

FILE

36

BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of  
Duke Energy Ohio for Authority to  
Establish a Standard Service Offer  
Pursuant to Section 4928.143,  
Revised Code, in the Form of an  
Electric Security Plan, Accounting  
Modifications and Tariffs for  
Generation Service.

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

Case No. 11-3549-EL-SSO

In the Matter of the Application of  
Duke Energy Ohio for Authority to  
Amend its Certified Supplier  
Tariff, P.U.C.O. No. 20.

)  
)  
)  
)

Case No. 11-3550-EI-ATA

In the Matter of the Application of  
Duke Energy Ohio for Authority To  
Amend its Corporate Separation Plan.

)  
)  
)

Case No. 11-3551-EL-UNC

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KEVIN C. HIGGINS

On Behalf of The Kroger Co.

2011 OCT 28 AM 11:36

PUCO

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

October 28, 2011

This is to certify that the images appearing are an  
accurate and complete reproduction of a case file  
document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician TD Date Processed 10-28-11

1                                   **DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KEVIN C. HIGGINS**

2    **Introduction**

3    **Q.     Please state your name and business address.**

4    A.           Kevin C. Higgins, 215 South State Street, Suite 200, Salt Lake City,  
5           Utah, 84111.

6    **Q.     By whom are you employed and in what capacity?**

7    A.           I am a Principal in the firm of Energy Strategies, LLC. Energy  
8           Strategies is a private consulting firm specializing in economic and policy  
9           analysis applicable to energy production, transportation, and consumption.

10   **Q.     On whose behalf are you testifying in this proceeding?**

11   A.           My testimony is being sponsored by The Kroger Co. ("Kroger").  
12           Kroger is one of the largest grocers in the United States. Reliable and  
13           reasonably priced electricity is an integral component of Kroger's grocer  
14           operations. Kroger has over 65 facilities served by Duke Energy Ohio  
15           ("Duke") that collectively consume over 255 million kWh per year. Kroger  
16           takes most of its service under the DS, DP, and TS rate schedules.

17   **Q.     Please describe your professional experience and qualifications.**

18   A.           My academic background is in economics, and I have completed all  
19           coursework and field examinations toward a Ph.D. in Economics at the  
20           University of Utah. In addition, I have served on the adjunct faculties of  
21           both the University of Utah and Westminster College, where I taught  
22           undergraduate and graduate courses in economics from 1981 to 1995. I  
23           joined Energy Strategies in 1995, where I assist private and public sector

1 clients in the areas of energy-related economic and policy analysis,  
2 including evaluation of electric and gas utility rate matters.

3 Prior to joining Energy Strategies, I held policy positions in state  
4 and local government. From 1983 to 1990, I was economist, then  
5 assistant director, for the Utah Energy Office, where I helped develop and  
6 implement state energy policy. From 1991 to 1994, I was chief of staff to  
7 the chairman of the Salt Lake County Commission, where I was  
8 responsible for development and implementation of a broad spectrum of  
9 public policy at the local government level.

10 **Q. Have you ever testified before this Commission?**

11 A. Yes, on several occasions. Earlier this year, I testified in the AEP  
12 Electric Security Plan ("ESP") proceeding, Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO, et  
13 al., and the Duke Energy Ohio ("Duke") MRO proceeding, Case No. 10-  
14 2586-EL-SSO. In 2010, I filed testimony in Duke's storm damage cost  
15 recovery proceeding, Case No. 09-1946-EL-RDR.

16 In 2009, I testified in FirstEnergy's Market Rate Offer proceeding,  
17 Case No. 09-906-EL-SSO, and in Duke's distribution rate case, Case No.  
18 08-709-EL-AIR, et al.

19 In 2008, I testified in AEP's Electric Security Plan ("ESP")  
20 proceeding, Case No. 08-917-EL-SSO, et al; FirstEnergy's Market Rate  
21 Offer proceeding, Case No. 08-936-EL-SSO; FirstEnergy's ESP  
22 proceeding, Case No. 08-935-EL-SSO; and the FirstEnergy distribution  
23 rate case proceeding, Case No. 07-551-EL-AIR, et al.

1           In 2005, I testified in the AEP IGCC cost recovery proceeding,  
2           Case No. 05-376-EL-UNC, and in 2004, I testified in the FirstEnergy Rate  
3           Stabilization Plan proceeding, Case No. 03-2144-EL-ATA.

4   **Q.   Have you testified before utility regulatory commissions in other**  
5   **states?**

6   A.           Yes. I have testified in approximately 140 proceedings on the  
7           subjects of utility rates and regulatory policy before state utility regulators  
8           in Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana,  
9           Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nevada,  
10          New Mexico, New York, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South  
11          Carolina, Texas, Utah, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, and Wyoming.

12   **Overview and Conclusions**

13   **Q.   What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?**

14   A.           The purpose of my testimony is to provide support for the October  
15          24, 2011 Stipulation and Recommendation ("Stipulation") that has been  
16          filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (the "Commission"). I will  
17          also briefly address the Commission's three-prong test criteria for adopting  
18          a stipulation.

19   **Q.   Are you familiar with the Stipulation that has been filed in this**  
20   **proceeding?**

21   A.           Yes, I have reviewed the document, and I am aware that it provides  
22          benefits to Kroger's facilities in Duke's service territory.

1   **Q.    Is Kroger a signatory to the Stipulation, and if so, why did Kroger**  
2   **sign the Stipulation?**

3   A.           Yes, Kroger is a signatory party.   Kroger believes that its concerns  
4           with respect to Duke's ESP Application were reasonably addressed in the  
5           negotiated Stipulation.  Specifically, as a result of the Stipulation, Kroger's  
6           energy costs will be materially   lower than would have occurred had  
7           Duke's   application in this case been adopted as filed.  Additionally,  
8           Kroger believes that there is independent value in a stipulated outcome to  
9           this proceeding over the uncertainties of protracted litigation.

10  **Q.    Is the Stipulation a product of serious bargaining among capable and**  
11  **knowledgeable parties?**

12  A.           Yes.  The bargaining process included many parties representing  
13           various interests who are considered experts in their respective fields.  
14           Many of the parties have extensive experience with matters before this  
15           Commission.  The Stipulation is the product of serious bargaining, which  
16           resulted in a comprehensive and fair compromise among diverse parties  
17           with competing interests.

18  **Q.    Taken as a package, does the Stipulation benefit ratepayers and is in**  
19  **the public interest?**

20  A.           Yes.  Taken as a package, the Stipulation benefits ratepayers and  
21           is in the public interest.  The Stipulation results in fair and reasonably  
22           priced rates for customers.

1   **Q.    Does the Stipulation violate any important Regulatory principle or**  
2       **practice?**

3    A.        No. The Stipulation is consistent with Ohio's regulatory principles  
4            and practices, and it is consistent with Senate Bill 221 with respect to its  
5            provisions for Electric Security Plans. The Stipulation promotes an orderly  
6            transition to market based pricing and encourages competition by giving  
7            customers choices with respect to their electricity suppliers.

8   **Q.    Do you have recommendations regarding the approval of the**  
9       **Stipulation?**

10   A.        Yes.

11   **Q.    What are those recommendations?**

12   A.        I recommend that the Commission approve the Stipulation.

13   **Q.    Does this conclude your direct testimony?**

14   A.        Yes, it does.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of The Direct Testimony of Kevin Higgins was served via electronic mail this 28<sup>th</sup> day of October 2011 upon the following:

Amy B. Spiller  
Elizabeth Watts  
Rocco O. D'Ascenzo  
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.  
139 E. Fourth Street, 1303-Main  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45201-0960  
[amy.spiller@duke-energy.com](mailto:amy.spiller@duke-energy.com)  
[Elizabeth.Watts@duke-energy.com](mailto:Elizabeth.Watts@duke-energy.com)

Samuel C. Randazzo  
Frank P. Darr  
Joseph E. Olikier  
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC  
21 East State Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[sam@mwncmh.com](mailto:sam@mwncmh.com)  
[fdarr@mwncmh.com](mailto:fdarr@mwncmh.com)  
[joliker@mwncmh.com](mailto:joliker@mwncmh.com)

David F. Boehm  
Michael L. Kurtz  
Jody M. Kyler  
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry  
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202  
[dboehm@BKLawfirm.com](mailto:dboehm@BKLawfirm.com)  
[mkurtz@BKLawfirm.com](mailto:mkurtz@BKLawfirm.com)  
[jkyler@BKLawfirm.com](mailto:jkyler@BKLawfirm.com)

Jeffrey L. Small  
Joseph P. Serio  
Melissa R. Yost  
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel  
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[small@occ.state.oh.us](mailto:small@occ.state.oh.us)  
[serio@occ.state.oh.us](mailto:serio@occ.state.oh.us)  
[yost@occ.state.oh.us](mailto:yost@occ.state.oh.us)

Thomas J. O'Brien  
Bricker & Eckler LLP  
100 South Third Street  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291  
[tobrien@bricker.com](mailto:tobrien@bricker.com)

Colleen L. Mooney  
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy  
231 West Lima Street  
Findlay, Ohio 45839-1793  
[cmooney2@columbus.rr.com](mailto:cmooney2@columbus.rr.com)

Trent A. Dougherty  
Nolan Moser  
Ohio Environmental Council  
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201  
Columbus, Ohio 43212-3449  
[trent@theoec.org](mailto:trent@theoec.org)  
[nolan@theoec.org](mailto:nolan@theoec.org)

David A. Kutik  
Jones Day  
North Point  
901 Lakeside Avenue  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114  
[dakutik@jonesday.com](mailto:dakutik@jonesday.com)

Mark A. Hayden  
FirstEnergy Service Company  
76 South Main Street  
Akron, Ohio 44308  
[haydenm@firstenergycorp.com](mailto:haydenm@firstenergycorp.com)

Douglas E. Hart  
441 Vine Street, Suite 4192  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202  
[dhart@doughlhart.com](mailto:dhart@doughlhart.com)

James F. Lang  
Laura C. McBride  
N. Trevor Alexander  
Calfee Halter & Griswold  
1400 KeyBank Center  
800 Superior Avenue  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114  
[jlang@calfee.com](mailto:jlang@calfee.com)  
[lmcbride@calfee.com](mailto:lmcbride@calfee.com)  
[tallexander@calfee.com](mailto:tallexander@calfee.com)

Lisa G. McAlister  
Matthew W. Warnock  
Bricker & Eckler LLP  
100 South Third Street  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[lmcalister@bricker.com](mailto:lmcalister@bricker.com)  
[mwarnock@bricker.com](mailto:mwarnock@bricker.com)

Tara C. Santarelli  
Environmental Law & Policy Center  
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201  
Columbus, Ohio 43212  
[tsantarelli@elpc.org](mailto:tsantarelli@elpc.org)

Matthew J. Satterwhite  
Eric C. Miller  
American Electric Power Service Corp.  
1 Riverside Plaza, 29<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[mjsatterwhite@aep.com](mailto:mjsatterwhite@aep.com)  
[ecmiller1@aep.com](mailto:ecmiller1@aep.com)

Gregory J. Poulos  
EnerNOC, Inc.  
101 Federal Street, Suite 1100  
Boston, MA 02110  
[gpoulos@enernoc.com](mailto:gpoulos@enernoc.com)

Anne M. Vogel  
American Electric Power Service Corp.  
1 Riverside Plaza, 29<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[amvogel@aep.com](mailto:amvogel@aep.com)

David M. Stahl  
Scott Solberg  
Aric C. Aragona  
Eimer Stahl Klevorn & Solberg, LLP  
224 S. Michigan Avenue, Suite 1100  
Chicago, IL 60604  
[dstahl@eimerstahl.com](mailto:dstahl@eimerstahl.com)  
[ssolberg@eimerstahl.com](mailto:ssolberg@eimerstahl.com)  
[aaragona@eimerstahl.com](mailto:aaragona@eimerstahl.com)

M. Howard Petricoff  
Michael J. Settineri  
Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease LLP  
52 East Gay Street  
Columbus, Ohio 43216  
[mhpetricoff@vorys.com](mailto:mhpetricoff@vorys.com)  
[mjsettineri@vorys.com](mailto:mjsettineri@vorys.com)

Mary W. Christensen  
Christensen & Christensen LLP  
8760 Orion Place, Suite 300  
Columbus, Ohio 43240-2109  
[mchristensen@columbuslaw.org](mailto:mchristensen@columbuslaw.org)

Vincent Parisi  
Matthew White  
Interstate Gas Supply, Inc.  
6100 Emerald Parkway  
Dublin, Ohio 43016  
[vparisi@igsenergy.com](mailto:vparisi@igsenergy.com)  
[mwhite@igsenergy.com](mailto:mwhite@igsenergy.com)

Anne M. Vogel  
American Electric Power Service Corp.  
1 Riverside Plaza, 29<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[amvogel@aep.com](mailto:amvogel@aep.com)

Rick D. Chamberlain  
Behrens, Wheeler, & Chamberlain  
6 N.E. 63<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 400  
Oklahoma City, OK 73105  
[rdc\\_law@swbell.net](mailto:rdc_law@swbell.net)



Dane Stinson  
Bailey Cavalieri LLC  
10 West Broad Street, Suite 2100  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[Dane.Stinson@BaileyCavalieri.com](mailto:Dane.Stinson@BaileyCavalieri.com)

Andrew J. Sonderman  
Margeaux Kimbrough  
Kegler Brown Hill & Ritter LPA  
Capitol Square, Suite 1800  
65 East State Street  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[asonderman@keglerbrown.com](mailto:asonderman@keglerbrown.com)  
[mkimbrough@keglerbrown.com](mailto:mkimbrough@keglerbrown.com)

Christopher J. Allwein  
Williams, Allwein and Moser LLC  
1373 Grandview Avenue, Suite 212  
Columbus, Ohio 43212  
[callwein@williamsandmoser.com](mailto:callwein@williamsandmoser.com)

Gary A. Jeffries  
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.  
501 Martindale Street, Suite 400  
Pittsburgh, PA 15212-5817  
[Gary.A.Jeffries@dom.com](mailto:Gary.A.Jeffries@dom.com)

Joseph M. Clark  
Vectren Retail, LLC d/b/a Vectren  
Source  
6641 North High Street, Suite 200  
Worthington, Ohio 43085  
[jmclark@vectren.com](mailto:jmclark@vectren.com)

William L. Massey  
Covington & Burling, LLP  
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20004  
[wmassey@cov.com](mailto:wmassey@cov.com)

John W. Bentine  
Chester Willcox & Saxbe LLP  
65 E. State Street, Suite 1000  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[jbentine@cwsllaw.com](mailto:jbentine@cwsllaw.com)

Barth E. Royer  
Bell & Royer Co., LPA  
33 South Grant Avenue  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[BarthRoyer@aol.com](mailto:BarthRoyer@aol.com)

Jouette K. Brenzell  
221 E. Fourth Street, 103-1280  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Allison E. Haedt  
Jones Day  
325 John H. McConnell Boulevard  
Suite 600  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[aehaedt@jonesday.com](mailto:aehaedt@jonesday.com)

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Zachary D. Kravitz

**KEVIN C. HIGGINS**  
**Principal, Energy Strategies, L.L.C.**  
**215 South State St., Suite 200, Salt Lake City, UT 84111**

**Vitae**

**PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE**

Principal, Energy Strategies, L.L.C., Salt Lake City, Utah, January 2000 to present. Responsible for energy-related economic and policy analysis, regulatory intervention, and strategic negotiation on behalf of industrial, commercial, and public sector interests. Previously Senior Associate, February 1995 to December 1999.

Adjunct Instructor in Economics, Westminster College, Salt Lake City, Utah, September 1981 to May 1982; September 1987 to May 1995. Taught in the economics and M.B.A. programs. Awarded Adjunct Professor of the Year, Gore School of Business, 1990-91.

Chief of Staff to the Chairman, Salt Lake County Board of Commissioners, Salt Lake City, Utah, January 1991 to January 1995. Senior executive responsibility for all matters of county government, including formulation and execution of public policy, delivery of approximately 140 government services, budget adoption and fiscal management (over \$300 million), strategic planning, coordination with elected officials, and communication with consultants and media.

Assistant Director, Utah Energy Office, Utah Department of Natural Resources, Salt Lake City, Utah, August 1985 to January 1991. Directed the agency's resource development section, which provided energy policy analysis to the Governor, implemented state energy development policy, coordinated state energy data collection and dissemination, and managed energy technology demonstration programs. Position responsibilities included policy formulation and implementation, design and administration of energy technology demonstration programs, strategic management of the agency's interventions before the Utah Public Service Commission, budget preparation, and staff development. Supervised a staff of economists, engineers, and policy analysts, and served as lead economist on selected projects.

Utility Economist, Utah Energy Office, January 1985 to August 1985. Provided policy and economic analysis pertaining to energy conservation and resource development, with an emphasis on utility issues. Testified before the state Public Service Commission as an expert witness in cases related to the above.

Acting Assistant Director, Utah Energy Office, June 1984 to January 1985. Same responsibilities as Assistant Director identified above.

Research Economist, Utah Energy Office, October 1983 to June 1984. Provided economic analysis pertaining to renewable energy resource development and utility issues. Experience includes preparation of testimony, development of strategy, and appearance as an expert witness for the Energy Office before the Utah PSC.

Operations Research Assistant, Corporate Modeling and Operations Research Department, Utah Power and Light Company, Salt Lake City, Utah, May 1983 to September 1983. Primary area of responsibility: designing and conducting energy load forecasts.

Instructor in Economics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, January 1982 to April 1983. Taught intermediate microeconomics, principles of macroeconomics, and economics as a social science.

Teacher, Vernon-Verona-Sherrill School District, Verona, New York, September 1976 to June 1978.

## **EDUCATION**

Ph.D. Candidate, Economics, University of Utah (coursework and field exams completed, 1981).

Fields of Specialization: Public Finance, Urban and Regional Economics, Economic Development, International Economics, History of Economic Doctrines.

Bachelor of Science, Education, State University of New York at Plattsburgh, 1976 (cum laude).

Danish International Studies Program, University of Copenhagen, 1975.

## **SCHOLARSHIPS AND FELLOWSHIPS**

University Research Fellow, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 1982 to 1983.

Research Fellow, Institute of Human Resources Management, University of Utah, 1980 to 1982.

Teaching Fellow, Economics Department, University of Utah, 1978 to 1980.

New York State Regents Scholar, 1972 to 1976.

## EXPERT TESTIMONY

“Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy, for Authority to Increase Its Annual Revenue Requirement for General Rates Charged to All Classes of Customers, Begin to Recover the Costs of Constructing Harry Allen Combined Cycle, Goodsprings and Other Generating, Transmission and Distribution Plant Additions, and to Reflect Changes in Cost of Service and for Relief Properly Thereto; Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a/ NV Energy for Approval of New and Revised Depreciation Rates for Its Electrical Operations; Application of Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a/ NV Energy for a Determination of the Reasonableness of the Ely Energy Center Project Development Costs and for Authority to Reclassify Those Costs from a Deferred Debit to a Regulatory Asset with an Appropriate Carrying Charge,” Public Utilities Commission of **Nevada**, Docket Nos. 11-06006, 11-06007, and 11-06008. Direct testimony submitted October 12, 2011.

“In the Matter of the Application of Idaho Power Company for Authority to Increase Its Rates and Charges for Electric Service in Idaho,” **Idaho** Public Utilities Commission, Case No. IPC-E-11-08. Direct testimony submitted October 7, 2011.

“In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for an Order Approving Regulatory Treatment of Margins Earned from Certain Renewable Energy Credit and Energy Transactions and Petition for Declaratory Order Clarifying the Meaning of the Phrase) “Transactions Executed” as that Phrase Is Used in the Settlement Agreement Approved in Docket No. 09A-602E,” **Colorado** Public Utilities Commission, Docket No. 11A-510E. Answer testimony submitted September 19, 2011. Cross examined October 20, 2011.

“In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan,” Public Utilities Commission of **Ohio**,” Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO and Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO. “In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority,” Case Nos. 11-349-EL-AAM and 11-350-EL-AAM. Direct testimony submitted July 25, 2011.

“In the Matter of the Application of Appalachian Power Company for an Adjustment of Electric Base Rates,” **Virginia** Corporation Commission, Case No. PUE-2011-00037. Direct testimony submitted July 20, 2011.

“Ameren Illinois Company d/b/a Ameren Illinois, Proposed General Increase in Electric Delivery Service Rates; Ameren Illinois Company d/b/a Ameren Illinois, Proposed General Increase in Natural Gas Rates,” **Illinois** Commerce Commission, Docket Nos. 11-0279 and 11-0282. Direct testimony submitted June 29, 2011. Rebuttal testimony submitted August 23, 2011.

“In the Matter of PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power 2012 Transition Adjustment Mechanism,” Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE-227. Reply testimony submitted June 24, 2011. Rebuttal testimony submitted August 16, 2011.

“In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power to Implement a Permanent Avoided Cost Methodology for Customers That Do Not Qualify for Tariff Schedule 37 – Avoided Cost Purchases from Qualifying Facilities,” **Wyoming** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 20000-388-EA-11. Direct testimony submitted May 26, 2011. Cross examined August 2, 2011.

“In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of New Mexico for Revision of Its Retail Electric Rates Pursuant to Advice Notice Nos. 397 and 32 (Former TNMP Services), Public Service Company of New Mexico, Applicant,” **New Mexico** Public Regulation Commission, Case No. 10-00086-UT. Direct testimony in Opposition to Stipulation submitted April 14, 2011. Cross examined May 12, 2011.

“In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase Its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Wyoming Approximately \$97.9 Million Per Year or 17.3 Percent,” **Wyoming** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 20000-384-ER-10. Direct testimony submitted April 11, 2011. Cross answer testimony submitted May 6, 2011. Stipulation testimony submitted June 9, 2011. Cross examined June 20, 2011.

“In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of an Adjustment to the Demand-Side Management Program and Suspend Schedule 191 Rate Surcharges,” **Wyoming** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 20000-383-ER-10. Direct testimony submitted March 30, 2011. Cross examined May 11, 2011.

“In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 10-035-124. Direct testimony submitted March 9, 2011 (test period); May 26, 2011 (revenue requirement); and June 2, 2011 (cost of service). Rebuttal testimony submitted March 17, 2011 (test period) and June 30, 2011 (revenue requirement). Surrebuttal testimony submitted July 19, 2010 (revenue requirement). Cross examined March 24, 2011 (test period); August 3, 2011 (revenue requirement stipulation); and August 8, 2011 (cost of service stipulation).

“Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy to Establish Interim Base Energy Efficiency Program Rates and Base Energy Efficiency Implementation Rates Pursuant To NRS 704.785 and the Order Issued in Docket No. 09-07016; Application of Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy to Establish Interim Base Energy Efficiency Program Rates and Base Energy Efficiency Implementation Rates Pursuant to NRS704.785 and the Order Issued in

Docket No. 09-07016,” Public Utilities Commission of **Nevada**, Docket Nos. 10-10024 and 10-10025. Direct testimony submitted March 8, 2011. Cross examined March 29, 2011.

“2010 Puget Sound Energy Tariff Filing,” **Washington** Utilities and Transportation Commission, Docket No. UG-101644. Joint testimony in support of stipulation filed February 11, 2011. Oral testimony in support of stipulation presented March 1, 2011.

“Petition of Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. for Approval to Offer Additional Energy Efficiency Programs; For Approval of Program Cost Recovery, Lost Revenues and Incentives Pursuant to 170 IAC 4-8-5, 170 IAC 4-8-6, and 170 IAC 4-8-7; Authority to Defer Costs Pending Approval and for Authority to Implement Annual Tracking Mechanism,” **Indiana** Utility Regulatory Commission, Cause No. 43955. Direct testimony submitted February 9, 2011.

“In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Approval of a Market Rate Offer to Conduct a Competitive Bidding Process for Standard Service Offer Electric Generation Supply, Accounting Modifications, and Tariffs for Generation Service,” Public Utilities Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 10-2586-EL-SSO. Direct testimony submitted December 21, 2010. Deposed December 22, 2010. Cross examined January 18, 2011.

“In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for Approval of a Number of Strategic Issues Relating To Its DSM Plan, Including Long-Term Electric Energy Savings Goals and Incentives,” **Colorado** Public Utilities Commission, Docket No. 10A-554EG. Answer testimony submitted December 17, 2010. Cross answer testimony submitted February 4, 2011. Cross examined March 2, 2011.

“In the Matter of Appalachian Power Company and Wheeling Power Company,” Public Service Commission of **West Virginia**, Case No. 10-0699-E-42T. Direct testimony submitted November 10, 2010. Rebuttal testimony submitted November 23, 2010.

“In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Alternative Cost Recovery for Major Plant Additions of the Populus to Ben Lomond Transmission Line and Dunlap I Wind Project,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 10-035-89. Confidential direct testimony submitted October 26, 2010. Oral testimony in support of stipulation presented December 6, 2010.

“In the Matter of Georgia Power Company’s 2010 Rate Case,” **Georgia** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 31958. Direct testimony submitted October 22, 2010. Cross examined November 8, 2010.

“In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Implement an Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism,” **Wyoming** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 20000-368-EA-10. Direct testimony submitted September 10, 2010. Cross examined November

9, 2010.

“Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. for Authority to Change Rates and Reconcile Fuel Costs,” Public Utility Commission of **Texas**, Docket No. 37744. Direct testimony submitted June 9, 2010.

“Portland General Electric General Rate Case Filing,” Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE-215. Opening testimony submitted June 4, 2010. Joint testimony in support of stipulation submitted August 2, 2010.

“In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. to Establish and Adjust the Initial Level of its Distribution Reliability Rider,” Public Utilities Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 09-1946-EL-RDR. Direct testimony submitted May 18, 2010.

“In the Matter of PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power, 2011 Transition Adjustment Mechanism,” Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE-216. Reply testimony submitted May 12, 2010. Joint testimony in support of stipulation submitted July 26, 2010.

“In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Alternative Cost Recovery for Major Plant Additions of the Ben Lomond to Terminal Transmission Line and the Dave Johnston Generation Unit 3 Emissions Control Measure,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 10-035-13. Direct testimony submitted April 26, 2010.

“In the Matter of a Notice of Inquiry into Energy Efficiency,” **Arkansas** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 10-010-U. Direct testimony submitted March 23, 2010. Cross examined October 18, 2010.

“In the Matter of the Application of Entergy Arkansas, Inc. for Approval of Changes in Rates for Retail Electric Service,” **Arkansas** Public Service Commission,” Docket No. 09-084-U. Direct testimony submitted February 26, 2010.

“In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of a General Rate Increase of Approximately \$70.9 Million per Year or 13.7 Percent,” **Wyoming** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 20000-352-ER-09. Direct testimony submitted February 16, 2010. Cross answer testimony submitted March 15, 2010. Direct settlement testimony submitted March 31, 2010. Cross examined April 23, 2010.

“Amended Petition of Puget Sound Energy, Inc., for an Order Authorizing the Use of the Proceeds from the Sale of Renewable Energy Credits and Carbon Financial Instruments,” **Washington** Utilities and Transportation Commission, Docket No. UE-070725. Response testimony submitted January 28, 2010.

“Application of Appalachian Power Company for a 2009 Statutory Review of Rates Pursuant to § 56.585.1 A of the Code of Virginia,” **Virginia** Corporation Commission, Case No. PUE-2009-00030. Direct testimony submitted December 28, 2009. Additional direct testimony submitted March 8, 2010. Cross examined April 1, 2010.

“In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company for Approval of a Market Rate Offer to Conduct a Competitive Bidding Process for Standard Service Offer Electric Generation Supply, Accounting Modifications with Reconciliation Mechanism and Tariffs for Generation Service,” Public Utilities Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 09-906-EL-SSO. Direct testimony submitted December 4, 2009. Deposed December 10, 2009.

“2009 Puget Sound Energy General Rate Case,” **Washington** Utilities and Transportation Commission, Docket Nos. UE-090704 and UG-090705. Response testimony submitted November 17, 2009. Joint testimony in support of stipulation submitted January 8, 2010.

“In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of Its Proposed Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 09-035-15. Direct Phase I testimony submitted November 16, 2009. Direct Phase II testimony submitted August 4, 2010. Rebuttal Phase II testimony submitted September 15, 2010. Surrebuttal Phase I testimony submitted January 5, 2010. Surrebuttal Phase II testimony submitted October 13, 2010. Cross examined January 12, 2010 (Phase I) and November 2, 2010 (Phase II).

“In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of Its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 09-035-23. Direct testimony submitted October 8, 2009. Rebuttal testimony submitted November 12, 2009. Surrebuttal testimony submitted November 30, 2009. Cross examined December 15-16, 2009.

“Re: The Tariff Sheets Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado with Advice Letter No. 1535 – Electric,” **Colorado** Public Utilities Commission, Docket No. 09AL-299E. Answer testimony submitted October 2, 2009. Surrebuttal testimony submitted December 18, 2009.

“In the Matter of the Applications of Westar Energy, Inc., and Kansas Gas and Electric Company for Approval to Make Certain Changes in their Charges for Electric Service,” **Kansas** Corporation Commission, Docket No. 09-WSEE-925-RTS. Direct testimony submitted September 30, 2009. Cross answer testimony submitted October 16, 2009.



“Central Illinois Light Company d/b/a AmerenCILCO Proposed General Increase in Electric Delivery Service Rates; Central Illinois Public Service Company d/b/a AmerenCIPS Proposed General Increase in Electric Delivery Service Rates; Illinois Power Company d/b/a/ AmerenIP Proposed General Increase in Electric Delivery Service Rates; Central Illinois Light Company d/b/a AmerenCILCO Proposed General Increase in Gas Delivery Service Rates; Central Illinois Public Service Company d/b/a AmerenCIPS Proposed General Increase in Gas Delivery Service Rates; Illinois Power Company d/b/a/ AmerenIP Proposed General Increase in Gas Delivery Service Rates,” **Illinois** Commerce Commission, Docket Nos. 09-0306, 09-0307, 09-0308, 09-0309, 09-0310, and 09-0311. Direct testimony submitted September 28, 2009. Rebuttal testimony submitted November 20, 2009.

“In the Matter of the Complaint of Nucor Steel-Indiana, a Division of Nucor Corporation against Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. for Determination of Reasonable and Just Charges and Conditions for Electric Service and Request for Expedited Adjudication,” **Indiana** Utility Regulatory Commission, Cause No. 43754. Direct testimony submitted September 18, 2009. Rebuttal testimony submitted December 3, 2009. Testimony withdrawn pursuant to settlement agreement.

“In the Matter of PacifiCorp’s Filing of Revised Tariff Schedules for Electric Service in Oregon,” Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE-210. Reply testimony submitted July 24, 2009. Joint testimony in support of stipulation submitted September 25, 2009.

“In The Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power to Establish an Avoided Cost Methodology for Customers That Do Not Qualify for Tariff Schedule 37 – Avoided Cost Purchases from Qualifying Facilities,” **Wyoming** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 20000-342-EA-09. Direct testimony submitted July 21, 2009. Cross examined September 1, 2009.

“In the Matter of PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power, 2010 Transition Adjustment Mechanism,” Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE-207. Reply testimony submitted July 14, 2009. Joint testimony in support of stipulation submitted September 25, 2009.

“In The Matter of the Application of The Detroit Edison Company for Authority to Increase Its Rates, Amend Its Rate Schedules and Rules Governing the Distribution and Supply of Electric Energy,” **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-15768. Direct testimony submitted July 9, 2009. Rebuttal testimony submitted July 30, 2009.

“In the Matter of the Investigation of Westar Energy, Inc., and Kansas Gas and Electric Company to Consider the Issue of Rate Consolidation and Resulting Rate Design,” **Kansas** Corporation Commission,” Docket No. 09-WSEE-641-GIE. Direct testimony submitted June 26, 2009. Cross examined August 17, 2009.

“Illinois Commerce Commission on Its Own Motion vs Commonwealth Edison Company, Investigation of Rate Design Pursuant to Section 9-250 of the Public Utilities Act,” **Illinois** Commerce Commission, Docket No. 08-0532. Direct testimony submitted May 22, 2009.

“In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. for Approval of Energy Efficiency Plan, Including an Energy Efficiency Rider and Portfolio of Energy Efficiency Programs,” **Kentucky** Public Service Commission, Case No. 2008-00495. Direct testimony submitted May 11, 2009.

“In the Matter of the Application by Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy, filed Pursuant to NRS§704.110(3) and NRS §704.110(4) for Authority to Increase Its Annual Revenue Requirement for General Rates Charged to All Classes of Customers, Begin to Recover the Costs of Acquiring the Bighorn Power Plant, Constructing the Clark Peakers, Environmental Retrofits and Other Generating, Transmission and Distribution Plant Additions, to Reflect Changes in Cost of Service and for Relief Properly Related Thereto, Public Utilities Commission of **Nevada**, Docket No. 08-12002. Direct testimony submitted April 14, 2009 (revenue requirement) and April 21, 2009 (cost of service/rate design). Cross examined May 6, 2009.

“Verified Petition of Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. Requesting the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission to Approve an Alternative Regulatory Plan Pursuant to the Ind. Code 8-1-2.5, *Et Seq.*, for the Implementation of an Electric Distribution System “SmartGrid” and Advanced Metering Infrastructure, Distribution Automation Investments, and a Distribution Renewable Generation Demonstration Project and Associated Accounting and Rate Recovery Mechanisms, Including a Ratemaking Proposal to Update Distribution Rates Annually and a “Lost Revenue” Recovery Mechanism, in Accordance with Ind. Code 8-1-2-42(a) and 8-1-2.5-1 *Et Seq.* and Preliminary Approval of the Estimated Costs and Scheduled Deployment of the Company’s SmartGrid Initiative,” **Indiana** Utility Regulatory Commission, Cause No. 43501. Direct testimony submitted February 27, 2009.

“In The Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for an Increase in Electric Distribution Rates,” Public Utilities Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 08-709-EL-AIR; “In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Tariff Approval,” Case No. 08-710-EL-ATA; “In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Approval to Change Accounting Methods,” Case No. 08-711-EL-AAM. Direct testimony submitted February 26, 2009.

“In The Matter of the Amended Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of a General Rate Increase of Approximately \$28.8 Million per Year (6.1 Percent Overall Average Increase)”, **Wyoming** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 20000-333-ER-08. Direct testimony submitted January 30, 2009. Summary of cross answer testimony submitted February 27, 2009. Settlement testimony submitted March 13, 2009. Cross examined March 24, 2009.

“In the Matter of the Application of Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Its Electric Security Plan,” Public Utilities Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 08-1094-EL-SSO; “In the Matter of the Application of Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Revised Tariffs, Case No. 08-1095-EL-ATA; “In the Matter of the Application of Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority Pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code §4905.13,” Case No. 08-1096-EL-AAM; In the Matter of the Application of Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Its Amended Corporate Separation Plan, Case No. 08-1097-EL-UNC. Direct testimony submitted January 26, 2009. Deposed February 6, 2009. Testimony withdrawn pursuant to stipulation filed February 24, 2009.

“Application of Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC for Authority to Change Rates,” Public Utility Commission of **Texas**, SOAH Docket No. 473-08-3681, PUC Docket No. 35717. Direct testimony submitted November 26, 2008. Cross examined February 3, 2009.

“In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company for Approval of Its Electric Security Plan; An Amendment to Its Corporate Separation Plan; and the Sale of Certain Generating Assets”, Public Utilities Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 08-917-EL-SSO; “In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Approval of Its Electric Security Plan; and an Amendment to Its Corporate Separation Plan,” Case No. 08-918-EL-SSO. Direct testimony submitted October 31, 2008. Cross examined November 25, 2008.

“Application of Louisville Gas and Electric Company for an Adjustment of Its Electric and Gas Base Rates,” **Kentucky** Public Service Commission, Case No. 2008-00252. Direct testimony submitted October 28, 2008.

“Application of Kentucky Utilities Company for an Adjustment of Base Rates,” **Kentucky** Public Service Commission, Case No. 2008-00251. Direct testimony submitted October 28, 2008.

“In the Matter of the Application of Idaho Power Company for Authority to Increase its Rates and Charges for Electric Service,” **Idaho** Public Utilities Commission, Case No. IPC-E-08-10. Direct testimony submitted October 24, 2008. Rebuttal testimony submitted December 3, 2008. Cross examined December 19, 2008.

“In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 08-035-38. Direct testimony submitted October 7, 2008 (test period) and February 12, 2009 (revenue requirement). Cross examined October 28, 2008 (test period).

“In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to R.C. § 4928.143 in the Form of an Electric Security Plan,” Public Utility

Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 08-935-EL-SSO. Direct testimony submitted September 29, 2008. Deposed October 13, 2008. Cross examined October 21, 2008.

“In the Matter of the Application of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company for Approval to Make Certain Changes In Their Charges for Electric Service,” State Corporation Commission of **Kansas**, Docket No. 08-WSEE-1041-RTS. Direct testimony submitted September 29, 2008. Cross Answer testimony submitted October 8, 2008.

“In the Matter of Appalachian Power Company’s Application for Increase in Electric Rates,” **Virginia** State Corporation Commission, Case No. PUE-2008-00046. Direct testimony submitted September 26, 2008.

“In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company for Approval of a Market Rate Offer to Conduct a Competitive Bidding Process for Standard Service Offer Electric Generation Supply, Accounting Modifications with Reconciliation Mechanism and Tariffs for Generation Service,” Public Utility Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 08-936-EL-SSO. Direct testimony submitted September 9, 2008. Deposed September 16, 2008.

“In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for a Hearing to Determine the Fair Value of the Utility Property of the Company for Ratemaking Purposes, to Fix a Just and Reasonable Rate of Return Thereon, to Approve Rate Schedules Designed to Develop Such Return,” **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01345A-08-0172. Direct testimony submitted August 29, 2008 (interim rates), December 19, 2008 (revenue requirement), January 9, 2009 (cost of service, rate design), and July 1, 2009 (settlement agreement). Reply testimony submitted August 6, 2009 (settlement agreement). Cross examined September 16, 2008 (interim rates) and August 20, 2009 (settlement agreement).

“Verified Joint Petition of Duke Energy Indiana, Inc., Indianapolis Power & Light Company, Northern Indiana Public Service Company and Vectren Energy Delivery of Indiana, Inc. for Approval, if and to the Extent Required, of Certain Changes in Operations That Are Likely To Result from the Midwest Independent System Operator, Inc.’s Implementation of Revisions to Its Open Access Transmission and Energy Markets Tariff to Establish a Co-Optimized, Competitive Market for Energy and Ancillary Services Market; and for Timely Recovery of Costs Associated with Joint Petitioners’ Participation in Such Ancillary Services Market,” **Indiana** Utility Regulatory Commission, Cause No. 43426. Confidential direct testimony submitted August 6, 2008. Confidential direct testimony in opposition to Settlement Agreement submitted November 12, 2008.

“In The Matter of the Application of The Detroit Edison Company for Authority to Increase Its Rates, Amend Its Rate Schedules and Rules Governing the Distribution and Supply of Electric Energy, and for Miscellaneous Accounting Authority,” **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-15244.

Direct testimony submitted July 15, 2008. Rebuttal testimony submitted August 8, 2008.

“Portland General Electric General Rate Case Filing,” Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE-197. Direct testimony submitted July 9, 2008. Surrebuttal testimony submitted September 15, 2008.

“In the Matter of PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power, 2009 Transition Adjustment Mechanism, Schedule 200, Cost-Based Supply Service,” Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE-199. Reply testimony submitted June 23, 2008. Joint testimony in support of stipulation submitted September 4, 2008.

“2008 Puget Sound Energy General Rate Case,” **Washington** Utilities and Transportation Commission, Docket Nos. UE-072300 and UG-072301. Response testimony submitted May 30, 2008. Cross-Answer testimony submitted July 3, 2008. Joint testimony in support of partial stipulations submitted July 3, 2008 (gas rate spread/rate design), August 12, 2008 (electric rate spread/rate design), and August 28, 2008 (revenue requirements). Cross examined September 3, 2008.

“Verified Petition of Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. Requesting the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission to Approve an Alternative Regulatory Plan Pursuant to the Ind. Code 8-1-2.5, Et Seq., for the Offering of Energy Efficiency Conservation, Demand Response, and Demand-Side Management Programs and Associated Rate Treatment Including Incentives Pursuant to a Revised Standard Contract Rider No. 66 in Accordance with Ind. Code 8-1-2.5-1 Et Seq. and 8-1-2-42(a); Authority to Defer Program Costs Associated with Its Energy Efficiency Portfolio of Programs; Authority to Implement New and Enhanced Energy Efficiency Programs in Its Energy Efficiency Portfolio of Programs; and Approval of a Modification of the Fuel Adjustment Clause Earnings and Expense Tests,” **Indiana** Utility Regulatory Commission, Cause No. 43374. Confidential direct testimony submitted May 21, 2008 and October 27, 2008. Testimony withdrawn pursuant to stipulation, but re-submitted June 1, 2010. Confidential supplemental direct testimony submitted June 10, 2010. Application withdrawn by Duke Energy Indiana, June 2010.

“Cinergy Corp., Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., Cinergy Power Investments, Inc., Generating Facilities LLCs,” **Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**, Docket No. EC-08-78-000. Affidavit filed May 14, 2008.

“Application of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. for Authority to Change Rates and to Reconcile Fuel Costs, Public Utility Commission of **Texas**, Docket No. 34800 [SOAH Docket No. 473-08-0334]. Direct testimony submitted April 11, 2008. Testimony withdrawn pursuant to stipulation.

“Central Illinois Light Company d/b/a AmerenCILCO Proposed General Increase in Electric Delivery Service Rates, Central Illinois Public Service Company d/b/a AmerenCIPS Proposed General Increase in Electric Delivery Service Rates, Illinois Power Company d/b/a/ AmerenIP Proposed General Increase in Electric Delivery Service Rates, Central Illinois Light Company d/b/a AmerenCILCO, Proposed General Increase in Gas Delivery Service Rates, Central Illinois Public Service Company d/b/a AmerenCIPS Proposed General Increase in Gas Delivery Service Rates, Illinois Power Company d/b/a/ AmerenIP Proposed General Increase in Gas Delivery Service Rates,” **Illinois** Commerce Commission, Docket Nos. 07-0585, 07-0586, 07-0587, 07-0588, 07-0589, 07-0590. Direct testimony submitted March 14, 2008. Rebuttal testimony submitted April 8, 2008.

“In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for Authority to Implement an Enhanced Demand Side Management Cost Adjustment Mechanism to Include Current Recovery and Incentives,” **Colorado** Public Utilities Commission, Docket No. 07A-420E. Answer testimony submitted March 10, 2008. Cross examined April 25, 2008.

“An Investigation of the Energy and Regulatory Issues in Section 50 of Kentucky’s 2007 Energy Act,” **Kentucky** Public Service Commission, Administrative Case No. 2007-00477. Direct testimony submitted February 29, 2008. Supplemental direct testimony submitted April 1, 2008. Cross examined April 30, 2008.

“In the Matter of the Application of Tucson Electric Power Company for the Establishment of Just and Reasonable Rates and Charges Designed to Realize a Reasonable Rate of Return on the Fair Value of Its Operations throughout the State of Arizona,” **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01933A-07-0402. Direct testimony submitted February 29, 2008 (revenue requirement), March 14, 2008 (rate design), and June 12, 2008 (settlement agreement). Cross examined July 14, 2008.

“Commonwealth Edison Company Proposed General Increase in Electric Rates,” **Illinois** Commerce Commission, Docket No. 07-0566. Direct testimony submitted February 11, 2008. Rebuttal testimony submitted April 8, 2008.

“In the Matter of the Application of Questar Gas Company to File a General Rate Case,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 07-057-13. Direct testimony submitted January 28, 2008 (test period), March 31, 2008 (rate of return), April 21, 2008 (revenue requirement), and August 18, 2008 (cost of service, rate spread, rate design). Rebuttal testimony submitted September 22, 2008 (cost of service, rate spread, rate design). Surrebuttal testimony submitted May 12, 2008 (rate of return) and October 7, 2008 (cost of service, rate spread, rate design). Cross examined February 8, 2008 (test period), May 21, 2008 (rate of return), and October 15, 2008 (cost of service, rate spread, rate design).

“In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail

Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations, Consisting of a General Rate Increase of Approximately \$161.2 Million Per Year, and for Approval of a New Large Load Surcharge,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 07-035-93. Direct testimony submitted January 25, 2008 (test period), April 7, 2008 (revenue requirement), and July 21, 2008 (cost of service, rate design). Rebuttal testimony submitted September 3, 2008 (cost of service, rate design). Surrebuttal testimony submitted May 23, 2008 (revenue requirement) and September 24, 2008 (cost of service, rate design). Cross examined February 7, 2008 (test period).

“In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Distribution Service, Modify Certain Accounting Practices and for Tariff Approvals,” Public Utilities Commission of **Ohio**, Case Nos. 07-551-EL-AIR, 07-552-EL-ATA, 07-553-EL-AAM, and 07-554-EL-UNC. Direct testimony submitted January 10, 2008.

“In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase Its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Wyoming, Consisting of a General Rate Increase of Approximately \$36.1 Million per Year, and for Approval of a New Renewable Resource Mechanism and Marginal Cost Pricing Tariff,” **Wyoming** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 20000-277-ER-07. Direct testimony submitted January 7, 2008. Cross examined March 6, 2008.

“In the Matter of the Application of Idaho Power Company for Authority to Increase Its Rates and Charges for Electric Service to Electric Customers in the State of Idaho,” **Idaho** Public Utilities Commission, Case No. IPC-E-07-8. Direct testimony submitted December 10, 2007. Cross examined January 23, 2008.

“In The Matter of the Application of Consumers Energy Company for Authority to Increase Its Rates for the Generation and Distribution Of Electricity and Other Relief,” **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-15245. Direct testimony submitted November 6, 2007. Rebuttal testimony submitted November 20, 2007.

“In the Matter of Montana-Dakota Utilities Co., Application for Authority to Establish Increased Rates for Electric Service,” **Montana** Public Service Commission, Docket No. D2007.7.79. Direct testimony submitted October 24, 2007.

“In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of New Mexico for Revision of its Retail Electric Rates Pursuant to Advice Notice No. 334,” **New Mexico** Public Regulation Commission, Case No. 07-0077-UT. Direct testimony submitted October 22, 2007. Rebuttal testimony submitted November 19, 2007. Cross examined December 12, 2007.

“In The Matter of Georgia Power Company’s 2007 Rate Case,” **Georgia** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 25060-U. Direct testimony submitted October 22, 2007. Cross examined November 7, 2007.

“In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for an Accounting Order to Defer the Costs Related to the MidAmerican Energy Holdings Company Transaction,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 07-035-04; “In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power, a Division of PacifiCorp, for a Deferred Accounting Order To Defer the Costs of Loans Made to Grid West, the Regional Transmission Organization,” Docket No. 06-035-163; “In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for an Accounting Order for Costs related to the Flooding of the Powerdale Hydro Facility,” Docket No. 07-035-14. Direct testimony submitted September 10, 2007. Surrebuttal testimony submitted October 22, 2007. Cross examined October 30, 2007.

“In the Matter of General Adjustment of Electric Rates of East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.,” **Kentucky** Public Service Commission, Case No. 2006-00472. Direct testimony submitted July 6, 2007. Supplemental direct testimony submitted March 18, 2008.

“In the Matter of the Application of Sempra Energy Solutions for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for Competitive Retail Electric Service,” **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-03964A-06-0168. Direct testimony submitted July 3, 2007. Rebuttal testimony submitted January 17, 2008 and February 7, 2007.

“Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma for a Determination that Additional Electric Generating Capacity Will Be Used and Useful,” **Oklahoma** Corporation Commission, Cause No. PUD 200500516; “Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma for a Determination that Additional Baseload Electric Generating Capacity Will Be Used and Useful,” Cause No. PUD 200600030; “In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for an Order Granting Pre-Approval to Construct Red Rock Generating Facility and Authorizing a Recovery Rider,” Cause No. PUD200700012. Responsive testimony submitted May 21, 2007. Cross examined July 26, 2007.

“Application of Nevada Power Company for Authority to Increase Its Annual Revenue Requirement for General Rates Charged to All Classes of Electric Customers and for Relief Properly Related Thereto,” Public Utilities Commission of **Nevada**, Docket No. 06-11022. Direct testimony submitted March 14, 2007 (Phase III – revenue requirements) and March 19, 2007 (Phase IV – rate design). Cross examined April 10, 2007 (Phase III – revenue requirements) and April 16, 2007 (Phase IV – rate design).

“In the Matter of the Application of Entergy Arkansas, Inc. for Approval of Changes in Rates for Retail Electric Service,” **Arkansas** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 06-101-U. Direct testimony submitted February 5, 2007. Surrebuttal testimony submitted March 26, 2007.



“Monongahela Power Company and The Potomac Edison Company, both d/b/a Allegheny Power – Rule 42T Application to Increase Electric Rates and Charges,” Public Service Commission of **West Virginia**, Case No. 06-0960-E-42T; “Monongahela Power Company and The Potomac Edison Company, both d/b/a Allegheny Power – Information Required for Change of Depreciation Rates Pursuant to Rule 20,” Case No. 06-1426-E-D. Direct and rebuttal testimony submitted January 22, 2007.

“In the Matter of the Tariffs of Aquila, Inc., d/b/a Aquila Networks-MPS and Aquila Networks-L&P Increasing Electric Rates for the Services Provided to Customers in the Aquila Networks-MPS and Aquila Networks-L&P Missouri Service Areas,” **Missouri** Public Service Commission, Case No. ER-2007-0004. Direct testimony submitted January 18, 2007 (revenue requirements) and January 25, 2007 (revenue apportionment). Supplemental direct testimony submitted February 27, 2007.

“In the Matter of the Filing by Tucson Electric Power Company to Amend Decision No. 62103, **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01933A-05-0650. Direct testimony submitted January 8, 2007. Surrebuttal testimony filed February 8, 2007. Cross examined March 8, 2007.

“In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company’s Missouri Service Area,” **Missouri** Public Service Commission, Case No. ER-2007-0002. Direct testimony submitted December 15, 2006 (revenue requirements) and December 29, 2006 (fuel adjustment clause/cost-of-service/rate design). Rebuttal testimony submitted February 5, 2007 (cost-of-service). Surrebuttal testimony submitted February 27, 2007. Cross examined March 21, 2007.

“In the Matter of Application of The Union Light, Heat and Power Company d/b/a Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. for an Adjustment of Electric Rates,” **Kentucky** Public Service Commission, Case No. 2006-00172. Direct testimony submitted September 13, 2006.

“In the Matter of Appalachian Power Company’s Application for Increase in Electric Rates,” **Virginia** State Corporation Commission, Case No. PUE-2006-00065. Direct testimony submitted September 1, 2006. Cross examined December 7, 2006.

“In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for a Hearing to Determine the Fair Value of the Utility Property for Ratemaking Purposes, to Fix a Just and Reasonable Rate of Return Thereon, To Approve Rate Schedules Designed to Develop Such Return, and to Amend Decision No. 67744, **Arizona** Corporation Commission,” Docket No. E-01345A-05-0816. Direct testimony submitted August 18, 2006 (revenue requirements) and September 1, 2006 (cost-of-service/rate design). Surrebuttal testimony submitted September 27, 2006. Cross examined November 7, 2006.

“Re: The Tariff Sheets Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado with Advice Letter No 1454 – Electric,” **Colorado** Public Utilities Commission, Docket No. 06S-234EG. Answer testimony submitted August 18, 2006.

“Portland General Electric General Rate Case Filing,” Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE-180. Direct testimony submitted August 9, 2006. Joint testimony regarding stipulation submitted August 22, 2006.

“2006 Puget Sound Energy General Rate Case,” **Washington** Utilities and Transportation Commission, Docket Nos. UE-060266 and UG-060267. Response testimony submitted July 19, 2006. Joint testimony regarding stipulation submitted August 23, 2006.

“In the Matter of PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power & Light Company, Request for a General Rate Increase in the Company’s Oregon Annual Revenues,” Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE-179. Direct testimony submitted July 12, 2006. Joint testimony regarding stipulation submitted August 21, 2006.

“Petition of Metropolitan Edison Company for Approval of a Rate Transition Plan,” **Pennsylvania** Public Utilities Commission, Docket Nos. P-00062213 and R-00061366; “Petition of Pennsylvania Electric Company for Approval of a Rate Transition Plan,” Docket Nos. P-0062214 and R-00061367; Merger Savings Remand Proceeding, Docket Nos. A-110300F0095 and A-110400F0040. Direct testimony submitted July 10, 2006. Rebuttal testimony submitted August 8, 2006. Surrebuttal testimony submitted August 18, 2006. Cross examined August 30, 2006.

“In the Matter of the Application of PacifiCorp for approval of its Proposed Electric Rate Schedules & Electric Service Regulations,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 06-035-21. Direct testimony submitted June 9, 2006 (Test Period). Surrebuttal testimony submitted July 14, 2006.

“Joint Application of Questar Gas Company, the Division of Public Utilities, and Utah Clean Energy for the Approval of the Conservation Enabling Tariff Adjustment Option and Accounting Orders,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 05-057-T01. Direct testimony submitted May 15, 2006. Rebuttal testimony submitted August 8, 2007. Cross examined September 19, 2007.

“Central Illinois Light Company d/b/a AmerenCILCO, Central Illinois Public Service Company d/b/a AmerenCIPS, Illinois Power Company d/b/a AmerenIP, Proposed General Increase in Rates for Delivery Service (Tariffs Filed December 27, 2005),” **Illinois** Commerce Commission, Docket Nos. 06-0070, 06-0071, 06-0072. Direct testimony submitted March 26, 2006. Rebuttal testimony submitted June 27, 2006.

“In the Matter of Appalachian Power Company and Wheeling Power Company, both dba American Electric Power,” Public Service Commission of **West Virginia**, Case No. 05-1278-E-PC-PW-42T. Direct and rebuttal testimony submitted March 8, 2006.

“In the Matter of Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota,” **Minnesota** Public Utilities Commission, Docket No. G-002/GR-05-1428. Direct testimony submitted March 2, 2006. Rebuttal testimony submitted March 30, 2006. Cross examined April 25, 2006.

“In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for an Emergency Interim Rate Increase and for an Interim Amendment to Decision No. 67744,” **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01345A-06-0009. Direct testimony submitted February 28, 2006. Cross examined March 23, 2006.

“In the Matter of the Applications of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company for Approval to Make Certain Changes in Their Charges for Electric Service,” State Corporation Commission of **Kansas**, Case No. 05-WSEE-981-RTS. Direct testimony submitted September 9, 2005. Cross examined October 28, 2005.

“In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Recover Costs Associated with the Construction and Ultimate Operation of an Integrated Combined Cycle Electric Generating Facility,” Public Utilities Commission of **Ohio**,” Case No. 05-376-EL-UNC. Direct testimony submitted July 15, 2005. Cross examined August 12, 2005.

“In the Matter of the Filing of General Rate Case Information by Tucson Electric Power Company Pursuant to Decision No. 62103,” **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01933A-04-0408. Direct testimony submitted June 24, 2005.

“In the Matter of Application of The Detroit Edison Company to Unbundle and Realign Its Rate Schedules for Jurisdictional Retail Sales of Electricity,” **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-14399. Direct testimony submitted June 9, 2005. Rebuttal testimony submitted July 1, 2005.

“In the Matter of the Application of Consumers Energy Company for Authority to Increase Its Rates for the Generation and Distribution of Electricity and Other Relief,” **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-14347. Direct testimony submitted June 3, 2005. Rebuttal testimony submitted June 17, 2005.

“In the Matter of Pacific Power & Light, Request for a General Rate Increase in the Company’s

Oregon Annual Revenues,” Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE 170. Direct testimony submitted May 9, 2005. Surrebuttal testimony submitted June 27, 2005. Joint testimony regarding partial stipulations submitted June 2005, July 2005, and August 2005.

“In the Matter of the Application of Trico Electric Cooperative, Inc. for a Rate Increase,” **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01461A-04-0607. Direct testimony submitted April 13, 2005. Surrebuttal testimony submitted May 16, 2005. Cross examined May 26, 2005.

“In the Matter of the Application of PacifiCorp for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 04-035-42. Direct testimony submitted January 7, 2005.

“In the Matter of the Application by Golden Valley Electric Association, Inc., for Authority to Implement Simplified Rate Filing Procedures and Adjust Rates,” Regulatory Commission of **Alaska**, Docket No. U-4-33. Direct testimony submitted November 5, 2004. Cross examined February 8, 2005.

“Advice Letter No. 1411 - Public Service Company of Colorado Electric Phase II General Rate Case,” **Colorado** Public Utilities Commission, Docket No. 04S-164E. Direct testimony submitted October 12, 2004. Cross-answer testimony submitted December 13, 2004. Testimony withdrawn January 18, 2005, following Applicant’s withdrawal of testimony pertaining to TOU rates.

“In the Matter of Georgia Power Company’s 2004 Rate Case,” **Georgia** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 18300-U. Direct testimony submitted October 8, 2004. Cross examined October 27, 2004.

“2004 Puget Sound Energy General Rate Case,” **Washington** Utilities and Transportation Commission, Docket Nos. UE-040641 and UG-040640. Response testimony submitted September 23, 2004. Cross-answer testimony submitted November 3, 2004. Joint testimony regarding stipulation submitted December 6, 2004.

“In the Matter of the Application of PacifiCorp for an Investigation of Interjurisdictional Issues,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 02-035-04. Direct testimony submitted July 15, 2004. Cross examined July 19, 2004.

“In the Matter of an Adjustment of the Gas and Electric Rates, Terms and Conditions of Kentucky Utilities Company,” **Kentucky** Public Service Commission, Case No. 2003-00434. Direct testimony submitted March 23, 2004. Testimony withdrawn pursuant to stipulation entered May 2004.

“In the Matter of an Adjustment of the Gas and Electric Rates, Terms and Conditions of Louisville Gas and Electric Company,” **Kentucky** Public Service Commission, Case No. 2003-00433. Direct testimony submitted March 23, 2004. Testimony withdrawn pursuant to stipulation entered May 2004.

“In the Matter of the Application of Idaho Power Company for Authority to Increase Its Interim and Base Rates and Charges for Electric Service,” **Idaho** Public Utilities Commission, Case No. IPC-E-03-13. Direct testimony submitted February 20, 2004. Rebuttal testimony submitted March 19, 2004. Cross examined April 1, 2004.

“In the Matter of the Applications of the Ohio Edison Company, the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and the Toledo Edison Company for Authority to Continue and Modify Certain Regulatory Accounting Practices and Procedures, for Tariff Approvals and to Establish Rates and Other Charges, Including Regulatory Transition Charges Following the Market Development Period,” Public Utilities Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 03-2144-EL-ATA. Direct testimony submitted February 6, 2004. Cross examined February 18, 2004.

“In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for a Hearing to Determine the Fair Value of the Utility Property of the Company for Ratemaking Purposes, To Fix a Just and Reasonable Rate of Return Thereon, To Approve Rate Schedules Designed to Develop Such Return, and For Approval of Purchased Power Contract,” **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01345A-03-0437. Direct testimony submitted February 3, 2004. Rebuttal testimony submitted March 30, 2004. Direct testimony regarding stipulation submitted September 27, 2004. Responsive / Clarifying testimony regarding stipulation submitted October 25, 2004. Cross examined November 8-10, 2004 and November 29-December 3, 2004.

“In the Matter of Application of the Detroit Edison Company to Increase Rates, Amend Its Rate Schedules Governing the Distribution and Supply of Electric Energy, etc.,” **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-13808. Direct testimony submitted December 12, 2003 (interim request) and March 5, 2004 (general rate case).

“In the Matter of PacifiCorp’s Filing of Revised Tariff Schedules,” Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE-147. Joint testimony regarding stipulation submitted August 21, 2003.

“Petition of PSI Energy, Inc. for Authority to Increase Its Rates and Charges for Electric Service, etc.,” **Indiana** Utility Regulatory Commission, Cause No. 42359. Direct testimony submitted August 19, 2003. Cross examined November 5, 2003.

“In the Matter of the Application of Consumers Energy Company for a Financing Order Approving the Securitization of Certain of its Qualified Cost,” **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-13715. Direct testimony submitted April 8, 2003. Cross examined April 23, 2003.

“In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for Approval of Adjustment Mechanisms,” **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01345A-02-0403. Direct testimony submitted February 13, 2003. Surrebuttal testimony submitted March 20, 2003. Cross examined April 8, 2003.

“Re: The Investigation and Suspension of Tariff Sheets Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado, Advice Letter No. 1373 – Electric, Advice Letter No. 593 – Gas, Advice Letter No. 80 – Steam,” **Colorado** Public Utilities Commission, Docket No. 02S-315 EG. Direct testimony submitted November 22, 2002. Cross-answer testimony submitted January 24, 2003.

“In the Matter of the Application of The Detroit Edison Company to Implement the Commission’s Stranded Cost Recovery Procedure and for Approval of Net Stranded Cost Recovery Charges,” **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-13350. Direct testimony submitted November 12, 2002.

“Application of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company: Adjustments in the Company’s Electric Rate Schedules and Tariffs,” Public Service Commission of **South Carolina**, Docket No. 2002-223-E. Direct testimony submitted November 8, 2002. Surrebuttal testimony submitted November 18, 2002. Cross examined November 21, 2002.

“In the Matter of the Application of Questar Gas Company for a General Increase in Rates and Charges,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 02-057-02. Direct testimony submitted August 30, 2002. Rebuttal testimony submitted October 4, 2002.

“The Kroger Co. v. Dynegy Power Marketing, Inc.,” **Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**, EL02-119-000. Confidential affidavit filed August 13, 2002.

“In the matter of the application of Consumers Energy Company for determination of net stranded costs and for approval of net stranded cost recovery charges,” **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-13380. Direct testimony submitted August 9, 2002. Rebuttal testimony submitted August 30, 2002. Cross examined September 10, 2002.

“In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for an Order to Revise Its Incentive Cost Adjustment,” **Colorado** Public Utilities Commission, Docket 02A-158E. Direct testimony submitted April 18, 2002.

“In the Matter of the Generic Proceedings Concerning Electric Restructuring Issues,” **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-00000A-02-0051, “In the Matter of Arizona Public Service Company’s Request for Variance of Certain Requirements of A.A.C. R14-2-1606,” Docket No. E-01345A-01-0822, “In the Matter of the Generic Proceeding Concerning the Arizona Independent Scheduling Administrator,” Docket No. E-00000A-01-0630, “In the Matter

of Tucson Electric Power Company's Application for a Variance of Certain Electric Competition Rules Compliance Dates," Docket No. E-01933A-02-0069, "In the Matter of the Application of Tucson Electric Power Company for Approval of its Stranded Cost Recovery," Docket No. E-01933A-98-0471. Direct testimony submitted March 29, 2002 (APS variance request); May 29, 2002 (APS Track A proceeding/market power issues); and July 28, 2003 (Arizona ISA). Rebuttal testimony submitted August 29, 2003 (Arizona ISA). Cross examined June 21, 2002 (APS Track A proceeding/market power issues) and September 12, 2003 (Arizona ISA).

"In the Matter of Savannah Electric & Power Company's 2001 Rate Case," **Georgia** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 14618-U. Direct testimony submitted March 15, 2002. Cross examined March 28, 2002.

"Nevada Power Company's 2001 Deferred Energy Case," Public Utilities Commission of **Nevada**, PUCN 01-11029. Direct testimony submitted February 7, 2002. Cross examined February 21, 2002.

"2001 Puget Sound Energy Interim Rate Case," **Washington** Utilities and Transportation Commission, Docket Nos. UE-011570 and UE-011571. Direct testimony submitted January 30, 2002. Cross examined February 20, 2002.

"In the Matter of Georgia Power Company's 2001 Rate Case," **Georgia** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 14000-U. Direct testimony submitted October 12, 2001. Cross examined October 24, 2001.

"In the Matter of the Application of PacifiCorp for Approval of Its Proposed Electric Rate Schedules and Electric Service Regulations," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 01-35-01. Direct testimony submitted June 15, 2001. Rebuttal testimony submitted August 31, 2001.

"In the Matter of Portland General Electric Company's Proposal to Restructure and Reprice Its Services in Accordance with the Provisions of SB 1149," Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE-115. Direct testimony submitted February 20, 2001. Rebuttal testimony submitted May 4, 2001. Joint testimony regarding stipulation submitted July 27, 2001.

"In the Matter of the Application of APS Energy Services, Inc. for Declaratory Order or Waiver of the Electric Competition Rules," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01933A-00-0486. Direct testimony submitted July 24, 2000.

"In the Matter of the Application of Questar Gas Company for an Increase in Rates and Charges," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 99-057-20. Direct testimony submitted April 19, 2000. Rebuttal testimony submitted May 24, 2000. Surrebuttal testimony submitted May 31, 2000. Cross examined June 6 & 8, 2000.

“In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company for Approval of Electric Transition Plan and Application for Receipt of Transition Revenues,” Public Utility Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 99-1729-EL-ETP; “In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Approval of Electric Transition Plan and Application for Receipt of Transition Revenues,” Public Utility Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 99-1730-EL-ETP. Direct testimony prepared, but not submitted pursuant to settlement agreement effected May 2, 2000.

“In the Matter of the Application of FirstEnergy Corp. on Behalf of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and the Toledo Edison Company for Approval of Their Transition Plans and for Authorization to Collect Transition Revenues,” Public Utility Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 99-1212-EL-ETP. Direct testimony prepared, but not submitted pursuant to settlement agreement effected April 11, 2000.

“2000 Pricing Process,” **Salt River Project** Board of Directors, oral comments provided March 6, 2000 and April 10, 2000.

“Tucson Electric Power Company vs. Cyprus Sierrita Corporation,” **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-000001-99-0243. Direct testimony submitted October 25, 1999. Cross examined November 4, 1999.

“Application of Hildale City and Intermountain Municipal Gas Association for an Order Granting Access for Transportation of Interstate Natural Gas over the Pipelines of Questar Gas Company for Hildale, Utah,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 98-057-01. Rebuttal testimony submitted August 30, 1999.

“In the Matter of the Application by Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. for Approval of Its Filing as to Regulatory Assets and Transition Revenues,” **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01773A-98-0470. Direct testimony submitted July 30, 1999. Cross examined February 28, 2000.

“In the Matter of the Application of Tucson Electric Power Company for Approval of its Plan for Stranded Cost Recovery,” **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01933A-98-0471; “In the Matter of the Filing of Tucson Electric Power Company of Unbundled Tariffs Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1601 et seq.,” Docket No. E-01933A-97-0772; “In the Matter of the Competition in the Provision of Electric Service Throughout the State of Arizona,” Docket No. RE-00000C-94-0165. Direct testimony submitted June 30, 1999. Rebuttal testimony submitted August 6, 1999. Cross examined August 11-13, 1999.

“In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for Approval of its Plan for Stranded Cost Recovery,” **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01345A-98-0473; “In the Matter of the Filing of Arizona Public Service Company of Unbundled Tariffs



Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1601 et seq.," Docket No. E-01345A-97-0773; "In the Matter of the Competition in the Provision of Electric Service Throughout the State of Arizona," Docket No. RE-00000C-94-0165. Direct testimony submitted June 4, 1999. Rebuttal testimony submitted July 12, 1999. Cross examined July 14, 1999.

"In the Matter of the Application of Tucson Electric Power Company for Approval of its Plan for Stranded Cost Recovery," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01933A-98-0471; "In the Matter of the Filing of Tucson Electric Power Company of Unbundled Tariffs Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1601 et seq.," Docket No. E-01933A-97-0772; "In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for Approval of its Plan for Stranded Cost Recovery," Docket No. E-01345A-98-0473; "In the Matter of the Filing of Arizona Public Service Company of Unbundled Tariffs Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1601 et seq.," Docket No. E-01345A-97-0773; "In the Matter of the Competition in the Provision of Electric Service Throughout the State of Arizona," Docket No. RE-00000C-94-0165. Direct testimony submitted November 30, 1998.

"Hearings on Pricing," **Salt River Project** Board of Directors, written and oral comments provided November 9, 1998.

"Hearings on Customer Choice," **Salt River Project** Board of Directors, written and oral comments provided June 22, 1998; June 29, 1998; July 9, 1998; August 7, 1998; and August 14, 1998.

"In the Matter of the Competition in the Provision of Electric Service Throughout the State of Arizona," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. U-0000-94-165. Direct and rebuttal testimony filed January 21, 1998. Second rebuttal testimony filed February 4, 1998. Cross examined February 25, 1998.

"In the Matter of Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.'s Plans for (1) Electric Rate/Restructuring Pursuant to Opinion No. 96-12; and (2) the Formation of a Holding Company Pursuant to PSL, Sections 70, 108, and 110, and Certain Related Transactions," **New York** Public Service Commission, Case 96-E-0897. Direct testimony filed April 9, 1997. Cross examined May 5, 1997.

"In the Matter of the Petition of Sunnyside Cogeneration Associates for Enforcement of Contract Provisions," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 96-2018-01; "In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for an Order Approving an Amendment to Its Power Purchase Agreement with Sunnyside Cogeneration Associates," Docket Nos. 05-035-46, and 07-035-99. Direct testimony submitted July 8, 1996. Oral testimony provided March 18, 2008.

"In the Matter of the Application of PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power & Light Company, for Approval of Revised Tariff Schedules and an Alternative Form of Regulation Plan," **Wyoming**

Public Service Commission, Docket No. 20000-ER-95-99. Direct testimony submitted April 8, 1996.

“In the Matter of the Application of Mountain Fuel Supply Company for an Increase in Rates and Charges,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 95-057-02. Direct testimony submitted June 19, 1995. Rebuttal testimony submitted July 25, 1995. Surrebuttal testimony submitted August 7, 1995.

“In the Matter of the Investigation of the Reasonableness of the Rates and Tariffs of Mountain Fuel Supply Company,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 89-057-15. Direct testimony submitted July 1990. Surrebuttal testimony submitted August 1990.

“In the Matter of the Review of the Rates of Utah Power and Light Company pursuant to The Order in Case No. 87-035-27,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 89-035-10. Rebuttal testimony submitted November 15, 1989. Cross examined December 1, 1989 (rate schedule changes for state facilities).

“In the Matter of the Application of Utah Power & Light Company and PC/UP&L Merging Corp. (to be renamed PacifiCorp) for an Order Authorizing the Merger of Utah Power & Light Company and PacifiCorp into PC/UP&L Merging Corp. and Authorizing the Issuance of Securities, Adoption of Tariffs, and Transfer of Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Authorities in Connection Therewith,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 87-035-27; Direct testimony submitted April 11, 1988. Cross examined May 12, 1988 (economic impact of UP&L merger with PacifiCorp).

“In the Matter of the Application of Mountain Fuel Supply Company for Approval of Interruptible Industrial Transportation Rates,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 86-057-07. Direct testimony submitted January 15, 1988. Cross examined March 30, 1988.

“In the Matter of the Application of Utah Power and Light Company for an Order Approving a Power Purchase Agreement,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 87-035-18. Oral testimony delivered July 8, 1987.

“Cogeneration: Small Power Production,” **Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**, Docket No. RM87-12-000. Statement on behalf of State of Utah delivered March 27, 1987, in San Francisco.

“In the Matter of the Investigation of Rates for Backup, Maintenance, Supplementary, and Standby Power for Utah Power and Light Company,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 86-035-13. Direct testimony submitted January 5, 1987. Case settled by stipulation approved August 1987.

“In the Matter of the Application of Sunnyside Cogeneration Associates for Approval of the Cogeneration Power Purchase Agreement,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 86-2018-01. Rebuttal testimony submitted July 16, 1986. Cross examined July 17, 1986.

“In the Matter of the Investigation of Demand-Side Alternatives to Capacity Expansion for Electric Utilities,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 84-999-20. Direct testimony submitted June 17, 1985. Rebuttal testimony submitted July 29, 1985. Cross examined August 19, 1985.

“In the Matter of the Implementation of Rules Governing Cogeneration and Small Power Production in Utah,” **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 80-999-06, pp. 1293-1318. Direct testimony submitted January 13, 1984 (avoided costs), May 9, 1986 (security for levelized contracts) and November 17, 1986 (avoided costs). Cross-examined February 29, 1984 (avoided costs), April 11, 1985 (standard form contracts), May 22-23, 1986 (security for levelized contracts) and December 16-17, 1986 (avoided costs).

## **OTHER RELATED ACTIVITY**

Participant, Wyoming Load Growth Collaborative, March 2008 to January 2009.

Participant, Oregon Direct Access Task Force (UM 1081), May 2003 to November 2003.

Participant, Michigan Stranded Cost Collaborative, March 2003 to March 2004.

Member, Arizona Electric Competition Advisory Group, December 2002 to present.

Board of Directors, ex-officio, Desert STAR RTO, September 1999 to February 2002.

Member, Advisory Committee, Desert STAR RTO, September 1999 to February 2002. Acting Chairman, October 2000 to February 2002.

Board of Directors, Arizona Independent Scheduling Administrator Association, October 1998 to present.

Acting Chairman, Operating Committee, Arizona Independent Scheduling Administrator Association, October 1998 to June 1999.

Member, Desert Star ISO Investigation Working Groups: Operations, Pricing, and Governance, April 1997 to December 1999. Legal & Negotiating Committee, April 1999 to December 1999.

Participant, Independent System Operator and Spot Market Working Group, Arizona Corporation Commission, April 1997 to September 1997.

Participant, Unbundled Services and Standard Offer Working Group, Arizona Corporation Commission, April 1997 to October 1997.

Participant, Customer Selection Working Group, Arizona Corporation Commission, March 1997 to September 1997.

Member, Stranded Cost Working Group, Arizona Corporation Commission, March 1997 to September 1997.

Member, Electric System Reliability & Safety Working Group, Arizona Corporation Commission, November 1996 to September 1998.

Chairman, Salt Palace Renovation and Expansion Committee, Salt Lake County/State of Utah/Salt Lake City, multi-government entity responsible for implementation of planning, design, finance, and construction of an \$85 million renovation of the Salt Palace Convention Center, Salt Lake City, Utah, May 1991 to December 1994.

State of Utah Representative, Committee on Regional Electric Power Cooperation, a joint effort of the Western Interstate Energy Board and the Western Conference of Public Service Commissioners, January 1987 to December 1990.

Member, Utah Governor's Economic Coordinating Committee, January 1987 to December 1990.

Chairman, Standard Contract Task Force, established by Utah Public Service Commission to address contractual problems relating to qualifying facility sales under PURPA, March 1986 to December 1990.

Chairman, Load Management and Energy Conservation Task Force, Utah Public Service Commission, August 1985 to December 1990.

Alternate Delegate for Utah, Western Interstate Energy Board, Denver, Colorado, August 1985 to December 1990.

Articles Editor, Economic Forum, September 1980 to August 1981.