

Issues list pertaining to case no. 10-2865-EL-BGN,

- 1) The close proximity of wind turbines to public roads.

While the Staffs Report on (pp. 37-38) regarding blade shear and ice throw mentions the safe distance from structures and roads using a formula of $1.5X(\text{hub height} + \text{rotor diameter})$ and that turbines 44 and 51 would need to be relocated or resized because of their location on site map seems to be addressing their distances from a structure. There are other turbines locations near public roads (1, 58,60,76). Why would not this formula apply to turbines that are near roads as well as structures?

- 2) Non-Participating landowners and property owners rights and safety.

Why would not the formula of $1.5X(\text{hub height} + \text{rotor diameter})$ mentioned in the Staff Report (pp. 37-38 blade shear and ice throw) in regard to structures and roads not be applied to adjacent non-participating property. These property owners should feel safe while anywhere on their property not just while in their home.

- 3) Future Development of up to 200 MW for a Phase II.

In which direction would a future phase II project be from the project boundaries of the current proposed project?

- 4) Wind Turbines near Sacred Heart Church, Bethlehem.

Need the actual distances of wind turbines from Sacred Heart Church, Bethlehem (5742 State Route 61) of which I am a member. Sacred Heart Church was founded in 1833. The Parish school on the same property has grades pre-school thru 8th grades and was established in 1837.

- 5) No map that clearly shows receiver identification numbers in relation to receiver residences in Sound Impacts Report.

- 6) No map that clearly shows receptor identification numbers in relation to receptor residences in Shadow Flicker Report.