	4,088,351,581	2,867,999,690	605,426,614	958,226,752	54,844,262	60,475,254	30,470,116	14,132,621,102	Workpaper C-2, Col (O)
5	Proposed EDR Rate \$/kWh	\$ 0.0005379	\$ 0.0002595	\$ 0.0000931	\$ 0.0000394	\$ 0.0000881	\$ 0.0003063	\$ 0.0001462	\$ 0.0005802	\$ 0.0003127	Line 1 / Line 3

The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR Economic Development Rider Typical Bill Comparison Residential

Data: Actual & Forecasted Type of Filing: Original Work Paper Reference: None

Schedule E-1 Page 1 of 10

		Level of				
	Level of Demand	Usage				Percent
Line	(kW)	(kWh)	Current Bill	Proposed Bill	Dollar Increase	Increase
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E = D - C)	(F = E/C)
1	0.0	50	\$11.33	\$11.32	(\$0.01)	-0.09%
2		100	\$11.33	\$11.32	(\$0.02)	
	0.0					-0.11%
3	0.0	200	\$32.54	\$32.50	(\$0.04)	-0.13%
4	0.0	400	\$60.83	\$60.75	(\$0.08)	-0.14%
5	0.0	500	\$74.97	\$74.87	(\$0.10)	-0.14%
6	0.0	750	\$110.32	\$110.16	(\$0.16)	-0.14%
7	0.0	1,000	\$142.02	\$141.81	(\$0.21)	-0.15%
8	0.0	1,200	\$167.36	\$167.11	(\$0.25)	-0.15%
9	0.0	1,400	\$192.71	\$192.42	(\$0.29)	-0.15%
10	0.0	1,500	\$205.42	\$205.11	(\$0.31)	-0.15%
11	0.0	2,000	\$268.78	\$268.37	(\$0.42)	-0.15%
12	0.0	2,500	\$331.95	\$331.43	(\$0.52)	-0.16%
13	0.0	3,000	\$395.09	\$394.47	(\$0.62)	-0.16%
14	0.0	4,000	\$521.41	\$520.58	(\$0.83)	-0.16%
15	0.0	5,000	\$647.71	\$646.67	(\$1.04)	-0.16%
16	0.0	7,500	\$963.48	\$961.92	(\$1.56)	-0.16%

The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR Economic Development Rider Typical Bill Comparison Secondary Unmetered

Data: Actual & Forecasted Type of Filing: Original Work Paper Reference: None

Schedule E-1 Page 2 of 10

		Level of				
	Level of Demand	Usage				Percent
Line	(kW)	(kWh)	Current Bill	Proposed Bill	Dollar Increase	Increase
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E = D - C)	(F = E/C)
	0		<u></u>	A40.47	(40.04)	0.070/
1	0	50	\$13.48	\$13.47	(\$0.01)	-0.07%
2	0	100	\$20.30	\$20.28	(\$0.02)	-0.09%
3	0	150	\$27.10	\$27.07	(\$0.03)	-0.10%
4	0	200	\$33.92	\$33.88	(\$0.04)	-0.11%
5	0	300	\$47.53	\$47.48	(\$0.05)	-0.12%
6	0	400	\$61.16	\$61.09	(\$0.07)	-0.12%
7	0	500	\$74.80	\$74.71	(\$0.09)	-0.12%
8	0	600	\$88.44	\$88.33	(\$0.11)	-0.12%
9	0	800	\$115.66	\$115.51	(\$0.15)	-0.13%
10	0	1,000	\$142.91	\$142.73	(\$0.18)	-0.13%
11	0	1,200	\$170.15	\$169.93	(\$0.22)	-0.13%
12	0	1,400	\$197.39	\$197.13	(\$0.26)	-0.13%
13	0	1,600	\$217.09	\$216.80	(\$0.29)	-0.13%
14	0	2,000	\$241.36	\$240.99	(\$0.37)	-0.15%
15	0	2,200	\$253.38	\$252.98	(\$0.40)	-0.16%
16	0	2,400	\$265.43	\$264.99	(\$0.44)	-0.17%

The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR Economic Development Rider Typical Bill Comparison Secondary Single Phase

Data: Actual & Forecasted Type of Filing: Original Work Paper Reference: None

Schedule E-1 Page 3 of 10

		Level of				
	Level of Demand	Usage				Percent
Line	(kW)	(kWh)	Current Bill	Proposed Bill	Dollar Increase	Increase
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E = D - C)	(F = E/C)
1	5	750	\$110.85	\$110.71	(\$0.14)	-0.12%
2	5	1,500	\$213.03	\$212.76	(\$0.27)	-0.13%
3	10	1,500	\$291.57	\$291.30	(\$0.27)	-0.09%
4	25	5,000	\$738.05	\$737.14	(\$0.91)	-0.12%
5	25	7,500	\$888.48	\$887.11	(\$1.37)	-0.15%
6	25	10,000	\$1,038.93	\$1,037.10	(\$1.83)	-0.18%
7	50	15,000	\$1,732.51	\$1,729.77	(\$2.74)	-0.16%
8	50	25,000	\$2,328.67	\$2,324.10	(\$4.57)	-0.20%
9	200	50,000	\$6,175.36	\$6,166.23	(\$9.14)	-0.15%
10	200	100,000	\$9,156.06	\$9,137.79	(\$18.27)	-0.20%
11	300	125,000	\$12,217.30	\$12,194.46	(\$22.84)	-0.19%
12	500	200,000	\$19,419.12	\$19,382.58	(\$36.54)	-0.19%
13	1,000	300,000	\$32,686.99	\$32,632.18	(\$54.81)	-0.17%
14	1,000	500,000	\$43,513.81	\$43,422.46	(\$91.35)	-0.21%
15	2,500	750,000	\$80,610.70	\$80,473.68	(\$137.02)	-0.17%
16	2,500	1,000,000	\$93,391.47	\$93,208.77	(\$182.70)	-0.20%

The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR Economic Development Rider Typical Bill Comparison Secondary Three Phase

Data: Actual & Forecasted Type of Filing: Original Work Paper Reference: None

Schedule E-1 Page 4 of 10

		Level of				
	Level of Demand	Usage				Percent
Line	(kW)	(kWh)	Current Bill	Proposed Bill	Dollar Increase	Increase
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E = D - C)	(F = E/C)
1	5	500	\$84.13	\$84.04	(\$0.09)	-0.11%
_			•			
2	5	1,500	\$220.37	\$220.10	(\$0.27)	-0.12%
3	10	1,500	\$298.91	\$298.64	(\$0.27)	-0.09%
4	25	5,000	\$745.39	\$744.48	(\$0.91)	-0.12%
5	25	7,500	\$895.82	\$894.45	(\$1.37)	-0.15%
6	25	10,000	\$1,046.27	\$1,044.44	(\$1.83)	-0.17%
7	50	25,000	\$2,336.01	\$2,331.44	(\$4.57)	-0.20%
8	200	50,000	\$6,182.70	\$6,173.57	(\$9.14)	-0.15%
9	200	125,000	\$10,653.77	\$10,630.93	(\$22.84)	-0.21%
10	500	200,000	\$19,426.46	\$19,389.92	(\$36.54)	-0.19%
11	1,000	300,000	\$32,694.33	\$32,639.52	(\$54.81)	-0.17%
12	1,000	500,000	\$43,521.15	\$43,429.80	(\$91.35)	-0.21%
13	2,500	750,000	\$80,618.04	\$80,481.02	(\$137.02)	-0.17%
14	2,500	1,000,000	\$93,398.81	\$93,216.11	(\$182.70)	-0.20%
15	5,000	1,500,000	\$157,484.37	\$157,210.32	(\$274.05)	-0.17%
16	5,000	2,000,000	\$182,297.67	\$181,932.27	(\$365.40)	-0.20%

The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR Economic Development Rider Typical Bill Comparison Primary Service

Data: Actual & Forecasted Type of Filing: Original Work Paper Reference: None

Schedule E-1 Page 5 of 10

		Level of				
	Level of Demand	Usage				Percent
Line	(kW)	(kWh)	Current Bill	Proposed Bill	Dollar Increase	Increase
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E = D - C)	(F = E/C)
	_				(4)	
1	5	1,000	\$229.18	\$229.11	(\$0.07)	-0.03%
2	5	2,500	\$312.40	\$312.23	(\$0.17)	-0.05%
3	10	5,000	\$528.83	\$528.49	(\$0.34)	-0.06%
4	25	7,500	\$902.48	\$901.97	(\$0.51)	-0.06%
5	25	10,000	\$1,040.39	\$1,039.72	(\$0.67)	-0.06%
6	50	20,000	\$1,982.03	\$1,980.68	(\$1.35)	-0.07%
7	50	30,000	\$2,528.06	\$2,526.04	(\$2.02)	-0.08%
8	200	50,000	\$5,977.20	\$5,973.83	(\$3.37)	-0.06%
9	200	75,000	\$7,342.21	\$7,337.16	(\$5.06)	-0.07%
10	200	100,000	\$8,707.20	\$8,700.46	(\$6.74)	-0.08%
11	500	250,000	\$21,611.54	\$21,594.69	(\$16.85)	-0.08%
12	1,000	500,000	\$43,118.70	\$43,085.00	(\$33.70)	-0.08%
13	2,500	1,000,000	\$93,237.45	\$93,170.05	(\$67.40)	-0.07%
14	5,000	2,500,000	\$207,662.23	\$207,493.73	(\$168.50)	-0.08%
15	10,000	5,000,000	\$411,465.39	\$411,128.39	(\$337.00)	-0.08%
16	25,000	7,500,000	\$772,410.86	\$771,905.36	(\$505.50)	-0.07%
17	25,000	10,000,000	\$897,642.86	\$896,968.86	(\$674.00)	-0.08%
18	50,000	15,000,000	\$1,540,962.63	\$1,539,951.63	(\$1,011.00)	-0.07%

The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR Economic Development Rider Typical Bill Comparison Primary Substation

Data: Actual & Forecasted Type of Filing: Original Work Paper Reference: None

Schedule E-1 Page 6 of 10

		Level of				
	Level of Demand	Usage				Percent
		-				
Line	(kW)	(kWh)	Current Bill	Proposed Bill	Dollar Increase	Increase
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E = D - C)	(F = E/C)
1	3,000	1,000,000	\$96,720.29	\$96,698.39	(\$21.90)	-0.02%
2	5,000	2,000,000	\$174,419.73	\$174,375.93	(\$43.80)	-0.03%
3	5,000	3,000,000	\$221,945.63	\$221,879.93	(\$65.70)	-0.03%
4	10,000	4,000,000	\$344,905.39	\$344,817.79	(\$87.60)	-0.03%
5	10,000	5,000,000	\$392,431.29	\$392,321.79	(\$109.50)	-0.03%
6	15,000	6,000,000	\$515,391.02	\$515,259.62	(\$131.40)	-0.03%
7	15,000	7,000,000	\$562,916.92	\$562,763.62	(\$153.30)	-0.03%
8	15,000	8,000,000	\$610,442.82	\$610,267.62	(\$175.20)	-0.03%
9	25,000	9,000,000	\$808,836.46	\$808,639.36	(\$197.10)	-0.02%
10	25,000	10,000,000	\$856,362.36	\$856,143.36	(\$219.00)	-0.03%
11	30,000	12,500,000	\$1,050,610.97	\$1,050,337.22	(\$273.75)	-0.03%
12	30,000	15,000,000	\$1,169,425.72	\$1,169,097.22	(\$328.50)	-0.03%
13	50,000	17,500,000	\$1,589,975.88	\$1,589,592.63	(\$383.25)	-0.02%
14	50,000	20,000,000	\$1,708,790.63	\$1,708,352.63	(\$438.00)	-0.03%
15	50,000	25,000,000	\$1,946,420.13	\$1,945,872.63	(\$547.50)	-0.03%

The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR Economic Development Rider Typical Bill Comparison High Voltage Service

Data: Actual & Forecasted Type of Filing: Original Work Paper Reference: None

Schedule E-1 Page 7 of 10

		Level of				
	Level of Demand	Usage				Percent
Line	(kW)	(kWh)	Current Bill	Proposed Bill	Dollar Increase	Increase
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E = D - C)	(F = E/C)
4	1 000	500.000	¢40,400,00	¢40,404,07	642.45	0.020/
1	1,000	500,000	\$40,408.82	\$40,421.97	\$13.15	0.03%
2	2,000	1,000,000	\$79,785.57	\$79,811.87	\$26.30	0.03%
3	3,000	1,500,000	\$117,661.33	\$117,700.78	\$39.45	0.03%
4	3,500	2,000,000	\$148,403.30	\$148,455.90	\$52.60	0.04%
5	5,000	2,500,000	\$193,412.83	\$193,478.58	\$65.75	0.03%
6	7,500	3,000,000	\$252,689.91	\$252,768.81	\$78.90	0.03%
7	7,500	4,000,000	\$299,906.31	\$300,011.51	\$105.20	0.04%
8	10,000	5,000,000	\$382,791.59	\$382,923.09	\$131.50	0.03%
9	10,000	6,000,000	\$430,007.99	\$430,165.79	\$157.80	0.04%
10	12,500	7,000,000	\$512,893.27	\$513,077.37	\$184.10	0.04%
11	12,500	8,000,000	\$560,109.67	\$560,320.07	\$210.40	0.04%
12	15,000	9,000,000	\$642,994.92	\$643,231.62	\$236.70	0.04%
13	20,000	10,000,000	\$761,549.08	\$761,812.08	\$263.00	0.03%
14	40,000	20,000,000	\$1,519,064.11	\$1,519,590.11	\$526.00	0.03%
15	60,000	30,000,000	\$2,276,579.13	\$2,277,368.13	\$789.00	0.03%

The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR Economic Development Rider Typical Bill Comparison Private Outdoor Lighting

Data: Actual & Forecasted Type of Filing: Original Work Paper Reference: None

Schedule E-1 Page 8 of 10

	Level of Demand	Level of Usage				Percent
Line	(kW)	(kWh)	Current Bill	Proposed Bill	Dollar Increase	Increase
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E = D - C)	(F = E/C)
1	7000					
2	Mercury	75	\$12.37	\$10.85	(\$1.52)	-12.30%
3						
4	21000					
5	Mercury	154	\$22.32	\$15.91	(\$6.41)	-28.73%
6						
7	2500					
8	Incandescent	64	\$11.89	\$10.78	(\$1.11)	-9.32%
9						
10	7000					
11	Fluorescent	66	\$13.41	\$12.23	(\$1.18)	-8.78%
12						
13	4000			* • • • • •		
14	Mercury	43	\$13.80	\$13.30	(\$0.50)	-3.62%
15	0500					
16	9500	20	¢40.44	640.00		2.05%
17	High Pressure Sodium	39	\$10.41	\$10.00	(\$0.41)	-3.95%
18	28000					
19	28000	00	¢12.02	<i>644</i> 44	(62.40)	47.000/
20	High Pressure Sodium	96	\$13.93	\$11.44	(\$2.49)	-17.89%

Note: Current and proposed bills included monthly charge for 1 fixture, 1 pole, and 1 span

The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR Economic Development Rider Typical Bill Comparison School Rate

Data: Actual & Forecasted Type of Filing: Original Work Paper Reference: None

Schedule E-1 Page 9 of 10

		Level of				
	Level of Demand	Usage				Percent
Line	(kW)	(kWh)	Current Bill	Proposed Bill	Dollar Increase	Increase
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E = D - C)	(F = E/C)
				4	(4)	
1	0.0	1,000	\$160.98	\$160.91	(\$0.07)	-0.04%
2	0.0	2,500	\$343.98	\$343.81	(\$0.17)	-0.05%
3	0.0	5,000	\$648.15	\$647.80	(\$0.35)	-0.05%
4	0.0	10,000	\$1,256.55	\$1,255.85	(\$0.70)	-0.06%
5	0.0	15,000	\$1,864.92	\$1,863.87	(\$1.05)	-0.06%
6	0.0	25,000	\$3,076.09	\$3,074.35	(\$1.74)	-0.06%
7	0.0	50,000	\$6,104.00	\$6,100.52	(\$3.48)	-0.06%
8	0.0	75,000	\$9,131.90	\$9,126.67	(\$5.23)	-0.06%
9	0.0	100,000	\$12,159.80	\$12,152.83	(\$6.97)	-0.06%
10	0.0	150,000	\$18,215.63	\$18,205.18	(\$10.46)	-0.06%
11	0.0	200,000	\$24,271.43	\$24,257.49	(\$13.94)	-0.06%
12	0.0	250,000	\$30,327.26	\$30,309.84	(\$17.42)	-0.06%
13	0.0	300,000	\$36,383.06	\$36,362.15	(\$20.91)	-0.06%
14	0.0	350,000	\$42,438.89	\$42,414.50	(\$24.39)	-0.06%
15	0.0	400,000	\$48,494.69	\$48,466.81	(\$27.88)	-0.06%
16	0.0	450,000	\$54,550.52	\$54,519.16	(\$31.36)	-0.06%
17	0.0	500,000	\$60,606.32	\$60,571.47	(\$34.85)	-0.06%

The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR Economic Development Rider Typical Bill Comparison Street Lighting

Data: Actual & Forecasted Type of Filing: Original Work Paper Reference: None

Schedule E-1 Page 10 of 10

		Level of				
	Level of Demand	Usage				Percent
Line	(kW)	(kWh)	Current Bill	Proposed Bill	Dollar Increase	Increase
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E = D - C)	(F = E/C)
1	0	50	\$5.66	\$5.65	(\$0.01)	-0.12%
2	0	100	\$9.31	\$9.30	(\$0.01)	-0.14%
3	0	200	\$16.60	\$16.57	(\$0.03)	-0.16%
4	0	400	\$31.22	\$31.17	(\$0.05)	-0.17%
5	0	500	\$38.54	\$38.47	(\$0.07)	-0.17%
6	0	750	\$56.79	\$56.69	(\$0.10)	-0.18%
7	0	1,000	\$75.04	\$74.91	(\$0.13)	-0.18%
8	0	1,200	\$89.65	\$89.49	(\$0.16)	-0.18%
9	0	1,400	\$104.26	\$104.07	(\$0.19)	-0.18%
10	0	1,600	\$118.86	\$118.65	(\$0.21)	-0.18%
11	0	2,000	\$148.10	\$147.83	(\$0.27)	-0.18%
12	0	2,500	\$184.40	\$184.07	(\$0.33)	-0.18%
13	0	3,000	\$220.67	\$220.27	(\$0.40)	-0.18%
14	0	4,000	\$293.27	\$292.74	(\$0.53)	-0.18%
15	0	5,000	\$365.85	\$365.19	(\$0.66)	-0.18%

The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR Allocation Factors

Data	: Actual			
Туре	e of Filing: Original		W	/orkpaper C-1
Wor	k Paper Reference No(s).: I	None		Page 1 of 1
		January	y 2011 - December 2	011
<u>Line</u>	Tariff Class		<u>Total Revenue</u>	Allocation
(A)	(B)		(C)	(D)
			Datamart	
1	Residential	\$	703,916,668	66.53%
2	Secondary	\$	253,972,210	24.00%
3	Primary	\$	63,873,596	6.04%
4	Primary Substation	\$	5,759,242	0.54%
5	High Voltage	\$	20,239,169	1.91%
6	Street Lighting	\$	3,949,323	0.38%
7	School	\$	2,157,475	0.20%
8	Private Outdoor Lighting	<u>\$</u>	4,252,303	<u>0.40%</u>
9	Total	\$	1,058,119,986	100.00%

The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR Billing Determinants (kWh) January - December⁽¹⁾

							Janua	ry - December							
Туре	Actual of Filing: Original Paper Reference No(s).: None	2													Workpaper C-2 Page 1 of 1
Line	Tariff Class	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total	Source
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(1)	(L)	(К)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)
1	Residential	647,620,442	558,733,707	472,255,692	393,533,600	344,464,951	420,882,609	501,211,634	592,436,990	435,775,533	312,794,677	350,495,956	436,621,042	5,466,826,833	Internal Records
2	Secondary	362,893,136	339,245,263	319,809,916	296,147,927	311,106,700	354,438,033	378,877,590	425,265,754	364,174,838	314,565,491	309,171,472	312,655,461	4,088,351,581	Internal Records
3	Primary	230,312,356	224,188,106	223,564,678	250,053,908	223,723,913	242,884,924	243,896,204	271,472,118	259,933,253	242,163,911	235,697,096	220,109,223	2,867,999,690	Internal Records
4	Primary Substation	47,427,262	45,384,582	43,792,037	48,628,118	47,936,436	54,266,640	54,117,887	57,772,818	51,382,199	52,470,575	53,084,785	49,163,275	605,426,614	Internal Records
5	High Voltage	76,433,210	77,218,106	72,676,568	76,135,605	71,837,836	81,505,610	84,068,635	96,542,319	91,372,956	81,493,821	76,198,040	72,744,046	958,226,752	Internal Records
6	Street Lighting	4,568,557	4,568,557	4,568,557	4,568,557	4,571,410	4,571,232	4,571,232	4,571,232	4,571,232	4,571,232	4,571,232	4,571,232	54,844,262	Internal Records
7	School	6,025,969	5,676,240	5,629,401	4,922,379	4,914,806	5,160,660	4,186,341	4,945,380	5,401,770	4,661,904	4,463,782	4,486,622	60,475,254	Internal Records
8	Private Outdoor Lighting	2,568,724	2,577,060	2,553,935	2,552,624	2,546,465	2,545,339	2,541,435	2,521,848	2,518,961	2,498,595	2,532,653	2,512,477	30,470,116	Internal Records
9	Total	1,377,849,656	1,257,591,621	1,144,850,784	1,076,542,718	1,011,102,517	1,166,255,047	1,273,470,958	1,455,528,459	1,215,130,742	1,015,220,206	1,036,215,016	1,102,863,378	14,132,621,102	Sum (Line 1 thru Line 8)

 $^{\left(1\right) }$ Actual kWh from the previous year are used to estimate projected billing determinants.

The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR Computation of Gross Revenue Conversion Factor

Data: Actual Type of Filing: Original Work Paper Reference No(s).: None

Workpaper C-3 Page 1 of 1

Line	Description		&M / Debt ss Revenues		Source
(A)	(B)		(C)		(D)
1	2011 Universal Service Fund Revenues	\$	38,615,901	Accounting Records	
2 3	2011 Uncollectible Expense	\$	43,218,165	Accounting Records	
4 5 6	Adjusted 2011 Uncollectible Expense	\$	4,602,264	Line 3 - Line 1	
7 8	2011 Retail Revenues	\$ 1,	.043,322,876	Accounting Records	
9 10	Adjusted 2011 Retail Revenues	\$1,	.004,706,975	Line 7 - Line 1	
11 12	2011 Uncollectible Accounts Percent		0.4581%	Line 5 / Line 9	
13 14	Operating Revenues		100.0000%		
15 16	Operating Revenue After Uncollectible Expense		99.5419%	Line 13 - Line 11	
17 18	Less: Commercial Activities Tax (CAT)		0.2600%	Current Statutory Ra	te
19 20	Percentage of Income After CAT		99.2819%	Line 15 - Line 17	
21	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor		1.0072	Line 13 / Line 19	

The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR Calculation of Carrying Costs for Economic Development Prior to Aug-11 - Apr-13

Data: Actual & Forecasted Type of Filing: Original Work Paper Reference No(s).: None

					MONTHLY ACT	IVITY				CARRYING COST CALCULA	ION
		First of		Amount		End of Month	Carrying	End of	End of	Less:	Total
		Month	New	Collected	NET	before	Cost @	Month	Month	One-half Monthly	Applicable to
Line	Period	Balance	Charges	<u>(CR)</u>	AMOUNT	Carrying Cost	5.86%	Balance	Balance	Amount	Carrying Cost
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(1)	(L)	(К)	(L)
					<u>(F) = (D) + (E)</u>	<u>(G) = (C) + (F)</u>	<u>(H) = (L) * (5.86% / 12)</u>	<u>(I) = (G) + (H)</u>	<u>(J) = (G)</u>	<u>(K) = - (F) * .5</u>	(L) = (J) + (K)
1	Prior to Aug-11	-	173,702	-	173,702	173,702	424	174,126	173,	702 (86,851)	86,851
2	Aug-11	174,126	373,721	-	373,721	547,847	1,763	549,610	547,	347 (186,861)	360,987
3	Sep-11	549,610	347,382	-	347,382	896,992	3,532	900,524	896,	992 (173,691)	723,301
4	Oct-11	900,524	299,554	-	299,554	1,200,078	5,129	1,205,207	1,200,0)78 (149,777)	1,050,301
5	Nov-11	1,205,207	269,795	(435,548)	(165,753)	1,039,455	5,481	1,044,935	1,039,4	455 82,876	1,122,331
6	Dec-11	1,044,935	273,069	(501,181)	(228,112)	816,824	4,546	821,370	816,	114,056	930,879
7	Jan-12	821,370	253,690	(598,649)	(344,959)	476,410	3,169	479,579	476,4	172,480	648,890
8	Feb-12	479,579	248,298	(564,801)	(316,503)	163,076	1,569	164,645	163,	158,252	321,327
9	Mar-12	164,645	\$296,912	(525,045)	(228,133)	(63,488)	247	(63,241)	(63,4	114,067	50,578
10	Apr-12	(63,241)	\$296,902	(525,045)	(228,143)	(291,384)	(866)	(292,250)	(291,	384) 114,072	(177,313)
11	May-12	(292,250)	383,778	(365,697)	18,081	(274,169)	(1,383)	(275,553)	(274,	(9,040)	(283,210)
12	Jun-12	(275,553)	383,769	(365,697)	18,072	(257,481)	(1,301)	(258,782)	(257,4	181) (9,036)	(266,517)
13	Jul-12	(258,782)	383,759	(365,697)	18,062	(240,721)	(1,220)	(241,940)	(240,	721) (9,031)	(249,752)
14	Aug-12	(241,940)	383,749	(365,697)	18,052	(223,889)	(1,137)	(225,026)	(223,	389) (9,026)	(232,915)
15	Sep-12	(225,026)	383,739	(365,697)	18,042	(206,984)	(1,055)	(208,039)	(206,	984) (9,021)	(216,005)
16	Oct-12	(208,039)	383,729	(365,697)	18,032	(190,008)	(972)	(190,980)	(190,	008) (9,016)	(199,023)
17	Nov-12	(190,980)	383,719	(365,697)	18,022	(172,958)	(889)	(173,846)	(172,	958) (9,011)	(181,969)
18	Dec-12	(173,846)	383,709	(365,697)	18,012	(155,835)	(805)	(156,640)	(155,	335) (9,006)	(164,841)
19	Jan-13	(156,640)	405,240	(365,697)	39,543	(117,097)	(668)	(117,766)	(117,)97) (19,771)	(136,868)
20	Feb-13	(117,766)	405,240	(365,697)	39,543	(78,223)	(479)	(78,701)	(78,2	223) (19,771)	(97,994)
21	Mar-13	(78,701)	405,240	(365,697)	39,543	(39,159)	(288)	(39,447)	(39,	(19,771)	(58,930)
22	Apr-13	(39,447)	405,240	(365,697)	39,543	96	(96)	(0)		96 (19,771)	(19,675)

Workpaper D-1

The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 12-815-EL-RDR Calculation of Private Outdoor Lighting Charges

Data:	: Actual			
Гуре	of Filing: Original			Workpaper E-1
Work	Paper Reference No(s).: None			Page 1 of 1
				POL
		<u>kWh /</u>		<u>Charge/Fixture/</u>
<u>Line</u>	Description	<u>Fixture</u>	POL Rate	Month
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
			Schedule D-1,	
			Line 5, Col (J)	Col (C) * Col (D)
1	Private Outdoor Lighting			
2	9500 Lumens High Pressure Sodium	39	0.0005802	0.0226278
3	28000 Lumens High Pressure Sodium	96	0.0005802	0.0556992
4	7000 Lumens Mercury	75	0.0005802	0.0435150
5	21000 Lumens Mercury	154	0.0005802	0.0893508
6	2500 Lumens Incandescent	64	0.0005802	0.0371328
7	7000 Lumens Fluorescent	66	0.0005802	0.0382932
8	4000 Lumens PT Mercury	43	0.0005802	0.0249486

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/20/2012 4:30:02 PM

in

Case No(s). 12-0815-EL-RDR

Summary: Application of The Dayton Power & Light Company to update its Economic Development Rider electronically filed by Emily W Rabb on behalf of The Dayton Power and Light Company