DUKE ENERGY OHIO EXHIBIT

2011 OCT 28 PM 3: 39

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

I HE PUBLIC UTILITIES C		11551UN UF UHIU
PUCO In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan, Accounting Modifications and Tariffs for Generation Service.)))))	Case No. 11-3549-EL-SSO
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Authority to Amend its Certified Supplier Tariff, P.U.C.O. No. 20.)))	Case No. 11-3550-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Authority to Amend its Corporate Separation Plan.)))	Case No. 11-3551-EL-UNC

SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF

JULIA S. JANSON

ON BEHALF OF

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

October 28, 2011

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. Technician ______ Date Processed ________

27

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

I.	INTRODUCTION1
II.	OVERVIEW OF THE STIPULATION2
III.	CRITERIA FOR APROVAL OF A STIPULATION8
IV.	CONCLUSION

I. INTRODUCTION

1 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

A. My name is Julia S. Janson, and my business address is 139 East Fourth Street,
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202.

4 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

- A. I am employed by Duke Energy Business Services LLC (DEBS), as President of
 Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., (Duke Energy Ohio or the Company) and its subsidiary,
 Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. DEBS provides various administrative and other
 services to Duke Energy Ohio and other affiliated companies of Duke Energy
 Corporation (Duke Energy).
- 10 Q. ARE YOU THE SAME JULIA S. JANSON WHO FILED DIRECT
 11 TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING ON JUNE 20, 2011?
- 12 A. Yes.

13 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT 14 TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

15 Α. Through my Supplemental Direct Testimony, I will provide an overview of the 16 Stipulation and Recommendation filed on October 24, 2011, (Stipulation) in 17 respect of Duke Energy Ohio's next electric security plan (ESP). I will also 18 discuss the criteria employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 19 (Commission) when reviewing stipulations. In doing so, my testimony confirms 20 that the Stipulation filed in these proceedings: (1) is the product of serious 21 bargaining among capable, knowledgeable parties; (2) does not violate any 22 important regulatory principle or practice; and, (3) as a package, benefits

JULIA S. JANSON SUPPLEMENTAL

ratepayers and the public interest. Finally, through my Supplemental Direct
 Testimony, I explain that the Stipulation is a fair and reasonable resolution to the
 issues relevant to this proceeding.

II. OVERVIEW OF THE STIPULATION

4 Q. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE SIGNATORY PARTIES TO THE 5 STIPULATION.

6 Α. Thirty-two parties intervened in Duke Energy Ohio's Application for Approval of 7 an ESP, filed under Case No. 11-3549-EL-SSO, et al. (Application). These parties 8 reflect diverse interests and many represent customers in Duke Energy Ohio's 9 service territory. Without intending to be exhaustive, the intervenors in this 10 proceeding include customer organizations (e.g., the Office of the Ohio 11 Consumers' Counsel and the Ohio Energy Group); Duke Energy Ohio customers 12 (e.g., The Kroger Company and Cincinnati Bell Inc.); one of the political 13 subdivisions in which Duke Energy Ohio operates (e.g., the City of Cincinnati); 14 competitive retail electric service providers (e.g., FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. and 15 Constellation NewEnergy); and, wholesale suppliers (e.g., Exelon).

Of these thirty-two intervenors, twenty-nine support the Stipulation and
Recommendation and two take no position. Additionally, and importantly,
Commission Staff is also a signatory party.

19 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF THE ESP AS DETAILED IN 20 THE STIPULATION.

A. To appreciate the ESP as detailed in the Stipulation, I believe it is important to
first briefly discuss the plan as proposed by the Company in its Application. In

JULIA S. JANSON SUPPLEMENTAL

1 2 doing so, it becomes evident how the ESP memorialized in the Stipulation satisfies the three criteria delineated above.

3 On June 20, 2011, Duke Energy Ohio proposed a long-term ESP pursuant 4 to which generation service would be supplied under a bifurcated pricing model. 5 Under this proposed, nine-year and five-month plan, Duke Energy Ohio would 6 have utilized competitive auctions to procure all of the energy supply needed to 7 serve its standard service offer (SSO) customers. Capacity - the other aspect of 8 generation service – would have been supplied by the Company for all customers 9 in our service territory based upon Duke Energy Ohio's cost of service (i.e., costs 10 associated with supplying our own capacity and applicable market purchases), 11 including a reasonable rate of return. A profit sharing mechanism was 12 incorporated into the proposed ESP, intended, in large part, to offset the costs of 13 capacity. This mechanism also would have served to fund an economic 14 development initiative. The proposed ESP also made provision for various riders, 15 related both to generation service and distribution service. In his Direct 16 Testimony, Company witness William Don Wathen Jr. identified the various 17 riders proposed in Duke Energy Ohio's Application.

Pursuant to the Stipulation and Recommendation, Duke Energy Ohio and the other signatory parties have agreed upon an ESP that incorporates some of the proposals set forth in our Application, although with some modification. The resulting ESP is one that relies upon competitive market structures for both energy and capacity, provides stability and certainty in respect of generation service, establishes opportunities in the renewable energy sector, guarantees

JULIA S. JANSON SUPPLEMENTAL

1 2 funding for various initiatives relevant to our customers, and fulfills the legislature's decade-old objective of complete corporate separation.

3 As set forth in the Stipulation and Recommendation, Duke Energy Ohio 4 will operate under an ESP of shorter duration than that proposed in the 5 Application. Specifically, the ESP will commence on January 1, 2012, and will 6 expire on May 31, 2015. The ESP employs a competitive bidding process (CBP) 7 for purposes of the supply needed to provide Duke Energy Ohio's customers with 8 an SSO. And as both energy and capacity are products within the auctions to be 9 conducted over the term of the ESP, generation service will be priced pursuant to 10 functioning competitive markets.

11 Relevant to the supply of capacity, I note Duke Energy Ohio's election to 12 operate as a Fixed Resource Requirements (FRR) entity in PJM Interconnection, 13 LLC (PJM). Pursuant to this election, Duke Energy Ohio is committed, for a term, 14 to supply all of the capacity for customers in our footprint. This term begins on 15 January 1, 2012, and, in accordance with a PJM tariff, expires on May 31, 2016. 16 Upon the expiration of this FRR term and absent the Stipulation and 17 Recommendation herein, Duke Energy Ohio would have had the ability to 18 continue its participation as an FRR entity and, by extension, the opportunity to 19 seek recovery of capacity costs based upon its costs, pursuant to PJM's tariff.

In the Stipulation and Recommendation, the parties recognized Duke Energy Ohio's obligations as an FRR entity and, for the term of the ESP, Duke Energy Ohio will supply capacity resources to PJM, which, in turn, will charge wholesale suppliers for capacity. But the charge applicable to these wholesale

JULIA S. JANSON SUPPLEMENTAL

suppliers will not reflect Duke Energy Ohio's costs of service as defined above.
Rather, the charge will be predicated upon PJM's capacity market pricing
structure. To clarify, Duke Energy Ohio bears the obligation to provide the
capacity resources necessary to serve all customers in our footprint for the term of
the ESP and the Company will be compensated for capacity resources based upon
competitive PJM prices.

7 Although, pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation, Duke Energy Ohio's 8 ESP expires on May 31, 2015, prior to the expiration of the FRR plan, the 9 signatory parties also made provision in the Stipulation and Recommendation for 10 Duke Energy Ohio to pursue an early departure from that FRR plan. Specifically, 11 Duke Energy Ohio will seek to exit the FRR plan one year early, such that it may 12 participate in PJM's Reliability Pricing Model (RPM) and Base Residual Auction 13 (BRA) for the 2015/2016 planning year. To the extent Duke Energy Ohio makes a 14 filing at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) in respect of this 15 early termination, the signatory parties have agreed not to challenge or contest 16 such filing or otherwise delay its resolution. In the event Duke Energy Ohio is 17 unable to exit its FRR plan early, it will provide PJM with the requisite notice 18 such that it will participate in the RPM and BRA for the 2016/2017 planning year. 19 These provisions reflect a significant departure from Duke Energy Ohio's 20 proposal, in its Application, to seek recovery of its costs to provide capacity and a 21 rate of return.

The ESP, as detailed in the Stipulation, also recognizes our customers' right to choose their generation supplier. In this regard and consistent with the

JULIA S. JANSON SUPPLEMENTAL

interaction between Duke Energy Ohio and wholesale suppliers, competitive retail
electric service (CRES) providers will also be charged for capacity based upon
PJM's capacity market pricing structure. Significantly, therefore, all suppliers will
be charged the same rate for capacity during the term of this ESP, with the limited
exception of those CRES providers that opted out of the Company's FRR plan for
the first five months of 2012.

7 The CBP plan to be employed by Duke Energy Ohio under the ESP is 8 largely the same as the process detailed in the Application. However, there have 9 been refinements to the CBP that will yield consistency across the state in regard 10 to competitive procurements for retail supply, while inviting dialogue between the 11 Company and interested parties on how the process may be improved. Further, 12 unlike the CBP provided for under R.C. 4928.142, the signatory parties here made 13 provision for Commission Staff to participate in future selections of the 14 independent auction manager.

15 The ESP also reflects financial commitments from Duke Energy Ohio for 16 important initiatives related to economic development and serving low-income 17 customers. Indeed, our customers enrolled in the Percentage of Income Payment 18 Plan (PIPP), who are precluded from participating in Customer Choice on an 19 individual basis, are afforded the confirmed benefit of a discounted price for 20 generation service. Pursuant to an agreement between the Company and 21 intervenor FirstEnergy Solutions, our PIPP customers will receive a guaranteed 5 22 percent reduction in their price to compare, as determined without reference to 23 charges applicable to Rider AER-R (relating to alternative energy resource

JULIA S. JANSON SUPPLEMENTAL

requirements). Further, the ESP incorporates an Electric Services Stability Charge
 (Rider ESSC) that is designed to ensure stability and certainty in respect of both
 pricing and supply under the ESP.

4 Under the ESP, Duke Energy Ohio has also made various commitments to 5 CRES providers, thereby providing both immediate and long-term benefits for 6 these providers and, ultimately, customers. By way of example, under the 7 Stipulation, Duke Energy Ohio will reduce its switching fee, remove existing 8 limitations for participation in the Company's Purchase of Accounts Receivable 9 Program, and revise its proposed Rider UE-GEN (relating to generation 10 uncollectible expense) to enable qualifying switched customers to avoid the 11 charges under said rider.

12 The Stipulation, if approved in its entirety, will result in the full legal 13 separation of Duke Energy Ohio's generation business from its distribution and 14 transmission businesses. More specifically, under the ESP, Duke Energy Ohio 15 will transfer generating assets, both operational and retired, to an affiliate or 16 subsidiary, no later than December 31, 2014, thereby realizing the intent of the 17 legislature to implement a separate and fully functioning market for generation 18 service in Ohio. Duke Energy Ohio witness Charles R. Whitlock discusses the 19 transfer of the generating assets, as contemplated under the Stipulation, in his 20 Direct Testimony.

As described in the Stipulation, Duke Energy Ohio is withdrawing certain of the riders proposed in its Application, including Rider DR (incremental distribution reliability). But any withdrawal of riders will not compromise the

JULIA S. JANSON SUPPLEMENTAL

1 Company's level of service or ongoing initiatives. Rather, acknowledgement has 2 been made in the Stipulation as to the continued existence of Rider DR-IM 3 (SmartGrid deployment) and enhancements to our compliance of the state's 4 alternative energy resource requirements. In his Supplemental Direct Testimony, 5 Mr. Wathen details the various riders that will be implemented in respect of the 6 ESP.

III. <u>CRITERIA FOR APROVAL</u> <u>OF A STIPULATION</u>

7 Q. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE CRITERIA USED BY THE COMMISSION IN 8 REVIEWING A STIPULATION.

9 A. As I understand, the Commission will approve a stipulation when it (1) is the
10 product of serious bargaining among capable, knowledgeable parties; (2) does not
11 violate any important regulatory principle or practice; and, (3) as a package,
12 benefits ratepayers and the public interest.

13 Q. DOES THE STIPULATION REPRESENT THE PRODUCT OF SERIOUS

14 BARGAINING AMONG CAPABLE, KNOWEDGEABLE PARTIES?

A. Yes. The capability and knowledge of the parties and their counsel is readily
apparent. The signatory parties regularly participate in rate proceedings before the
Commission, are very knowledgeable in regulatory matters, and were represented
by experienced, competent counsel. Furthermore, the signatory parties represent a
broad range of interests.

Having personally participated in the process that culminated in the Stipulation, I can confirm that all of the issues raised by the signatory parties in this proceeding were thoroughly reviewed and addressed during negotiations and,

JULIA S. JANSON SUPPLEMENTAL

despite the divergent interests among them, all parties had an opportunity to
 express their opinions in the negotiating process.

3 I would also remark on the amount of time and number of resources 4 devoted to debating and developing the ESP as reflected in the Stipulation. 5 Between August 2, 2011, and October 24, 2011, numerous settlement conferences 6 were held between the parties to this proceeding. In the weeks leading up to the 7 filing of the Stipulation, discussions were held almost daily. Parties having out-8 of-state counsel were given an opportunity to participate via telephone to ensure 9 that the negotiations were inclusive. And, in those discussions, parties and Staff 10 had the benefit of able counsel as well as subject matter experts in areas 11 including, but not limited to, competitive procurements, wholesale capacity 12 markets, retail competition, and rate design.

Further, as I detailed above, the settlement discussions resulted in numerous, beneficial modifications and compromises, thereby confirming that serious bargaining was most certainly occurring at the numerous meetings.

For all of these reasons, I believe that the Stipulation is a compromise resulting from those negotiations and, therefore, represents a product of the efforts of capable, knowledgeable parties.

19Q.DOESTHESTIPULATIONVIOLATEANYIMPORTANT20REGULATORY PRINCIPLE OR PRACTICE?

A. No. Based upon my experience, involvement in this proceeding, and review of the
 Stipulation, I believe that it complies with all relevant and important principles
 and practices. Importantly, the Stipulation furthers important regulatory principles

and practices through the advancement of the competitive market in Ohio and specifically in the Company's service territory by, among other things embracing a full competitive auction SSO and the full legal separation of generating assets from the distribution utility. Mr. Wathen further elaborates on this point in his Supplemental Direct Testimony with respect to the benefits of the rate design agreed upon by the signatory parties.

7 Q. DOES THE STIPULATION BENEFIT CONSUMERS AND THE PUBLIC 8 INTEREST?

9 A. Yes. As I set forth and as agreed by the signatory parties, the Stipulation provides
10 several significant benefits across all of our customer groups and for other
11 interested stakeholders, while advancing and remaining consistent with state
12 policy.

A. <u>The ESP is better, in the aggregate, than the expected</u> results under the market rate offer.

As discussed by Mr. Wathen and reflected in Stipulation Attachment D, the ESP is, in the aggregate, more favorable than the expected results under the market rate offer (MRO) provisions set forth in R.C. 4982.142. With regard to this conclusion, I would also remark that the ESP will afford our average residential SSO customers an approximate 11 percent reduction from their current SSO rates, effective January 1, 2012.

Furthermore, the ESP makes provision for financial benefits for our customers that are not contemplated under the MRO provisions. For example, under the ESP, and as detailed in the Stipulation, Duke Energy Ohio will contribute \$1,000,000 in 2012 to support economic development efforts in our

JULIA S. JANSON SUPPLEMENTAL

1 service territory. The Company has further committed to contributing a total of 2 \$1,350,000 in 2012 toward low-income weatherization programs, pursuant to 3 partnerships that we have with intervenors, People Working Cooperatively and the Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy (OPAE). We are also making a 4 5 contribution of \$350,000 in the first year of the ESP for a fuel fund administered 6 by OPAE. These contributions, which will not be recovered in Duke Energy 7 Ohio's rates, will be renewed in 2013 and 2014 provided the Company exceeds a 8 certain earnings threshold.

9 To further promote economic development, the Stipulation incorporates a 10 load factor adjustment for our high load factor customers that tend to compete on 11 a national or global scale. Mr. Wathen details the mechanics of this adjustment in 12 his Supplemental Direct Testimony.

As I discussed above, the ESP also provides an undeniable benefit to our
customers enrolled in PIPP by affording them a confirmed discount from their
price to compare for the term of the ESP.

B. <u>The ESP perpetuates fully functioning competitive markets</u>, <u>at both the wholesale and retail levels</u>.

16 Under the ESP described in the Stipulation, Duke Energy Ohio will 17 implement competitive wholesale auctions for purposes of procuring the supply 18 necessary to serve its SSO load. During the term of this ESP, the auction products 19 will include energy, capacity, market-based transmission service, and market-20 based ancillary services.

21 As I discussed above, because of Duke Energy Ohio's status as an FRR 22 entity, it will supply capacity resources to PJM, which, in turn, will charge wholesale suppliers for the capacity that is included in the auctions.
Notwithstanding the charging mechanism for capacity, it is a product of the
auction that will be provided at transparent and known pricing. As such, the
reasonably competitive nature of the auction results will be readily discernible,
thereby providing the Commission and our customers with the assurance of a
robust and fair CBP.

7 The procurement process incorporated into and a part of Duke Energy 8 Ohio's ESP ensures that the competitive markets envisioned by the legislature 9 more than a decade ago will be realized. Indeed, the signatory parties have made a 10 commitment, in this Stipulation, to employ competitive procurement 11 methodologies here, under this ESP, as well as under the Company's next SSO. 12 This agreement represents the recognition by all of the signatory parties of the 13 benefits afforded by a process that results in competitive rates for generation 14 service.

15 Confirmation as to how generation service will be procured and priced 16 over the next several years affords customers certainty. Indeed, non-residential 17 customers will be able to develop and execute on business plans, taking into 18 account the process for pricing generation service. And the staggered auction 19 format will necessarily inject stability in the prices for energy and capacity.

The confirmation of wholesale competition also ensures the perpetuation of competition at the retail level. As Duke Energy Ohio will be providing an SSO via a competitive bid process, CRES providers are assured a market in which to invest and operate over years to come.

> JULIA S. JANSON SUPPLEMENTAL 12

1 And through the Stipulation, the competitive market has been enhanced 2 pursuant to various commitments related to Duke Energy Ohio's tariffs and 3 systems relevant to Customer Choice. By way of example, we have committed to 4 working with Commission Staff and CRES providers to develop a web-based 5 system that will result in the ability of suppliers to automatically – and thus 6 quickly – retrieve information critical to their businesses. The system will be 7 secure and in conformance with all applicable rules and regulations in respect of 8 customer privacy. Further, in the interests of advancing competition, the 9 Company has agreed to reduce both switching fees and charges for bill-ready 10 billing.

11 Another benefit to Customer Choice, and the ability of customers to select 12 their generation supplier, is reflected in the Stipulation provision relating to Rider 13 UE-GEN. Mr. Wathen details the purpose for this rider in his Supplemental Direct 14 Testimony but I mention it briefly here, as the terms of the rider have resulted in 15 the removal of certain restrictions related to Customer Choice. Specifically, Duke 16 Energy Ohio will remove from its applicable tariffs the arrearage-related 17 restrictions that prohibited affected customers from enrolling with a CRES 18 provider that participated in Duke Energy Ohio's Purchase of Accounts 19 Receivable Program. In short, to the extent these limitations functioned as barriers 20 to participation in Customer Choice, they have been removed.

21 Both the wholesale and retail markets will benefit from the various 22 commitments reflected in the Stipulation, with our customers being the ultimate beneficiaries of vigorous competitive offerings for electric supply from diverse
 suppliers.

C. The ESP provides for a stable distribution utility.

As I understand, in its regulation of utilities, the Commission will consider customers' expectation of reasonable rates, the utilities' financial viability, and the competition. The first and third of these considerations are discussed above and here, I address the second.

7 The Commission has recently acknowledged that stability and certainty 8 are important under the law, both from the perspective of customers and from the 9 perspective of the distribution utility and its investors. Rider ESSC, as agreed to 10 by the signatory parties, is intended to ensure the availability of adequate, reliable, 11 and reasonably priced electricity supply and rate stability and certainty in respect 12 of retail electric service. The amount is further intended to protect the Company's 13 financial integrity and ensure that the overall revenue under the ESP is adequate 14 to Duke Energy Ohio in its provision of an SSO. As I understand, Rider ESSC is 15 permitted and authorized under R.C. 4928.143(B)(2)(d) as stabilizing and 16 providing certainty in regard to retail electric service. I further understand that 17 Rider ESSC is consistent with the Commission's authority under R.C. 18 4928.143(B)(1), which authorizes an ESP to include provisions relating to the 19 supply and pricing of generation service. (See, e.g., In the Matter of the 20 Application of Columbus Southern Power Company for Approval of an Electric 21 Security Plan; an Amendment to its Corporate Separation Plan; and the Sale or

JULIA S. JANSON SUPPLEMENTAL

Transfer of Certain Generating Assets, Case No. 08-917-EL-SSO, *et al.*, Order on
 Remand, October 3, 2011.)

D. <u>The ESP encourages the development of</u> renewable resources in Ohio.

3 As detailed in the Stipulation, the signatory parties have recognized the 4 potential for new generating resources in Ohio. Specific to renewable resources, 5 the signatory parties agreed that the Company's proposed Rider AER-R will not 6 expire on May 31, 2015. Rather, this rider will persist, thereby allowing for the 7 recovery, in the future, of all reasonably and prudently incurred costs associated 8 with the state's alternative energy resource (AER) requirements. The significance 9 of not terminating this rider after a shorter period, such as the term of the ESP, is 10 that representatives of the renewable industries have an indication that longer-11 term arrangements or contracts with Duke Energy Ohio could materialize.

12 The Stipulation, however, is not limited to opportunities for commercial 13 participants in the renewable energy sector. Rather, provision has been made to 14 further develop Duke Energy Ohio's existing residential solar renewable energy 15 credit (SREC) program through collaborative discussion. Specifically, Duke 16 Energy Ohio will partner with interested parties to discuss modifications to our 17 existing SREC program intended to increase participation in said program. And to 18 the extent the parties are unable to agree on the appropriate modifications, the 19 Commission will retain the final authority to so decide, based upon review of the 20 parties' respective proposed revisions.

E. <u>The ESP mandates the divestiture of</u> <u>Duke Energy Ohio's generating assets.</u>

1		The ESP provided for in the Stipulation ensures the full, legal separation
2		of Duke Energy Ohio's legacy generation business, on the one hand, from its
3		distribution and transmission businesses, on the other. As I stated earlier,
4		Company witness Whitlock discusses the transfer of the generating assets in his
5		Direct Testimony.
6	Q.	IS THE STIPULATION A JUST AND REASONABLE RESOLUTION OF
7		THE ISSUES?
8	A.	Yes. As described above and in the testimony of other Company witnesses, the
9		Stipulation affords benefits to our customers and the public and is consistent with
10		established regulatory principles and practices. The Stipulation represents a timely
11		and efficient resolution of all of the issues in this proceeding, after thoughtful
12		deliberation and discussion by the parties.
13	Q.	ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE POLICIES OF THE STATE OF OHIO,
14		AS SET FORTH IN SENATE BILL 221, WHICH WAS PASSED IN 2008?
15	А.	I am familiar with the state policies articulated in R.C. 4928.02. I am also aware
16		that the Ohio Supreme Court recently described these policies as guidelines for
17		the Commission to weigh in evaluating an electric distribution utility's SSO
18		application.
19	Q.	DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THE ESP, AS DETAILED IN THE
20		STIPULATION, ADVANCES STATE POLICIES?
21	А.	Yes. And, as set forth in the Stipulation, the signatory parties also agree that state
22		policy is advanced under the Company's ESP.

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE ESP ADVANCES THE STATE POLICY
 TO ENSURE THE AVAILABILITY TO CONSUMERS OF ADEQUATE,
 RELIABLE, SAFE, EFFICIENT, NONDISCRIMINATORY, AND
 REASONABLY PRICED RETAIL ELECTRIC SERVICE.

5 Α. Duke Energy Ohio's ESP will ensure that our customers have a reliable and 6 sufficient supply of electric generation. By committing to an auction format for 7 the procurement of supply, the Company's plan will provide competitively priced 8 products. As I stated in my Direct Testimony, Duke Energy Ohio will remain the 9 distribution service company for customers and thus will have the same 10 obligations related to reliable service, safety, and nondiscrimination that it has 11 now. For all of these reasons, the ESP advances state policy regarding adequacy, 12 reliability, safety, efficiency, nondiscrimination, and reasonable pricing in the 13 supply of electric service.

14 PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE ESP ADVANCES THE STATE POLICY Q. 15 TO **ENSURE** THE AVAILABILITY OF UNBUNDLED AND 16 **COMPARABLE RETAIL ELECTRIC SERVICE THAT PROVIDES** 17 CONSUMERS WITH THE SUPPLIER, PRICE, TERMS, CONDITIONS, 18 **QUALITY OPTIONS THEY ELECT TO MEET** AND THEIR 19 **RESPECTIVE NEEDS.**

A. The state of Ohio has determined that competition in the supply of retail generation service is important. And pursuant to the ESP detailed in the Stipulation, customers will retain access to diverse suppliers, thereby ensuring their statutory right to choose suppliers. For those customers who remain with

JULIA S. JANSON SUPPLEMENTAL

1 Duke Energy Ohio's SSO, the ESP provides a measure of price stability by 2 establishing prices for energy and capacity that are derived from staggered 3 procurements.

4 Under the ESP, as detailed in the Stipulation, generation service from 5 Duke Energy Ohio remains unbundled and separate from transmission and 6 distribution service.

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE ESP ADVANCES THE STATE POLICY
TO ENSURE DIVERSITY OF ELECTRIC SUPPLIES AND SUPPLIERS
AND BY ENCOURAGING DEVELOPMENT OF DISTRIBUTED AND
SMALL GENERATION FACILITIES.

A. Customers will continue to have the opportunity to switch to a CRES provider for
their generation supply needs. Duke Energy Ohio currently has many active
CRES providers in its service territory – whether owners of generation assets or
not – and does not anticipate a diminution in the CRES providers' ability to
operate in the Duke Energy Ohio territory. Abundant diversity in supplies and
suppliers, currently present in our territory, will continue to exist.

Further, under the ESP, Duke Energy Ohio will rely upon the competitive market to obtain the resources needed for its SSO load via an independent CBP plan. This provides competitive suppliers with a new opportunity to sell their output.

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE ESP ADVANCES THE STATE POLICY TO ENCOURAGE INNOVATION AND MARKET ACCESS FOR COST EFFECTIVE SUPPLY AND DEMAND-SIDE RETAIL ELECTRIC

SERVICE, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, DEMAND-SIDE 1 2 MANAGEMENT, TIME-DIFFERENTIATED **PRICING**, AND 3 IMPLEMENTATION OF ADVANCED METERING INFRASTRUCTURE. 4 Α. Duke Energy Ohio's proposed ESP will not affect its obligations to meet energy 5 efficiency and demand-side management standards required under Ohio law. 6 Consistent with the Stipulation, Duke Energy Ohio will continue to explore all 7 cost-effective energy efficiency offerings to meet the statutory thresholds 8 established under Ohio law and will continue deployment of our SmartGrid 9 program. Further, the Company will continue our retail Real Time Pricing 10 Program. Company witness Wathen discusses available pricing options in his 11 Supplemental Direct Testimony.

12 0. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED ESP 13 ADVANCES THE STATE POLICY TO ENCOURAGE COST-14 AND EFFICIENT ACCESS TO **INFORMATION** EFFECTIVE 15 **REGARDING THE OPERATION OF THE TRANSMISSION AND** 16 DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS OF ELECTRIC UTILITIES IN ORDER TO 17 PROMOTE BOTH EFFECTIVE CUSTOMER CHOICE OF RETAIL 18 ELECTRIC SERVICE AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF PERFORMANCE 19 STANDARDS AND TARGETS FOR SERVICE QUALITY FOR ALL CONSUMERS, INCLUDING ANNUAL ACHIEVEMENT REPORTS 20 21 WRITTEN IN PLAIN LANGUAGE.

A. As I noted in my Direct Testimony, the state of Ohio has determined that costeffective and efficient access to information regarding transmission and

JULIA S. JANSON SUPPLEMENTAL

1 distribution system operation is vital to effective customer choice and the 2 development of appropriate performance standards and targets for service quality, 3 with annual reports to be in plain language. Duke Energy Ohio provides free information concerning its delivery services, available both on paper and 4 5 electronically, thereby supplying consumers with information that they might need in order to make effective and appropriate choices. Duke Energy Ohio has 6 7 also complied with all Commission requirements regarding performance 8 standards and service quality targets and commits to preparing annual 9 achievement reports in plain language and the ESP detailed in the Stipulation will 10 not impact these issues.

11Q.PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE ESP ADVANCES THE STATE POLICY12TO ENSURE THAT AN ELECTRIC UTILITY'S TRANSMISSION AND13DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS ARE AVAILABLE TO A CUSTOMER-14GENERATOR OR OWNER OF DISTRIBUTED GENERATOR, SO THAT15THE CUSTOMER-GENERATOR OR OWNER CAN MARKET AND16DELIVER THE ELECTRICITY IT PRODUCES.

A. The Stipulation does not disrupt Duke Energy Ohio's existing tariffs for
interconnections or net metering. Consequently, customer generators will still
have access to Duke Energy Ohio's system.

20 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE ESP ADVANCES THE STATE POLICY

- 21 TO RECOGNIZE THE CONTINUING EMERGENCE OF COMPETITIVE
- 22 ELECTRICITY MARKETS THROUGH THE DEVELOPMENT AND
- 23 IMPLEMENTATION OF FLEXIBLE REGULATORY TREATMENT.

1 A. The ESP not only recognizes but ensures competitive markets in southwest Ohio. 2 Both the agreed-upon CBP plan for procuring the supply needed to serve Duke 3 Energy Ohio's SSO customers and the enhancements to participation in retail 4 choice function to perpetuate competition in Duke Energy Ohio's service 5 territory.

PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE ESP ADVANCES THE STATE POLICY 6 0. 7 TO ENSURE EFFECTIVE COMPETITION IN THE PROVISION OF **RETAIL ELECTRIC SERVICE BY AVOIDING ANTI-COMPETITIVE** 8 9 SUBSIDIES FLOWING FROM A NON-COMPETITIVE RETAIL 10 ELECTRIC SERVICE TO A COMPETITIVE RETAIL ELECTRIC 11 SERVICE OR TO A PRODUCT OR SERVICE OTHER THAN RETAIL 12 ELECTRIC SERVICE, AND VICE VERSA. INCLUDING BY 13 PROHIBITING THE RECOVERY OF ANY GENERATION-RELATED 14 COSTS THROUGH DISTRIBUTION OR TRANSMISSION RATES.

15 The riders established under the ESP do not result in the recovery of generation-Α. 16 related costs through distribution or transmission rates. Further, explicit provision 17 has been made in the Stipulation to guard against the threat, albeit remote, of 18 improper cross-subsidies. Indeed, Duke Energy Ohio has committed that it will 19 not participate in the CBP plan for the first three years of the ESP. Rather, the 20 energy associated with Duke Energy Ohio's directly owned generating assets will 21 be sold into the day-ahead markets or pursuant to bilateral contracts. Further, the 22 transfer of the Company's generating assets and the commitment to implement

> JULIA S. JANSON SUPPLEMENTAL 21

1 full corporate separation ensure a structure pursuant to which effective 2 competition will result.

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE ESP ADVANCES THE STATE POLICY TO ENSURE RETAIL ELECTRIC SERVICE CONSUMERS PROTECTION AGAINST UNREASONABLE SALES PRACTICES, MARKET DEFICIENCIES, AND MARKET POWER.

A. At the retail or state level, the Commission will have oversight of the CBP plan
and thus will be positioned to detect and remedy any unreasonable sales practices.
Further, Duke Energy Ohio has secured an independent third party to serve as the
auction manager, thereby creating a level playing field for all auction participants.

11 At the wholesale level, Duke Energy Ohio is currently a member of the 12 Midwest Independent System Operator, Inc., and is realigning its regional 13 transmission organization (RTO) membership with PJM, effective January 1, 14 2012. PJM is a FERC-approved RTO and has independent market monitors 15 whose primary responsibility is to ensure there is no market power and to take 16 actions to mitigate the development of any such market power. Duke Energy 17 Ohio will continue to be subject to the Commission's jurisdiction and will 18 continue to be a member of a FERC-approved RTO after the ESP is approved.

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE ESP ADVANCES THE STATE POLICY
 TO PROVIDE COHERENT, TRANSPARENT MEANS OF GIVING
 APPROPRIATE INCENTIVES TO TECHNOLOGIES THAT CAN
 ADAPT SUCCESSFULLY TO POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL
 MANDATES.

A. As I discussed above, the ESP includes provisions related to the renewable
 energy industry and compliance with the state's AER requirements. Further, with
 the implementation of a CBP plan, it is the market that will determine – and thus
 provide incentive for – appropriate generation investment. Our customers will
 benefit from these market forces via presumptively reasonable wholesale auction
 prices.

7 0. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE ESP ADVANCES THE STATE POLICY **IMPLEMENTATION** 8 TO OF DISTRIBUTED ENCOURAGE 9 GENERATION ACROSS CUSTOMER CLASSES THROUGH THE 10 **REGULAR REVIEW AND UPDATING OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULES** 11 GOVERNING CRITICAL ISSUES SUCH AS, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, 12 INTERCONNECTION STANDARDS, STANDBY CHARGES, AND NET 13 **METERING.**

A. This particular state policy relates to the review and, as applicable, revision of
various administrative rules, which cannot be initiated by the Company. Rather,
the Commission would initiate such a process. That said, I would note that Duke
Energy Ohio will continue to participate in the Commission's rule review
proceedings.

19 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE ESP ADVANCES THE STATE POLICY
20 TO PROTECT AT-RISK POPULATIONS, INCLUDING, BUT NOT
21 LIMITED TO, WHEN CONSIDERING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF
22 ANY NEW ADVANCED ENERGY OR RENEWABLE ENERGY
23 RESOURCE.

A. As I detailed above, the ESP provides significant benefit to our customers,
including at-risk populations. Not intending to duplicate my prior testimony, I
reference here the following: (1) staggered procurements for generation service
that will result in stable rates predicated upon competitive market pricing; (2)
removal of existing barriers to participation in Customer Choice; (3) confirmed
discount for PIPP customers; (4) concerted effort to expand participation in our
SREC program; and, (5) funding commitments.

8 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE ESP ADVANCES THE STATE POLICY 9 TO ENCOURAGE THE EDUCATION OF SMALL BUSINESS OWNERS 10 IN THIS STATE REGARDING THE USE OF, AND ENCOURAGE THE 11 USE OF, ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS AND ALTERNATIVE 12 ENERGY RESOURCES IN THEIR BUSINESSES.

- A. Consistent with the Stipulation, Duke Energy Ohio and the Council of Smaller
 Enterprises (COSE) will partner to educate small and mid-sized businesses in the
 Duke Energy Ohio service territory on energy efficiency. To further this process,
 COSE will join Duke Energy Ohio's Energy Efficiency Collaborative and
 subsequently provide input in respect of the design of energy efficiency products.
- 18 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE ESP ADVANCES THE STATE POLICY
 19 TO FACILITATE THE STATE'S EFFECTIVENESS IN THE GLOBAL
 20 ECONOMY.
- A. Under the ESP, competitive markets are preserved in southwest Ohio, thereby
 inviting new market participants into the state. Further, various rate mechanisms
 will yield benefits for our large industrial customers that serve as integral

JULIA S. JANSON SUPPLEMENTAL

1 members of our communities, in terms of employment, corporate presence, and 2 philanthropic involvement. Moreover, Duke Energy Ohio has committed to 3 working with our local hospitals in the areas of reliability, energy efficiency, and 4 service quality, all of which will contribute to the continued availability of quality 5 medical resources in southwest Ohio.

.

IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

- 6 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT
 7 TESTIMONY?
- 8 A. Yes.