· / '

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

2011 NOV 22 PH 3: 51

PUCO



FAX TRANSMISSION

DATE • November 22, 2011

PLEASE DELIVER THE FOLLOWING PAGES TO:

FIRM. The Public Utilities Commission

*PHONE* 1-614-466-4095

FAX• 1-614-466-0313

CLIENT/ 30000460-0012

MATTER•

FROM• Emma F. Hand

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES TRANSMITTED, INCLUDING THIS SHEET: 17

MESSAGE :

RE: In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan, Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO; 11-348-EL-SSO; et al

Enclosed for electronic filing is the Motion to Strike of Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation.

Document Originator Emma Hand 202-408-7094.

Original will follow via FedEx.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. Technician R Date Processed 11/22/3011

ł

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company for Authority to Merge and Related Approvals.	) ) ) Case No. 10-2376-EL-UNC ) )
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Scrvice Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143. Revised Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.	) ) ) Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO ) Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO ) )
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.	) ) Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM ) Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM )
'In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company to Amend its Emergency Curtailment Service Riders.	) ) ) Case No. 10-343-EL-ATA ) )
In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Amend its Emergency Curtailment Service Riders.	) ) Case No. 10-344-EL-ATA )
In the Matter of the Commission Review of the Capacity Charges of Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company.	) ) ) Case No. 10-2929-EL-UNC ) )
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company for Approval of a Mechanism to Recover Deferred Fuel Costs Ordered Under Section 4928.144, Ohio Revised Code.	) ) ) Case No. 11-4920-EL-RDR ) )

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Approval of a Mechanism to Recover Deferred Fuel Costs Ordered Under Section 4928.144, Ohio Revised Code.

Case No. 11-4921-EL-RDR

(Consolidated)

## MOTION TO STRIKE OF ORMET PRIMARY ALUMINUM CORPORATION

)

)

Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation ("Ormet"), by its undersigned counsel, respectfully moves the Ohio Public Utilities Commission to strike from the *Joint Reply Brief of the Undersigned Signatory Parties* (1) the last sentence on page 21 beginning with "And, as discussed in detail in the Signatory Parties' Joint Brief, . . ." through the end of the first full paragraph on page 22, ending with ". . . to be treated differently under the Stipulation in this proceeding." and (2) the last full sentence on page 24, starting with "And Ormet's load factor and peak demand . . ." and ending ". . . have not enjoyed." The reasons supporting this motion are set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Emma F. Hand (PHV - 1353-2011) Douglas G. Bonner (PHV - 1363-2011) SNR Denton US LLP 1301 K Street, NW Suite 600, East Tower Washington, DC 20005 Tel: 202-408-6400 Fax: 202-408-6399 emma.hand@snrdenton.com doug.bonner@snrdenton.com

Attorneys for Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation

November 22, 2011

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company for Authority to Merge and Related Approvals.	) ) ) Case No. 10-2376-EL-UI ) )	NC
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143. Revised Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.	) ) ) Case No. 11-346-EL-SSC ) Case No. 11-348-EL-SSC ) ) )	
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.	) ) Case No. 11-349-EL-AA ) Case No. 11-350-EL-AA )	
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company to Amend its Emergency Curtailment Service Riders.	) ) ) Case No. 10-343-EL-AT. ) )	A
In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Amend its Emergency Curtailment Service Riders.	) ) Case No. 10-344-EL-AT. )	A
In the Matter of the Commission Review of the Capacity Charges of Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company.	) ) ) Case No. 10-2929-EL-UI ) )	٩C
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company for Approval of a Mechanism to Recover Deferred Fuel Costs Ordered Under Section 4928.144, Ohio Revised Code.	) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	)R

In the Matter of the Application of	) )
Ohio Power Company for Approval of	2
a Mechanism to Recover Deferred	Case No. 11-4921-EL-RDR
Fuel Costs Ordered Under Section	
4928.144, Ohio Revised Code.	)
(Consolidated)	

## MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ORMET PRIMARY ALUMINUM CORPORATION'S MOTION TO STRIKE

#### INTRODUCTION

In their November 18, 2011 Joint Reply Brief of the Undersigned Signatory Parties ("Signatory Parties' Reply Brief") in this proceeding, the Signatory Parties make several statements that are not supported by the record and attempt to circumvent the bench's ruling regarding the issue of Ormet's kWh tax exemption status. These sections of the Signatory Parties' Reply Brief should be stricken for the same reasons articulated in Ormet's November 15, 2011 motion to strike<sup>1</sup> certain similar sections of the Signatory Parties' Initial Brief.<sup>2</sup>

### ARGUMENT

It is a bedrock principle of administrative law that allegations must be supported by the record of the case. The Commission regularly strikes evidence that was not a part of the record when a party seeks to introduce it in post-hearing briefing as the Signatory Parties have here. *Re United Telephone Co. of Ohio*, No. 07-760, 2008 WL 449797, \*15 (Ohio P.U.C. Feb. 13, 2008) (striking section of post-hearing brief referencing facts not in record); *see OhioTelnet.Com, Inc. v. Ameritech Ohio*, No. 01-2444, 2002 WL 31319425, \*1 (Ohio P.U.C. Aug. 8, 2002) (same). The Signatory Parties should not be permitted to introduce new evidence at this late stage of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Motion to Strike and Memorandum in Support of Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation, filed Nov. 15, 2011 ("First Motion to Strike").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Joint Initial Brief of the Undersigned Signatory Parties, filed Nov. 10, 2011.

2006

proceeding when other parties have no opportunity to test or dispute that evidence through discovery or cross-examination. To give any weight to facts not in the record risks destroying the proper foundation necessary for a decision that can withstand appellate review.

The Commission also regularly strikes irrelevant evidence pursuant to its statutory authority. See, e.g., In re Application of Columbus Southern Power Co., No. 08-917, 2011 WL 3202942, \*3 (Ohio P.U.C. July 9, 2011) (granting AEP Ohio's motion to strike based on relevance); City of Reynoldsburg v. Ohio PUC, No. 08-846, 2011 WL 1428237, \*21 (Ohio P.U.C. April 5, 2011); see also Ohio Rev. Code § 4901-1-27. At issue in this case is whether the rate to be imposed upon Ormet in the proposed Stipulation is unduly discriminatory and prejudicial. Ohio law forbids AEP Ohio from charging different rates to customers for whom it does "a like and contemporaneous service under substantially the same circumstances and conditions." 49 Ohio Rev. Code § 4905.33 and Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. v. Pub. Utils. Comm'n of Ohio, 820 N.E.2d 885, 888 (Ohio 2004).

A "*reasonable* differential or inequality of rates" can only be justified "where such differential is based upon some actual and measurable differences in the furnishing of services to the consumer." *Mahoning Cnty. Townships v. Pub. Utils. Comm'n of Ohio*, 388 N.E.2d 739, 742 (Ohio 1979) (emphasis added). Any evidence not related to whether the rate differential is based upon some actual and measurable difference in the service furnished to Ormet is not relevant to this proceeding. The Commission should strike the passages in the Signatory Parties' Brief in this proceeding that address Ormet's kilowatt hour ("kWh") tax status and its power arrangement history back to 1952 as irrelevant to the issue of whether imposing a rate differential on Ormet going forward constitutes undue and unreasonable prejudice and discrimination.

The following sections of the Signatory Parties' Reply Brief simply are not supported by the record and are irrelevant to any issue in this case: (1) from the last sentence on page 21

SNR DENTON

2007

beginning with "And, as discussed in detail in the Signatory Parties' Joint Brief, ... " through the end of the first full paragraph on page 22, ending with "... to be treated differently under the Stipulation in this proceeding." and (2) the last full sentence on page 24, starting with "And Ormet's load factor and peak demand..." and ending "... have not enjoyed."

## I. The Signatory Parties' Argument Regarding Ormet's Past Contractual Arrangements with AEP Ohio Is Not Relevant to Any Issue Before the Commission in this Proceeding.

As in their Initial Brief, the Signatory Parties argue in their Reply Brief that Ormet's *contractual* history justifies treating Ormet as unique for the purposes of the prospective *tariff* rate at issue in these proceedings. As explained in Ormet's initial motion to strike,<sup>3</sup> Ormet's power contract history is irrelevant to whether the Load Factor Provision ("LFP") in the proposed tariff is unduly discriminatory under the Commission's standards for assessing undue discrimination and is largely unsupported by the record. Courts frequently reject antiquated historical observations like that of Ormet's history as irrelevant to a current analysis of undue discrimination. *Mahoning Cnty. Townships*, 388 N.E.2d at 740, 744(Ohio 1979). Therefore, the Commission should strike the stale, irrelevant argument about the last half-century of Ormet's history.

Furthermore, the Signatory Parties fail to explain how the negotiated, bilateral power agreements they discuss in their brief are relevant to the issue of what *tariff* rate should be applied prospectively to Onnet. Bilateral power agreements are not established through the same procedures as tariffs. Ormet's history of bilateral power agreements is not relevant to any issue in this proceeding. For these reasons and the reasons explained in Ormet's initial motion to strike,<sup>4</sup> the last sentence on page 21 of the Signatory Parties' Reply Brief beginning "And, as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> First Motion to Strike at pp. 5-7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *Id.* at pp. 6-7.

008

discussed in detail. . ." through the first full sentence on page 22 ending ". . . to other customers" should be stricken or, alternatively, given no weight by the Commission.

## II. The Commission Should Strike the Unsupported Factual Assertions that the Signatory Parties' Make to Support their Argument that Ormet is Not Similarly Situated to Other High Load Factor Customers.

There is no support in the record for the first full paragraph on page 22 of the Signatory Parties' Reply Brief, so it must be stricken. In this paragraph, the Signatory Parties argue that Ormet is not similarly situated to other customers because of its kWh tax status and because of its large size. There is no evidence in the record regarding Ormet's tax status or the amount that Ormet pays or does not pay under the kWh tax.<sup>5</sup> There is also no evidence in the record that Ormet's tax status and any benefits it receives thereunder actually distinguish it from parties that benefit from the LFP. Accordingly, the discussion of Ormet's tax status must be stricken as unsupported by evidence in the record. If it is not stricken, then the Commission should not give the argument or its supporting facts any weight in making its determination in this proceeding.

As to the second assertion contained in the paragraph, that Ormet's size makes it unique, the Signatory Parties also cite no record evidence. In support of their assertion, they write "And Ormet itself states that it "has a peak demand of approximately 520 MW, and that its very large size makes Ormet unique." They have, however, grossly misrepresented the statement in Ormet's brief. The complete sentence on page 17 of Ormet's brief reads as follows: "*OEG argues that Ormet* has a peak demand of approximately 520 MW, and that its very large size makes Ormet unique." (emphasis added). The Signatory Parties' reliance on this misrepresentation underscores their lack of record evidence to support their position. Rather than supporting their argument with record evidence, they creatively crop a sentence from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Counsel for the Ohio Energy Group ("OEG") attempted to improperly introduce such evidence in re-direct, and was properly prohibited from doing so by the bench. TR at 267:22-268:15.

SNR DENTON

009

Ormet's brief that paraphrased an argument made by one of the Signatory Parties and outrageously call it an admission by Ormet. They cite no record evidence in support of any assertion made in the first full paragraph on page 22. Accordingly, the Commission should strike the entire paragraph, beginning with "Further, Ormet . . ." and ending with "in this proceeding."

The same problems plague the last full sentence on page 24, which reads "And Ormet's load factor and peak demand have assisted it in securing unique arrangements for its electric service and exemption from the kilowatt hour tax that other customers have not enjoyed." No record evidence addresses whether Ormet's load factor and peak demand assisted in securing unique arrangements for its electric service. Similarly, no evidence in the record relates to Ormet's kilowatt hour tax status or whether any other parties enjoy similar status. Without record support, these statements should all be stricken or, alternatively, given no weight.

In addition to being unsupported by the record, Ormet's kWh tax status is not relevant to any issue in this proceeding. Whether or not Ormet is eligible for a statutorily created tax exemption from the kWh tax is simply unrelated to the relevant issue of whether or not there is a difference in the furnishing of services to Ormet. The Signatory Parties' unsupported posthearing arguments regarding Ormet's eligibility for a tax exemption distract from the relevant inquiry of whether there exist actual and measurable differences between services furnished to Ormet and services furnished to the LFP beneficiaries and should be stricken.

#### CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated above, Ormet respectfully moves the Commission to strike the following sections of the Signatory Parties' Reply Brief: (1) from the last sentence on page 21 beginning with "And, as discussed in detail in the Signatory Parties' Joint Brief, ...." through the end of the first full paragraph on page 22, ending with "... to be treated differently

under the Stipulation in this proceeding." and (2) the last full sentence on page 24, starting with "And Ormet's load factor and peak demand. . ." and ending ". . . have not enjoyed."

En 2.  $\mathcal{O}_{r}$ 

Emma F. Hand (PHV - 1353-2011) Douglas G. Bonner (PHV - 1363-2011) SNR Denton US LLP 1301 K Street, NW Suite 600, East Tower Washington, DC 20005 Tel: 202-408-6400 Fax: 202-408-6399 emma.hand@snrdenton.com doug.bonner@snrdenton.com

Attorneys for Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation

November 22, 2011

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the Motion to Strike and Memorandum in Support of Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation was served by U.S. Mail and email upon counsel identified below for all parties of record this 22nd day of November, 2011.

Em 2. Sol

Emma F. Hand

### SERVICE LIST

Steven T. Nourse Matthew J. Satterwhite American Electric Power Corp. 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 stnourse@aep.com mjsatterwhite@aep.com

Daniel R. Conway Porter Wright Morris & Arthur 41 South High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 dconway@porterwright.com Dorothy K. Corbett Duke Energy Retail Sales 139 East Fourth Street 1303-Main Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 Dorothy.Corbett@duke-energy.com

David F. Boehm Kurt Boehm Michael L. Kurtz Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street. Suite 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 dboehm@bkllawfirm.com mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com Samuel C. Randazzo Joseph E. Oliker Frank P. Darr Vicki L. Leach-Payne Joseph M. Clark McNees Wallace & Nurick 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 sam@mwncmh.com joliker@mwncmh.com fdarr@mwncmh.com

Richard L. Sites Ohio Hospital Association 155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-3620 ricks@ohanet.org

Colleen L. Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lima Street Findlay, Ohio 45840 cmooney2@columbus.rr.com

John W. Bentine Mark S. Yurick Zachary D. Kravitz Matthew S. White Chester Willcox & Saxbe, LLP 65 East State Street, Suite 1000 Columbus, Ohio 43215 jbentine@cwslaw.com myurick@cwslaw.com zkravitz@cwslaw.com Terry L. Etter Maureen R. Grady Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 etter@occ.state.oh.us grady@occ.state.oh.us

Thomas J. O'Brien Teresa Orahood Bricker & Eckler 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291 tobrien@bricker.com torahood@bricker.com

Jay E. Jadwin American Electric Power Service Corporation 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 jejadwin@aep.com

Michael R. Smalz Ohio Poverty Law Center 555 Buttles Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43215 msmalz@ohiopovertylaw.org jmaskovyak@ohiopovertylaw.org

Terrence O'Donnell Christopher Montgomery Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291 todonnell@bricker.com cmontgomery@bricker.com Jesse A. Rodriguez Exelon Generation Company, LLC 300 Exelon Way Kennett Square, Pennsylvania 19348 jesse.rodriguez@exeloncorp.com

Glen Thomas 1060 First Avenue, Ste. 400 King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406 gthomas@gtpowergroup.com

Henry W. Eckhart 2100 Chambers Road, Suite 106 Columbus, Ohio 43212 henrycckhart@aol.com

Christopher L. Miller Gregory H. Dunn Asim Z. Haque Stephen J. Smith C. Todd Jones Schottenstein Zox & Dunn Co., LPA 250 West Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 cmiller@szd.com gdunn@szd.com sjsmith@szd.com Lisa G. McAlister Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291 Imcalister@bricker.com mwarnock@bricker.com

William L. Massey Covington & Burling, LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20004 wmassey@cov.com

Laura Chappelle 4218 Jacob Meadows Okemos, Michigan 48864 laurac@chappelleconsulting.net

Pamela A. Fox Law Director The City of Hilliard, Ohio pfox@hilliardohio.gov

United Way of Jefferson County 501 Washington Street P.O. Box 1463 Steubenville, OH 43952

Sandy I-ru Grace Marianne M. Alvarez Exelon Business Services Company 101 Constitution Avenue N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20001 sandy.grace@exeloncorp.com

Kenneth P. Kreider David A. Meyer Keating Muething & Klekamp PLL One East Fourth Street, Suite 1400 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 kpkreider@kmklaw.com

Holly Rachel Smith Holly Rachel Smith, PLLC Hitt Business Center 3803 Rectortown Road Marshall, Virginia 20115 holly@raysmithlaw.com

John H. Jones Vern Margard Public Utilities Section Ohio Attorney General Mike DeWine 180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 john.jones@puc.state.oh.us werner.margard@puc.state.oh.us Gary A. Jeffries Dominion Resources Services, Inc. 501 Martindale Street, Suite 400 Pittsburgh, PA 15212-5817 gary.a.jeffries@dom.com

Steve W. Chriss Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. 2001 SE l0th Street Bentonville, Arkansas 72716 stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com

Barth E. Royer Bell & Royer Co., LPA 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43215-3927 barthroyer@aol.com

Greg Poulos EnerNOC, Inc. 101 Federal St. Boston, Massachusetts 02110 gpoulos@enernoc.com

Carolyn S. Flahive Terrance A. Mebane Thompson Hine LLP 41 S. High Street, Suite 1700 Columbus, Ohio 43215 Carolyn.Flahive@ThompsonHine.com Terrance.Mebane@ThompsonHine.com Leo Antons 1237 Cisler Dr. Marietta, OH 45750 leoantons@suddenlink.net E. Camille Yancey Nolan Moser Trent A. Dougherty Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201 Columbus, Ohio 43212-3449 camille@theoec.org nolan@theoec.org trent@theoec.org

Mark A. Hayden FirstEnergy Service Company 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308 haydenm@firstenergycorp.com

David A. Kutik Jones Day 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114 dakutik@jonesday.com

Christopher J. Allwein 1373 Grandview Ave. Suite 212 Columbus, OH 43212 wein@williamsandmoser.com Tara C. Santarelli Environmental Law & Policy Center 1207 Grandview Ave., Suite 201 Columbus, Ohio 43212 tsantarelli@elpc.org

James F. Lang Laura C. McBride N. Trevor Alexander Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP 1400 KeyBank Center 800 Superior Ave. Cleveland, OH 44114 jlang@calfee.com Imcbride@calfee.com talexander@calfee.com

Allison E. Haedt Grant W. Garber Jones Day P.O. Box 165017 325 John H. McConnell Boulevard Suite 600 Columbus, Ohio 43216-5017

J. Kennedy And Associates 570 Colonial Park Drive Suite 305 Roswell, GA 30075 Jennifer Duffer Armstrong & Okey, Inc. 222 East Town Street 2nd Floor Columbus, OH 43215 jduffer@ameritech.net

Lija K. Kaieps-Clark M. Howard Petricoff Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease 52 E. Gay St. PO Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216 Ikalepsclark@vorys.com mhpetricoff@vssp.com

Bill Dingus Lawrence Economic Development Corporation P.O. Box 488 South Point, OH 45680-0488

Shannon Fisk 2 North Riverside Plaza Suite 2250 Chicago, IL 60606 sfisk@nrdc.org

Canton Chamber Of Commerce 229 Wells Ave N.W. Canton, OH 44703-1044

Amy Spiller Duke Energy Ohio 139 E. Fourth Street, 1303-Main P.O. Box 961 Cincinnati, OH 45201-0960 Amy.Spiller@Duke-Energy.com

Constellation NewEnergy Inc Cynthia Fonner Brady 550 W Washington Street Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60661 Cynthia.Brady@constellation.com

Denis George Kroger Company 1014 Vine Street-G07 Cincinnati, OH 45202-1100 FirstEnergy Solutions Corp Louis M. D'Alessandris 341 White Pond Drive Akron, OH 44320 Idalessandris@firstenergy.com

Ohio Partners For Affordable Energy David C. Rinebolt 231 West Lima St. P.O. Box 1793 Findlay, OH 45839-1793 drinelbolt@aol.com Steve Howard 52 East Gay St. P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43215 smhoward@.vorys.com

AEP Retail Energy Partners LLC Anne M. Vogel 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 amvogel@aep.com

Shawnee State University 940 Second Street Portsmouth, OH 45662

Mark A. Whitt Carpenter, Lipps & Leleand LLP 280 Plaza, Suite 1300 280 North High Street Columbus OH 43215

Jeffrey Small Jody M. Kyler Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel. 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 small@occ.state.oh.us kyler@occ.state.oh.us

Jacqueline Lake Roberts EnerNOC, Inc. 13212 Haves Corner Road SW Pataskala OH 43062 The Sierra Club 50 West Broad Street #2117 Columbus, OH 43215

Meigs County Commissioners Michael Davenport, President 100 East Second Street Pomeroy, OH 45769

Tuscarawas County 330 University Drive NE New Philadelphia, OH 44663

Paul F. Wight Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP 1440 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20005

Deb J. Bingaham Patti Mallarnee Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485

Philip B. Sineneng Thompson Hine LLP 41 S. High Street, Suite 1700 Columbus, Ohio 43215 Philip.Sineneng@ThompsonHine.com

25396837\V-7