

FILE

190

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793


Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Phil Osborne
1633 Brunnerwood Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45238



CREDO Action

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician LD Date Processed 9-30-11

201

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

William Taylor
325 Broadway St.
Batavia, OH 45103

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Klara Apro
727 Martin Luther King Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45220

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Patrick McLendon
3827 Larchview Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45236

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Adam Schmidt
763 Old Locust Hill Rd.
Cincinnati, OH 45245

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Eileen Taylor
3355 Lindsay Ln #25
Cincinnati, OH 45251

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Christine Williamson
1940 De Armand Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45239

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Andrew Neutzling
2417 Fairview Ave Apt 2
Cincinnati, OH 45219

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Ron Carrelli
5140 Augspurger Road
Hamilton, OH 45011

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Amy Getgey
141 Burns Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45215

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Sandy Eichert
1411 Main Street
Cincinnati, OH 45202

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Patricia Wyenandt
7998 Meadowcreek Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45244

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Debbie Standiford
2615 Beechmar Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45230

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Mary Rudemiller
3732 High Point Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45211

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Diana Bradley
225a Ewing Drive
Fairfield, OH 45014

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Simmons
6724 Boxwood Lane Apt. B
Liberty Township, OH 45044

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Michele Biggane
8332 Cannon Knoll Ct.
West Chester, OH 45069

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Wallace
5450 Windridge Ct
Cincinnati, OH 45243

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Cindy McMorris
3634 Russell Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45208

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

Please do NOT accept Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan. As a Duke Energy ratepayer, I do not want to pay for the dirty pollution from the coal plants that Duke relies on. I wish for you require clean energy investments from Duke and investments in further energy efficiencies.

Sincerely,

Amy Mercer
5683 Crestwood Drive
Mason, OH 45040

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jenn Mastri
1514 Springdale Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45231

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. It's time we all acknowledge the true cost of energy.

Sincerely,

Rachel O'Malley
1508 Wittekind Ter
Cincinnati, OH 45224

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Nancy Combs
3614 Ridgewood Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45211

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Hale Newman
82 Topinabee Road
Cincinnati, OH 45233

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

Please don't rubber stamp Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. For the sake of our health and the environment, the company should instead be required to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than continue with its dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Julie Lonneman
570 Flatt Terrace
Cincinnati, OH 45232

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Karen Beiser
6770 Sheed Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45247

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

John Sniegocki
3960 Winding Way
Cincinnati, OH 45229

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Rob Walkerow
3510 Locust Lake Rd Apt 2
Amelia, OH 45102

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. I live in the village of Moscow, right next to the Zimmer plant and I SEE and SMELL the pollution pouring from that plant. You know as well as I do that there is better, cleaner technology out there. But BIG COAL (at the cost of human life) is too greedy. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Richards
Po Box 17
Moscow, OH 45153

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jewell Shane
2314 Upland Place
Cincinnati, OH 45206

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Lou Vockell
6070 Kenridge Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45242

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Ellen Dugan
5770 Eaglesridge Lane
Cincinnati, OH 45230

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Holly Fertig
2528 St. Rt. 132
New Richmond, OH 45157

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Robin Johns
9772 Gibraltar Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45251

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Margaret Champion
6902 Moorfield Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45230

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I am opposed to the continuation of coal-fired power plants in Ohio. We simply must begin to use green, renewable energy in this country. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. Linda Ziegler 2555 Vestry Avenue Cincinnati, Ohio 45219

Sincerely,

Linda Ziegler
2555 Vestry Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45219

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Wendy James
39 Chestnut
Wyoming, OH 45215

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Carrie Doan
4505 Carter Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45212

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Sandra Barnett
5909 Fairdale Dr.
Fairfield, OH 45014

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Arthur Thomas
7593 Trillium Ct.
Cincinnati, OH 45241

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Gregory Figg
600 Klotter St.
Cincinnati, OH 45214

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Susan Giesel
67 Locust Hill Rd.
Cincinnati, OH 45245

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Heather Nield
3125 Crowfoot Dr
Hamilton, OH 45011

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Lora Pateras
4082 Georgetown Rd
Blue Ash, OH 45236

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Pam Abirached
3649 Hopper Ridge Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45255

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Dennis Kelch
505 Belt Street
Milford, OH 45150

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Monica West
6656 Dante Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45213

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Chris Butterbaugh
1395 Wade Rd
Milford, OH 45150

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jamal Spencer
11343 Lincolnshire Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45240

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. This plan is also short sighted.in the long run, renewable sources of energy are cheaper than coal.

Sincerely,

James Green
2140 Pogue Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45208

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

The use of coal-fired electric plants is clearly a health hazard. All you have to do is to look at the Cincinnati skyline on a clear day and notice the brown haze shrouding the city. All you have to do is to look at how our climate is changing due to use of fossil fuels - Ohio farmers not able to plant corn due to excessive rainfall, Texas burning due to excessive drought, flooding in many areas. Coal is a danger to us all. Duke Energy needs to be moving away from coal, toward clean, renewable energy. Thank you

Sincerely,

Barbara Fath
8152 Achterman Rd
Pleasant Plain, OH 45162

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jim Dille
10237 Maria Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45231

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. As a resident of Fairfield in southwestern Ohio, I am greatly concerned for my health. I already have respiratory issues and do NOT need them to be exacerbated! Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Vicky Locke
32 Providence Drive #15
Fairfield, OH 45014

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Paula Zo
6040 Brierly Creek Rd
Cincinnati, OH 45247

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Paula Jackson
1009 Egan Hills Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45229

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

David Loy
370 Terrace Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45220

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Michael Moore
408 Morning Sun Dr
Maineville, OH 45039

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Kathy Sizemore
123 Main St
Hamilton, OH 45013

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

Please reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and their threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan.

Sincerely,

Brian Davis
3123 Woodburn Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45207

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Richard Boyce
7061 Grantham Way
Cincinnati, OH 45230

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Robert Miller
1705 Rockhurst Lane
Cincinnati, OH 45255

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I live in South East Ohio under the grey, smog filled skies. I have asthma and regularly cannot leave my house because of the foul, dirty, coal smoke clogged air. We desperately need more efficient and cleaner energy requirements. Everyone has the RIGHT TO BREATHE!

Sincerely,

Emily O'Somachain-Price
6548 Arborcrest Rd
Loveland, OH 45140

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Terrell Stephens
2541 Hazelcrest Lane
Cincinnati, OH 45231

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Thomas
1332 Oakmont Ave
Hamilton, OH 45013

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I am a current Cincinnati customer of Duke Energy and am shocked to hear of this plan when all the company talks about are ways to save energy and their free cfl bulb promotions. Do not give them an easy and cheap way out while they do things to hurt their customers and everyone around them. Make them at least include a plan for integrating new, cleaner forms of energy with the phasing out of their aging coal plants. I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Danielle Braun
3122 Bellewood Ave. #2
Cincinnati, OH 45213

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Judy Burke
6731 Bridgetown Rd.
Cincinnati, OH 45248

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jeromy Cannon
3631 Zinsle Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45213

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to modify Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan. The Duke coal plants are aged. They are so old the Beckjord plant is being shut down. Duke has explored control equipment for these plants and has started innovative programs for energy savings, CFL giveaways, energy audits, and peak remote control, and GoGreen programs. Duke should continue and expand programs to bring more alternative energy online. PUCO should ask for a plan to continually increase Duke's alt energy usage. Set goals such as 15% by year 2020 provide a definitive goal to strive to meet. Utilities have asked for and need such goals. Carbon dioxide emission reduction goals are also needed. Utilities such as Hamilton electric have shown that climate gas emission reductions are feasible now. Please require the company to invest more in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. Modernizing Ohio's energy plants and distribution will mean more jobs, better efficiency and ultimately a better deal for Ohio's citizens.

Sincerely,

Leroy Gruber
6036 Sr1342
Goshen, OH 45122

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Dreier
7611 Seward Ave
Cinti, OH 45231

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Larry Horton
2061 Boymel Dr. Apt. L
Fairfield, OH 45014

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Laura Harrell
4119 Kirby Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45223

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Barrett Bamberger
4229 Pictureview Lane
Cincinnati, OH 45247

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Marla Pridemore
1108 Cleveland Ave
Hamilton, OH 45013

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Desiree Gerner
3209 Bishop #8
Cincinnati, OH 45220

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. It is much cheaper being green if one collects solar (both thermal and photovoltaic) on our roofs...Over dependence on electric grid for satisfying our energy needs needs to be abolished by giving consumers tax-credits to design cheap energy collectors (both thermal and photovoltaic) on our roofs.

Sincerely,

Vlasta Molak
8987 Cotillion Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45231

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Caitlin Dargue
219 Loraine Ave. #3
Cincinnati, OH 45220

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan and instead require the company to invest in clean energy and energy efficiency. Duke's coal plants are literally killing Ohioans. Duke's plan, if approved, would put corporate profits above the health of Cincinnatians and other SW Ohio residents, including myself, by continuing its reliance on dirty coal. Per your mission to "assure consumers access to adequate, safe and reliable utility services," I implore you to require Duke to invest in energy efficiency and renewables rather than its existing fleet of dangerous and harmful coal plants.

Sincerely,

Bethe Goldenfield
8307 Achterman Rd
Pleasant Plain, OH 45162

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Andrea Nolan
5638 Ridge Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45213

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Sandy Scott
5800 Milona Ct
Fairfield, OH 45014

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Steele
4675 Grinn St.
Cincinnati, OH 45069

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Michelle White
101 Santa Maria Woods Dr
Moscow, OH 45153

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Nikki Walker
5751 Country Oaks Dr.
Maineville, OH 45039

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Pat Steele
1119 Thurnridge Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45215

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Frank Hogan
1296 Meadowbright Lane
Cincinnati, OH 45230

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Carol Leonis
8176 Wycliffe Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45244

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Peter Mayer
932 Ligorio Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45218

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Josh Tabon
3825 Rohling Oaks Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45245

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Stephen Brundage
12145 Crown Ct.
Cincinnati, OH 45241

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Susan Dawes
5443 Country Lane
Milford, OH 45150

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Frances Goldman
646 Windings Lane
Cincinnati, OH 45220

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Mark Tollefsen
3411 Clifton Ave. 13b
Cincinnati, OH 45220

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Marsha Haffey
5106 Bonham Rd
Oxford, Ohio, OH 45056

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Marguerite Blythe
Po Box 17159
Cincinnati, OH 45217

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Tamra Smith
2679 Westbrook Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45211

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Patsy Camp
3729 Zind Ln
Cincinnati, OH 45241

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Michael Lenz
1600 Thompson Heights Ave., # 520
Cincinnati, OH 45223

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Nicholaus Haar
4429 Whetsel Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45227

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Cindy Bryan
2423 State Route
New Richmond, OH 45157

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Denise Peck
4627 Lakes Edge Drive 3
West Chester, OH 45069

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Kim Beckwith
5445 Candy Lane
Milford, OH 45150

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Ray Zimmermann
4026 Cherry St.
Cincinnati, OH 45223

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jan Dietrich
7867 Hunters Ridge Drive
West Chester, OH 45069

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Rees
24 Ridge Wood Dr
Monroe, OH 45050

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Konerman
516 S Delridge Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45238

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

James Boys
4210 Floral Ave. Apt 6
Norwood, OH 45212

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Linda McIntyre
779 Halesworth Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45240

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Tracy Borcharding
9058 Revere Run
West Chester, OH 45069

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Sheila Redman
3581 Amberacres #1
Cincinnati, OH 45237

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Ramos
3350 Rio Grande Lane
Cincinnati, OH 45244

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Leah Kaplan
551 Lowell
Cincinnati, OH 45220

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Robert Ludlum
3641 Twinview Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45247

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Harold Price
90 Ridgeway Rd.
Cincinnati, OH 45216

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Rebeca Arbona
1154 Herschel Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45208

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. If my rates have to go up I'd rather they go up because the increase is directly tied to a requirement that Duke transitions partially or totally to renewable energy generation by a date certain.

Sincerely,

Dominick Lijoi
9398 Ambleside Drive
Cincinnati, Ohio, OH 45241

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Joe Rosemeyer
5746 Glow Ct.
Cincinnati, OH 45238

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

James Helm
6130 Scarlet Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45224

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jeff Westerkamp
4429 Whetsel Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45227

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Tracy Blauvelt
4777 Hardwick
Cincinnati, OH 45238

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Brian Godsey
Stonebarn Dr.
West Chester, OH 45069

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. INVEST IN CLEAN ENERGY MSR

Sincerely,

Marysue Roberts
412 Lila Ave.
Milford, OH 45150

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Charlene De Cond
4275 Hegner Ave.
Deer Park,, OH 45236

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Parr
199 Silver Fox Court
Loveland, OH 45140

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Harold Dunn
907 Sunrise Drive
Loveland, OH 45140

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Robert Buselmeier
1615 Barnsdale Ct
Cincinnati, OH 45230

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Barry Nelson
5121 Autumnwood Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45242

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Caveman Etris
3060 Picwood Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45248

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Madison Smith
228 W. Mcmillan
Cincinnati, OH 45219

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Theresa Kleintank
632 Dottie Court
Cincinnati, OH 45215

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Bob Welch
Bob Welch
Cincinnati, OH 45242

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Colette Thomas
989 Woodcreek Dr.
Milford, OH 45150

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Pam Hall
5681 Morrow Cozaddale Rd.
Morrow, OH 45152

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Adam Wik
1264 Troy Ct
Mason, OH 45040

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Allen Otte
990 Grays Lane
New Richmond, OH 45157

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Monica Pepple
2117 Rollingridge Lane
Cincinnati, OH 45238

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Brenda Cameron
11987 Deerhorn Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45240

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Safa Herfat
2469 Madison Rd. #11
Cincinnati, OH 45208

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Give Love
2479 Madison Rd.
Cincinnati, OH 45208

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Alyce Rieck
3966 Ardmore Av.
Cincinnati, OH 45229

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the health of our community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. Coal is bad for public health, and causes significant environmental degradation both from mining and burning. We need to be forward-looking and invest in environmentally-friendly and efficient sources of power, such as gas in the short term, with renewables brought on-line as soon as feasible.

Sincerely,

Bruce Lott
8003 Lancelot Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45244

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Eva Van Benschoten
6527 Glen Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45213

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Robert Ange
6223 Bona Vista Pl
Cincinnati, OH 45213

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Koontz
2630 Aster Dr
Loveland, OH 45140

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Michael Wells
2475 Madison Rd., Apt. 34
Cincinnati, OH 45208

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Thomas Wadkins
612 Foulke St
Cincinnati, OH 45220

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jed Dowlin
6702 Hampton Dr.
Silverton, Oh., OH 45236

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Southwind
607 Foulke St.
Cinti., OH 45220

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

Please reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Nancy Meyer
2311 St. Rt. 28
Goshen, OH 45122

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. In these tough economics times, I don't want to see job loss due to shutting down the coal fired plants. That being said, I'm sick and tired of Duke getting rubber-stamped for every increase requested. I live on a fixed income and I believe it's time for a little shared sacrifice with the stockholders contributing something rather than receiving dividends.

Sincerely,

Tim Rodgers
131 Barnum St.
Liberty Twp, OH 45011

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Harold Dunn
907 Sunrise Drive
Loveland, OH 45140

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Ann Witt
7052 Kirkcaldy Dr.
West Chester, OH 45069

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Debra Harris
5650 Chestnut Ridge Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45230

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Daniel Cotton
2730 St Rt 222 Lot 117
Bethel, OH 45106

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Lloyd Jordan
417 Southview Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45219

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Matthew Thomas
2702 Euclid Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45219

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Johanna Carr
926 Cleveland Avenue
Hamilton, OH 45013

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

John Farmer
774 Shoreham Court
Cincinnati, OH 45255

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Ian Sugerman
31 North Fisher Drive
Oxford, OH 45056

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Amy Chapmon
3 Springbok Dr.
Fairfield, OH 45014

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Barbara Litchfield
856 Miami Ridge Drive
Loveland, OH 45140

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Nancy Henry
4334 Courageous Circle
Cincinnati, OH 45252

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Steve Kent
8111 Robert Street
Hamilton, OH 45011

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Vibhor Kumar
9979 Morgans Trace Drive
Loveland, OH 45140

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Bradford Bove
11540 Olde Gate Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45246

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Emily Mulcahey
1500 Petrarca Dr.
Kent, OH 45230

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. N.B.: CLEAN ENERGY MEANS WIND/SOLAR–NOT NUCLEAR!!!!

Sincerely,

Loretta Reardon
596 Lowell Ave., Apt 4
Cincinnati, OH 45220

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Mark Simcoe
2348 Glenside
Norwood, OH 45212

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Deborah Sheaff
950 Haldimand Ave
Hamilton, OH 45013

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Brad Mank
95598 Kelly Drive
Loveland, OH 45140

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Jon Martin
5394 Dogwood Circle South
Mason, OH 45040

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Peg Meis
733 Southmeadow Circle
Cincinnati, OH 45231

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

William Gabbard
1113 James Rd.
Hamilton, OH 45013

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Borshann Chan
4519 Whitmore Ln
Fairfield, OH 45014

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Emily Moore
11515 Applejack Ct.
Cincinnati, OH 45249

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. Every company needs to work on making cleaner more efficient ways of making America a better place for animals and humans.

Sincerely,

Jillian Benson
6788 Harrison Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45247

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Darlene Mangham
2319 Van Leunen Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45239

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Musa Campbell
3618 Davenant Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45213

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

Dear PUCO, Ohio is ranked as the 2nd highest in the nation as suffering deaths, heart attacks and hospital admissions that are attributable to pollution from coal plants. Let's make sure this "special" status does not continue! Our great state needs to move forward with power generation. Sure, coal-based energy may be a necessary short term solution, but there is no reason to encourage and lock-in our reliance on coal plants! I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. For the sake of Ohioans health as well as for the environment, agriculture, and sustainable outdoor tourism, please reject the Duke Energy plan. Our great state needs to move FORWARD into the future, not backwards with subsidies for dirty energy.

Sincerely,

Kathy Cunningham
7810 Greenbriar Lane
Cincinnati, OH 45243

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

James Wachter
216 Furbee Dr E
Mason, OH 45040

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Eric Tepe
100 E Central Pkwy. #513
Cincinnati, OH 45202

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Linda Grieser
5866 Red Bank Rd.
Cincinnati, OH 45213

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Mike Schaefer
6936 Millikin Rd
Middletown, OH 45044

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Kasey Young
401 S Highview Rd
Middletown, OH 45044

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Mike Pfirman
6404 Tracy Place
Mason, OH 45040

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Susan Iverson
7281 Julies Cove
West Chester, OH 45069

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to keep Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to raise costs from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants for the community. PUCO should accept Duke's plan and allow the company to invest in affordable energy rather than force expensive and non-dependable clean energy rather than affordable coal plants.

Sincerely,

Thomas Meyer
1735 N. Pennsylvania St
Indianapolis, IN 46202

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Taylor
215 Haley Rd
Sabina, OH 45169

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

As a concerned Cincinnati, I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. Thank you for caring about our health!

Sincerely,

Bill Lonneman
1178 Liveoak Court
Cincinnati, OH 45224

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants. I am not very fond of Duke nor their policies. And actually I can't name one person I know that is fond of them. Duke is already over charging their customers and are still wanting more money. Really?!? Duke needs to drop their "It's all about the profits and screw the rest" attitude!! People and their health should always come first!! I truly do not understand why this is only an issue for Ohioans to vote on. What about Kentucky and Indiana? We are stuck breathing in the very same air and stuck paying outrageous electric charges as well!! We should have a voice as well as every single duke customer, not just the Ohioans!! I so miss ULH&P!! Now that was a good company!! Duke SUCKS!!

Sincerely,

Jennifer Krebs
2778 First St
Petersburg, KY 41080

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

As a board member of Ohio Citizen Action, I am following the issue of Ohio's dirty coal plants with real concern. Please reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which lacks any clear plan to reduce the pollution from these plants. Ratepayer subsidies shouldn't pay for these coal plants, considering their their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Nancy Sullivan
534 Enright Ave.
Cincinnati, OH 45205

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Case number 11-3549-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's electric stabilization plan, which would lock its coal assets onto the grid for up to 10 years without any plans to reduce the pollution from these plants. Duke wants ratepayer subsidies to pay for these coal plants despite their age and threat to the community. PUCO should reject Duke's plan and require the company to invest in energy efficiency and clean energy rather than dirty coal plants.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Greiner
3631 Shortridge Circle
Cincinnati, OH 45247