



Power Siting Board

John R. Kasich, Governor
Todd A. Snitchler, Chairman

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

2012 JAN -6 AM 8:37

Board Members

January 5, 2012

PUCO

Scott Nally
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency

Christiane Schmenk
Ohio Department of Development

Theodore E. Wymyslo, M.D.
Ohio Department of Health

Jim Zehringer
Ohio Department of Natural Resources

Dr. Tony Forshey, Interim Director
Ohio Department of Agriculture

Dr. Ali Keyhani
Public Member

Louis W. Blessing Jr.
Ohio House of Representatives

Jay Goyal
Ohio House of Representatives

David T. Daniels
Ohio Senate

Tom Sawyer
Ohio Senate

Ed Rogers
9247 CR 130
Kenton, Ohio 43326

Re:
Hog Creek Wind Farm I / Case No: 09-277-EL-BGN / 11-0757-EL-BGA
Hog Creek Wind Farm II / Case No: 10-654-EL-BGN / 11-5543-EL-BGA
Hardin Wind Energy LLC / Case No: 09-479-EL-BGN/ 11-3446-EL-BGA

Dear Mr. Rogers:

Thank you for contacting the Ohio Power Siting Board regarding public hearings for proposed wind farms for Hardin County.

In reviewing the Hardin Wind Energy and Hog Creek Wind Farm applications and procedures, we found that these applications satisfied all of the criteria established in accordance with Chapter 4906, of the Ohio Administrative Code.

On March 22, 2010 and August 29, 2011 the Board issued a certificate to Hog Creek Wind and Hardin Wind Energy respectively.

Concerning notification of public hearings before the Ohio Power Siting Board, Section 4906-5-08 (C) (3), of the Ohio Administrative Code states:

At least thirty days before the public hearing, the applicant shall send a letter describing the facility to each property owner and affected tenant. The letter shall briefly describe the certification process and shall include the date of the public hearing. The letter shall be sent by first class mail. The name and address of each landowner on the mailing list shall be submitted to the board and to each public official entitled to service of the application pursuant to rule 4906-5-06 of the Administrative Code. The letter shall be sent to each property owner and affected tenant:

(a) Within the planned site or along the preferred or alternate route(s) of the proposed facility.

(b) Contiguous to the planned site or along the preferred or alternate route(s) of the proposed facility. **This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.**
Technician [Signature] Date Processed 01-06-12



Power Siting Board

John R. Kasich, Governor
Todd A. Snitchler, Chairman

Board Members

Scott Nally
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency

Christiane Schmenk
Ohio Department of Development

Theodore E. Wymyslo, M.D.
Ohio Department of Health

Jim Zehringer
Ohio Department of Natural Resources

Dr. Tony Forshey, Interim Director
Ohio Department of Agriculture

Dr. Ali Keyhani
Public Member

Louis W. Blessing Jr.
Ohio House of Representatives

Jay Goyal
Ohio House of Representatives

David T. Daniels
Ohio Senate

Tom Sawyer
Ohio Senate

(c) Who may be approached by the applicant for any additional easement necessary for the construction, operation, or maintenance of the facility.

(d) If the property owner's address is not the same as the address affected by the proposed facility, then the applicant shall also send a letter to the affected property.

In addition, paragraph (d) states:

Inability or inadvertent failure to notify the persons described in this rule shall not constitute a failure to give public notice, provided substantial compliance with these requirements is met.

To review the Opinion and Order and all filings associated with these siting applications and /or rules governing the installation and operation of wind energy in Ohio, visit our website at www.puc.state.oh.us or the OPSB website at www.OPSB.ohio.gov.

Thank you for your continued attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Kim Wissman, Executive Director
Ohio Power Siting Board

KW: dgd

Ed Rogers
9247 CR 130
Kenton, Ohio
43326

December 10th, 2011
Hardin Wind Farm Safety Concerns

Ms. Wissman,

I hope this letter finds you in good health and spirits for I am asking you to clear up the confusion about the wind farm hearings at the out-set in Hardin County. My concern rises out of the aspect that the families attempting to find a healthy and safe environment in which to live were not mailed notification for those hearings.

My question is: Are the wind farms required by either the O.P.U.C. or some other entity to send notification about the hearings to those families who will be attempting to live within the wind farm itself?

I was wondering about this because there are is so much confusion about the safety concerns and the actual number of wind turbines to be erected. (Example: Mid Ohio Power) If the wind farms were to notify people of these important meetings, especially INVENERGY/Nazre, why were they allowed to get away without acknowledging this requirement? What else are they doing illegally or hiding? Should not these people be rightly notified and have a voice? Why would a wind farm want to circumvent people's rights at the beginning?

If the above question is answered in the affirmative, there were no letters sent. I am asking that the process for their permit to be frozen until they comply with your safety procedures.

As I have stated in my first few letters, it does not seem this whole venture has been clearly thought out. An example of this is the extension of the ice throw safety zone Invenergy /Nazre have agreed upon with me that will, hopefully, protect my family as we are in our own yard.

Thank you for you for your time and concern with this matter. I shall await your answer to the above question.

Respectfully,

Ed Rogers