

**BEFORE THE
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company for Authority to Merge and Related Approvals.	:	Case No. 10-2376-EL-UNC
	:	
	:	
	:	
	:	
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.	:	Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO
	:	Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO
	:	
	:	
	:	
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority	:	Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM
	:	Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM
	:	
	:	
	:	
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company to Amend its Emergency Curtailment Service Riders	:	Case No. 10-343-EL-ATA
	:	
	:	
	:	
In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Amend its Emergency Curtailment Service Riders	:	Case No. 10-344-EL-ATA
	:	
	:	
	:	
In the Matter of the Commission Review of the Capacity Charges of Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company	:	Case No. 10-2929-EL-UNC
	:	
	:	
	:	
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company for Approval of a Mechanism to Recover Deferred Fuel Costs Ordered Under Ohio Revised Code 4928.144	:	Case No. 11-4920-EL-RDR
	:	
	:	
	:	
In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Approval of a Mechanism to Recover Deferred Fuel Costs Ordered Under Ohio Revised Code 4928.144	:	Case No. 11-4921-EL-RDR
	:	
	:	
	:	

**MOTION TO STRIKE
REPLY OF ORMET PRIMARY ALUMINUM CORPORATION
OF
OHIO POWER COMPANY**

Pursuant to §4901-1-12 of the Ohio Administrative Code, Ohio Power Company (“AEP Ohio”) hereby submits this Motion to Strike the Reply of Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation to AEP Ohio’s and OMAEG’s Memoranda Contra Ormet’s Application for Rehearing (“Reply”) filed in this proceeding on January 30, 2012 (“Reply”). The reasons in support of this Motion to Strike are discussed below.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Steven T. Nourse
Steven T. Nourse, Counsel of Record
Matthew J. Satterwhite
American Electric Power Service Corporation
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Telephone: (614) 716-1606
Telephone: (614) 716-1608
Fax: (614) 716-2950
stnourse@aep.com
mjsatterwhite@aep.com

Daniel R. Conway
Porter Wright Morris & Arthur
Huntington Center
41 South High Street
Columbus, Ohio 42315
Fax: (614) 227-2100
dconway@porterwright.com
Counsel for Ohio Power Company

February 1, 2012

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

On January 30, 2012, Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation (“Ormet”) filed a “Reply” to Ohio Power Company’s January 23, 2012 Memorandum in Opposition. Ormet’s Reply is not permitted by rules governing rehearing at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (“Commission”), is largely repetitive of arguments already raised in its Application for Rehearing, and is an improper attempt by Ormet to get a “second bite at the apple.” For these reasons, the Commission should strike Ormet’s Reply.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-35, regarding applications for rehearing, establishes a specific process by which parties can request rehearing of a Commission order. The rule provides that parties may file an application for rehearing setting forth the specific grounds for rehearing within thirty days after the issuance of a Commission order. Within ten days of the filing of an application for rehearing, parties can file a memorandum contra. Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-35 does not permit parties to file a “reply” to a memorandum contra an application for rehearing. Likewise, parties are granted no right to file such a “reply” under R.C. 4903.10, governing applications for rehearing.

Ormet’s Reply largely repackages arguments from its initial application for rehearing, arguing that the Commission’s December 14, 2011 Order erred in a number of ways. In its Reply, Ormet presents arguments about how excluding them from the Load Factor Provision would deny Ormet of a \$28 million per year benefit (which would correspondingly increase rates on all other AEP Ohio business customers by the same \$28 million), whether Ormet is similarly situated customers to other customers, and cost causation that were already presented by Ormet’s initial application for rehearing.¹ There is no need for the Commission to permit Ormet to file a Reply merely to allow it to repeat and/or supplement arguments already presented in its initial application for rehearing. Permitting such a Reply is contrary to the law and rules governing rehearing at the Commission. Ormet was required to “set

¹ See Ormet’s initial Application for Rehearing (filed Jan. 13, 2012) at 3, errors 1, 3, and 5,

forth the specific ground or grounds upon which [it] considers the commission order to be unreasonable or unlawful” in its initial application for rehearing.² Ormet should not get a “second bite at the apple” through the filing of an improper Reply. Accordingly, the Commission should strike Ormet’s Reply.

For these reasons, the Commission should strike Ormet’s Reply. Even if the Commission does not strike Ormet’s Reply, the Commission should uphold its decision to approve the LFP without modification. The Commission’s inclusion of Ormet in the LFP would result in a windfall to Ormet on top of the substantial discounts Ormet is already receiving under its unique arrangement. And the inclusion of Ormet in the LFP would adversely impact all other AEP Ohio business customers, especially the small business customers on Rate GS-2.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Steven T. Nourse

Steven T. Nourse, Counsel of Record

Matthew J. Satterwhite

American Electric Power Service Corporation

1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Telephone: (614) 716-1606

Telephone: (614) 716-1608

Fax: (614) 716-2950

stnourse@aep.com

mjsatterwhite@aep.com

Daniel R. Conway

Porter Wright Morris & Arthur

Huntington Center

41 South High Street

Columbus, Ohio 42315

Fax: (614) 227-2100

dconway@porterwright.com

Counsel for Ohio Power Company

February 1, 2012

² Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-35.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Ohio Power Company's Motion to Strike has been served upon the below-named counsel and Attorney Examiners by electronic mail to all Parties this 1st day of February, 2012.

/s/ Steven T. Nourse
Steven T. Nourse

greta.see@puc.state.oh.us
jeff.jones.@puc.state.oh.us
Daniel.Shields@puc.state.oh.us
Tammy.Turkenton@puc.state.oh.us
Jonathan.Tauber@puc.state.oh.us
"Bair, Jodi" <Jodi.Bair@puc.state.oh.us>
"Fortney, Bob" <Bob.Fortney@puc.state.oh.us>
"McCarter, Doris" <Doris.McCarter@puc.state.oh.us>
"Reilly, Stephen" <Stephen.Reilly@puc.state.oh.us>
dclark1@aep.com
grady@occ.state.oh.us
john.jones@puc.state.oh.us
keith.nusbaum@snrdenton.com
kpkreider@kmklaw.com
mjsatterwhite@aep.com
ned.ford@fuse.net
pfox@hilliardohio.gov
ricks@ohanet.org
rplawrence@aep.com
stnourse@aep.com
cathy@theoec.org,
joseph.dominquez@exeloncorp.com
dsullivan@nrdc.org
aehaedt@jonesday.com
dakutik@jonesday.com
haydenm@firstenergycorp.com
dconway@porterwright.com
jlang@calfee.com
lmcbride@calfee.com
talexander@calfee.com
etter@occ.state.oh.us
grady@occ.state.oh.us
small@occ.state.oh.us
cynthia.a.fonner@constellation.com
David.fein@constellation.com
Dorothy.corbett@duke-energy.com
Amy.spiller@duke-energy.com

dboehm@bkllawfirm.com
mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com
ricks@ohanet.org
tobrien@bricker.com
jbentine@cwslaw.com
myurick@cwslaw.com
zkravitz@cwslaw.com
sfisk@nrdc.org
jejadwin@aep.com
msmalz@ohiopoveritylaw.org
jmaskovyak@ohiopoverity.org
todonnell@bricker.com
cmontgomery@bricker.com
lmcaster@bricker.com
mwarnock@bricker.com
gthomas@gtpowergroup.com
Wmassey@cov.com
henryeckhard@aol.com
kaurac@chappelleconsulting.net
whitt@carpenterlipps.com
sandy.grace@exeloncorp.com
cmiller@szd.com
ahaque@szd.com
gdunn@szd.com
mhpeticoff@vorys.com
smhoward@vorys.com
mjsettineri@vorys.com
lkalepsclark@vorys.com
bakahn@vorys.com
gary.a.jeffries@aol.com
Stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com
kpkreider@kmlaw.com
dmeyer@kmklaw.com
holly@raysmithlaw.com
barthroyer@aol.com
Werner.Margard@puc.state.oh.us
William.Wright@puc.state.oh.us
Thomas.Lindgren@puc.state.oh.us
philip.sineneng@thompsonhine.com
carolyn.flahive@thompsonhine.com
terrance.mebane@thompsonhine.com
cmooney2@columbus.rr.com
drinebolt@ohiopartners.org
trent@the oeg.com
nolan@theoec.org
gpoulos@enernoc.com
emma.hand@snrdenton.com
doug.bonner@snrdenton.com
clinton.vince@snrdenton.com

sam@mwncmh.com
joliker@mwncmh.com
fdarr@mwncmh.com
jestes@skadden.com
paul.wright@skadden.com
dstahl@eimerstahl.com
tsantarelli@elpc.org
callwein@wamenergylaw.com
malina@wexlerwalker.com
jkooper@hess.com
kquerry@hess.com
afreifeld@viridityenergy.com
swolfe@viridityenergy.com
korenergy@insight.rr.com

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

2/1/2012 2:28:04 PM

in

Case No(s). 10-2376-EL-UNC, 11-0346-EL-SSO, 11-0348-EL-SSO, 11-0349-EL-AAM, 11-0350-EL-AAM

Summary: Motion to Strike Ormet Reply electronically filed by Mr. Steven T Nourse on behalf of Ohio Power Company