

COLUMBUS I CLEVELAND CINCINNATI-DAYTON

BRICKER & ECKLER LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291 MAIN: 614.227.2300 FAX: 614.227.2390

www.bricker.com info@bricker.com

Thomas J. O'Brien 614.227.2335 tobrien@bricker.com



11-3549-EL-SSO 10

August 25, 2011

Via Hand Delivery

Ms. Betty McCauly Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Administration/Docketing 180 East Broad Street, 11th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3793

Re: Duke Energy Ohio

Case Nos. 11-3549-EL-SSO, et al.

Dear Ms. McCauly:

Attached please find for filing the Joint Reply to Duke Energy Ohio Inc.'s Memo Contra Joint Motion for Extension of Time. The Joint Movants would note that with the filing of this reply, the motion is now ripe for decision. In light of the fact that intervenor testimony is due September 7, 2011, and with the upcoming Labor Day holiday a prompt ruling would greatly benefit all intervenor parties.

If you have any questions, please call me at the number listed above.

Sincerely,

Thomas J. O'Brien

Attachment

cc: Parties of Record (via electronic service w/Attachment)

2011 AUG 25 PH 1: 38

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Date Processed AUG 2 5 2011

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Ohio for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan, Accounting Modifications and Tariffs for Generation Service.))))	Case No. 11-3549-EL-SSO
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Authority to Amend its Certified Supplier Tariff, P.U.C.O. No. 20.)))	Case No. 11-3550-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Authority to Amend its Corporate Separation Plan.)))	Case No. 11-3551-EL-UNC

JOINT REPLY TO DUKE ENERGY OHIO INC.'S MEMO CONTRA JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

On August 17, 2011, Constellation NewEnergy, Inc; Constellation Energy Commodities Group, Inc.; Exelon Generation Company LLC, the COMPETE Coalition; the Retail Energy Suppliers Association and PJM Power Providers Group; the Ohio Manufacturers' Association; City of Cincinnati; the Ohio Energy Group; The Kroger Company, the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel; and the Industrial Energy Users-Ohio (collectively "Joint Movants") filed a joint motion ("Joint Motion") requesting that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") extend the procedural schedule in the above-captioned proceedings by two months. On August 22, 2011, Duke Energy Ohio Inc. ("Duke") filed a responsive pleading (the "Memo Contra") to the Joint Motion. Joint Movants now file this joint reply.

A. Joint Movants represent all customer classes.

Duke implies that the Joint Motion lacks unanimity among intervening parties because only a "limited number of the thirty-four intervenors in this proceeding seek to extend the procedural schedule." Memo Contra at 2. It is disingenuous to describe the Joint Motion as having limited support. Only Duke opposed the Joint Motion. Joint Movants represent all customer classes (including residential and non-residential customers, manufacturers, industrials, and municipalities) as well as numerous competitive retail electric service providers and these parties are regular participants in Commission proceedings.

B. Ohio Revised Code Section ("R.C.") 4928.143(a) provides a 275-day timeframe for Commission's consideration of an ESP proposal.

Duke's argument that Joint Movants "suggest that Ohio law imposes a firm 275-day review period" on ESP applications is false and misleading. Memo Contra at 2. Joint Movants have not suggested that R.C. 4928.143(a) requires the Commission to take 275 days to review and opine on an ESP application. Instead, Joint Movants note that the Commission retains the discretion (as set forth in statute) to take up to 275 days (until March 21, 2012) before issuing an order on Duke's ESP application. (See Joint Motion at 2).

Duke's reliance upon a 2011 Supreme Court of Ohio decision regarding the timing requirements in R.C. Chapter 4928 does not help its cause. The Court stated that the time requirement for the Commission to decide an initial ESP – 150 days – was "meant to hasten the filing and review of initial ESPs." (*In re Application of Columbus Southern Power Co.*, 128 Ohio St.3d 512, 2011-Ohio-1788, ¶42). Duke's

pending ESP proposal is not such an initial ESP, and the circumstances in the case reviewed by the Court involved a Commission decision that *exceeded* (not cut short) the deadline provided by the General Assembly. The statutory deadlines for Commission decisions in ESP cases reflect the General Assembly's intention to "effectuate[] 'the proper, orderly, and prompt' resolution" of ESP cases (*Id.* at ¶42, quoting *State ex rel. Jones v. Farrar* (1946), 146 Ohio St. 467, 472); and, the time period provided by the General Assembly for Commission decision in the instant case is 275 days.

Indeed, Duke seeks to impose its own arbitrary deadline of January 1, 2012 for a Commission decision and implementation of that decision. In essence, Duke seeks to impose an approximately 150 day deadline (the time period from the ESP's June 20, 2011 filing date through Duke's requested November 2011 decision date) in contravention of the General Assembly's direction as stated in the statute. The Commission, like the intervening parties, should have an adequate period of time in which to review the ESP application without having to deal with Duke's unjustified and self-imposed deadline.

C. Joint Movants Present Just Cause for Extending the Procedural Schedule by Two Months.

First, and foremost, Duke's Memo Contra completely ignores the fact that Duke controlled the timing of its ESP filing. Putting aside the fact that Duke ignored all indications that its previously filed (and rejected) MRO proposal contained provisions not conforming to Ohio law, Duke delayed more than six weeks from the date the Commission issued its final Entry on Rehearing (even longer from the date

of the Commission's Order) in the MRO proceeding to submit an alternative proposal.

Duke should not now be able to take advantage of its own delays by forcing the intervening parties to participate in an unnecessarily expedited proceeding.

Second, and making matters worse, Duke's unilateral delay resulted in a procedural schedule that coincides with more than a half dozen AEP proceedings—most of which were filed long before Duke's ESP application. Although many of the parties regularly practice before the Commission, Duke's proposal places an undue burden on the intervening parties' time and resources.

Third, the failure to receive a Commission decision by January 1, 2012 would not prejudice Duke. R.C. 4928.141(A) provides that Duke's current ESP plan will continue in effect if Duke does not obtain approval for an alternative plan by January 1, 2012. Even Jim Rogers, Duke's CEO, recognized in an August 2, 2011 earnings conference call that it does not matter if a new plan goes into effect prior to the end of 2011 because the current ESP will stay in place. The continuation of the current plan is a superior option to a rushed, ill-considered replacement.

Finally, the ESP application filed by Duke is novel. Not only could the proposed ESP remain in place for up to 10 years, but it calls for a return to monopoly-based capacity pricing. Contrary to the arguments raised in Duke's Memo Contra, the capacity pricing model and corresponding profit-sharing mechanism are not only unique to Duke, but are being presented to the Commission (and intervening parties)

¹ "My belief is that we probably need approval in the October/November time frame to be able to do that. But in the event that we don't get it till later, as you know, we keep our existing plan in place until we are able to implement a new proposal." Second Quarter 2011 Duke Energy Corp Earnings Conference Call at 11 (August 2, 2011) (found at http://www.duke-energy.com/pdfs/Transcript-2Q2011.pdf) (last viewed on August 23, 2011).

for the first time in Ohio history. Expanding the procedural schedule by two months allows all parties the time necessary for a thorough and thoughtful review of Duke's three volume, thousand page ESP application, and the potential impact of such a long-term shift in capacity pricing on customers.

WHEREFORE, the Joint Movants respectfully request that its motion for an extension of time be granted and the following procedural schedule be established for the above-captioned proceedings:

- Intervenor Testimony due November 7, 2011
- Discovery Cutoff November 9, 2011
- Evidentiary hearing begins November 21, 2011

Respectfully submitted on behalf of

The Ohio Manufacturers' Association

Lisa G. McAlister

Matthew W. Warnock

Bricker & Eckler LLP

100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291

Telephone: (614) 227-2300

Facsimile: (614) 227-2390

E-mail: lmcalister@bricker.com

mwarnock@bricker.com

The City of Cincinnati

Thomas J. O'Brien

Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street

Columbus, OH 43215-4291

Telephone: (614) 227-2335

Facsimile: (614) 227-2390

E-mail: tobrien@bricker.com

PJM Power Providers

Exelon Generation Company LLC

Stephen M. Howard

Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease LLP

52 East Gay Street

PO Box 1008

Columbus, OH 43216-1008

Telephone: (614) 464-5401

M. Howard Patricoff per authorization

M. Howard Petricoff

Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease LLP

52 East Gay Street

PO Box 1008

Columbus, OH 43216-1008 Telephone: (614) 464-5414 Facsimile: (614) 464-6350 E-mail: smhoward@vorys.com

Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. and **Constellation Energy Commodities** Facsimile: (614) 464-6350

mhpetricoff@vorys.com E-mail:

COMPETE Coalition

M. Howard Petricoff

Michael J. Settineri

Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease LLP

52 East Gay Street PO Box 1008

Columbus, OH 43216-1008 Telephone: (614) 464-5414 Facsimile: (614) 464-6350 E-mail: mhpetricoff@vorys.com

mjsettineri@vorys.com

Michael J. Settineri

Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease LLP

52 East Gay Street PO Box 1008

Columbus, OH 43216-1008 Telephone: (614) 464-5414 Facsimile: (614) 464-6350

E-mail:

mhpetricoff@vorys.com misettineri@vorys.com

Retail Energy Supply Association

toward Petriciff per authorization Samuel C. Randazzo M. Howard Petricoff

Lija Kalpes Clark

Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease LLP

52 East Gay Street PO Box 1008

Columbus, OH 43216-1008 Telephone: (614) 464-5414 Facsimile: (614) 464-6350 E-mail: mhpetricoff@vorys.com Industrial Energy Users-Ohio

Frank P. Darr Joseph E. Oliker

McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC 21 East State Street, 17th Floor

Columbus, OH 43215 Telephone: (614) 469-8000 Facsimile: (614)469-4653

Email:

sam@mwncmh.com fdarr@mwncmh.com joliker@mwncmh.com

The Kroger Company

Wark Gurick 10 per authorization

Zachary Kravitz

Chester Wilcox & Saxbe

65 East State Street, Suite 1000

Columbus, Ohio 43215 Email: jbetine@cwslaw.com

myurick@cwslaw.com zkravitz@cwslaw.com

Ohio Energy Group

17. Bochon To per authorization David F. Boehm Michael Kurtz

Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry

36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Email: dboehm@BKLlawfirm.com mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

Jeffley L. Small To per authorizate

Joseph P. Serio

Melissa R. Yost

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800

Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485

Telephone: (Small) (614) 466-1292

(Serio) (614) 466-9565

(Yost) (614) 466-1291

Email: small@occ.state.oh.us

serio@occ.state.oh.us yost@occ.sate.oh.us

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing was served upon the parties of record listed below this 25th day of August 2011 *via* electronic mail.

Thomas J. O'Brien

Amy B. Spiller
Elizabeth H. Watts
Rocco O. D'Ascenzo
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.
139 East Fourth Street, 1303-Main
PO Box 961
Cincinnati, OH 45201-0960

John Jones Assistant Attorney General Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

Colleen L. Mooney 231 West Lima Street Findlay, Ohio 45839

Trent A. Dougherty Nolan Moser E. Camille Yancey 1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201 Columbus, Ohio 43212-3449

Tara C. Santarelli Environmental Law & Policy Center 1207 Grandview Ave., Suite 201 Columbus, OH 43212

Mary W. Christensen Christensen & Christensen LLP 8760 Orion Place, Suite 300 Columbus, OH 43240 David I. Fein Vice President, Energy Policy – Midwest Constellation Energy Group, Inc. 550 West Washington Blvd., Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60661

Cynthia Fonner Brady Senior Counsel Constellation Energy Resources, LLC 550 W. Washington St., Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60661

Matthew J. Satterwhite Erin C. Miller AEP Service Corporation 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Mark A. Hayden FirstEnergy Service Company 76 South Main Street Akron, Ohio 44308

David A. Kutik Jones Day North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114

Allison E. Haedt Jones Day 325 John H. McConnell Blvd. Suite 600 Columbus, OH 43215-2673 James F. Lang
Laura C. McBride
N. Trevor Alexander
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP
1400 KeyBank Center
800 Superior Ave.
Cleveland, OH 44114

Ann M. Vogel AEP Service Corporation 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Gregory J. Poulos EnerNOC, Inc. 101 Federal Street, Suite 1100 Boston, MA 02110

Laura Chappelle Chappelle Consulting 4218 Jacob Meadow Okemos, MI 48864

Teresa Ringenbach Direct Energy, LLC 9605 El Camino Lane Plain City, OH 43064

Joseph M. Clark Vecten Retail, LLC d/b/a Vectren Source 6641 North High Street, Suite 200 Worthington, OH 43085

Glen Thomas GT Power Group 1060 First Ave., Suite 400 King of Prussia, PA 19406

Dane Stinson
Bailey Cavalieri LLC
10 West Broad Street, Suite 2100
Columbus, Ohio 43215

William L. Massey

Covington & Burling, LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004

Kevin J. Osterkamp Roetzel & Andress, LPA 155 E. Broad Street, 12th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

Barth E. Royer Bell & Royer Co., LPA 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, OH 43215-3927

Rick D. Chamberlain Behrens, Wheeler & Chamberlain 6 N.E. 63rd Street, Suite 400 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105

Gary A. Jeffries Dominion Resources Services, Inc. 501 Martindale Street, Suite 400 Pittsburg, PA 15212-5817

Matthew R. Cox McDonald Hopkins LLC 600 Superior Avenue, East, Suite 2100 Cleveland, OH 44114