Confidential Release

Case Number: 96-899-TP-ALT

Date of Confidential Document: 12/17/1997

Today's Date: OCT 25 2011

Testimony of Elizabeth Krieger. (29 pgs)

RECEIVE

DOCKETING DIVISION Public Utilities Commission

Public Utilities Con ELEPHONE CONTACTOR

CINCINNATI BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY CASE NO. 96-899-TP-ALT NON-PUBLIC VERSION TESTIMONY OF ELIZABETH KRIEGER FILED UNDER SEAL

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete ingreduation of a case file document delivered in the majorithm of the influence rectangle of the language rectangle of the language of the language

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In The Matter Of The Application Of
Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company
For Approval Of A Retail Pricing Plan
Which May Result In Future Rate
Increases And For A New Alternative
Regulation Plan

DEC 1 7 1997

DOCKETING DIVISION
Public Bellings Commission of Glan

NON-PUBLIC VERSION

TESTIMONY of ELIZABETH KRIEGER

ON BEHALF OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL 77 South High Street, 15th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43266-0550 (614) 466-8574

Dated: December 17, 1997

(CONTAINS ALLEGEDLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION)

OCC EXHIBIT	
-------------	--

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In The Matter Of The Application Of Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company For Approval Of A Retail Pricing Plan Which May Result In Future Rate Increases And For A New Alternative)))	Case No. 96-899-TP-ALT
Regulation Plan	j	DEC 1 7 1997
		DOCKETING DIVISION Public Utilities Commission of Onto

NON-PUBLIC VERSION

TESTIMONY of ELIZABETH KRIEGER

ON BEHALF OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL 77 South High Street, 15th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43266-0550 (614) 466-8574

Dated: December 17, 1997

(CONTAINS ALLEGEDLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1

2			<u>PAGE</u>
3	I.	INTRODUCTION	I
4	II.	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAMS	5
5	III.	ISSUES SURROUNDING THE CONSUMER	
6		LIFELINE PROGRAM	10
7	IV.	RECOMMENDATIONS	17
8		a) Staffing/Management and Operation Systems Consultant	18
9		b) Record-Tracking Capabilities/Management and	
10		Operation Systems Consultant	20
11		c) Goals and Performance Measurements	22
12		d) Funding	24
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21		·	
22		•	
23		i	
24			

1	I.	INTRODUCTION
2		
3	<i>Q1</i> .	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS, AND POSITION.
4	AI.	My name is Elizabeth Krieger. My business address is 77 South High Street, 15 th
5		Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43266-0550. I am employed by the Ohio Consumers'
6		Counsel (OCC) as a Compliance Specialist, a position I have held since January
7		of 1996.
8		
9	Q 2.	WOULD YOU PLEASE BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATION AND
10		PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE?
l 1	A2.	I am a June 1989 graduate of the Ohio State University with a Bachelor of Arts
12		degree in Political Science and Criminal Justice. I obtained a Master of Public
13		Administration from the Ohio State University in December of 1995.
14		
15		During 1989 to 1991, I was a member of the Ohio Governor's Office as a Legal
16		Inquiries Officer responding to constituent, community group, and inter-agency
17		legal inquiries concerning the inmates and policies of Ohio's correctional
18		facilities. I was responsible for designing and supervising research projects
19		concerning family violence issues. From 1993 to 1994, I served as a Community
20		Specialist with the Alliance for Cooperative Justice. I was responsible for
21		managing and evaluating neighborhood crime prevention organizations, grant
22		review procedures and bi-annual outcome evaluation of neighborhood program
23		goals. Finally, from 1994 to 1996, I served as an Environmental Background

l		Investigator with the Ohio Attorney General's Office, Bureau of Criminal
2		Identification and Investigation.
3		
4		Throughout my professional career, I have had direct experience in consensus
5		work with community groups concerning various issues from state institutional
6		policy, crime prevention issues to environmental safety for families in and around
7		landfill facilities.
8		
9	Q3.	WHAT ARE YOUR RESPONSIBITIES AS A COMPLIANCE SPECIALIST?
10	A3.	My responsibilities as a compliance specialist include investigating and
11		monitoring Ohio regulated utilities' compliance with the statutes and rules as set
12		forth in the Ohio Revised Code, Ohio Administrative Code, PUCO Orders and
13		Company tariffs. My responsibilities also include identifying and analyzing
14		consumer service issues associated with proposed tariff changes during rate cases,
15		and evaluating proposed changes in companies' plans and procedures that may
16		impact residential consumers.
17		
18	Q4.	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY BEFORE THIS
19		COMMISSION?
20	A4.	No.
21		

1	Q5.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
2		PROCEEDING?
3	A5.	I am addressing certain proposals of Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company (CBT
4		or Company) and the Staff's recommendations in this proceeding regarding the
5		Consumer Lifeline Board.
6		
7	Q6.	WHAT DOCUMENTS HAVE YOU REVIEWED IN THE PREPARATION OF
8		YOUR TESTIMONY?
9	A6.	I have reviewed pertinent portions of the Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company
10		application, testimony, standard filing requirements, and responses to OCC and
11		other Intervenors' data requests. I have also reviewed responses to Staff data
12		requests, the Staff Report, and the Commission Opinion and Order and Staff
13		Report from the Company's last alternative regulation case (Case No. 93-432-TP-
14		ALT). I have reviewed the transcripts of Consumer Lifeline Board meetings
15		(1995-1997), Company Annual Monitoring Reports, the 1996 Consumer Lifeline
16		Board Report, the 1996 Aragon Lifeline Study, the Commission's Report to the
17		Ohio General Assembly: Telephone Service Assistance Lifeline Program
18		(December 31, 1994), the Commission Investigation into the Intrastate Universal
19		Service Discounts (Case No. 97-632-TP-COI), and the Commission Finding and
20		Order in Case No. 89-45-TP-COI.
21		
22		
23		

1	Q7.	WHAT IS CBT'S COMMITMENT ON THE CONSUMER LIFELINE
`2		PROGRAM AND WHAT IS STAFF'S POSITION ON THIS
3		COMMITMENT?
4	A7.	CBT's commitment is to continue working jointly with the Consumer Lifeline
5		Board. CBT will support the Board in the amount of \$300,000 over the initial
6		three-year term of the proposed alternative regulation plan to develop and finance
7		programs beneficial to low-income groups.
8		
9		Staff recommended that while the inclusion of the Consumer Lifeline program is
10		in the public interest, CBT failed to provide long-term strategies, targets or goals
11		for the program's measurement and success. Since CBT failed to specify the
12		substance of the activities, goals and use of funds, Staff could not make a
13		meaningful assessment of the Consumer Lifeline program. Staff recommended
14		that CBT submit performance measurements and goals so that the success of the
15		program can be evaluated and monitored. Further, Staff recommended that CBT
16		quantify what resources it plans on dedicating to fulfill this commitment. Finally
17		Staff recommended that the proposed \$300,000 may or may not be acceptable to
18		achieving the needs and recommendations of the Consumer Lifeline Board.
19		
20	Q8.	DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCERNS ABOUT THE STAFF'S
21		RECOMMENDATION OF THE CONSUMER LIFELINE PROGRAM?
22	A8.	Yes. I do not believe the Staff's recommendation is specific enough. Staff failed
23		to recommend that CBT address administrative and record-tracking concerns of

l		the 'trial' Consumer Lifeline program. Additionally, I do not agree with Staff's
2		recommendation that CBT establish performance measurements and goals to
3		assess and evaluate this commitment. Rather, the Consumer Lifeline Board
4		members should develop the measurements and goals and submit this information
5		to CBT. CBT should provide the necessary resources to fund the Board's
6		recommendations.
7		
8	II.	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAMS
9 10	Q9.	HOW DOES CBT'S CONSUMER LIFELINE PROGRAM DIFFER FROM
11		OHIO'S LIFELINE PROGRAMS?
12	A9.	The 'trial' Consumer Lifeline program was a company commitment established to
13		ensure the availability of basic telecommunications service in CBT's service
14		territory and provide additional targeted universal service efforts. The need for
15		increased lifeline efforts was identified by the Commission in the report,
16		"Telephone Service Assistance Lifeline Programs," issued December 31, 1994.
17		The purpose of this report was to address the effectiveness of Telephone Service
18		Assistance (TSA).
19		
20		CBT committed in Case No. 93-432-TP-ALT to develop the 'trial' Consumer
21		Lifeline program to enhance lifeline efforts. The Consumer Lifeline Board is
22		composed of representatives from CBT, the Commission, the Ohio Consumers'
23		Counsel (OCC), social service agencies, and consumer and disability advocacy

1	groups. The purpose of the 'trial' program was to develop an expanded
2	Consumer Lifeline program implemented by CBT through monthly credits. The
3	CBT 'trial' Consumer Lifeline program enhances the established lifeline
4	programs and meets the need for increased lifeline efforts through expanded
5	eligibility criteria and monthly credits. The Consumer Lifeline program has an
6	established education and outreach component where eligible participants meet
7	one-on-one with Board members to fill out an enrollment form and review
8	information contained in the Board's "How-to-Booklet."
9	
10	TSA is a state lifeline program established in August of 1991 pursuant to House
11	Bill (HB) 254 that offers eligible elderly and disabled individuals a waiver of up-
12	front costs of obtaining basic telephone service. TSA also provides a monthly
13	discount of seven dollars. TSA is, in part, funded by state tax revenue. The
14	program has strict eligibility requirements and limits participants to usage-
15	sensitive (measured) service. TSA customers may not subscribe to optional
16	services other than touchtone unless the Commission has found the service
17	beneficial to customers with handicaps or medical conditions, or in life-
18	threatening situations. TSA had a sunset provision as of January 1, 1998.
19	Legislation has been passed by the Ohio General Assembly (SB 5) to extend TSA
20	through December 31, 1999. The Governor signed SB 5 on November 5, 1997.
21	
22	The Commission established Service Connection Assistance (SCA) in Case No.
23	89-45-TP-COI. SCA is targeted to help defray the non-recurring (i.e., deposit and

ì		partial connection charges) up-front costs of obtaining local telephone service for
2		low-income individuals. SCA also provides a monthly waiver of the federal
3		subscriber line charge (\$3.50) for a limited number of months (dependent on the
4		amount of waived deposit and service connection charges).
5		
6	Q10.	HOW DOES THE CONSUMER LIFELINE PROGRAM ENHANCE OHIO'S
7		EXISTING UNIVERSAL SERVICE PROGRAMS?
8	A10.	The Consumer Lifeline program was distinct from TSA and SCA at the time of its
9		creation in that it assisted a broader base of economically disadvantaged
10		customers through expanded eligibility criteria. First, the Consumer Lifeline
11		Program expanded participant eligibility to include Social Security Disability
12		Income (SSDI) and Aid for Dependent Children (AFDC-ADC). The expanded
13		criteria allowed a larger number of low-income, disabled or elderly individuals
14		(who need assistance to establish and maintain basic telephone service) the
15		opportunity to qualify for assistance.
16		
17		A second distinguishing feature concerns the amount of credits offered by the
18		Consumer Lifeline program. A feature of the Consumer Lifeline program similar
19		to TSA and SCA is that both the deposit and applicable service connection
20		charges for new participants and the FCC subscriber line charge are waived. The
21		following credits are provided to Consumer Lifeline participants:
22		• Touch-tone connection [initial (\$6) and monthly (\$0.72)]
23		Basic access line credit [\$5.07 for flat-rate; \$4.44 for measured-rate]

1	In addition, if the Consumer Lifeline participant has an outstanding balance or
2	final bill comprised of toll and/or local usage charges, the Board requires toll
3	restriction. The toll block charge of \$11.80 (initial) and \$4.10 (monthly) is also
4	waived. In total, a Consumer Lifeline participant is credited \$13.39 for flat-rate
5	service (\$12.76 for measured-rate).
6	
7	A third distinguishing feature of the Consumer Lifeline program is the
8	emancipated minor program. This allows consumers under the age of 18 years of
9	age to obtain basic phone service. The Board implemented strict minor
10	participant criteria including flat-rate service only, restricted from custom calling
11	features, and toll restriction. The program allows minors to establish basic phone
12	service so they can communicate with family and potential employers.
13	
14	A final distinguishing and enhancing feature is the Consumer Lifeline program's
15	established outreach/education component. Two primary objectives of the Board
16	(established during the last alternative regulation case) were to help customers
17	become self-reliant and establish and maintain basic telephone service. An
18	important component to fulfill these objectives was the development of an
19	educational program. The educational program is comprised of two elements: the
20	"How-to-Booklet" and outreach meetings.
21	
22	The Board created a "How-to-Booklet" to inform participants about most aspects
23	of telephone service. The booklet includes information on how to read a

H

telephone bill, company services and prices, outages and repairs. The booklet is
used in conjunction with outreach meetings. The booklet is currently printed in
Braille and large print and is available on audiocassettes to accommodate
physically challenged participants. The booklet is available at CBT and social
service and community action agencies that are represented by Board members.
The outreach meetings consist of an introduction of the program and a review of
the Booklet presented by Board members to various community groups such as
senior citizens, low-income and physically challenged individuals. Board
members conducted over 15 education/outreach meetings in 1996. Since
attendance at an outreach meeting prior to participating in the Consumer Lifeline
program is mandatory, the meeting allows potential participants to ask questions
about all aspects of telephone service "face-to-face" with Board members. This
"value added" component helps to ensure that customers are knowledgeable about
telephone service and the attendant responsibilities such as making payment
arrangements and fulfilling financial obligations to the company. The personal
interaction helps customers to become informed and better educated.

4.7

1	III.	ISSUES SURROUNDING THE CONSUMER LIFELINE PROGRAM
2		
3	Q11.	WHAT HAS THE TRIAL CONSUMER LIFELINE PROGRAM
4		ACCOMPLISHED?
5	A11.	The 'trial' program has had many accomplishments. As of November of 1997,
6		556 customers were participating in the Consumer Lifeline program. The
7		following 1996 Objectives (from the 1996 Consumer Board Report) were
8		accomplished:
9		Expanded and filled Board member vacancies;
10		Increased and completed education of all CBT customer service
11		representatives on the Lifeline program;
12		 Expanded outreach activities/meetings. In 1996, over 15 meetings
13		were held which resulted in a 160 percent increase in program
14		participation;
15		Surveyed Lifeline participants in 1996 to evaluate the program's
16		effectiveness.
17		Additionally, Board members held strategic planning sessions (in July and August
18		of 1996) to develop forward-looking recommendations. Key strategic issues
19		identified from the planning process include the following: addressing special
20		needs; program expansion; increase outreach; mechanization; and, program
21		permanency.
7 2		

1	First, special needs were addressed with the purchase of 50 TTY
2	machines/equipment for use by the hearing-impaired at outreach meetings and in
3	their homes. The Board believed hearing-impaired individuals were isolated from
4	program participation due to communication barriers. The Board recognized the
5	need to develop enrollment targets so that these individuals can become program
6	participants.
7	
8	A second strategic issue, program expansion, was addressed through a trial phase
9	at the Clermont County Community Action Agency. During this phase, a CBT
10	representative enrolled over 125 E-HEAP applicants in the Lifeline program.
11	The Board has recommended that expansion efforts continue by targeting
12	customers during the HEAP application process.
13	
14	A third strategic issue, increased outreach activities, stemmed from the Board's
15	belief that personal interaction with potential participants is the least threatening
16	and most helpful way to educate. The Board recognized that continuing and
17	expanding outreach activities should include an updated version of the "How-to-
18	Booklet" so that participants will be informed of new company rates and services
19	as a result of the proposed alternative regulation case.
20	
21	A fourth issue, mechanization, was addressed in 1996. Mechanization was the
22	process to automate the billing system of Consumer Lifeline participants. CBT
23	Consumer Lifeline personnel no longer have to manually type monthly billing

statements reflecting the various credits offered by the program. This system has 1 2 limited billing capabilities, however, such that the Consumer Lifeline accounts must be manually credited as payment is received. 3 4 5 A final issue, program permanency, was determined to be a substantial weakness 6 of the program. Board members agreed there should be a greater commitment from CBT management to be flexible in providing adequate funding in order to 7 8 expand outreach activities and ultimately, program enrollment. 9 10 The administration of an evaluative Lifeline survey was another major accomplishment in 1996. Aragon Consulting Group conducted telephone 11 12 interviews with 212 trial consumer lifeline participants from March 27 to April 1, 1996. The target audience for the study was a list of 288 current consumer 13 14 lifeline participants provided by CBT. The results of the 1996 Lifeline survey indicate that the program is a success with over two-thirds of program 15 respondents rating their satisfaction as "extremely high". However, this survey 16 17 revealed several aspects of the program that need improvement. The survey 18 results indicate, first, that CBT should communicate with its entire customer base about the Lifeline program. Second, that outreach meetings should be increased 19 with an effort to improve communication efforts through newsprint and social 20 21 service organizations.

22

1	Recognizing these recommendations as areas for building and improving, the
2	Board formed a sub-committee in March 1997 to analyze and implement forward-
3	looking directive goals for the Consumer Lifeline program. These goals would be
4	formulated from the elements identified from the key strategic issue areas
5	including special needs, program expansion, increased outreach meetings, and
6	program permanency. The sub-committee's purpose is to enhance and increase
7	outreach/education activities so the program can go beyond the 'trial' status,
8	allowing for expansion to all eligible customers in CBT's service territory.
9	To date, the sub-committee has formulated, or is in the process of formulating,
10	policies in the following areas:
11	Program participant limitations;
12	• Enrollment targets;
13	Payment defaults.
14	
15	The sub-committee and the Board have identified several areas of concern from
16	the 'trial' Consumer Lifeline program. The problems identified include:
17	1) Inadequate personnel to answer on-going questions from program
18	participants and performing collection or 'treatment' for participants who
19	are not paying on a timely basis;
20	2) A lack of data and/or technical resources so that the Board can effectively
21	evaluate the program;

1		3) A need to develop goals and objectives so the 'trial' program can be
2		expanded to include all eligible customers in CBT's service territory.
3		
4		The Board's discussion of strategies to help rectify these problems will occur at
5		the 1998 February and March Board meetings.
6		
7		However, due to the problems identified by the Board concerning the 'trial'
8		Consumer Lifeline program, eligible participants enrolled through
9		education/outreach activities since October, 1997 are currently on a waiting list.
10		The Board will not add new participants until the identified problems have been
11		resolved. CBT committing personnel and financial resources to address the
12		inadequate staffing and lack of automation of the 'trial' program can resolve the
13		identified problems.
14		
15	Q12.	WHAT OTHER INFORMATION IS RELEVANT TO AN ASSESSMENT OF
16		CBT'S COMMITMENT?
17	A12.	One component of what has been learned from the 'trial' Consumer Lifeline
18		program concerns the results from the 1996 Lifeline survey conducted by Aragon
19		Consultants. The results found that:
20		1. Almost 70% of survey respondents were extremely satisfied with the
21		program;
22		2. Over 83% believed their participation in the program gave them the
23		ability to pay the monthly discounted and final bill on a regular basis;

1		3. 89% and 75%, respectively, believed outreach meetings are helpful
2		and necessary.
3		
4		Based upon the survey results, Aragon concluded: the Lifeline program is very
5		successful and beneficial to participants; outreach meetings are beneficial and
6		positive sources of education; and, CBT should communicate with its entire
7		customer base about the Lifeline program.
8		
9	Q13.	HAVE THERE BEEN ANY CHANGES TO OHIO'S LIFELINE
10		PROGRAMS?
11	A13.	Yes. On May 8, 1997 the FCC issued its Universal Service Order in CC Docket
12		No. 96-45. The Order required all eligible telecommunications carriers to provide
13		a new Lifeline service. The Ohio Commission authorized the program on the
14		state level, and CBT filed tariffs to enact it. Under the new Lifeline plan, low-
15		income customers are eligible to receive:
16		 \$5.25 federal funded discount off of the basic local charge,
17		Free toll restriction;
18		Waiver of deposit requirements if customer elects to receive toll
19		restriction.
20		
21		The new Federal Lifeline program is an opportunity for the Board to further
22		enhance the Consumer Lifeline program since the Federal program is new and has

1	the potential to reach a larger number of customers than current state statutory
2	lifeline programs based upon the following:
3	 Eligibility is broader than for TSA;
4	There is no requirement of subscribing to measured or message
5	service;
6	Customers may subscribe to optional services.
7	
8	Under the Commission's investigation into Intrastate Universal Service
9	Discounts, Case No. 97-1649-TP-ATA, boilerplate tariff amendments were filed
10	by CBT on December 8, 1997. These tariffs were required by the Commission to
11	ensure that SCA and TSA continued to be in compliance with FCC rules, and
12	incorporate the new Lifeline program into CBT's tariffs.
13	
14	The CBT tariff amendments enact the following benefits for SCA:
15	Waiver of applicable deposit requirements;
16	 Full or partial waiver of applicable service connection charges;
17	Expands eligibility to a broader group of individuals to include Food
18	Stamps and Federal public housing assistance (Section 8);
19	Available for all grades of service;
20	No restrictions on optional services.
21	
22	The CBT tariff amendments enact the following benefits for TSA:

1		 Waiver of deposit requirements to establish service;
2		• Waiver of the monthly federal subscriber line charge (\$3.50);
3		 A \$3.50 monthly federal discount off the basic line charge;
4		 A \$3.50 monthly state discount off the basic line charge;
5		Waiver of applicable service conversion charges for customers
6		changing to or from TSA.
7		
8	IV.	RECOMMENDATIONS
9		
10	Q14.	WHAT ARE YOUR RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE CONSUMER
11		LIFELINE PROGRAM?
12	A14.	I have several recommendations for the Consumer Lifeline program. First, I
13		recommend that CBT address the inadequate personnel staffing of the 'trial'
14		Consumer Lifeline program by hiring an independent consultant to determine
15		steps or systems CBT could implement as solution(s). Second, CBT should
16		address the record-tracking capabilities of the program by hiring the same
17		consultant to determine steps or systems CBT could implement as solution(s).
18		Third, the Board should develop objectives, goals and performance measurements
19		so the Consumer Lifeline program can move beyond the 'trial' status, allowing
20		for expansion to all eligible customers in CBT's service territory. Last, I
21		recommend that CBT adequately fund the Consumer Lifeline program at a level
22		to have a viable program.

1		a) Staffing/Management and Operation Systems Consultant
2		
3	Q15.	WHAT DO YOU RECOMMEND WITH RESPECT TO STAFFING AND
4		MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION SYSTEMS CONSULTANT?
5	A15.	My recommendation concerns the inadequate staffing of the 'trial' Consumer
6		Lifeline program. During an October 1997 meeting, the Board acknowledged an
7		increasing difficulty in providing 'treatment' to program participants. The
8		'treatment' or collection aspect focuses on CBT's inability to monitor the
9		payment arrangements and defaults of program participants. In 1995, the
10		program had a manageable number of participants in which CBT representatives
11		could conduct phone calls and/or send correspondence to those participants who
12		did not pay current or previously agreed upon final bill arrangement amounts. As
13		the Board aggressively increased education and outreach activities in 1996,
14		participant numbers increased to a level in which 'treatment' could not effectively
15		be implemented with only two CBT personnel working the 'trial' lifeline
16		accounts. Since July 1997 to October 1997, 39 participants have been
17		disconnected from the Consumer Lifeline program due to non-payment (balances
8		outstanding for over three months) of both current and final balances. It has been
19		estimated that 61% of program participants may not be paying current charges or
20		keeping payment arrangements on final bills.
21		
22		During a November 1997 Board meeting, CBT representatives stated that the
23		company has taken the first step to rectify this administrative problem by

l

committing u	p to eight representatives to work and monitor Lifeline accounts.
CBT has not	committed to the exact number of personnel or the time period in
which the per	sonnel will continue to monitor the accounts. The addition of CBT
personnel ma	y help to ensure timely payments by performing phone calls and/or
sending corre	spondence to remind participants of payment amounts and due dates
so disconnect	ion from the lifeline program can be avoided. However, the staffing
of the Consur	ner Lifeline program has to be more than collection activities. As
education and	outreach activities increase, it is imperative that adequate personnel
resources be t	unequivocally dedicated to the Consumer Lifeline program.
Personnel sho	ould be responsible for educating potential enrollees by performing
education and	outreach activities with the assistance from Board members.
I recommend	that CBT hire a management and operation systems consultant to
determine ho	w CBT can best staff the Consumer Lifeline program. The
consultant she	ould be selected by CBT with consultation from the Consumer
Lifeline Boar	d. The consultant should perform the following duties:
1)	Determine how CBT can best staff the program (both short and
	long-term) so that the lifeline accounts can be monitored for
	necessary collection activity, calls can be effectively handled, and
	education and outreach activities are performed by CBT personnel;
2)	Propose additional steps or systems CBT could implement to
	achieve adequate staffing;
3)	Identify any impediment(s) in the existing system.

1 2 3		<i>b)</i>	Record-Tracking Capabilities/Management and Operation Systems Consultant
4	Q16.	WH	AT DO YOU RECOMMEND WITH RESPECT TO THE RECORD-
5		TRA	CKING CAPABILITIES AND MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION
6		SYS	TEMS CONSULTANT?
7	A16.	Му	recommendation involves inadequate record-tracking capabilities of the 'trial'
8		Cons	sumer Lifeline program. In 1995, CBT dedicated resources to establish an
9		auto	mated billing database for Consumer Lifeline accounts so that CBT
10		repre	esentatives would not have to manually type billing statements. However,
11		this s	system only applies the various monthly credits. The automation does not
12		allov	v CBT representatives to retrieve account payment history information such
13		as ho	ow many payments have been made, how many payments are past due for
14		curre	ent charges or past due balances (final bills), the dollar amount(s) past due
15		and t	the reasons why participants are not fulfilling agreed-upon financial
16		arrar	ngements. This information must be manually extracted from each Consumer
17		Lifel	line account. The billing automation system does not have the capability to
18		gene	rate monthly reminder correspondences or past due notices for program
19		parti	cipants. These notices must be manually generated.
20			
21		The	Board has also recognized the need to further automate Consumer Lifeline
22		infor	mation so that activities and outcomes can be effectively and efficiently
23		mon	itored. Currently, CBT representatives must manually pull Consumer
24		Lifel	line accounts from all other CBT residential telephone accounts to obtain any

1		level of acco	unt detail. Some examples of information that has to be extracted			
2		manually inc	manually include demographics, the length of time a participant has been			
3		enrolled, and	the number of customers enrolled each month from a community			
4		action agenc	y outreach meeting. To effectively evaluate the success of the			
5		program, sta	tistical data should be available to Board members.			
6						
7		I recommend	that CBT use the same management and operation systems			
8		consultant fo	or staffing concerns to also determine a cost-effective means to			
9		automate rec	ord-tracking for the Consumer Lifeline program. The consultant			
10		should perfor	rm the following duties:			
11		1)	Determine how CBT can effectively and efficiently automate the			
12			Consumer Lifeline program;			
13		2)	Determine if CBT's current automated billing system for			
14			Consumer Lifeline participants has maximum billing information			
15			capabilities;			
16		3)	Propose steps or systems CBT could implement;			
17		4)	Identify all impediments in the existing system.			
18						
19	Q17.	DO YOU HA	AVE ADDITIONAL RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE			
20		MANAGEM	IENT AND OPERATION SYSTEMS CONSULTANT?			
21	A17.	Yes. CBT sl	hould pay all costs associated with the management and operation			
22		systems cons	sultant. No financial resources should be taken from the proposed			
23		program fund	ding of \$300,000 to pay for the consultant. The consultant should be			

1		viewed as a short-term solution and, as such, the retained consultant should begin
2		work within two months after the Commission issues its Opinion and Order on
3		CBT's alternative regulation plan. The consultant's recommendations and report
4		should be issued to CBT, the Consumer Lifeline Board and the Commission
5		within eight weeks from the date the consultant begins work.
6		
7		CBT, with consultation and approval from the Board, should implement the
8		consultant's recommendations within four months from the date in which the
9		consultant's report is issued.
10		
11		c) Goals and Performance Measurements
12		
13	Q18.	WHAT DO YOU RECOMMEND WITH RESPECT TO GOALS AND
14		PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS?
15	A18.	My recommendation is for the Board to develop objectives, goals and
16		performance measurements for a Consumer Lifeline program which is no longer a
17		'trial' but one that could be rolled-out to all eligible customers in CBT's service
18		territory. The expansion of the program should occur once CBT has implemented
19		the recommendations of the management and operation systems consultant.
20		However, the development of these objectives/goals should occur simultaneously
21		with the consultant's study of the program. This recommendation is similar to a
22		recommendation identified in the 1996 Board Report that stated, "The Board will
23		identify criteria and goals, give the proposal to CBT and ask them to fund it."

1	The Board should strongly focus on education and outreach target goals to
2	correlate with program expansion. CBT should be responsible for ensuring that
3	outreach and education activities keep pace with increased enrollment numbers.
4	The Board should submit expansion objectives/goals and performance measures
5	to CBT management by July 1998. These objectives/goals should also address
6	effective management of the new standalone Lifeline program and the expanded
7	TSA program.
8	
9	Upon submission of the Board's recommendations, CBT should approve and
10	provide adequate funding to support expansion recommendations within two
11	months after the submission of the Board's report. Expansion activities should
12	take place simultaneously with rectifying the previously identified staffing and
13	record-tracking problems. My recommendations here are consistent with the
14	testimony of Raymond R. Clark, a CBT witness in Case No. 93-432-TP-ALT who
15	stated "CBT will match identified needs with company resources and consider
16	implementation of programs to assist the broadest base of truly needy people
17	through education or funding." (Clark pre-filed testimony at page 6, May 18,
18	1993)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1		d) <u>Funding</u>
2		
3	Q19.	WHAT LEVEL OF FUNDING DO YOU RECOMMEND FOR THE
4		CONSUMER LIFELINE PROGRAM?
5	A19.	I cannot recommend a specific dollar amount at this time. However, I
6		recommend that the level of funding be an adequate amount to have a viable
7		Consumer Lifeline program. CBT's proposed funding of \$300,000 is clearly
8		insufficient to build upon the lessons learned from the trial Consumer Lifeline
9		program. The necessary elements that are required to sustain program viability
10		include the hiring of a management and operations systems consultant to
11		recommend and implement solutions to the inadequate staffing and record-
12		tracking concerns of the program. Also, funding should be provided to support
13		the Board's recommended goals and objectives of an expanded Consumer
14		Lifeline program. The exact amount needed to accomplish these elements should
15		be determined by CBT with input and consultation by the Board.
16		
17	Q20.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
18	A20.	Yes. However, I reserve the right to modify, amend, or add to this testimony
19		based on additional information that may become available.
20		
21		
22		
23		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

ŧ

I hereby certify that copies of the Non-Public Version Testimony of Elizabeth Krieger, on behalf of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel, have been served by first class mail, postage prepaid, or hand-delivered to the following parties of record this 17th day of December 1997.

Thomas J. O'Brien

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

PARTIES OF RECORD

DAVID C. OLSON, ESQ. DOUGLAS E. HART, ESQ.

Frost & Jacobs LLP 2500 PNC Center 201 East Fifth Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 Attys. for Cincinnati Bell Tel. Co.

BENITA A. KAHN, ESQ.

Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008 Atty. for AT&T Communications of Ohio, Inc.

MATTHEW H. BERNS, ESQ.

MCI Telecommunications Corporation 205 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 3700 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Atty. for MCI Telecommunications Corp.

ROGER P. SUGARMAN, ESQ.

Counsel for Time Warner AxS Kegler, Brown, Hill & Ritter, Co. LPA 65 East State Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-4294 Atty. for Time Warner Communications Of Ohio, L.P.

ROBERT W. QUINN, JR., ESQ. DAVID CHORZEMPA

AT&T Corporation 227 West Monroe Street, 13th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60606 Attys. for AT&T Communications of Ohio, Inc.

JUDITH B. SANDERS, ESQ.

Bell, Royer & Sanders, Co. LPA 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43215 Atty. for MCI Telecommunications Corp.

BRUCE J. WESTON, ESQ.

Law Office 169 West Hubbard Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43215-1439 Atty. for Teleport Communications Group

STEPHEN M. HOWARD, ESQ.

Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease 52 East Gay Street, P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008 Atty. for Answering Exchange, Inc.

BOYD B. FERRIS, ESQ.

Muldoon & Ferris 2733 W. Dublin -Granville Road Columbus, Ohio 43235-2798 Atty. for ICG Telecom Group, Inc.

MICHAEL A. BYERS, ESQ. KRISTINA E. SUNG, ESQ.

Taft, Stettinius & Hollister
21 East State Street, 12th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4221
Attys. for Cincinnati Zoo & Botanical
Gardens

DOUGLAS W. TRABARIS, ESQ.

Teleport Communications Group 233 South Wacker Drive, Suite 2100 Chicago, Illinois 60606 Atty. for Teleport Communications Group

DENNIS K. MUNCY, ESQ.

Meyer, Capel, Hirschfeld, Muncy, Jahn, & Aldeen 306 W. Church Street, P.O. Box 6750 Champaign, Illinois 61826-6750 Atty. for Answering Exchange, Inc.

HENRY T. KELLY MICHAEL W. WARD

O'Keefe, Ashenden, Lyons and Ward 30 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 4100 Chicago, Illinois 60602 Attys. for Payphone Association of Ohio

220