BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company for Authority to Merge and Related Approvals.) Case No. 10-2376-EL-UNC)
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.)) Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO) Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO)
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.) Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM) Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM)
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company to Amend it Emergency Curtailment Service Riders.)) Case No. 10-343-EL-ATA)
In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Amend its Emergency Curtailment Service Riders.)) Case No. 10-344-EL-ATA)
In the Matter of the Commission Review of The Capacity Charges of Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company.)) Case No. 10-2929-EL-UNC)
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company for Approval of a Mechanism to Recover Deferred Fuel Costs Ordered Under Ohio Revised Code 4928.144.)) Case No. 11-4920-EL-RDR))

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Approval of a	
Mechanism to Recover Deferred Fuel Costs) Case No. 11-4921-EL-RDR
Ordered Under Ohio Revised Code 4928.14	4.)
DIRECT TESTIMONY OF PEGGY CLAYTOR ON	DELIAL FOR THE OMA ENERGY ORGUR

Lisa G. McAlister, Counsel of Record Matthew W. Warnock BRICKER & ECKLER LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291

Telephone: (614) 227-2300 Facsimile: (614) 227-2390

E-mail: lmcalister@bricker.com

mwarnock@bricker.com

On behalf of the OMA Energy Group

- 1 Q1. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, TITLE AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
- 2 A1. My name is Peggy R. Claytor. I am the Manager State Government
- 3 Affairs for The Timken Company ("Timken"). My business address is
- 4 1835 Dueber Avenue S,W., Canton, Ohio 44706-0932.
- 5 Q2. ARE YOU THE SAME PEGGY CLAYTOR WHO PROVIDED
- 6 TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING ON JULY 25, 2011?
- 7 **A2.** Yes.
- 8 Q3. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU OFFERING TESTIMONY?
- 9 A3. I am testifying on behalf of The Timken Company in support of the
- positions advanced by the OMA Energy Group ("OMAEG") and the Ohio
- 11 Energy Group ("OEG") in support of the Joint Stipulation and
- 12 Recommendation ("Stipulation") filed in this case on September 7, 2011.
- 13 Q4. ARE THE OMAEG AND THE OEG BOTH SIGNATORY PARTIES TO
- 14 THE STIPULATION?
- 15 **A4.** Yes.
- 16 Q5. PLEASE GENERALLY DESCRIBE THE TYPES OF ACCOUNTS
- 17 TIMKEN HAS BEING SERVED BY AEP-OHIO.
- 18 A5. Timken has multiple accounts in Ohio Power's service territory ranging
- from large GS-4 accounts with relatively high load factors to smaller GS-2
- 20 accounts with relatively low load factors.

1 Q6. DOES YOUR TESTIMONY ADDRESS EVERY ASPECT OF THE 2 STIPULATION?

A6. No. My testimony focuses on two aspects of the Stipulation and should be considered together with the testimony of the other signatory parties for the Commission's determination that the Stipulation meets the three part test for settlements and that the ESP is better in the aggregate than the expected results of a market rate offer.

8 Q7. WHAT ASPECTS ARE YOU ADDRESSING?

9 **A7.** I am addressing the Load Factor Provision and the Interruptible Credit in paragraph 1(b) of the Stipulation and several provisions that benefit smaller, lower load factor customers.

12 Q8. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE LOAD FACTOR PROVISION.

13 A8. AEP-Ohio proposed significant changes in the design of SSO generation 14 service charges for demand-metered general service customers in order 15 to better reflect the market price and load relationships as described in AEP-Ohio's witness David M Roush's initial testimony. However, if left 16 17 unmitigated, AEP-Ohio's proposed rate design would have unreasonably 18 shifted a significant share of generation costs from lower load factor to 19 higher load factor customers, resulting in disparate rate impacts. In order 20 to better recognize such factors as the relationship between demand cost 21 responsibility and load factor, to avoid the disparate rate impacts, and to 22 promote economic development, the Load Factor Provision was 23 negotiated as part of the Stipulation.

2

¹ See the direct testimony of David M. Roush direct at 8 - 10.

1	The Load Factor Provision includes a nonbypassable demand charge and
2	a nonbypassable energy credit. Specifically, for GS-3 and GS-4
3	customers, the demand charge is \$6.57/kW-month and the energy credit
4	is \$0.01545/kWh. However, the energy credit will be adjusted quarterly.
5	For GS-2 customers, the demand charge is \$3.29/kW-month and the initial
6	energy credit is \$0.00228/kWh.

Finally, the Load Factor provision does not apply to any customer with a monthly peak demand of greater than 250 MW.

9 Q.9 WHY ARE THE CHARGES AND CREDITS DIFFERENT FOR GS-2 10 CUSTOMERS?

11 A9. The GS-2 customer class can generally be described as smaller, lower load factor than the GS-3 and GS-4 customer classes and, thus, the demand charges are different in order to provide a balanced result that does not have the effect of producing disparate impacts on the GS-2 customers.

16 Q10. WHY DOES THE LOAD FACTOR PROVISION NOT APPLY TO 17 CUSTOMERS WITH A MONTHLY PEAK DEMAND OF GREATER 18 THAN 250 MW?

19 A10. If the Load Factor Provision applied to the very largest customers in AEP-20 Ohio's service territory, it would have a negative effect on all other 21 customers by increasing the net charges and reducing the net credits. 22 Moreover, the very largest customers are either already taking service 23 pursuant to a discounted reasonable arrangement rate or they already 24 have a greater opportunity to shop for generation service. To include 25 customers with greater than 250 MWs in the Load Factor Provision would 26 be unreasonable.

1 Q11. HOW DOES THE LOAD FACTOR PROVISION PROMOTE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT?

A11. Generally speaking, the GS-3 and GS-4 customers are the largest customers and often provide the most Ohio jobs. By producing a balanced and known rate design, the Load Factor Provision helps provide rates that are reasonable and predictable during the transition to market, which helps retain and attract the larger manufacturing and industrial customers that are critical to Ohio's economy.

9 Q12. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE INTERRUPTIBLE CREDIT.

10 A12. AEP-Ohio is simply maintaining an existing interruptible credit at a level of \$8.21/kw/month through the end of the ESP for existing IRP-D customers.

However, AEP-Ohio is only collecting the incremental cost associated with this interruptible credit (approximately \$5 million) through the economic development rider.

15 Q13. WHY IS THIS A BENEFIT?

16 A13. Interruptible service that allows AEP-Ohio to interrupt or curtail customer 17 loads when reliability is impaired, to maximize the value of existing 18 capacity resources and to avoid acquiring new capacity resources. 19 Customers typically receive a credit in return for agreeing to curtail at 20 times when there is a system operating emergency or when incremental 21 generating costs are very high. The availability of an effective interruptible 22 service option is often a key factor in keeping energy-intensive 23 manufacturing facilities, like Timken, competitive and growing. Finally, 24 interruptible rates can be used to help meet the broad demand response 25 policy objectives outlined in Senate Bill 221 (SB 221), as well as the 26 specific peak demand reduction targets under Section 4928.66(A)(1)(b) of the Revised Code. Thus, providing a reasonable interruptible credit encourages customers to continue to provide interruptible load that benefits everyone. Moreover, recovering only the incremental cost associated with the rider is a balanced way to share the costs of the credit among customers and AEP-Ohio.

6 Q14. WHAT ARE YOUR CONCLUSIONS REGARDING THESE THE LOAD 7 FACTOR PROVISION AND THE INTERRUPTIBLE CREDIT?

- 8 **A14.** While the Stipulation must be reviewed as a package, these two provisions provide significant benefits to customers and help ensure that the Stipulation as a package is in the public interest and that the ESP is better in the aggregate than the expected results of an MRO.
- 12 Q15. DOES THE STIPULATION ONLY BENEFIT THE LARGER, HIGHER
 13 LOAD FACTOR CUSTOMERS TO THE DETRIMENT OF SMALLER,
 14 LOWER LOAD FACTOR CUSTOMERS?
- 15 **A15.** No. A settlement that only benefits a certain class of customers without also accruing to the benefit of others would not be reasonable or in the public interest. This Stipulation provides benefits to the smaller, lower load factor customers as well as the larger, higher load factor customers.
- 19 Q16. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE STIPULATION BENEFITS SMALLER, 20 LOWER LOAD FACTOR CUSTOMERS.
- A16. First, during the transition to market, the certainty embedded in the Stipulation benefits all customers. Additionally, the Stipulation provides a shopping credit in paragraph 1(c) and the Ohio Growth Fund in paragraph 1(v).

1 Q17. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE SHOPPING CREDIT.

2 A17. Beginning on January 1, 2012, GS-2 customers that switch to a CRES 3 provider will receive a shopping credit of \$10/MWh for the first 1,000,000 4 MWh of usage per calendar year (prorated for 2015). Customers who 5 obtain the credit will retain it for the entire term of the ESP. If less than 6 1,000,000 MWh of load receives the credit, this limitation shall be adjusted 7 in future years so that the annual credit equals ten million dollars per 8 calendar year. This credit will be included in the MTR over/under-recovery 9 calculation.

10 Q18. WHY IS THIS A BENEFIT?

11 A18. The market transition rider ("MTR") is nonbypassable, meaning that even if customers shop for generation service, they must pay the MTR. The shopping credit allows GS-2 customers to avoid \$10/MWh while shopping. This shopping credit is available only to schools and GS-2 customers and the costs associated with the credit are recovered from other customers.

16 Q19. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE OHIO GROWTH FUND ("OGF").

17 A19. AEP-Ohio will provide \$5 million annually for the benefit of economic development during the ESP term, provided that AEP Ohio's return on 18 19 equity exceeds 10% for the prior calendar year. The OGF will not be 20 recovered from customers. While an advisory group will develop the 21 framework and criteria for the funding from the OGF, a portion of the 22 funding will be allocated to improving the load factor of manufacturing 23 customers and otherwise reducing the rate impact on manufacturing 24 customers with low load factors.

1 Q20. WHAT ARE YOUR CONCLUSIONS REGARDING THE SHOPPING CREDIT AND THE OGF?

- 3 **A20.** While the Stipulation must be reviewed as a package, these provisions provide significant benefits to smaller, lower load factor customers and help make the Stipulation reasonable as a package.
- 6 Q21. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 7 **A21.** Yes.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing Testimony was served upon the parties of record listed below this 13th day of September 2011 *via* electronic mail.

Lisa G. McAlister

Steven T. Nourse
Matthew J. Satterwhite
American Electric Power Corp.
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
stnourse@aep.com
mjsatterwhite@aep.com

Dorothy K. Corbett
Duke Energy Retail Sales
139 East Fourth Street
1303-Main
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
Dorothy.Corbett@duke-energy.com

Daniel R. Conway
Porter Wright Morris & Arthur
41 South High Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
dconway@porterwright.com

David F. Boehm Michael L. Kurtz Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street. Suite 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 dboehm@bkllawfirm.com mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com

Samuel C. Randazzo
Joseph E. Oliker
Frank P. Darr
McNees Wallace & Nurick
21 East State Street, 17th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
sam@mwncmh.com
joliker@mwncmh.com
fdarr@mwncmh.com

Terry L. Etter
Maureen R. Grady
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
etter@occ.state.oh.us
grady@occ.state.oh.us

Richard L. Sites
Ohio Hospital Association
155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3620
ricks@ohanet.org

Thomas J. O'Brien Bricker & Eckler 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291 tobrien@bricker.com Colleen L. Mooney
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy
231 West Lima Street
Findlay, Ohio 45840
cmooney2@columbus.rr.com

John W. Bentine
Mark S. Yurick
Chester Willcox & Saxbe, LLP
65 East State Street, Suite 1000
Columbus, Ohio 43215
jbentine@cwslaw.com
myurick@cwslaw.com

William L. Massey Covington & Burling, LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20004 wmassey@cov.com

M. Howard Petricoff
Stephen M. Howard
Michael J. Settineri
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease
LLP
52 E. Gay Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
mhpetricoff@vorys.com
smhoward@vorys.com
mjsettineri@vorys.com

Glen Thomas 1060 First Avenue, Ste. 400 King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406 gthomas@gtpowergroup.com

Henry W. Eckhart 2100 Chambers Road, Suite 106 Columbus, Ohio 43212 henryeckhart@aol.com Jay E. Jadwin
American Electric Power Service
Corporation
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
jejadwin@aep.com

Michael R. Smalz Joseph V. Maskovyak Ohio Poverty Law Center 555 Buttles Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43215 msmalz@ohiopovertylaw.org jmaskovyak@ohiopovertylaw.org

Barth E. Royer Bell & Royer Co., LPA 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43215-3927 barthroyer@aol.com

E. Camille Yancey
Nolan Moser
Trent A. Dougherty
Ohio Environmental Council
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201
Columbus, Ohio 43212-3449
camille@theoec.org
nolan@theoec.org
trent@theo ec. org

Laura Chappelle 4218 Jacob Meadows Okemos, Michigan 48864 laurac@chappelleconsulting.net

Jesse A. Rodriguez
Exelon Generation Company, LLC
300 Exelon Way
Kennett Square, Pennsylvania 19348
jesse.rodriguez@exeloncorp.com

Christopher L. Miller
Gregory H. Dunn
Asim Z. Haque
Schottenstein Zox & Dunn Co., LPA
250 West Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
cmiller@szd.com
gdunn@szd.com
ahaque@szd.com

Sandy I-ru Grace
Exelon Business Services Company
101 Constitution Avenue N.W., Suite
400 East
Washington, DC 20001
sandy.grace@exeloncorp.com

Kenneth P. Kreider Keating Muething & Klekamp PLL One East Fourth Street, Suite 1400 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 kpkreider@kmklaw.com

Holly Rachel Smith Holly Rachel Smith, PLLC Hitt Business Center 3803 Rectortown Road Marshall, Virginia 20115 holly@raysmithlaw.com

Gregory J. Poulos EnerNOC, Inc. 101 Federal Street, Suite 1100 Boston, MA 02110 gpoulos@enernoc.com

Tara C. Santarelli Environmental Law & Policy Center 1207 Grandview Ave., Suite 201 Columbus, Ohio 43212 tsantarelli@elpc.org James F. Lang
Laura C. McBride
N. Trevor Alexander
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP
1400 KeyBank Center
800 Superior Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44114
jlang@calfee.com
Imcbride@calfee.com
talexander@,calfee.com

Gary A. Jeffries
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.
501 Martindale Street, Suite 400
Pittsburgh, PA 15212-5817
gary.a.jeffries@dom.com

Steve W. Chriss Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. 2001 SE I0th Street Bentonville, Arkansas 72716 stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com

Mark A. Hayden
FirstEnergy Service Company
76 South Main St.
Akron, OH 44308
havdenm@firstenergvcorp.com

Philip B. Sineneng
Thompson Hine LLP
Huntington Center
41 S. High Street, Suite 1700
Columbus, OH 43215
philip.sineneng@thompsonhine.com

Emma F. Hand SNR Denton US LLP 1301 K Street, NW, Suite 600, East Tower Washington, DC 20005-3364 emma.hand@snrdenton.com This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

9/13/2011 4:41:12 PM

in

Case No(s). 11-0346-EL-SSO, 10-2376-EL-UNC, 10-0343-EL-ATA, 10-2929-EL-UNC, 11-4920-EL-RDR

Summary: Testimony of Peggy Claytor electronically filed by Teresa Orahood on behalf of OMA Energy Group