

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Complaint of the	)	
Ohio Consumers' Counsel, Stand	)	
Energy Corporation, Incorporated,	)	
Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council,	)	
and Ohio Farm Bureau Federation,	)	
	)	
Complainants,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Case No. 10-2395-GA-CSS
	)	
Interstate Gas Supply, Inc,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) On October 21, 2010, the Ohio Consumers' Counsel (OCC), Border Energy, Inc. (Border), Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council (NOPEC), Stand Energy Corporation (Stand), and the Ohio Farm Bureau Federation (OFBF) filed a complaint, alleging that, among other things, Interstate Gas Supply, Inc. (IGS) d/b/a Columbia Retail Energy has engaged in marketing, solicitation, sales acts, or practices that are unfair, misleading, deceptive, or unconscionable. By entry issued February 28, 2011, MXenergy (MX) was granted leave to join the complaint. On March 16, 2011, and May 13, 2011, respectively, Border and MX withdrew from the case.
- (2) On November 12, 2010, IGS filed its answer denying the allegations contained in the complaint and asserting that it has complied with all statutory and regulatory requirements.
- (3) By entry issued June 16, 2011, the attorney examiner set the following procedural schedule:
  - (a) September 27, 2011 - Deadline for the filing of stipulations of facts, and direct expert and nonexpert testimony by the parties.

- (b) October 4, 2011 - The hearing will commence at the offices of the Commission.
- (4) On September 23, 2011, IGS, OCC, NOPEC, and OFBF (collectively, joint movants) filed a joint motion for an extension of the procedural schedule. In support of their motion, joint movants assert that additional time is needed to allow parties to continue settlement discussions which might resolve this case without litigation. Joint movants aver that they contacted Stand and it does not oppose the extension of the procedural schedule. In light of the ongoing settlement discussions, the attorney examiner finds that joint movants' request for an extension of the procedural schedule is reasonable and should be granted. Accordingly, the parties should observe the following procedural schedule proposed by the joint movants:
  - (a) November 1, 2011 - Deadline for the filing of stipulations of facts, and direct expert and nonexpert testimony by the parties.
  - (b) November 7, 2011 - The hearing will commence at 10:00 a.m., at the offices of the Commission, 180 East Broad Street, 11th floor, Room 11-C Columbus, Ohio 43215.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That joint movants' request for an extension of the procedural schedule is reasonable and should be granted. It is, further,

ORDERED, That the parties adhere to the procedural schedule set forth in Finding (4). It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Katie L. Stenman  
By: Katie L. Stenman  
Attorney Examiner

gag/dah

Entered in the Journal

**SEP 27 2011**

Betty McCauley

Betty McCauley  
Secretary