



RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

2011 AUG 19 AM 10: 01

kshannon@calfee.com 216.622.8852 **Direct**

PUCO

August 18, 2011

Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP Attorneys at Law

1400 KeyBank Center 800 Superior Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2688 216.622.8200 Phone 216.241.0816 Fax www.calfee.com

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Ms. Renee J. Jenkins
Director, Administration Department
Secretary to the Commission
Docketing Division
The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, OH 43215

Re: In the Matter of the Application of The AES Corporation, Dolphin Sub, Inc., DPL Inc. and The Dayton Power and Light Company for Consent and Approval for a Change of Control of The Dayton Power and Light Company

Dear Ms. Jenkins:

I have enclosed for filing the original and eleven (11) copies of the Reply Comments of FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. in Case No. 11-3002-EL-MER, which were filed via fax today. Please file the enclosed, time-stamp the extra copy and return it to me in the enclosed envelope.

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

Kevin P. Shannon

cc: all parties of record

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

The chainten Date Processed AUG 1 9 2011

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Case No. 11-3002-EL-MER	

REPLY COMMENTS OF FIRSTENERGY SOLUTIONS CORP.

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to the Commission's Entry of June 1, 2011 (the "Entry"), FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. ("FES") hereby submits its Reply Comments to the Application of The AES Corporation, Dolphin Sub, Inc., DPL Inc. and The Dayton Power and Light Company for Consent and Approval for a Change of Control of The Dayton Power and Light Company (the "Application") filed on May 18, 2011. FES has reviewed the Comments submitted by other stakeholders in this proceeding, The Dayton Power & Light Company's ("DP&L") recently filed Application for revisions to its Tariff (Case No. 11-4504-EL-ATA), and submits the additional Comments below in consideration thereof and in response thereto.

II. FES's REPLY COMMENTS

A. DP&L's Revised Tariff Proceeding Erects Barriers To Competition.

In its initial Comments, FES details certain concerns regarding whether the proposed merger will serve to stifle competition in DP&L's service territory. These concerns were and remain well-founded given the content of DP&L's recently filed Application for Approval of Revisions to its Existing G8, D4 and D5 Tariffs ("Revised Tariff Proceeding"). Specifically, rather than address the competitive concerns set forth by FES and other stakeholders in this

proceeding, DP&L filed the Revised Tariff Proceeding, which fails to remove unnecessary barriers to competition that exist under DP&L's current tariff.

For example, DP&L stated its intent to continue to charge 20 cents per bill for rate-ready consolidated billing and \$1,000 per change to a supplier's rate structure for rate-ready billing. In addition, DP&L seeks to add language to its tariff specifying fees for various supplier services. These are but two examples of procedures included in DP&L's Revised Tariff Proceeding that maintain unnecessary barriers to competition -- proposals DP&L failed to raise in the subject proceeding despite FES's intervention, as a stakeholder CRES supplier, on these and similar bases. DP&L's determination to address such issues in a separate proceeding speaks volumes given that DP&L seeks to maintain unnecessary barriers to competition in the Revised Tariff Proceeding rather than encourage the development of the competitive market. FES submits that such issues must therefore be addressed in the subject merger proceeding.

B. New Billing Procedures.

In the Comments filed by the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Staff"), Staff proposes that the cost savings DP&L projects to realize as a result of the proposed merger be passed on to customers. In this regard, Staff proposes, among other things, that DP&L be required to implement new billing procedures with such cost savings as a condition of the merger. Staff further proposes that the billing system should provide for time-differentiated rates.

FES agrees with and joins in Staff's proposal regarding the merger contingency of new billing procedures and systems post-merger. Further, FES submits that, consistent with FES's initial Comments, such billing procedures should include percentage off price-to-compare ("PTC") rate-ready calculations on billing in DP&L's service territory consistent with the billing

{01213308.DOC;1}

procedures of other EDUs, including Duke and the FirstEnergy utilities. Inclusion of PTC rateready calculations on DP&L's bills is in the best interests of customers in DP&L's service territory as it informs customers of supplier choices and furthers Ohio's public policy in development of the competitive electricity market.

In addition, revised billing procedures should include "bill ready" consolidated billing as fully addressed in FES's initial Comments. Similarly, and contrary to its position in its Revised Tariff Proceeding, DP&L should no longer be permitted to charge suppliers for consolidated billing, whether the exorbitant 20 cents per bill or otherwise, the set-up fee of \$1,000, or charge suppliers for registration of rate codes as such charges are harmful to customers as they inhibits the growth of the competitive market.

III. CONCLUSION

The initial Comments submitted by FES and those set forth above demonstrate practices and patterns by DP&L that raise real and substantial concerns regarding the impact of numerous provisions of DP&L's customer choice program and its standard practices with CRES suppliers - practices DP&L clearly intends to continue post-merger given the substance of its Revised Tariff Proceeding Application. The continuation of the specified procedures will quell the development of the competitive market. The Revised Tariff Proceeding confirms the need to remedy these issues through the merger application process in the subject proceeding.

3

{01213308.DOC;1 }

Respectfully submitted,

Mark A. Hayden (0081077)

FIRSTENERGY SERVICE COMPANY

76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308

(330) 761-7735

(330) 384-3875 (fax)

kshannon@calfee.com

haydenm@firstenergycorp.com

Colleen Moran O'Neil (0066576)
Kevin P. Shannon (0084095)
CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD LLP
1400 KeyBank Center
800 Superior Ave.
Cleveland, OH 44114
(216) 622-8200
(216) 241-0816 (fax)
coneil@calfee.com

ATTORNEYS FOR FIRSTENERGY SOLUTIONS CORP.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Reply Comments of FirstEnergy Solutions

Corp. was served via electronic mail and regular U.S. mail this day of August, 2011 upon
the parties listed on the attached service list.

One of the Attorneys for FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.

Daniel R. Conway
Andrew C. Emerson
Porter Wright Morris & Arthur LLP
41 South High Street
Suites 2800-3200
Columbus, Ohio 43215-6194
dconway@porterwright.com
aemerson@porterwright.com

Colleen L. Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lima Street Findlay, OH 45840 cmooney2@columbus.rr.com

On behalf of The Ohio Partners for Affordable

On behalf of The AES Corporation and Dolphin Sub, Inc.

Arthur G. Meyer 1065 Woodman Drive Dayton, Ohio 45432 Arthur.meyer@dplinc.com Lisa G. McAlister
Matthew Warnock
Bricker & Eckler LLP
100 S. Third Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
lmcalister@bricker.com
mwarnock@bricker.com

Energy

On behalf of The Dayton Power and Light Company

On behalf of OMA Energy Group

Charles J. Faruki
Jeffrey S. Sharkey
Faruki Ireland & Cox P.L.L.
500 Courthouse Plaza, S.W.
10 North Ludlow Street
Dayton, Ohio 45402
cfaruki@ficlaw.com
jsharkey@ficlaw.com

Joseph E. Oliker
Samuel C. Randazzo
Frank P. Darr
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 East State Street, 17th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
joliker@mwncmh.com
sam@mwncmh.com
fdarr@mwncmh.com

On behalf of DPL, Inc. and The Dayton Power and Light Company

On behalf of Industrial Energy Users of Ohio

Steven Beeler
Assistant Attorneys General
Public Utilities Section
180 E. Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Steven.beeler@puc.state.oh.us

On behalf of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Steven Beeler
Assistant Attorneys General
Public Utilities Section
180 E. Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Steven.beeler@puc.state.oh.us

On behalf of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Christopher L. Miller, Counsel of Record Gregory H. Dunn Asim Z. Haque Schottenstein Zox & Dunn Co., LPA 250 West Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 cmiller@szd.com gdunn@szd.com ahaque@szd.com

Attorneys for the City of Dayton, Ohio

Thomas Melone
Chief Executive Officer and General Counsel
Ecos Energy LLC
c/o Allco Renewable Energy Limited
14 Wall Street, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10005
Thomas. Melone@AllcoUS.Com

On behalf of Ecos Energy LLC

Richard L. Sites
General Counsel & Senior Director
Of Health Policy
Ohio Hospital Association
155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215-3620
ricks@ohanet.org

Thomas J. O'Brien
Brickler & Eckler, LLP
100 South Third Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291
tobrien@bricker.com

On behalf of The Ohio Hospital Association

David F. Boehm
Michael L. Kurtz
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
dboehm@BKLlawfirm.com
mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com

On behalf of The Ohio Energy Group