

FILE

SNR DENTON 

SNR Denton US LLP  
1301 K Street, NW  
Suite 600, East Tower  
Washington, DC 20005-3364 USA

Emma F. Hand  
Partner  
emma.hand@srdenton.com  
D +1 202 408 7094  
T +1 202 408 6400  
F +1 202 408 6399  
srdenton.com

15

February 8, 2012

**BY FACSIMILE & FEDERAL EXPRESS**

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio  
Docketing Division  
180 East Broad Street  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793  
Fax: (614).466.0313

PUCO

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV  
2012 FEB -9 PM 12:13

Re: In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan, Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO; and consolidated cases.


Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed please find an original and twenty (20) copies of the Memorandum Contra of Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation to AEP Ohio's and OMA Energy Group's Motions to Strike. This document was originally filed by fax on February 8, 2012.


Two additional copies of each document are enclosed to be date-stamped and returned to me in the enclosed, self-addressed Federal Express envelope.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. If you have any questions please contact me at the telephone number above.

Sincerely,



Emma F. Hand  
Partner

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.  
Technician  Date Processed FEB 09 2012

FILE

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

2012 FEB -9 PM 12: 13

PUCO

In the Matter of the Application of  
Ohio Power Company and Columbus  
Southern Power Company for  
Authority to Merge and Related  
Approvals.

Case No. 10-2376-EL-UNC

In the Matter of the Application of  
Columbus Southern Power Company  
and Ohio Power Company for  
Authority to Establish a Standard  
Service Offer Pursuant to Section  
4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of  
an Electric Security Plan.

Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO  
Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO

In the Matter of the Application of  
Columbus Southern Power Company  
and Ohio Power Company for  
Approval of Certain Accounting  
Authority.

Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM  
Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM

In the Matter of the Application of  
Columbus Southern Power Company  
to Amend its Emergency Curtailment  
Service Riders.

Case No. 10-343-EL-ATA

In the Matter of the Application of  
Ohio Power Company to Amend its  
Emergency Curtailment Service  
Riders.

Case No. 10-344-EL-ATA

In the Matter of the Commission  
Review of the Capacity Charges of  
Ohio Power Company and Columbus  
Southern Power Company.

Case No. 10-2929-EL-UNC

In the Matter of the Application of  
Columbus Southern Power Company  
for Approval of a Mechanism to  
Recover Deferred Fuel Costs Ordered  
Under Section 4928.144, Ohio Revised  
Code.

Case No. 11-4920-EL-RDR

**In the Matter of the Application of  
Ohio Power Company for Approval of  
a Mechanism to Recover Deferred  
Fuel Costs Ordered Under Section  
4928.144, Ohio Revised Code.**

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

**Case No. 11-4921-EL-RDR**

**(Consolidated)**

---

**MEMORANDUM CONTRA OF ORMET PRIMARY ALUMINUM CORPORATION  
TO AEP OHIO'S AND OMA ENERGY GROUP'S MOTIONS TO STRIKE**

---

The Commission should deny AEP Ohio's and OMA Energy Group's (OMAEG) Motions to Strike Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation's (Ormet's) Reply to their Memoranda Contra Ormet's Application for Rehearing. When the section of the Ohio Administrative Code ("OAC") providing for applications for rehearing is read in connection with the OAC rule on motions from the very same chapter, as required under Ohio law, the rules plainly intend for any party to have the right to "file a reply memorandum within seven days after the service of a memorandum contra." Ohio Admin. Code §§ 4901-1-12(B)(2) & 35. Ormet therefore filed an appropriate Reply responding to two Memoranda Contra, and its Reply should not be stricken. Even if the Commission were to conclude that Ormet lacks the automatic right to file a reply in the context of an application for rehearing, the Commission in its discretion can decide it wishes to consider Ormet's Reply upon its own motion or for good cause shown. *Id.* at 4901-1-38(B) ("The commission may, upon its own motion or for good cause shown, waive any requirement, standard, or rule set forth in this chapter or prescribe different practices or procedures to be followed in a case."). For either reason, the Commission should deny AEP Ohio's and OMAEG's motions to strike.

The Commission denied a nearly identical motion filed by AEP Ohio, also based upon Rule 4901-1-35, just a few years ago.<sup>1</sup> There, Ormet filed an application for rehearing, AEP Ohio opposed, Ormet replied, and AEP Ohio moved to strike the reply. Granting Ormet's application for rehearing, the Commission denied AEP Ohio's motion to strike.<sup>2</sup> The Commission should do so again today because the Ohio Administrative Code and statutes allow Ormet to make such filing.

Any party, including Ormet, has the right under the Ohio Administrative Code to reply to a memorandum contra to its application for rehearing. Contrary to the unsupported argument asserted in AEP Ohio's and OMAEG's motions to strike, the rules they cite are silent as to whether a party may file a reply in the context of an application for rehearing. *See* Ohio Rev. Code § 4903.10; Ohio Admin. Code § 4901-1-35. Under Ohio law, where a statute or rule is "silent or ambiguous with respect to [a] specific issue, the question for the court is whether the agency's answer is based on a permissible construction of the statute." *State ex rel. Turner v. Eberlin*, 884 N.E.2d 39, 42 (Ohio 2008) (quoting *Chevron U.S.A., Inc. v. Nat. Res. Def. Council, Inc.*, 467 U.S. 837, 843 (1984)). The same rules of statutory construction apply equally to the interpretation of rules, for example those in the Administrative Code, adopted under the Ohio Revised Code. *See* Ohio Rev. Code § 1.41 (providing that the rules of statutory construction apply not only to statutes but also to all "rules adopted under them."). Although *Turner* arose in the context of an appeal, it clearly requires the agency to apply the rules of statutory construction

---

<sup>1</sup> Columbus Southern Power Company's and Ohio Power Company's Motion to Strike Ormet's Reply Memorandum, Case Nos. 07-11-32-EL-UNC, 07-1191-EL-UNC, 07-1278-EL-UNC, 07-1156-EL-UNC, filed Mar. 19, 2008.

<sup>2</sup> *In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of an Additional Generation Service Rate Increase Pursuant to Their Post-Market Development Period Rate Stabilization Plan*, Entry on Rehearing, Case Nos. 07-11-32-EL-UNC, 07-1191-EL-UNC, 07-1278-EL-UNC, 07-1156-EL-UNC, issued Mar. 26, 2008.

where the statute or rule is “silent or ambiguous” on the relevant issue. 884 N.E.2d at 42 (emphasis added).

Here, where the rules do not address the issue, the rules of construction require that the “statutes [or rules] relating to the same subject matter should be construed together . . . [and] harmonized so as to give full application to the statutes [or rules].” *Jones v. Multi-Color Corp.*, 670 N.E.2d 1051, 1056 (Ohio App. Div. 1 Dist. 1995) (quoting *State ex rel Thurn v. Cuyahoga Bd. of Elections*, 649 N.E.2d 1205, 1209 (Ohio 1995)); see also Ohio Rev. Code § 1.41. The rules of construction are applied to carry out the drafter of the procedural rule’s intent. *Id.* at 1057. Applying these harmonization principles to this case necessarily results in the conclusion that under the Commission’s rules, a reply brief is permissible in support of an application for rehearing. Movants point to nothing in the Revised or Administrative Codes to contradict this.

In this case, where section 4901-1-35 is entirely silent on the issue, its silence creates ambiguity. That rule calls the opposition to an application for rehearing a “memorandum contra.” Identical language used in section 4901-1-12 of the same chapter of the Ohio Administrative Code provides the unequivocal opportunity to reply to every “memorandum contra.” If the Commission intended to preclude the filing of a reply in the context of an application for rehearing, it could have easily done so in section 4901-1-35 by adding seven words: “the applicant shall not file a reply.” Alternatively, the Commission could have used a phrase other than “memorandum contra” in 4901-1-35 or redefined that phrase or created an exception. Neither the Commission nor the Legislature took any of these steps to bar the filing of a reply in support of an application for rehearing.

Because the filing opposing an application for rehearing is a “memorandum contra” under section 4901-1-35(E), just as in section 4901-1-12, these sections of the chapter pertain “to the same subject matter and should be construed together.” *Jones*, 670 N.E.2d at 1056. In

construing the sections, the Commission must ensure that each section is “harmonized so as to give full application to the statutes.” *Id.* Obeying this rule leads to only one permissible reading of the rules cited by the movants: a party must be allowed to reply to a memorandum contra their application for rehearing. Reading section 4901-1-35 on applications for rehearing to preclude such a filing merely because of its silence on the issue would eviscerate the language of the sister rule in the same chapter requiring that “[a]ny party may file a reply memorandum . . . after the service of a memorandum contra.” Ohio Admin Code § 4901-1-12(B)(2). Since the rules must be read together and in harmony, this cannot be the proper interpretation. Conversely, reading the rule on applications for rehearing *not to preclude* filing a reply where it is silent on that issue effectuates the language of the sister rule thereby harmonizing the sections, as the rules of statutory construction require. And allowing Ormet’s Reply is consistent with the Commission’s recent practice in its consideration of an application for rehearing.<sup>3</sup> For these reasons and because the movants cite no law to the contrary, the Commission should deny each party’s motion to strike.

**In the alternative**, even if the Commission determines that its rules do not permit filing a reply in support of an application for rehearing, the Commission should exercise its broad discretion to consider Ormet’s Reply. “The commission may, upon its own motion or for good cause shown, waive any requirement, standard, or rule set forth in this chapter or prescribe different practices or procedures to be followed in a case.” *Id.* at 4901-1-38; *see In re Application of Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc.*, No. 08-1344, 2010 WL 2021057, \*3 (Ohio P.U.C. May 13, 2010) (exercising liberal power under section 4901-1-38 to waive certain provisions of the Commission’s Order because the request was “reasonable and in the public interest”).

---

<sup>3</sup> *See supra* at 2 & nn. 1-2 .


Finally, notwithstanding the Commission's authority to accept Ormet's Reply on its own motion or for good cause shown, if the Commission should find that as a matter of general practice parties may not file a reply to memoranda contra applications for rehearing, Ormet requests a waiver of that rule in this case. To waive such a holding would be "reasonable and in the public interest." *Id.* Through the Stipulation, the Commission has required Ormet to subsidize the fixed costs of lower load factor entities on the AEP Ohio system based upon AEP Ohio's mistaken representation that Ormet will subsidize the low load factor customers at a rate of \$17 million per-year. In reality, according to AEP Ohio's own compliance filing,<sup>4</sup> the Ormet subsidy will instead amount to approximately \$28 million per-year. Imposing such a burden upon Ormet without proper factual and legal considerations significantly prejudices Ormet and justifies rehearing. It also enhances the importance of the application for rehearing process. In this case, the importance of this process is not merely preserving all rights to appeal, but to provide the Commission with the appropriate information to make as informed a decision as possible where the record before it is both deficient and incomplete.

Accordingly, for the foregoing reasons, the Commission should reject the movants' unsupported argument and deny the motions to strike as it has done under similar circumstances.

---

<sup>4</sup> Compliance Tariffs of AEP Ohio, Original Sheet Nos. 324-1 - 324-3, filed Dec. 21, 2011.

Respectfully submitted,



**Emma F. Hand (PHV-1353-2012)**  
**Douglas G. Bonner (PHV-1363-2012)**  
**SNR Denton US LLP**  
**1301 K Street, NW**  
**Suite 600, East Tower**  
**Washington, DC 20005**  
**Tel: 202-408-6400**  
**Fax: 202-408-6399**  
**emma.hand@snrdenton.com**  
**doug.bonner@snrdenton.com**


*Attorneys for Ormet Primary Aluminum  
Corporation*

**February 8, 2012**



**Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that a copy of the *Memorandum Contra of Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation to AEP Ohio's and OMA Energy Group's Motions to Strike* was served by U.S. Mail and email upon counsel identified below for all parties of record this 8<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2012.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Douglas G. Bonner

**SERVICE LIST**

Steven T. Nourse  
Matthew J. Satterwhite  
American Electric Power Corp.  
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
stnourse@aep.com  
mjsatterwhite@aep.com

Dorothy K. Corbett  
Duke Energy Retail Sales  
139 East Fourth Street  
1303-Main  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202  
Dorothy.Corbett@duke-energy.com

Daniel R. Conway  
Porter Wright Morris & Arthur  
41 South High Street  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
dconway@porterwright.com

David F. Boehm  
Kurt Boehm  
Michael L. Kurtz  
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry  
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202  
dboehm@bkllawfirm.com  
mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com

Samuel C. Randazzo  
Joseph E. Olikier  
Frank P. Darr  
Vicki L. Leach-Payne  
Joseph M. Clark  
McNees Wallace & Nurick  
21 East State Street, 17th Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
sam@mwncmh.com  
joliker@mwncmh.com  
fdarr@mwncmh.com

Richard L. Sites  
Ohio Hospital Association  
155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3620  
ricks@ohanet.org

Colleen L. Mooney  
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy  
231 West Lima Street  
Findlay, Ohio 45840  
cmooney2@columbus.rr.com

John W. Bentine  
Mark S. Yurick  
Zachary D. Kravitz  
Matthew S. White  
Chester Willcox & Saxbe, LLP  
65 East State Street, Suite 1000  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
jbentine@cwslaw.com  
myurick@cwslaw.com  
zkravitz@cwslaw.com

Terry L. Etter  
Maureen R. Grady  
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel  
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485  
etter@occ.state.oh.us  
grady@occ.state.oh.us

Thomas J. O'Brien  
Teresa Orahood  
Bricker & Eckler  
100 South Third Street  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291  
tobrien@bricker.com  
torahood@bricker.com

Jay E. Jadwin  
American Electric Power Service Corporation  
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
jejadwin@aep.com

Michael R. Smalz  
Ohio Poverty Law Center  
555 Buttles Avenue  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
msmalz@ohiopoveritylaw.org  
jmaskovyak@ohiopoveritylaw.org

Terrence O'Donnell  
Christopher Montgomery  
Bricker & Eckler LLP  
100 South Third Street  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291  
todonnell@bricker.com  
cmontgomery@bricker.com

Jesse A. Rodriguez  
Exelon Generation Company, LLC  
300 Exelon Way  
Kennett Square, Pennsylvania 19348  
jesse.rodriguez@exeloncorp.com

Glen Thomas  
1060 First Avenue, Ste. 400  
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406  
gthomas@gtpowergroup.com

Henry W. Eckhart  
2100 Chambers Road, Suite 106  
Columbus, Ohio 43212  
henryeckhart@aol.com

Christopher L. Miller  
Gregory H. Dunn  
Asim Z. Haque  
Stephen J. Smith  
C. Todd Jones  
Schottenstein Zox & Dunn Co., LPA  
250 West Street  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
cmiller@szd.com  
gdunn@szd.com  
ahaque@szd.com  
sjsmith@szd.com

Lisa G. McAlister  
Bricker & Eckler LLP  
100 South Third Street  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291  
lmcAlister@bricker.com  
mwarnock@bricker.com

William L. Massey  
Covington & Burling, LLP  
1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20004  
wmassey@cov.com

Laura Chappelle  
4218 Jacob Meadows  
Okemos, Michigan 48864  
laurac@chappelleconsulting.net

Pamela A. Fox  
Law Director  
The City of Hilliard, Ohio  
pfox@hilliardohio.gov

United Way of Jefferson County  
501 Washington Street  
P.O. Box 1463  
Steubenville, OH 43952

Sandy I-ru Grace  
Marianne M. Alvarez  
Exelon Business Services Company  
101 Constitution Avenue N.W., Suite 400 East  
Washington, DC 20001  
sandy.grace@exeloncorp.com

Gary A. Jeffries  
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.  
501 Martindale Street, Suite 400  
Pittsburgh, PA 15212-5817  
gary.a.jeffries@dom.com

Kenneth P. Kreider  
David A. Meyer  
Keating Muething & Klekamp PLL  
One East Fourth Street, Suite 1400  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202  
kpkreider@kkmklaw.com

Steve W. Chriss  
Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.  
2001 SE 10th Street  
Bentonville, Arkansas 72716  
stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com

Holly Rachel Smith  
Holly Rachel Smith, PLLC  
Hitt Business Center  
3803 Rectortown Road  
Marshall, Virginia 20115  
holly@raysmithlaw.com

Barth E. Royer  
Bell & Royer Co., LPA  
33 South Grant Avenue  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3927  
barthroyer@aol.com

John H. Jones  
Vern Margard  
Public Utilities Section  
Ohio Attorney General Mike DeWine  
180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
john.jones@puc.state.oh.us  
werner.margard@puc.state.oh.us

Greg Poulos  
EnerNOC, Inc.  
101 Federal St.  
Boston, Massachusetts 02110  
gpoulos@enernoc.com

Carolyn S. Flahive  
Terrance A. Mebane  
Thompson Hine LLP  
41 S. High Street, Suite 1700  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
Carolyn.Flahive@ThompsonHine.com  
Terrance.Mebane@ThompsonHine.com

Leo Antons  
1237 Cisler Dr.  
Marietta, OH 45750  
leoantons@suddenlink.net

E. Camille Yancey  
Nolan Moser  
Trent A. Dougherty  
Ohio Environmental Council  
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201  
Columbus, Ohio 43212-3449  
camille@theoec.org  
nolan@theoec.org  
trent@theoec.org

Mark A. Hayden  
FirstEnergy Service Company  
76 South Main Street  
Akron, OH 44308  
haydenm@firstenergycorp.com

David A. Kutik  
Jones Day  
901 Lakeside Avenue  
Cleveland, OH 44114  
dakutik@jonesday.com

Christopher J. Allwein  
1373 Grandview Ave.  
Suite 212  
Columbus, OH 43212  
wein@williamsandmoser.com

Tara C. Santarelli  
Environmental Law & Policy Center  
1207 Grandview Ave., Suite 201  
Columbus, Ohio 43212  
tsantarelli@elpc.org

James F. Lang  
Laura C. McBride  
N. Trevor Alexander  
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP  
1400 KeyBank Center  
800 Superior Ave.  
Cleveland, OH 44114  
jlang@calfee.com  
lmcbride@calfee.com  
talexander@calfee.com

Allison E. Haedt  
Grant W. Garber  
Jones Day  
P.O. Box 165017  
325 John H. McConnell Boulevard  
Suite 600  
Columbus, Ohio 43216-5017

J. Kennedy And Associates  
570 Colonial Park Drive  
Suite 305  
Roswell, GA 30075

Jennifer Duffer  
Armstrong & Okey, Inc.  
222 East Town Street  
2nd Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215  
jduffer@ameritech.net

Lija K. Kaieps-Clark  
M. Howard Petricoff  
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease  
52 E. Gay St.  
PO Box 1008  
Columbus, OH 43216  
lkalepsclark@vorys.com  
mhpetricoff@vssp.com

Bill Dingus  
Lawrence Economic Development Corporation  
P.O. Box 488  
South Point, OH 45680-0488

Constellation NewEnergy Inc  
Cynthia Fonner Brady  
550 W Washington Street  
Suite 300  
Chicago, IL 60661  
Cynthia.Brady@constellation.com

Denis George  
Kroger Company  
1014 Vine Street-G07  
Cincinnati, OH 45202-1100

Shannon Fisk  
2 North Riverside Plaza Suite 2250  
Chicago, IL 60606  
sfisk@nrdc.org

Canton Chamber Of Commerce  
229 Wells Ave N.W.  
Canton, OH 44703-1044

Amy Spiller  
Duke Energy Ohio  
139 E. Fourth Street, 1303-Main  
P.O. Box 961  
Cincinnati, OH 45201-0960  
Amy.Spiller@Duke-Energy.com

FirstEnergy Solutions Corp  
Louis M. D'Alessandris  
341 White Pond Drive  
Akron, OH 44320  
ldAlessandris@firstenergy.com

Ohio Partners For Affordable Energy  
David C. Rinebolt  
231 West Lima St.  
P.O. Box 1793  
Findlay, OH 45839-1793  
drinelbolt@aol.com

Steve Howard  
52 East Gay St.  
P.O. Box 1008  
Columbus, OH 43215  
smhoward@vorys.com

AEP Retail Energy Partners LLC  
Anne M. Vogel  
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215  
amvogel@aep.com

Shawnee State University  
940 Second Street  
Portsmouth, OH 45662

Mark A. Whitt  
Carpenter, Lipps & Leleand LLP  
280 Plaza, Suite 1300  
280 North High Street  
Columbus OH 43215

Jeffrey Small  
Jody M. Kyler  
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel  
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485  
small@occ.state.oh.us  
kyler@occ.state.oh.us

Jacqueline Lake Roberts  
EnerNOC, Inc.  
13212 Haves Corner Road SW  
Pataskala OH 43062

The Sierra Club  
50 West Broad Street #2117  
Columbus, OH 43215

Meigs County Commissioners  
Michael Davenport, President  
100 East Second Street  
Pomeroy, OH 45769

Tuscarawas County  
330 University Drive NE  
New Philadelphia, OH 44663

Paul F. Wight  
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP  
1440 New York Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20005

Deb J. Bingaham  
Patti Mallarnee  
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel  
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485

Philip B. Sineneng  
Thompson Hine LLP  
41 S. High Street, Suite 1700  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
Philip.Sineneng@ThompsonHine.com