

**BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company for Authority to Merge and Related Approvals.	) ) ) )	Case No. 10-2376-EL-UNC
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.	) ) ) ) ) )	Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.	) ) ) )	Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company to Amend its Emergency Curtailment Service Riders.	) ) ) )	Case No. 10-343-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Amend its Emergency Curtailment Service Riders.	) ) )	Case No. 10-344-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Commission Review Of the Capacity Charges of Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company.	) ) ) )	Case No. 10-2929-EL-UNC
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company for Approval of a Mechanism to Recover Deferred Fuel Costs Ordered Under Ohio Revised Code 4928.144.	) ) ) ) )	Case No. 11-4920-EL-RDR
In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Approval of a Mechanism to Recover Deferred Fuel Costs Ordered Under Ohio Revised Code 4928.144.	) ) ) ) )	Case No. 11-4921-EL-RDR

---

MOTION OF INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS -OHIO FOR ORDERS  
MODIFYING THE OHIO POWER COMPANY'S AND COLUMBUS  
SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY'S REVISED IMPLEMENTATION PLAN  
AND REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED RULING AND SUPPORTING  
MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

---

Samuel C. Randazzo, Esq.  
Frank P. Darr  
Joseph E. Olikier  
MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC  
21 East State Street, Suite 1700  
Columbus, OH 43215-4228  
Telephone: 614-469-8000  
Telecopier: 614-469-4653  
sam@mwncmh.com  
fdarr@mwncmh.com  
joliker@mwncmh.com

**December 30, 2011**

**Attorneys for Industrial Energy Users-Ohio**

**BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of	)	
Ohio Power Company and Columbus	)	Case No. 10-2376-EL-UNC
Southern Power Company for Authority	)	
to Merge and Related Approvals.	)	

In the Matter of the Application of	)	
Columbus Southern Power Company and	)	
Ohio Power Company for Authority to	)	Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO
Establish a Standard Service Offer	)	Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO
Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code,	)	
in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.	)	

In the Matter of the Application of	)	
Columbus Southern Power Company and	)	Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM
Ohio Power Company for Approval of	)	Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM
Certain Accounting Authority.	)	

In the Matter of the Application of	)	
Columbus Southern Power Company to	)	Case No. 10-343-EL-ATA
Amend its Emergency Curtailment	)	
Service Riders.	)	

In the Matter of the Application of	)	
Ohio Power Company to Amend its	)	Case No. 10-344-EL-ATA
Emergency Curtailment Service Riders.	)	

In the Matter of the Commission Review	)	
Of the Capacity Charges of Ohio Power	)	Case No. 10-2929-EL-UNC
Company and Columbus Southern	)	
Power Company.	)	

In the Matter of the Application of	)	
Columbus Southern Power Company	)	Case No. 11-4920-EL-RDR
for Approval of a Mechanism to Recover	)	
Deferred Fuel Costs Ordered Under	)	
Ohio Revised Code 4928.144.	)	

In the Matter of the Application of	)	
Ohio Power Company for Approval of a	)	
Mechanism to Recover Deferred Fuel	)	Case No. 11-4921-EL-RDR
Costs Ordered Under Ohio Revised	)	
Code 4928.144.	)	

---

MOTION OF INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS -OHIO FOR ORDERS  
MODIFYING THE OHIO POWER COMPANY'S AND COLUMBUS  
SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY'S REVISED IMPLEMENTATION PLAN  
AND REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED RULING

---

Pursuant to Rule 4901-1-12, Ohio Administrative Code ("OAC"), Industrial Energy Users-Ohio ("IEU-Ohio") moves the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") for an Order modifying the Detailed Implementation Plan filed by Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company on December 29, 2011 so that it will conform with State law requirements concerning tariffs and the terms of the Commission's Opinion and Order filed December 14, 2011. The reasons supporting this Motion are set out in the accompanying memorandum. Because December 14, 2011 Opinion and Order directs that the rates and other terms filed pursuant to it become effective on January 1, 2012, IEU-Ohio requests that the Commission consider this Motion for an expedited ruling.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Frank P. Darr

Samuel C. Randazzo (Counsel of Record)

Frank P. Darr

Joseph E. Olier

McNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC

21 East State Street, 17<sup>TH</sup> Floor

Columbus, OH 43215

Telephone: (614) 469-8000

Telecopier: (614) 469-4653

sam@mwncmh.com

fdarr@mwncmh.com

joliker@mwncmh.com

**Attorneys for Industrial Energy Users-Ohio**



---

## MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION

---

On December 28, 2011, Ohio Power Company (“OP”) and Columbus Southern Power Company (“CSP”) (collectively “Companies” or “AEP-Ohio”) filed a revised version of its “Detailed Implementation Plan” (“DIP”) in these matters. Additionally, the Companies circulated a letter they submitted to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“FERC”) indicating that they had reached a working agreement with PJM Interconnection, LLC (“PJM”) regarding the implementation of the two-tiered capacity charge structure approved by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (“Commission”) on December 14, 2011. Neither document contains the detail required to identify how the two-tiered capacity charge structure shall be billed or collected. More specifically, neither document discloses the details on how load and usage characteristics of shopping customers shall result in the application of one market-based capacity charge (RPM-priced capacity) or the other and the significantly higher \$255 per MW day capacity charge. Without this detail, customers as well as Competitive Retail Electric Service (“CRES”) suppliers will be deprived of information that is essential to an independent and up-front determination of the electric bill that will result in a shopping scenario and it will be impossible to test the implementation of the two-tiered capacity charge structure based on controlling language in a schedule (such as the Companies’ supplier tariff) on file with the Commission. To cure this defect having legal<sup>1</sup> and

---

<sup>1</sup> Section 4905.30, Revised Code requires that all rates and charges, as well as all rules and regulations affecting the rates billed or collected by a utility, be those set forth in a schedule on file with the Commission. A public utility may not charge a rate different from that specified in its schedule filed with {C36377:5 }

practical implications,<sup>2</sup> the Commission must direct the Companies to include the necessary detail in their tariff on file with the Commission.

Further, the provisions dealing with the Commission-ordered modification for government aggregation programs does not conform to the Commission's Opinion and Order. To cure this defect the Commission must direct the Companies to conform the DIP to the Commission's Opinion and Order. .

### **Determining Capacity Charges**

The Commission approved with modifications provisions of the Stipulation filed by AEP-Ohio on September 7, 2011. As a result, the Commission has effectively modified the state compensation mechanism it identified in the December 8, 2010 Entry in Case No. 10-2929-EL-UNC, if the Companies do not elect to withdraw from the modified ESP.<sup>3</sup> Under Ohio law, the Companies are required to file tariffs detailing the "charges for service of every kind furnished by it, and all rules and regulations affecting them."<sup>4</sup> The public utility's charges are then governed by those filings.<sup>5</sup>

---

the Commission. Section 4905.32, Revised Code. See, also, 4905.33, Revised Code (no public utility may charge greater or lesser compensation for services rendered except as provided by statute than it charges any other person). The antidiscrimination provisions apply to EDUs regulated under Chapter 4928, Revised Code. See Section 4928.97, Revised Code. See, also, Section 4928.15, Revised Code (services must be provided pursuant to schedule filed with the Commission).

<sup>2</sup> The companies "black box" approach to the implementation of the two-tiered capacity charge structure will stymie efforts by customers and CRES suppliers to identify the electric bill consequences of shopping, thereby effectively frustrating the type of consumer behavior that Ohio law encourages. Customer choice requires the billing significance of shopping to be clearly identifiable.

<sup>3</sup> See page 2 of AEP-Ohio's Dec. 22, 2011 and Dec. 29, 2011 cover letters to compliance tariff filings. The Commission has previously recognized that it has authority to require tariffs governing the regulation of gas pooling arrangements necessary to support gas transportation service. *In the Matter of the Complaint of the Ohio Gas Marketers Association v. East Ohio Gas Company*, Case No. 94-2010-GA-CSS, Entry at 2 (Dec. 29, 1994). This matter presents a similar circumstance in that the Commission has asserted jurisdiction over capacity charges as a result of the terms of the PJM RPM structure and now must have in place the tariff provisions that define the duties and obligations of the parties.

<sup>4</sup> Section 4905.30, Revised Code.

<sup>5</sup> Section 4905.32, Revised Code.

The DIP contains language that generally describes how the amount of shopping eligible for RPM-priced capacity will be determined during the term of the electric security plan as modified by the Commission. For shopping that is not eligible for RPM-priced capacity, however, a higher charge of \$255 per megawatt-day (“MW-Day”) shall be applied. But there is nothing in the DIP that identifies how the load and usage characteristics of customers will result in the MW-Day billing determinant and the application of the \$255 charge.

PJM Interconnect LLC’s (“PJM”) resource adequacy obligation provides the foundation for the establishment of a capacity charge that may be billed to and collected from a CRES supplier serving retail customers in the Companies’ service area. The PJM tariff dictates that the capacity charge shall be based on RPM but also provides for an alternative charge established through a state retail access plan. Presently, the PJM resource adequacy obligation of the Companies is an unspecified part of the overall resource adequacy obligation of the pool of affiliated operating companies otherwise known as AEP East. The missing DIP detail described above is detail that is required to: Synchronize the PJM resource adequacy obligation with the state plan approved by the Commission; Identify implementation consequences of the two-tiered capacity charge that have billing significance; and, Ensure that the overall compensation available to the Companies through the two-tiered capacity charge structure is not improperly enhanced through the Companies’ discretionary specification of the MW-Day billing determinant.



Below, IEU-Ohio offers suggestions on how the detail should be included in the documentation associated with the Companies implementation of the two-tiered capacity charge structure.

PJM's manuals indicate that capacity costs are billed in the following manner. The capacity charge rate is multiplied by a customer's Peak Load Contribution ("PLC") [or the aggregated PLC for customers of a Load Serving Entity ("LSE")] and then multiplied by a final zonal scaling factor and multiplied by the forecast pool requirement factor. Those values are shown below:

	2011/2012	2012/2013	2013/2014	2014/2015
PJM Adjustment for FPR	1.0833	1.0872	1.0804	1.0809
PJM Adjustment for FRZSF	1.1204	1.0572	1.0881	1.0928

Given the role of the PLC in the PJM resource adequacy structure, the detail included in the Companies' documentation on how the two-tiered capacity charge structure shall be applied must identify how a shopping customer's PLC will be determined. This documentation must also identify the means by which this PLC specification will be synchronized with the overall resource adequacy obligation of AEP East and the means by which such obligation shall be allocated between shopping and non-shopping customers. The documentation must also identify how the resource adequacy obligation and the PLC will be modified as a result of the periodic changes made by PJM to the resource adequacy requirement and how the PLC will be modified to reflect change in a customer's load and usage characteristics.

Once the synchronization detail and documentation is supplied in proper form, the \$255 per MW-Day charge should apply, where applicable, to the integrated sum of the PLCs of shopping customers served by a CRES and the RPM-price charge should apply to the integrated sum of the PLCs of the balance of shopping customers served by a CRES. This approach will cause each CRES to receive a capacity invoice from AEP-Ohio (the Fixed Resource Requirements or “FRR” entity) or PJM (the billing, collection and remitting entity) that is the weighted average of the two-tiered capacity charge structure with sufficient detail to audit the invoice based on the PLC data that is synchronized with PJM’s resource adequacy requirement as modified (both in the aggregate and in the case of individual customers) during the term of the electric security plan (ESP). The PLC factor of each account must be documented in detail in advance of any application of the new Ohio state compensation mechanism and must correspond with the customer’s PLC value recognized by PJM.<sup>6</sup> In the event that a discrepancy in PLC values is discovered there must be a means to contest the capacity charges billed to the CRES supplier.

### **Governmental Aggregation**

The DIP also includes language proposed by AEP-Ohio to address the Commission’s modification of the RPM set-aside provisions of the stipulation to accommodate governmental aggregation programs. AEP-Ohio has proposed language that is inconsistent with the Commission’s order in several respects. First, AEP-Ohio has proposed language intending to limit governmental aggregation customers not subject to the set-aside restrictions to non-mercantile customers. That language should

---

<sup>6</sup> Each PJM Electric Distribution Company (“EDC”) is responsible for allocating the previous summer’s weather normalized peak to end-use customers in the zone (both retail and wholesale) and providing this information to PJM by Dec. 31 prior to the start of the Delivery Year.

be stricken. While it is correct that governmental aggregation programs are prohibited from including mercantile customers pursuant to Section 4928.20(B), Revised Code, without mercantile customer approval, mercantile customers are expressly permitted to participate in governmental aggregation programs by opting in. Therefore, the capacity available at the RPM set-aside price should include capacity necessary to serve mercantile customers served through a governmental aggregation program.

Second, AEP-Ohio has proposed to limit the governmental aggregation RPM set-aside to only those communities that passed aggregation ordinances during the November 2011 general election. The Commission's order requires the RPM set-aside be available to any community that completes the necessary process to take service by December 31, 2012. There will also need to be some clarification as to how governmental programs in future years will be addressed, as required by the Commission's Opinion and Order.

Finally, AEP-Ohio has proposed language that may treat customers served through a governmental aggregation program as first in the queue for the RPM set-aside capacity. The Commission's order, however, modified the RPM set-aside such that customers served through a governmental aggregation program have access to additional capacity based upon RPM clearing prices, and the RPM set-aside capacity of 21%, 31% and 41% of AEP-Ohio load is available to all other shopping customers. The Commission should assure that implementation of its intentions to assure access of governmental aggregation customers to capacity at RPM clearing prices does not work an injustice to those customers who have already qualified for RPM set-aside capacity.

### **Need for Tariffs**

As discussed above, the Commission should require the capacity pricing and allocation process to be part of the AEP-Ohio supplier tariffs. If as represented by AEP-Ohio in its letter to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the Opinion and Order set the state compensation mechanism for purposes of AEP-Ohio's Fixed Resource Requirements election, then these matters need to be specified and approved by the Commission through a formal tariff filing. That tariff then would afford the parties with a "common understanding" and legally enforceable set of rates and procedures for determining capacity charges. As things now stand, however, AEP-Ohio seems to be proceeding as though it unilaterally determines the terms of the implementation of and compliance with the Commission's Opinion and Order.<sup>7</sup> As discussed above, its implementation has strayed from that decision, leaving customers and CRES suppliers with no means of determining whether they are being charged properly or provided the services required by the Commission's order.

Given that the terms of the Opinion and Order are to become effective on January 1, 2012 on a bills rendered basis without further Commission action, IEU-Ohio also requests that the Commission address this Motion on an expedited basis pursuant to Rule 4901-1-12(C), OAC. Customers (both retail and wholesale) face immediate and potentially harmful consequences due to the lack of transparency in the manner in which the Companies propose to implement the two-tiered capacity pricing and the lack of compliance with the Commission's orders regarding the treatment of governmental aggregation.

---

<sup>7</sup> AEP-Ohio circulated the revised Detailed Implementation Plan to parties on December 22, 2011 and requested comments by December 27, 2011. Comments were returned by FirstEnergy Solutions, IEU-Ohio, and OCC. The implementation plan filed on December 28, 2011 is substantively the same as that circulated on December 22, 2011.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Frank P. Darr

---

Samuel C. Randazzo (Counsel of Record)

Frank P. Darr

Joseph E. Olikier

McNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC

21 East State Street, 17<sup>TH</sup> Floor

Columbus, OH 43215

Telephone: (614) 469-8000

Telecopier: (614) 469-4653

sam@mwncmh.com

fdarr@mwncmh.com

joliker@mwncmh.com

**Attorneys for Industrial Energy Users-Ohio**

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing *Motion of Industrial Energy User-Ohio for Orders Modifying the Ohio Power Company's and Columbus Southern Power Company's Revised Implementation Plan and Request for Expedited Ruling and Supporting Memorandum in Support* was served upon the following parties of record this 30<sup>th</sup> day of December 2011, via electronic transmission, hand-delivery or first class U.S. mail, postage prepaid.

/s/ Frank P. Darr

Frank P. Darr

Matthew J. Satterwhite  
Steven T. Nourse  
Anne M. Vogel  
American Electric Power Service  
Corporation  
1 Riverside Plaza, 29<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215  
mjsatterwhite@aep.com  
stnourse@aep.com  
amvogel@aep.com

Daniel R. Conway  
Porter Wright Morris & Arthur  
Huntington Center  
41 S. High Street  
Columbus, OH 43215  
dconway@porterwright.com

**ON BEHALF OF COLUMBUS SOUTHERN  
POWER COMPANY AND OHIO POWER  
COMPANY**

Dorothy K. Corbett  
Amy Spiller  
139 East Fourth Street  
1303-Main  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
Dorothy.Corbett@duke-energy.com  
Amy.spiller@duke-energy.com

Philip B. Sineneng  
Terrance A. Mebane  
THOMPSON HINE LLP  
41 S. High St., Suite 1700  
Columbus, OH 43215  
Philip.Sineneng@ThompsonHine.com  
Terrance.Mebane@ThompsonHine.com

**ON BEHALF OF DUKE ENERGY RETAIL SALES,  
LLC**

David F. Boehm  
Michael L. Kurtz  
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry  
36 East Seventh Street Suite 1510  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
dboehm@BKLawfirm.com  
mkurtz@BKLawfirm.com

**ON BEHALF OF THE OHIO ENERGY GROUP**

Gregory J. Poulos  
EnerNOC, Inc.  
101 Federal Street, Suite 1100  
Boston, MA 02110  
gpoulos@enernoc.com

ON BEHALF OF ENERNOC, INC.

Bruce J. Weston  
Terry L. Etter  
Maureen R. Grady  
Jeffrey L. Small  
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel  
10 W. Broad Street, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215-3485  
etter@occ.state.oh.us  
grady@occ.state.oh.us  
small@occ.state.oh.us

**ON BEHALF OF THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO  
CONSUMERS' COUNSEL**

Richard L. Sites  
General Counsel & Senior Director of  
Health Policy  
Ohio Hospital Association  
155 East Broad Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215-3620  
ricks@ohanet.org

Thomas J. O'Brien  
BRICKER & ECKLER, LLP  
100 South Third Street  
Columbus, OH 43215-4291  
tobrien@bricker.com

**OH BEHALF OF OHIO HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION**

John W. Bentine  
Mark S. Yurick  
Zachary D. Kravitz  
Chester Willcox & Saxbe, LLP  
65 East State Street, Suite 1000  
Columbus, OH 43215  
jbentine@cwsllaw.com  
myurick@cwsllaw.com  
zkravitz@cwsllaw.com

**ON BEHALF OF THE KROGER Co.**

Terrence O'Donnell  
Christopher Montgomery  
BRICKER & ECKLER LLP  
100 South Third Street  
Columbus, OH 43215-4291  
todonnell@bricker.com  
cmontgomery@bricker.com

**ON BEHALF OF PAULDING WIND FARM II LLC**

Mark A. Hayden  
FirstEnergy Service Company  
76 South Main Street  
Akron, OH 44308  
haydenm@firstenergycorp.com

James F. Lang  
Laura C. McBride  
N. Trevor Alexander  
CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD LLP  
1400 KeyBank Center  
800 Superior Ave.  
Cleveland, OH 44114  
jlang@calfee.com  
lmcbride@calfee.com  
talexander@calfee.com

David A. Kutik  
Jones Day  
North Point  
901 Lakeside Avenue  
Cleveland, OH 44114  
dakutik@jonesday.com

Allison E. Haedt  
Jones Day  
P.O. Box 165017  
Columbus, OH 43216-5017  
aehaedt@jonesday.com

John N. Estes III  
Paul F. Wight  
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP  
1440 New York Ave., N.W.  
Washington, DC 20005  
jestes@skadden.com  
paul.wight@skadden.com

**ON BEHALF OF FIRSTENERGY SOLUTIONS  
CORP.**

Michael R. Smalz  
Joseph V. Maskovyak  
Ohio Poverty Law Center  
555 Buttrick Avenue  
Columbus, OH 43215  
msmalz@ohiopoveritylaw.org  
jmaskovyak@ohiopoveritylaw.org

**ON BEHALF OF THE APPALACHIAN PEACE  
AND JUSTICE NETWORK**

Lisa G. McAlister  
Matthew W. Warnock  
Thomas O'Brien  
BRICKER & ECKLER LLP  
100 South Third Street  
Columbus, OH 43215-4291  
lmcaster@bricker.com  
mwarnock@bricker.com  
tobrien@bricker.com

**ON BEHALF OF OMA ENERGY GROUP**

Jay E. Jadwin  
American Electric Power Service  
Corporation  
1 Riverside Plaza, 29<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215  
jejadwin@aep.com

**ON BEHALF OF AEP RETAIL ENERGY  
PARTNERS LLC**

M. Howard Petricoff  
Stephen M. Howard  
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP  
52 E. Gay Street  
P.O. Box 1008  
Columbus, OH 43215-1008  
mhpeticoff@vorys.com  
smhoward@vorys.com

**ON BEHALF OF PJM POWER PROVIDERS  
GROUP AND THE RETAIL ENERGY SUPPLY  
ASSOCIATION**

Glen Thomas  
1060 First Avenue, Ste. 400  
King of Prussia, PA 19406  
gthomas@gtpowergroup.com

Laura Chappelle  
4218 Jacob Meadows  
Okemos, MI 48864  
laurac@chappelleconsulting.net

**ON BEHALF OF PJM POWER PROVIDERS  
GROUP**

M. Howard Petricoff  
Michael Settineri  
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP  
52 E. Gay Street  
P.O. Box 1008  
Columbus, OH 43215-1008  
mhpeticoff@vorys.com  
mjsettineri@vorys.com

William L. Massey  
Covington & Burling, LLP  
1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20004  
wmassey@cov.com

Joel Malina  
Executive Director  
COMPLETE Coalition  
1317 F Street, NW  
Suite 600  
Washington, DC 20004  
malina@wexlerwalker.com

**ON BEHALF OF THE COMPETE COALITION**

Henry W. Eckhart  
1200 Chambers Road, Suite 106  
Columbus, OH 43212  
henryeckhart@aol.com

Shannon Fisk  
Natural Resources Defense Council  
2 N. Riverside Plaza, Suite 2250  
Chicago, IL 60606  
sfisk@nrdc.org

Christopher J. Allwein  
Williams, Allwein and Moser, LLC  
1373 Grandview Ave., Suite 212  
Columbus, OH 43212  
callwein@williamsandmoser.com

**ON BEHALF OF THE NATURAL RESOURCES  
DEFENSE COUNCIL AND THE SIERRA CLUB**



M. Howard Petricoff  
Michael J. Settineri  
Stephen M. Howard  
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP  
52 East Gay Street  
P.O. Box 1008  
Columbus, OH 43216-1008  
mhpeticoff@vorys.com  
mjsettineri@vorys.com  
smhoward@vorys.com

**ON BEHALF OF CONSTELLATION  
NEWENERGY, INC., CONSTELLATION ENERGY  
COMMODITIES GROUP, INC. , DIRECT ENERGY  
SERVICES, LLC**

David I. Fein  
Vice President, Energy Policy – Midwest  
Constellation Energy Group, Inc.  
Cynthia Fonner Brady  
Senior Counsel  
Constellation Energy Resources LLC  
550 West Washington Blvd., Suite 300  
Chicago, IL 60661  
david.fein@constellation.com  
cynthia.brady@constellation.com

**ON BEHALF OF CONSTELLATION  
NEWENERGY, INC. AND CONSTELLATION  
ENERGY COMMODITIES GROUP, INC.**

Pamela A. Fox  
C. Todd Jones,  
Christopher L. Miller,  
Gregory H. Dunn  
Asim Z. Haque  
Schottenstein Zox and Dunn Co., LPA  
250 West Street  
Columbus, OH 43215  
pfox@hillardohio.gov  
cmiller@szd.com  
gdunn@szd.com  
ahaque@szd.com

**ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF HILLIARD, OHIO,  
THE CITY OF GROVE CITY, OHIO AND THE  
ASSOCIATION OF INDEPENDENT COLLEGES  
AND UNIVERSITIES OF OHIO**

Sandy I-ru Grace  
Assistant General Counsel  
Exelon Business Services Company  
101 Constitution Ave., NW  
Suite 400 East  
Washington, DC 20001  
sandy.grace@exeloncorp.com

Jesse A. Rodriguez, Esq.  
Public Policy & Affairs Manager  
Exelon Generation Company, LLC  
300 Exelon Way  
Kennett Square, PA 19348  
jesse.rodriquez@exeloncorp.com

M. Howard Petricoff  
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP  
52 East Gay Street  
P.O. Box 1008  
Columbus, OH 43216-1008  
mhpeticoff@vorys.com

David M. Stahl  
Arin C. Aragona  
Scott C. Solberg  
Eimer Stahl Klevorn & Solberg LLP  
224 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 1100  
Chicago, IL 60604  
dstahl@eimerstahl.com  
aaragona@eimerstahl.com  
ssolberg@eimerstahl.com

Anastasia Polek-O'Brien  
Exelon Generation Company, LLC  
10 S. Dearborn Street, 49<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Chicago, IL 60603

**ON BEHALF OF EXELON GENERATION  
COMPANY, LLC**

Gary A Jeffries  
Assistant General Counsel  
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.  
501 Martindale Street, Suite 400  
Pittsburgh, PA 15212-5817  
Gary.A.Jeffries@aol.com

**ON BEHALF OF DOMINION RETAIL, INC.**

Kenneth P. Kreider  
David A. Meyer  
Keating Muething & Klekamp PLL  
One East Fourth Street  
Suite 1400  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
kpkreider@kmlaw.com  
dmeyer@kmlaw.com

Holly Rachel Smith  
Holly Rachel Smith, PLLC  
Hitt Business Center  
3803 Rectortown Road  
Marshall, VA 20115  
holly@raysmithlaw.com

Steve W. Chriss  
Manager, State Rate Proceedings  
Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.  
Bentonville, AR 72716-0550  
Stephen.Chriss@wal-mart.com

**ON BEHALF OF WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP  
AND SAM'S EAST, INC.**

Barth E. Royer (Counsel of Record)  
Bell & Royer Co., LPA  
33 South Grant Avenue  
Columbus, OH 43215-3927  
BarthRoyer@aol.com

Tara C. Santarelli  
Environmental Law & Policy Center  
1207 Grandview Ave., Suite 201  
Columbus, OH 43212  
tsantarelli@elpc.org

**ON BEHALF OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL LAW &  
POLICY CENTER**

Nolan Moser  
Trent A. Dougherty  
Camille Yancy  
Cathryn Loucas  
Ohio Environmental Council  
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201  
Columbus, OH 43212-3449  
nolan@theoec.org  
trent@theoec.org  
camille@theoec.org  
cathy@theoec.org.

**ON BEHALF OF THE OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL  
COUNCIL**

Douglas G. Bonner  
Emma F. Hand  
Keith C. Nusbaum  
Clinton A. Vince  
Daniel D. Barnowski  
SNR Denton US LLP  
1301 K Street NW  
Suite 600, East Tower  
Washington, DC 20005  
doug.bonner@snrdenton.com  
emma.hand@snrdenton.com  
keith.nusbaum@snrdenton.com  
clinton.vince@snrdenton.com  
daniel.barnowski@snrdenton.com

**ON BEHALF OF ORMET PRIMARY ALUMINUM  
CORPORATION**

Jay L. Kooper  
Katherine Guerry  
Hess Corporation  
One Hess Plaza  
Woodbridge, NJ 07095  
jkooper@hess.com  
kguerry@hess.com

**ON BEHALF OF HESS CORPORATION**

Allen Freifeld  
Samuel A. Wolfe  
Viridity Energy, Inc.  
100 West Elm Street, Suite 410  
Conshohocken, PA 19428  
afreifeld@viridityenergy.com  
swolfe@viridityenergy.com

Jacqueline Lake Roberts,  
Counsel of Record  
101 Federal Street, Suite 1100  
Boston, MA 02110  
jroberts@enernoc.com

**ON BEHALF OF CPOWER, INC., VIRIDITY  
ENERGY, INC., ENERGYCONNECT INC.,  
COMVERGE INC., ENERWISE GLOBAL  
TECHNOLOGIES, INC., AND ENERGY  
CURTAILMENT SPECIALISTS, INC.**

Robert Korandovich  
KOREnergy  
P.O. Box 148  
Sunbury, OH 43074  
korenergy@insight.rr.com

**ON BEHALF OF KOREENERGY**

Benita Kahn  
Lija Kaleps-Clark  
Vorys Sater, Seymour and Pease LLC  
52 East Gay Street, P.O. Box 1008  
Columbus, OH 43216-1008  
bakahn@vorys.com  
lkalepsclark@vorys.com

William Wright  
Werner Margard  
Thomas Lindgren  
John H. Jones  
Assistant Attorneys' General  
Public Utilities Section  
180 East Broad Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215  
john.jones@puc.state.oh.us  
werner.margard@puc.state.oh.us  
thomas.lindgren@puc.state.oh.us  
william.wright@puc.state.oh.us

**ON BEHALF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITIES  
COMMISSION OF OHIO**

Greta See  
Jon Tauber  
Attorney Examiner  
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio  
180 East Broad Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215

**ATTORNEY EXAMINERS**

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**12/30/2011 3:49:34 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 10-2376-EL-UNC, 11-0346-EL-SSO, 11-0348-EL-SSO, 11-0349-EL-AAM, 11-0350-EL-AAM**

Summary: Motion of Industrial Energy Users-Ohio for Orders Modifying the Ohio Power Company's and Columbus Southern Power Company's Revised Implementation Plan and Request for Expedited Ruling and Supporting Memorandum in Support electronically filed by Mr. Frank P Darr on behalf of Industrial Energy Users-Ohio