

**FILE  
FAX**

BEFORE

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV  
2011 SEP -7 PM 3:05

OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

PUCO

In the Matter of the Application of Black  
Fork Wind Energy, LLC for a Certificate  
to Site a Wind-Powered Electric  
Generating Facility in Richland and  
Crawford Counties, Ohio.

Case No. 10-2865-EL-BGN

ENTRY

I, Karel A. Davis, intend to intervene in reference to the following list of issues:

- #1. Conflicting reports of turbine selection. Re: Staff report pg 6 vs Letter to residents
- #2. Conflicting reports for batch plant location. Re: Staff report pg 7 vs Staff report pg 44
- #3. Failure to compare population density to other Industrial Wind locations: Staff report pg 18
- #4. Failure to complete detailed route. Staff report pg 20(14)
- #5. Bald Eagles siting near Marsh Run in area of turbines 42, 43, 44. Staff report pg 21 19(b)(i)
- #6. Failure to specify required distance from forest stands. Staff report pg 22(19)(b)(iii), (iv)
- #7. Allowable noise levels exceeded by some proposed models. Staff report pg 25(29)
- #8. No reference to address of homes in shadow flicker charts in application. Staff report pg 25(30)  
Excessive shadow flicker to 17 non-participants who cannot ID receptor#.  
Mitigation proposal missing. Staff report pg. 35
- #9. Setbacks from property lines. Staff report pg28 OAC vs Safety protocol pg 37 & 59(45).
- #10. Lack of verifiable local economic impact. Staff report pg 29-30
- #11. Source not named for huge amounts of water for concrete. Staff report pg. 44.
- #12. Revenue to county uncertain. Staff report pg 50. Property tax eliminated vs PILOT?
- #13. Failure to provide any low frequency sound data. June 22 Asked and refused two times staff data request & Staff report pg 60.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an  
accurate and complete reproduction of a case file  
document delivered in the regular course of business.  
Technician Am Date Processed SEP 07 2011

#14. The general incompleteness and lack of certainty surrounding this project as shown by application and staff report.

#15. Many issues in this case mirror the issues of the Buckeye Wind project which is now in the Ohio Supreme Court. With that in mind, I have issue with finalizing this application with so many loose ends, until the outcome of the above mentioned case is known and all the information requested is in hand.

Respectfully submitted,

Karel A. Davis  
6675 Champion Rd.  
Shelby, OH 44875

---